Anim Biosci Vol. 37, No. 11:1821-1833 November 2024 https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.24.0257 pISSN 2765-0189 eISSN 2765-0235 Open Access

Function of immune cells and effector molecules of the innate immune system in the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy in mammals — A review

Soohyung Lee1 , Inkyu Yoo¹ , Yugyeong Cheon1 , Eunhyeok Choi¹ , Seonghyun Kim1 , and Hakhyun Ka1,*

* Corresponding Author: Hakhyun Ka Tel: +82-33-760-2369, Fax: +82-33-760-2183, E-mail: hka@yonsei.ac.kr

 1 Division of Biological Science and Technology, Yonsei University, Wonju, 26493, Korea

ORCID

Soohyung Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1952-263X Inkyu Yoo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2766-0634 Yugyeong Cheon https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9985-2013 Eunhyeok Choi https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9510-6239 Seonghyun Kim https://orcid.org/0009-0004-9553-6736 Hakhyun Ka https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0213-5884

Submitted Apr 20, 2024; Revised May 16, 2024; Accepted Jun 20, 2024

Abstract: In mammalian species, pregnancy is a complex process that involves the maternal recognition of pregnancy, implantation, decidualization, placentation, and parturition. The innate immune system is composed of cellular components, such as natural killer cells, neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages, and effector molecules, such as cytokines, interferons, antimicrobial peptides, and complement components. The innate immune system plays a critical role as the first line of defense against infection or inflammation to maintain homeostasis and activate the adaptive immunity. During pregnancy, innate immune cells and effector molecules act on the regulation of innate immunity for host defense and processes such as embryo development, implantation, and placentation at the maternal–conceptus interface. In this review, we describe the components of the innate immune system and their functions at the maternal–conceptus interface to establish and maintain pregnancy in animal species that form hemochorial- or epitheliochorialtype placentas, including humans, rodents, ruminants, and pigs.

Keywords: Conceptus; Endometrium; Implantation; Innate Immune System; Pregnancy

INTRODUCTION

The innate immune system is a rapid first-line defense mechanism against infection or inflammation that plays a critical role in preventing infections, maintaining homeostasis, and activating adaptive immunity [1]. The innate immune system is composed of cellular components, including epithelial cells, endothelial cells, natural killer (NK) cells, neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages, and effector molecules, including cytokines, acute phase proteins (APPs), antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), and complement components [2]. The endometrium is an important site of mucosal immunity in which the innate immune system protects against bacterial and viral infection and signals the presence of pathogens to the acquired immune system [3]. After fertilization, the maternal immune system must tolerate the semi-allogeneic fetus during the implantation period and simultaneously maintain the host defense against potential pathogens for the successful establishment and maintenance of pregnancy [3]. Excessive immune tolerance can cause vulnerability to infection during pregnancy, but inadequate immune tolerance runs the risk of rejecting the fetus and pregnancy failure [4]. Therefore, it is crucial to maintain a balance between immune tolerance toward the semi-allogeneic conceptus and the immune response against potential pathogens. In addition, the cells and effector molecules of the innate immune system play critical roles not only in regulating innate immunity for host defense but also in functions such as embryo

Copyright © 2024 by Animal Bioscience

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution,
and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

$\overline{AB'}$

development, implantation, and placentation. Thus, it is essential to understand in detail how these cells and effector molecules function at the maternal–conceptus interface to establish and maintain successful pregnancy in humans and domestic animals. This review describes the roles played by innate immune cells and effector molecules in the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy, focusing on animal species that form hemochorial- and epitheliochorial-type placentas.

IMMUNE CELLS OF THE INNATE IMMUNE SYSTEM

Neutrophils

Neutrophils are polymorphonuclear innate immune cells characterized by multi-lobulated nuclei and granules and accounting for 50% to 70% of circulating leukocytes [5]. Neutrophils are rapidly recruited to sites of infection following a chemotactic cytokine gradient, and they play a key role in sterile inflammation and infection [5]. Neutrophils have a wide variety of effector functions, including degranulation, phagocytosis, generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), participation in tissue repair, and regulation of the adaptive immune system [6]. The granules in neutrophils contain molecule such as AMPs, proteinases, neutrophil elastase, and NETs [5]. NETs contain DNA, histones, and distinct granule proteins that can be used as NET markers [7]. Activated neutrophils induce the activation and maturation of macrophages, dendritic cells, and T cells [7]. After prolonged pathogen contact, neutrophils undergo various cell death mechanisms, including apoptosis, necroptosis, and NET formation [8].

In humans, neutrophils are detected in the decidua during the first trimester of pregnancy, and they increase at the time of labor [6]. The neutrophils in peripheral blood of pregnant women express lower levels of cluster of differentiation (CD) 10 and higher levels of CD15 in the third trimester than the earlier stages of pregnancy [9]. The neutrophils show an increased capacity for phagocytosis, ROS production, and arginase metabolism during pregnancy [10]. Activated neutrophils secrete pro-inflammatory and pro-labor mediators, including cytokines, chemokines, proteases, and prostaglandin E2, which affect physiological processes such as labor, cervical remodeling, uterine contractility, and fetal membrane rupture [5]. In ruminants, interferon (IFN)-τ (IFNT) derived from the conceptus trophectoderm acts as a central signal for maternal recognition of pregnancy, acting in a paracrine manner in the uterus [11]. IFNT induces the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) in bovine neutrophils through Janus kinase (JAK) 3 and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), which lead to increased production of interleukin (IL)-10 (IL10) and decreased NET formation during maternal recognition of pregnancy [11,12]. In addition, the expression of CD11b, CD31, CD44, and CD62L remains low during pregnancy and increases on the day of abortion or labor [12]. In pigs, the number of neutrophils in the endometrium increases in the uterine lumen several hours after insemination but remains low in endometrial tissue during early pregnancy [13]. Problems such as delayed endometrial apoptosis postpartum can cause persistent inflammation and contribute to pregnancy-associated neutrophilia, and an increase of neutrophils and inflammatory activity can cause infertility or pregnancy loss [11]. Overall, the number of neutrophils in the endometrium during pregnancy increases at mating and then remains low until labor, but any situations harmful to the pregnancy activate neutrophils to transfer signals to other cells locally or systemically. Thus, an appropriate number and activation of neutrophils in the endometrium is important for the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy.

Natural killer cells

NK cells are generally considered a component of the innate immune system because they lack antigen-specific cell surface receptors and act as major effector cells that control several types of tumors and microbial infections [14]. NK cells have strong cytotoxicity and cytokine-producing effector functions, as well as regulatory functions through their interactions with dendritic cells, macrophages, T cells, and endothelial cells [14]. The activation and effector functions of NK cells depend on the integration of signals from activating and inhibitory receptors [14]. Activating receptors of NK cells include killer cell lectin-like receptor (KLR) K, the natural cytotoxicity receptor family, and CD244 (also known as 2B4); inhibitory receptors include killer cell immunoglobulin (Ig)-like receptor (KIR), two Ig domains and long cytoplasmic tail 1 (KIR2DL1), KIR3DL1, KLRB1, KLRC1, and KLRG1 [15]. Stimulation of the activating receptors induces activating signals that surpass the requisite threshold, resulting in cytokine secretion or direct cellular cytotoxicity [15]. Activation of inhibitory receptors by ligands such as major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecules expressed on the surfaces of normal healthy cells transduces inhibitory signals for self-tolerance to NK cells [16]. The expression of MHC class I is lost in virus-infected cells or tumor cells, which lowers the inhibitory signals. On the other hand, the cellular stress associated with viral infection or tumor development induces the production of ligands that interact with activating receptors of NK cells, causing NK cell–mediated cytotoxicity or cytokine production [16].

Decidual NK (dNK) cells are a specialized type of NK cell found in endometrial decidual tissues during pregnancy, and they have different features from peripheral blood NK cells in humans and rodents [17]. During pregnancy, dNK cells are the most abundant leukocytes, accounting for almost

70% of total decidual leukocytes following recruitment and activation by ovarian hormones in humans [17]. In humans and rodents, dNK cells release cytokines and chemokines to induce trophoblast invasion and spiral artery remodeling for embryo development and placentation [17]. In ruminants, the number of NK cells in the endometrium increases during early pregnancy in cows and sheep [18,19] and the lytic activity of NK cells from peripheral blood mononuclear cells and the endometrium is reduced by serpin family A member 14 purified from uterine flushings in sheep [20]. In pigs, endometrial NK cells are relatively small and agranular lymphocytes, compared with those in rodents and humans, that are transformed into large and granulated forms in the uterus [21]. Porcine endometrial CD16+ NK cells are more abundant in the endometrium than in blood, especially at the conceptus attachment sites, from Day 15 to Day 28 of pregnancy [21]. The cytotoxicity of endometrial NK cells in pigs increases between Day 10 and Day 20 of pregnancy and dramatically decreases on Day 30 [22]. The expression of the *FCGR3A* (CD16) NK cell surface marker is detected in the endometrium of pigs with the highest abundance on Day 15 and decreases thereafter [23]. The recruitment of NK cells into the endometrium is mediated by chemokines such as C-X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL) 9, CXCL10, and CXCL11, which are induced by IFN-γ (IFNG) of conceptus origin during early pregnancy [23]. Although the detailed function of endometrial NK cells during pregnancy still needs to be determined, the abundance of NK cells at the maternal– fetal interface and the tight regulation of the expression of activating and inhibitory NK cell receptors in the endometrium suggest that NK cells might play an important role in the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy in mammalian species by modulating the innate immune system.

Macrophages

Macrophages are derived from circulating monocytes in blood and localized to all tissues in the body [24]. Macrophages play essential roles in innate and adaptive immune responses by detecting, ingesting, and processing foreign materials, dead cells, and other debris, as well as by participating in inflammatory and anti-inflammatory processes [25]. In general, macrophages are classified into two subsets, M1 and M2 macrophages [25]. M1 macrophages are classically activated by IFNG or lipopolysaccharide and produce proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β (IL1B), IL12, and tumor necrosis factor-α [26]. M1 macrophages highly express MHC class II molecules, N-formyl peptide receptor 2, G protein-coupled receptor 18, CD38, CD68, CD80, CD86, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3, and nitric oxide synthase [26,27]. M1 macrophages are involved in microbicidal activity, including phagocytosis of pathogens and killing of intracellular bacteria [27]. M2 macrophages are alternatively activated

$\overline{AB'}$

by IL4, IL10, IL13, and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β and involved in immunomodulatory functions, including immune tolerance and resolution of inflammation. They express CD163, CD204, early growth response protein 2, MYC and arginase 1 (ARG1), which are used as M2 markers [26]. M2 macrophages have weak microbicidal activity and secrete the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL10 [27].

Macrophages play an important role in implantation and placentation during pregnancy [28]. Macrophages are rarely present in non-pregnant endometrium, but their number in the decidua increases abundantly during pregnancy through the expression of chemokines [24,28]. In humans, the number of decidual macrophages increases, accounting for 10% to 20% of decidual immune cells during early pregnancy, with the highest levels seen in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy [29,30]. Macrophages are localized around the spiral arteries when trophoblast invasion and spiral artery remodeling are initiated during early pregnancy [24]. Specifically, decidual macrophages express M2 phenotype characteristics, with reduced CD86 expression and increased IL10 and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase expression [24]. The number of macrophages increases in the endometrium during the implantation period in ruminants [19,31] and macrophages in the endometrium differentiate into an M2 activation pathway during pregnancy in cows [32]. In pigs, the number of macrophages in the endometrium is higher in sows than in gilts [33]. Macrophages in the endometrium during early pregnancy are localized around blood vessels, express CD163 and ARG1, and produce the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL10 [33,34]. Because endometrial tissue in pigs produces several chemokines CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11 in response to conceptus IFNG signaling during the implantation period [23], it is likely that these chemokines recruit macrophages into the endometrium. Although the proportions of the M1 and M2 phenotypes among endometrial macrophages during pregnancy and their functions are not fully characterized in all species, macrophages might play important roles in the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy by promoting an anti-inflammatory environment and immune tolerance in the endometrium.

EFFECTOR MOLECULES IN THE INNATE IMMUNE SYSTEM

Cytokines

Cytokines are a broad group of signaling proteins, such as ILs, IFNs, and chemokines, that induce many physiological processes, including cell growth, migration, inflammation, and immunity [35]. Cytokines are also involved in various reproductive processes, including ovulation, luteolysis, menstruation, implantation, embryo development, placentation, and parturition [35].

IL1B

IL1B is a potent pro-inflammatory cytokine expressed by hematopoietic cells in response to inflammatory stimuli, and it plays essential roles in the host defense response to infection and injury [36]. IL1B is produced by various types of innate immune cells, such as monocytes and macrophages [36]. IL1B is synthesized as a 31 kDa inactive precursor, pro-IL1B [37]. Full-length pro-IL1B cannot bind to its receptors and needs to be cleaved by caspase-1 prior to secretion in its active form [37]. The receptors of IL1B include IL1 receptor type 1 (IL1R1), IL1R2, IL1R accessory protein (IL1RAP), and a receptor antagonist (IL1RN) [38]. The biological activity of IL1B is enhanced in the presence of soluble IL1R1 and suppressed in the presence of membrane and secreted forms of IL1R2 [38].

In humans, IL1B, IL1R1, and IL1R2 are detected in both the endometrium and the conceptus at the maternal–fetal interface during the implantation period [35]. The endometrial expression of *IL1B* is highest during the late secretory phase and localized to stromal cells, macrophages, uterine NK cells, and endothelial cells [38]. During early pregnancy, IL1B is also detected in villous cytotrophoblasts, syncytiotrophoblasts, the decidua, and macrophages [39]. In mice, *Il1b* is expressed in the uterus, with the highest levels on Days 4 to 5, which corresponds to the time of implantation [40]. In cows, IL1B and IL1R1 are localized in luminal (LE) and glandular epithelial (GE) cells and stromal cells, and the presence of embryos increases endometrial IL1B production [41]. The expression of *IL1B*, *IL1R1*, *IL1RAP*, and *IL1RN* is detected in the endometrium during the estrous cycle and pregnancy in pigs [42]. IL1B is also produced by elongating conceptuses between Days 11 and 12 of pregnancy [42]. Porcine conceptuses express the *IL1B2* gene that is different from the classic *IL1B* gene [42]. The classical *IL1B1* is expressed in the endometrium and macrophages, whereas the embryonic form *IL1B2* is expressed only in the early porcine conceptus during the peri-implantation period [42]. Also in pigs, *IL1R1* and *IL1RAP* are expressed in LE and GE cells of the endometrium, with the highest levels on Day 12 of pregnancy [42]. IL1B plays an important role in the implantation process in several species, including humans, rodents, cows, and pigs, by mediating communication between the conceptus and the endometrium at the maternal–fetal interface [35,39- 42]. Although IL1B is well known as a pro-inflammatory cytokine, its roles at the maternal–conceptus interface and in the endometrium of various animal species include the regulation of trophoblast invasion, decidualization, endometrial gene expression, and prostaglandin (PG) production for labor induction [42], suggesting that it might play important roles not only in regulating innate immunity but also in establishing and maintaining pregnancy.

IL6

IL6 is a multifunctional cytokine with a pleiotropic effect on inflammation, immune response, and hematopoiesis [43]. IL6 is expressed in various cell types, including epithelial cells, fibroblasts, keratinocytes, dendritic cells, lymphocytes, and macrophages [44]. The receptors for IL6 are the IL6 receptor (IL6R) and glycoprotein 130 (GP130), and activation of the IL6 receptor complex by IL6 binding triggers a downstream signaling cascade, such as the JAK-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling pathway [43].

The expression of IL6 and IL6 receptors at the maternal– fetal interface has been found in humans, mice, cow, sheep, and pigs [45-50]. In humans, IL6 is localized to epithelial and stromal cells in the endometrium, and its levels increase during menstruation and the implantation period of pregnancy [46]. In mice, the expression of *Il6* is localized to LE, GE, and stromal cells in the endometrium during the estrous cycle and pregnancy [47]. *Il6*-deficient mice show increased fetal resorption and delayed parturition [45]. The expression of *IL6* in the endometrium decreases during early pregnancy compared to cyclic heifers [48], while the expression of *IL6* in the endometrium increases in pregnant sheep compared to non-pregnant sheep [49]. IL6 and IL6 receptors are also expressed in the endometrium during pregnancy in pigs [50]. The expression of *IL6*, *IL6R*, and *GP130* increases during mid- to late pregnancy and is localized to epithelial and stromal cells in the endometrium [50]. IL6 is involved in embryo implantation, placental development, and immune tolerance at the maternal–fetal interface in humans, rodents, and pigs [45].

IL10

IL10 is a key anti-inflammatory cytokine that protects the host from an excessive immune response to infection and inflammation [51]. IL10 is produced by various cell types, such as dendritic cells, macrophages, neutrophils, T helper 2 (Th2) cells, and some epithelial cells [51]. The receptors for IL10 are a heterotetramer consisting of two IL10 receptor-α (IL10RA) subunits and two IL10 receptor-β (IL10RB) subunits [51]. The complex of IL10 and its receptors engages the JAK-STAT signaling pathway and inhibits the nuclear factor (NF)-κB signaling pathway [51].

The expression of IL10 and IL10 receptors has been observed at the maternal–conceptus interface in humans, mice, ruminants, and pigs [34,49,52,53]. In humans and mice, IL10 is produced in trophoblast cells, decidual cells, and decidual immune cells, including dendritic cells, macrophages, dNK cells, and regulatory T cells [54]. In humans, the expression of IL10 and IL10 receptors increases in the decidua during early pregnancy, compared with the menstrual cycle [55]. In cows, the expression of *IL10* in the endometrium increases during early pregnancy compared to non-pregnant animals

and IFNT induces the production of IL10 in endometrial epithelial cells [48]. In sheep, the expression of *IL10* in the endometrium is higher during mid-pregnancy than early pregnancy and the cyclic stage [49]. In pigs, the expression of *IL10* increases on Day 15 of pregnancy and is localized to LE and stromal cells and macrophages in the endometrium [34]. The IL10RA protein is localized to LE, endothelial, stromal, and T cells, and *IL10RB* mRNA is localized to LE cells in the endometrium. The endometrial expression of IL10 receptors is induced by estrogen, IL1B, and/or IFNG in pigs [34]. In humans, decreased levels of IL10 are associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, including abnormal placentation, recurrent spontaneous abortion, preterm birth, and preeclampsia [54]. Thus, IL10 plays a crucial role in the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy in various animal species by regulating maternal immune activation at the maternal–fetal interface.

IL15

IL15 is a pleiotropic cytokine that affects the development, maintenance, and function of T cells, NK cells, natural killer T cells, and dendritic cells [56]. IL15 is produced by various cell types, including monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, fibroblasts, and epithelial cells [56]. The receptor for IL15 consists of three subunits, IL15 receptor-α (IL15RA), IL2 receptor-β (IL2RB), and IL2R-γ (IL2RG) [56]. The interaction between IL15 and its receptors engages JAK-STAT signaling, leading to the proliferation, differentiation, and activation of T cells and NK cells [57,58]. Additionally, it provides protection from apoptosis in many cell types, including immune cells such as B cells, monocytes, and macrophages, and non-immune cells such as epithelial cells, keratinocytes, and hepatocytes [57].

IL15 is expressed at the maternal–fetal interface and associated with successful and healthy pregnancy in humans, mice, and cows [59-62]. In humans, the IL15 protein is localized in GE, stromal, and vascular endothelial cells in the endometrium during the menstrual cycle [59]. In both mice and humans, IL15 produced by stromal cells, decidual cells, and macrophages induces the differentiation of uterine NK cells and promotes decidualization during pregnancy [59,60]. In humans, IL15 is expressed in endometrial stromal cells, promotes proliferation and invasion of endometrial stromal cells, and decreases activation of NK cells by downregulating the expression of IFNG, granzyme B, and activating receptors, NCR2 and KLRK1, in NK cell [61]. In cows, IL15 is expressed during early pregnancy in the endometrium, but its expression is not affected by conceptus implantation and placentation [62]. In humans and mice, dysregulation of IL15 expression is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, including fetal growth restriction, abnormal decidual formation, impaired spiral artery remodeling, recurrent miscarriage, and

preeclampsia [63]. Therefore, IL15 and its receptors might play important roles in establishing and maintaining pregnancy in various animal species by regulating the function of endometrial cells and immune cells.

IFNs

IFNs are cytokines with powerful antiviral activity that influence both the innate and adaptive immune systems [64]. They are secreted from host cells in response to a variety of stimuli, including viral infection [64]. IFNs are divided into three types, type I, type II, and type III, based on their sensitivity to pH, amino acid sequence homology, crystal structure, and functional properties [64]. The interaction between IFNs and their receptors directs a variety of biological functions through signal transduction pathways, including the JAK-STAT, mitogen-activated protein kinase, PI3K-Akt, and NFκB pathways [64,65]. Type I IFNs, including IFN-α (IFNA), IFN-β, IFN-δ (IFND), and IFNT, exhibit a wide variety of biological functions, including the suppression of viral replication, the induction of apoptosis in infected cells, and the stimulation of cytotoxic activity in NK cells and cytotoxic T cells [64,66]. Interestingly, in ruminants, IFNT derived from the conceptus trophectoderm during early pregnancy acts as a maternal recognition of pregnancy signal [67]. IFNG, the only type II IFN, mediates various biological functions, including the stimulation of antigen presentation, upregulation of pathogen recognition, increase of MHC class I and II expression, enhancement of antimicrobial activity, and activation of M1 proinflammatory macrophages [68,69]. Type III IFNs, including IFNL, are also associated with protection against viral infections, the expression of MHC molecules, T cell differentiation, immunomodulation, and autoimmunity [70].

IFNs are produced at the maternal–fetal interface in humans, rodents, ruminants, and pigs [71-73]. In humans, IFNs, including IFNA, IFNE, and IFNG, are expressed in the endometrium and/or trophoblast cells during early pregnancy [74-76]. These IFNs are associated with endometrial gene expression and antiviral response [77]. In mice, IFNA and IFNG are expressed by the decidua, trophoblast cells, and uterine NK cells [72]. IFNs are involved in embryo implantation, spiral artery development, and decidualization in mice [10,77]. In ruminants, such as sheep, goats, and cows, IFNT is expressed by the trophectoderm of the early-stage conceptus and acts as a signal for maternal recognition of pregnancy by preventing luteolysis [71]. IFNT also stimulates the expression of ISGs and many other genes, including those involved in cell proliferation, migration, attachment, transport of glucose and amino acids, and production of proteases and their inhibitors [71,78]. In pigs, elongating conceptuses secrete IFND and IFNG during the peri-implantation period [79]. Unlike IFNT in ruminants, IFND and IFNG are not involved in the anti-luteolytic effect in pigs [79]. IFNG induces

$\sqrt{B'}$

the expression of many endometrial genes, including ISGs, MHC class I and II molecules, chemokines and chemokine receptors, and matrix metalloproteinase [73,79,80]. Thus, IFNs play critical but species-specific roles in the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy by inducing many endometrial genes, regulating the immune response and immune tolerance, and/or acting as a signal for maternal recognition of pregnancy.

Chemokines

Chemokines, a group of small chemoattractant cytokines with a molecular weight of 8 to 10 kDa, are classified into four subfamilies: the cysteine (C), cysteine-cysteine (CC), cysteine-X-cysteine (CXC), and cysteine-X-X-X-cysteine (CX3C) motifs [81]. There are two families of chemokine receptors, conventional and atypical chemokine receptors (ACKRs) [81]. Conventional chemokine receptors are Gprotein coupled receptors that typically activate intracellular signaling pathways by chemokine binding, whereas ACKRs, which structurally resemble conventional chemokine receptors, cannot transduce intracellular signals [81]. Chemokines and chemokine receptors are expressed in various cell types, including dendritic cells, neutrophils, monocytes, lymphocytes, endothelial cells, and epithelial cells, and they are involved in host defense as well as cell functions such as proliferation, differentiation, migration, apoptosis, and angiogenesis [81].

In humans, chemokines are highly expressed in the decidua, decidual stromal cells, and primary trophoblasts, and chemokine receptors are expressed in trophoblasts, decidual stromal cells, NK cells, T cells, and macrophages [82]. Chemokines secreted by decidual cells are involved in recruiting NK cells, T cells, and monocytes into the decidua during early pregnancy in humans [82]. Chemokines also affect placentation by inducing the proliferation, invasion, and differentiation of extravillous cytotrophoblast cells in humans [82]. In mice, chemokines promote uterine endometrial receptivity and angiogenesis [83]. In goats and sheep, chemokines induce the migration and adhesion of trophoblast cells [84,85]. In cows, chemokines and chemokine receptors are expressed in the endometrium during the estrous cycle and pregnancy, and the endometrial expression of chemokines is higher during early pregnancy than at other times [86]. IFNT secreted by implanting bovine conceptuses increases the expression of chemokines, including CCL8 and CXCL10 [86]. In pigs, chemokines and chemokine receptors are detected at the maternal–conceptus interface [23,87]. Conceptus-derived IFNG increases the expression of chemokines in the endometrium on Day 15 of pregnancy in pigs [73]. Chemokines induce the recruitment of immune cells and the proliferation and migration of trophectoderm cells at the maternal– conceptus interface in pigs [23,87]. In addition, an interaction between CXCL12 and CXCR4 is involved in the Th1/Th2 balance at the maternal–conceptus interface during early pregnancy in humans and mice [83]. The abnormal expression of chemokines or chemokine receptors causes various pregnancy complications, such as preeclampsia, recurrent spontaneous abortion, preterm birth, and pregnancy loss in humans and rodents [82]. Overall, chemokines produced by endometrial epithelial, stromal, and endothelial cells and subsets of leukocytes in the endometrium in humans, mice, ruminants, and pigs play important roles in regulating the proliferation and migration of trophoblast cells, recruiting immune cells into the endometrium, and activating the immune system during early pregnancy.

Acute phase proteins

Acute phase proteins, including C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen, haptoglobin, lipocalin-2, and serum amyloid As (SAAs), are plasma proteins that rapidly increase in blood levels, by 5- to 1,000-fold, in response to inflammation, infection, and trauma [88]. APPs are induced by proinflammatory cytokines and produced mainly by hepatocytes, but local inflammatory cells, including neutrophils, macrophages, and epithelial cells, in the skin, gut, and lung can also produce them [88]. The expression levels of APPs remain high during chronic infection and chronic inflammatory disease, and an increase in circulating APPs in the blood is thought to be beneficial to the host organism by inhibiting microbial growth and restoring homeostasis [88]. The levels of APPs increase dramatically during inflammatory diseases, and they are considered to be sensitive markers of inflammation [89]. APPs are also involved in many reproductive processes, including pregnancy.

In humans, SAAs are synthesized locally in the endometrium, fetal membranes, and placenta [90]. Plasma levels of SAAs increase during both normal pregnancy and abnormal pregnancy, such as premature rupture of membranes, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, and recurrent spontaneous abortion [90]. CRP levels in serum are also higher during pregnancy than at other times in humans [91]. In mice, the expression of lipocalin-2 increases dramatically after parturition [92]. In cows, the expression of *SAA3* and *haptoglobin* is high in the endometrium during late postpartum compared to early postpartum [93], and plasma concentrations of SAA, haptaglobin, and fibrinogen are higher during pregnancy than during the cyclic stage in sheep [94]. In pigs, *SAA3* and *haptoglobin* are expressed at the maternal–conceptus interface during the estrous cycle and pregnancy [95,96]. The expression of *SAA3* in the endometrium is highest at the time of conceptus implantation and increased by IL1B and IFNG secreted by the implanting conceptuses during early pregnancy [95]. SAAs are considered to promote the development, differentiation, and invasion of the trophoblast in humans [97], and haptoglobin promotes the development of porcine embryos *in vitro* [96]. APPs are also associated with reproductive diseases, including ovarian cancer, endometritis, premature rupture of membranes, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, and recurrent spontaneous abortion in humans, mice, horses, goats, and pigs [89,90]. These data suggest that APPs play important roles not only in regulating innate immunity but also in a variety of reproductive processes during the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy.

Antimicrobial peptides

AMPs, also called host defense peptides, are small peptides that play important roles in the innate immune system of various organisms, including microorganisms, amphibians, and mammals [98]. AMPs are classified based on their source, activity, and structural characteristics and include cathelicidins, defensins, S100A calcium-binding proteins (S100As), peptidase inhibitor 3 (PI3), and secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI) [98]. AMPs have a wide range of inhibitory effects against bacteria, fungi, parasites, and viruses and act as natural antibiotics that protect mammalian hosts from microbes [98]. The direct antimicrobial mechanisms of AMPs are mediated by membrane translocation and disruption [99]. AMPs also have immunomodulatory properties through cell signaling, activation of immune cells, regulation of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory responses, and recruitment of immune cells [98]. In addition, AMPs such as S100As have unique intracellular functions, such as cellular development, regulation of cytoskeletal organization, transcription, tissue repair, and homeostasis [100].

Most AMPs are produced by epithelial and inflammatory cells in various tissues, including in the lungs, skin, guts, and female reproductive tract, and AMP deficiency causes vulnerability to infection and inflammation [101]. During pregnancy, AMPs such as defensins, cathelicidins, S100As, PI3, and SLPI are expressed in the female reproductive tract in many species, including humans, mice, cows, and pigs [102-108]. In humans, inappropriate AMP expression and regulation are associated with various adverse pregnancy outcomes, including eclampsia, retarded fetal growth, recurrent miscarriage, and premature rupture of membranes [101]. These indicate that AMPs expressed in the female reproductive tract during pregnancy in various species appear to play important roles in maintaining innate immunity to protect the maternal–conceptus interface from microbial infection and successfully establish and maintain pregnancy in mammals.

Complement system

The complement system is a major part of the innate immune system, serving as a surveillance system against pathogens and altered host cells [109]. The complement system consists

$\overline{AB'}$

of soluble components produced by the liver, which circulate in plasma, and by local extrahepatic tissues [109]. The complement system is activated by three distinct pathways: the classical, lectin, and alternative pathways. These pathways initiate the complement cascade, which is amplified through the sequential cleavage of complement components by enzymatic reactions [109]. The activation of these three pathways results in the cleavage of inactive C3 protein, the central component of the complement system, into its functional C3a and C3b fragments [109]. As a consequence of the complement cascade, those fragments of complement components induce various functions, including direct lysis of pathogens, enhanced opsonization, recruitment of immune cells, activation of endothelial cells, and the regulation of adaptive immunity [110]. To prevent overstimulation, which could be detrimental to host cells at sites of infection, complement activation is tightly regulated by complement control proteins such as membrane cofactor protein (CD46), decay accelerating factor (CD55), membrane attack complex inhibitory protein (protectin or CD59), and complement receptor 1–related gene/protein y (Crry) [111]. The dysregulation of complement activation is associated with increased susceptibility to infections and non-infectious diseases such as autoimmunity, chronic inflammation, thrombotic microangiopathy, graft rejection, and cancer [111].

The complement system is expressed at the maternal–fetal interface, and its inappropriate activation causes adverse pregnancy outcomes such as implantation failure, miscarriage, preeclampsia, and preterm birth in humans and rodents [112]. In humans, complement components, including C1q, C3, and C4, are expressed in endometrial cells and trophoblast cells [113,114], and complement control proteins such as *CD46*, *CD55*, and *CD59* are expressed in the endometrium [115]. In mice, *C3* is expressed in endometrial epithelial cells [116]. Crry, a murine homologue to human CD46 and CD55, has important roles in conceptus survival, blood pressure regulation, and fetal growth during pregnancy in mice [117]. In humans and rats, inactivated C3b (iC3b) promotes embryonic growth and placental development [112]. In mice, the interaction between iC3b and complement receptor 3 affects the production of IL10 and TGF-β1, antiinflammatory cytokines, in the decidua and placenta during the late stage of pregnancy [118]. In cows, the expression of complement components and complement control proteins increases in the endometrium during the pre-implantation period compared to non-pregnant cows and modulate the maternal immune system [119]. In pigs, complement components and complement control proteins are expressed at the maternal–conceptus interface during the estrous cycle and pregnancy, and conceptus-derived IFNG increases the expression of complement components and complement control protein during early pregnancy (Lee and Ka, unpub-

$\overline{AB'}$

lished data). Overall, the complement system might play important roles not only in protecting the maternal–fetal interface from microbial infection but also in the growth and development of conceptuses and the placenta during pregnancy.

CONCLUSION

During pregnancy, the maternal endometrium experiences a unique and paradoxical immunological situation. The maternal immune system must tolerate the semi-allogeneic fetus during the implantation period and simultaneously maintain its host defense against potential pathogens to ensure the successful establishment and maintenance of pregnancy [3]. Increased immune activation during pregnancy raises the risk of fetal rejection and pregnancy failure, but inadequate maternal immune activation can lead to vulnerability to infections at the maternal–fetal interface [4]. This review has summarized the types of cells and effector molecules found in the innate immune system and their roles at the maternal–fetal interface to establish and maintain pregnancy in some animal species (Figure 1). During pregnancy, neutrophils, NK cells, and macrophages are recruited to the endometrium. Those immune cells, other endometrial cells, and the conceptus produce cytokines, interferons, chemokines, AMPs, and complements. Those effector molecules influence

the maternal immune system to both develop tolerance to the semi-allogeneic conceptus and maintain the host defense against potential pathogens that could harm the mother and lead to pregnancy complications. In addition, effector molecules derived from the endometrium and the conceptus are involved not only in mediating the innate immune responses of the endometrium but also in regulating the proliferation, differentiation, and function of trophoblast and endometrial cells to enable successful implantation, placentation, and fetal development. Although many studies have examined the roles of immune cells and effector molecules from the innate immune system during pregnancy, further studies are still needed to elucidate the detailed mechanisms of these cells and effector molecules at the maternal–conceptus interface. Understanding the function of the innate immune system will enable future research to develop strategies for preventing adverse pregnancy outcomes and maintaining fertility in many mammalian species.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, S.L. and H.K.; Investigation, S.L., I.Y., and H.K.; Writing-original draft preparation, S.L. and H.K.; Writing-review and editing, I.Y., Y.C., E.C., and S.K.; Supervision, H.K.;

Figure 1. Overview of the roles played by immune cells and effector molecules from the innate immune system at the maternal–conceptus interface during pregnancy in animal species.

Funding acquisition, H.K.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We certify that there is no conflict of interest with any organization regarding the materials discussed in the manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by a National Research Foundation grant funded by the Korean government (2019R1A2C100 4670), Republic of Korea.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all the members of the Animal Biotechnology Laboratory, Yonsei University, for their support and assistance throughout this project.

REFERENCES

- 1. Riera Romo M, Pérez-Martínez D, Castillo Ferrer C. Innate immunity in vertebrates: an overview. Immunology 2016; 148:125-39. https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.12597
- 2. Delves PJ, Roitt IM. The immune system. N Engl J Med 2000; 343:37-49.<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200007063430107>
- 3. Trowsdale J, Betz AG. Mother's little helpers: mechanisms of maternal-fetal tolerance. Nat Immunol 2006;7:241-6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1317>
- 4. Li X, Zhou J, Fang M, Yu B. Pregnancy immune tolerance at the maternal-fetal interface. Int Rev Immunol 2020;39:247- 63.<https://doi.org/10.1080/08830185.2020.1777292>
- 5. Gimeno-Molina B, Muller I, Kropf P, Sykes L. The role of neutrophils in pregnancy, term and preterm labour. Life 2022;12:1512.<https://doi.org/10.3390/life12101512>
- 6. Liew PX, Kubes P. The neutrophil's role during health and disease. Physiol Rev 2019;99:1223-48. [https://doi.org/10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00012.2018) [physrev.00012.2018](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00012.2018)
- 7.Kumar V, Sharma A. Neutrophils: cinderella of innate immune system. Int Immunopharmacol 2010;10:1325-34. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2010.08.012) [org/10.1016/j.intimp.2010.08.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2010.08.012)
- 8. Gasteiger G, D'Osualdo A, Schubert DA, Weber A, Bruscia EM, Hartl D. Cellular innate immunity: an old game with new players. J Innate Immun 2017;9:111-25. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1159/000453397) [10.1159/000453397](https://doi.org/10.1159/000453397)
- 9. Blazkova J, Gupta S, Liu Y, et al. Multicenter systems analysis of human blood reveals immature neutrophils in males and during pregnancy. J Immunol 2017;198:2479-88. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601855) [org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601855](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601855)
- 10.Abu-Raya B, Michalski C, Sadarangani M, Lavoie PM. Maternal immunological adaptation during normal pregnancy. Front Immunol 2020;11:575197. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.575197)

[2020.575197](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.575197)

11.Fiorenza MF, Amaral CDS, da Anunciação ARA, et al. Possible impact of neutrophils on immune responses during early pregnancy in ruminants. Anim Reprod 2021;18:e20210048. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1984-3143-AR2021-0048>

 $\overline{AB'}$

- 12.Mohammed S, Alhussien MN, Dang AK. Pregnancy stagedependent modulation of neutrophil function may impact embryo survivability and pregnancy outcome in crossbred cows. Theriogenology 2022;191:200-6. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2022.08.020) [1016/j.theriogenology.2022.08.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2022.08.020)
- 13.Kaeoket K, Persson E, Dalin AM. Influence of pre-ovulatory insemination and early pregnancy on the infiltration by cells of the immune system in the sow endometrium. Anim Reprod Sci 2003;75:55-71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-4320(02)00230-0) [4320\(02\)00230-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-4320(02)00230-0)
- 14.Vivier E, Tomasello E, Baratin M, Walzer T, Ugolini S. Functions of natural killer cells. Nat Immunol 2008;9:503-10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1582>
- 15.Chester C, Fritsch K, Kohrt HE. Natural killer cell immunomodulation: targeting activating, inhibitory, and costimulatory receptor signaling for cancer immunotherapy. Front Immunol 2015;6:601. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00601) [2015.00601](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00601)
- 16.Paul S, Lal G. The molecular mechanism of natural killer cells function and its importance in cancer immunotherapy. Front Immunol 2017;8:1124. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01124) [2017.01124](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01124)
- 17.Zhang X, Wei H. Role of decidual natural killer cells in human pregnancy and related pregnancy complications. Front Immunol 2021;12:728291. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.728291) [2021.728291](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.728291)
- 18.Vasudevan S, Kamat MM, Walusimbi SS, Pate JL, Ott TL. Effects of early pregnancy on uterine lymphocytes and endometrial expression of immune-regulatory molecules in dairy heifers. Biol Reprod 2017;97:104-18. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/iox061) [1093/biolre/iox061](https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/iox061)
- 19.Jia GX, Ma WJ, Wu ZB, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic characterization of sheep conceptus elongation and implantation. Cell Rep 2023;42:112860. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112860) [2023.112860](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112860)
- 20.Tekin S, Hansen PJ. Natural killer-like cells in the sheep: functional characterization and regulation by pregnancyassociated proteins. Exp Biol Med 2002;227:803-11. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1177/153537020222700913) doi.org/10.1177/153537020222700913
- 21.Engelhardt H, Croy BA, King GJ. Evaluation of natural killer cell recruitment to embryonic attachment sites during early porcine pregnancy. Biol Reprod 2002;66:1185-92. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod66.4.1185) doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod66.4.1185
- 22.Yu Z, Croy BA, Chapeau C, King GJ. Elevated endometrial natural killer cell activity during early porcine pregnancy is conceptus-mediated. J Reprod Immunol 1993;24:153-64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0378\(93\)90017-c](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0378(93)90017-c)

$\overline{AB'}$

- 23.Han J, Gu MJ, Yoo I, et al. Analysis of cysteine-X-cysteine motif chemokine ligands 9, 10, and 11, their receptor CXCR3, and their possible role on the recruitment of immune cells at the maternal-conceptus interface in pigs. Biol Reprod 2017; 97:69-80.<https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/iox074>
- 24.Faas MM, Spaans F, De Vos P. Monocytes and macrophages in pregnancy and pre-eclampsia. Front Immunol 2014;5: 298. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00298>
- 25.Yunna C, Mengru H, Lei W, Weidong C. Macrophage M1/ M2 polarization. Eur J Pharmacol 2020;877:173090. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173090) doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173090
- 26.Jablonski KA, Amici SA, Webb LM, et al. Novel markers to delineate murine m1 and m2 macrophages. PLoS ONE 2015; 10:e0145342.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145342>
- 27.Chávez-Galán L, Olleros ML, Vesin D, Garcia I. Much more than m1 and m2 macrophages, there are also CD169(+) and TCR(+) macrophages. Front Immunol 2015;6:263. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00263) doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00263
- 28.Jiang X, Wang H. Macrophage subsets at the maternal-fetal interface. Cell Mol Immunol 2020;17:889-91. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0435-6) [org/10.1038/s41423-020-0435-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0435-6)
- 29.Williams PJ, Searle RF, Robson SC, Innes BA, Bulmer JN. Decidual leucocyte populations in early to late gestation normal human pregnancy. J Reprod Immunol 2009;82:24- 31.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2009.08.001>
- 30.Jiang X, Wang H. Macrophage subsets at the maternal-fetal interface. Cell Mol Immunol 2020;17:889-91. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0435-6) [org/10.1038/s41423-020-0435-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0435-6)
- 31.Mansouri-Attia N, Oliveira LJ, Forde N, et al. Pivotal role for monocytes/macrophages and dendritic cells in maternal immune response to the developing embryo in cattle. Biol Reprod 2012;87:123. [https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.101121) [101121](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.101121)
- 32.Oliveira LJ, McClellan S, Hansen PJ. Differentiation of the endometrial macrophage during pregnancy in the cow. PLoS ONE 2010;5:e13213. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013213) [pone.0013213](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013213)
- 33.Jiwakanon J, Persson E, Dalin AM. The endometrium of the anoestrous female pig: studies on infiltration by cells of the immune system. Reprod Domest Anim 2006;41:191-5. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2006.00681.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2006.00681.x
- 34.Han J, Yoo I, Lee S, Cheon Y, Yun CH, Ka H. Interleukin-10 and its receptors at the maternal-conceptus interface: expression, regulation, and implication for T helper 2 cytokine predominance and maternal immune tolerance in the pig, a true epitheliochorial placentation speciesdagger. Biol Reprod 2022;106:1159-74.<https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioac058>
- 35.Dimitriadis E, White CA, Jones RL, Salamonsen LA. Cytokines, chemokines and growth factors in endometrium related to implantation. Hum Reprod Update 2005;11:613-30. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmi023) doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmi023
- 36.Dinarello CA. Immunological and inflammatory functions

of the interleukin-1 family. Annu Rev Immunol 2009;27:519- 50.<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.021908.132612>

- 37.Auron PE, Webb AC, Rosenwasser LJ, et al. Nucleotide sequence of human monocyte interleukin 1 precursor cDNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1984;81:7907-11. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.81.24.7907) [pnas.81.24.7907](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.81.24.7907)
- 38.Boraschi D, Tagliabue A. The interleukin-1 receptor family. Semin Immunol 2013;25:394-407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2013.10.023) [j.smim.2013.10.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2013.10.023)
- 39.Simón C, Piquette GN, Frances A, Polan ML. Localization of interleukin-1 type I receptor and interleukin-1 beta in human endometrium throughout the menstrual cycle. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1993;77:549-55. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.77.2.8345061) [1210/jcem.77.2.8345061](https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.77.2.8345061)
- 40.De M, Sanford TR, Wood GW. Expression of interleukin 1, interleukin 6 and tumour necrosis factor alpha in mouse uterus during the peri-implantation period of pregnancy. J Reprod Fertil 1993;97:83-9. [https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.097](https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0970083) [0083](https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0970083)
- 41.Correia-Álvarez E, Gómez E, Martín D, et al. Expression and localization of interleukin 1 beta and interleukin 1 receptor (type I) in the bovine endometrium and embryo. J Reprod Immunol 2015;110:1-13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2015.03.006) [03.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2015.03.006)
- 42.Geisert RD, Lucy MC, Whyte JJ, Ross JW, Mathew DJ. Cytokines from the pig conceptus: roles in conceptus development in pigs. J Anim Sci Biotechnol 2014;5:51. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-1891-5-51) [1186/2049-1891-5-51](https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-1891-5-51)
- 43.Tanaka T, Narazaki M, Kishimoto T. IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2014; 6:a016295. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a016295>
- 44.Hirano T, Akira S, Taga T, Kishimoto T. Biological and clinical aspects of interleukin 6. Immunol Today 1990;11:443-9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5699\(90\)90173-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5699(90)90173-7)
- 45.Prins JR, Gomez-Lopez N, Robertson SA. Interleukin-6 in pregnancy and gestational disorders. J Reprod Immunol 2012;95:1-14.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2012.05.004>
- 46.Tabibzadeh S, Kong QF, Babaknia A, May LT. Progressive rise in the expression of interleukin-6 in human endometrium during menstrual cycle is initiated during the implantation window. Hum Reprod 1995;10:2793-9. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a135793) [1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a135793](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a135793)
- 47.De M, Sanford TH, Wood GW. Detection of interleukin-1, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha in the uterus during the second half of pregnancy in the mouse. Endocrinology 1992;131:14-20. [https://doi.org/10.1210/endo.](https://doi.org/10.1210/endo.131.1.1611993) [131.1.1611993](https://doi.org/10.1210/endo.131.1.1611993)
- 48.Zhu Z, Li B, Wu Y, Wang X, Deng G. Interferon-tau increases BoLA-I for implantation during early pregnancy in dairy cows. Oncotarget 2017;8:95095-107. [https://doi.org/10.18632/](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19282) [oncotarget.19282](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19282)
- 49.Rahman AN, Snibson KJ, Lee CS, Meeusen EN. Effects of

implantation and early pregnancy on the expression of cytokines and vascular surface molecules in the sheep endometrium. J Reprod Immunol 2004;64:45-58. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2004.08.008) [org/10.1016/j.jri.2004.08.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2004.08.008)

- 50.Yoo I, Han J, Kim M, et al. Expression and regulation of interleukin 6 and its receptor at the maternal-conceptus interface during pregnancy in pigs. Theriogenology 2017; 96:85-91.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2017.04.007>
- 51.Moore KW, de Waal Malefyt R, Coffman RL, O'Garra A. Interleukin-10 and the interleukin-10 receptor. Annu Rev Immunol 2001;19:683-765. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.19.1.683) [immunol.19.1.683](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.19.1.683)
- 52.Hanna N, Hanna I, Hleb M, et al. Gestational age-dependent expression of IL-10 and its receptor in human placental tissues and isolated cytotrophoblasts. J Immunol 2000;164:5721-8. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.164.11.5721>
- 53.Lin H, Mosmann TR, Guilbert L, Tuntipopipat S, Wegmann TG. Synthesis of T helper 2-type cytokines at the maternalfetal interface. J Immunol 1993;151:4562-73.
- 54.Thaxton JE, Sharma S. Interleukin-10: a multi-faceted agent of pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol 2010;63:482-91. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0897.2010.00810.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0897.2010.00810.x
- 55.Viganò P, Somigliana E, Mangioni S, Vignali M, Vignali M, Blasio AMD. Expression of interleukin-10 and its receptor is up-regulated in early pregnant versus cycling human endometrium. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2002;87:5730-6. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2002-020435) doi.org/10.1210/jc.2002-020435
- 56.Budagian V, Bulanova E, Paus R, Bulfone-Paus S. IL-15/IL-15 receptor biology: a guided tour through an expanding universe. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2006;17:259-80. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2006.05.001) [10.1016/j.cytogfr.2006.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2006.05.001)
- 57.Becknell B, Caligiuri MA. Interleukin-2, interleukin-15, and their roles in human natural killer cells. Adv Immunol 2005; 86:209-39. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2776\(04\)86006-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2776(04)86006-1)
- 58.Bulfone-Paus S, Durkop H, Paus R, Krause H, Pohl T, Onu A. Differential regulation of human T lymphoblast functions by IL-2 and IL-15. Cytokine 1997;9:507-13. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1006/cyto.1996.0194) [10.1006/cyto.1996.0194](https://doi.org/10.1006/cyto.1996.0194)
- 59.Kitaya K, Yasuda J, Yagi I, Tada Y, Fushiki S, Honjo H. IL-15 expression at human endometrium and decidua. Biol Reprod 2000;63:683-7.<https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod63.3.683>
- 60.Ashkar AA, Black GP, Wei Q, et al. Assessment of requirements for IL-15 and IFN regulatory factors in uterine NK cell differentiation and function during pregnancy. J Immunol 2003;171:2937-44. [https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.6.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.6.2937) [2937](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.6.2937)
- 61.Yu JJ, Sun HT, Zhang ZF, et al. IL15 promotes growth and invasion of endometrial stromal cells and inhibits killing activity of NK cells in endometriosis. Reproduction 2016; 152:151-60.<https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-16-0089>
- 62.Mansouri-Attia N, Oliveira LJ, Forde N, et al. Pivotal role for monocytes/macrophages and dendritic cells in maternal

immune response to the developing embryo in cattle. Biol Reprod 2012;87:123. [https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.101121) [101121](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.101121)

 $\overline{AB'}$

- 63.Gordon SM. Interleukin-15 in outcomes of pregnancy. Int J Mol Sci 2021;22:11094. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms2220](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222011094) [11094](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222011094)
- 64.Pestka S, Krause CD, Walter MR. Interferons, interferon-like cytokines, and their receptors. Immunol Rev 2004;202:8-32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0105-2896.2004.00204.x>
- 65.Yang CH, Murti A, Pfeffer SR, Kim JG, Donner DB, Pfeffer LM. Interferon alpha /beta promotes cell survival by activating nuclear factor kappa B through phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and Akt. J Biol Chem 2001;276:13756-61. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M011006200) [1074/jbc.M011006200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M011006200)
- 66.Kalliolias GD, Ivashkiv LB. Overview of the biology of type I interferons. Arthritis Res Ther 2010;12 (Suppl 1):S1. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar2881) doi.org/10.1186/ar2881
- 67.Spencer TE, Ott TL, Bazer FW. tau-Interferon: pregnancy recognition signal in ruminants. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1996;213:215-29. [https://doi.org/10.3181/00379727-213-](https://doi.org/10.3181/00379727-213-44053) [44053](https://doi.org/10.3181/00379727-213-44053)
- 68.Boehm U, Klamp T, Groot M, Howard JC. Cellular responses to interferon-gamma. Annu Rev Immunol 1997;15:749-95. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.15.1.749>
- 69.Su X, Yu Y, Zhong Y, et al. Interferon-gamma regulates cellular metabolism and mRNA translation to potentiate macrophage activation. Nat Immunol 2015;16:838-49. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3205) [1038/ni.3205](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3205)
- 70.Manivasagam S, Klein RS. Type III interferons: emerging roles in autoimmunity. Front Immunol 2021;12:764062. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.764062>
- 71.Roberts RM, Chen Y, Ezashi T, Walker AM. Interferons and the maternal-conceptus dialog in mammals. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2008;19:170-7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2007.10.007) [2007.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2007.10.007)
- 72.Platt JS, Hunt JS. Interferon-gamma gene expression in cycling and pregnant mouse uterus: temporal aspects and cellular localization. J Leukoc Biol 1998;64:393-400. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/jlb.64.3.393) [10.1002/jlb.64.3.393](https://doi.org/10.1002/jlb.64.3.393)
- 73.Yoo I, Kim D, Han J, et al. Transcriptomic analysis of interferongamma-regulated genes in endometrial explants and their possible role in regulating maternal endometrial immunity during the implantation period in pigs, a true epitheliochorial placentation species. Theriogenology 2020;155:114-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.05.045>
- 74.Paulesu L, Romagnoli R, Cintorino M, Ricci MG, Garotta G. First trimester human trophoblast expresses both interferongamma and interferon-gamma-receptor. J Reprod Immunol 1994;27:37-48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0378\(94\)90013-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0378(94)90013-2)
- 75.Bourke NM, Achilles SL, Huang SU, et al. Spatiotemporal regulation of human IFN-epsilon and innate immunity in the female reproductive tract. JCI Insight 2022;7:e135407.

<https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.135407>

- 76.Li Q, Zhang M, Kumar S, et al. Identification and implantation stage-specific expression of an interferon-alpha-regulated gene in human and rat endometrium. Endocrinology 2001; 142:2390-400.<https://doi.org/10.1210/endo.142.6.8101>
- 77.Park Y, Han SJ. Interferon signaling in the endometrium and in endometriosis. Biomolecules 2022;12:1554. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom12111554) [10.3390/biom12111554](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom12111554)
- 78.Hansen TR, Sinedino LDP, Spencer TE. Paracrine and endocrine actions of interferon tau (IFNT). Reproduction 2017; 154:F45-F59. <https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-17-0315>
- 79. Bazer FW, Johnson GA. Pig blastocyst-uterine interactions. Differentiation 2014;87:52-65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diff.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diff.2013.11.005) [2013.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diff.2013.11.005)
- 80. Yoo I, Lee S, Cheon Y, Ka H. Matrix metalloproteinases: expression and regulation in the endometrium during the estrous cycle and at the maternal-conceptus interface during pregnancy in pigs. Anim Biosci 2023;36:1167-79. [https://](https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.22.0478) doi.org/10.5713/ab.22.0478
- 81.Hughes CE, Nibbs RJB. A guide to chemokines and their receptors. FEBS J 2018;285:2944-71. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14466) [febs.14466](https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14466)
- 82.Du MR, Wang SC, Li DJ. The integrative roles of chemokines at the maternal-fetal interface in early pregnancy. Cell Mol Immunol 2014;11:438-48. <https://doi.org/10.1038/cmi.2014.68>
- 83.Zhang S, Ding J, Zhang Y, Liu S, Yang J, Yin T. Regulation and function of chemokines at the maternal-fetal interface. Front Cell Dev Biol 2022;10:826053. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.826053) [fcell.2022.826053](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.826053)
- 84.Nagaoka K, Nojima H, Watanabe F, et al. Regulation of blastocyst migration, apposition, and initial adhesion by a chemokine, interferon gamma-inducible protein 10 kDa (IP-10), during early gestation. J Biol Chem 2003;278:29048- 56.<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M300470200>
- 85.Imakawa K, Imai M, Sakai A, et al. Regulation of conceptus adhesion by endometrial CXC chemokines during the implantation period in sheep. Mol Reprod Dev 2006;73:850-8. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.20496) doi.org/10.1002/mrd.20496
- 86.Sakumoto R, Hayashi KG, Fujii S, et al. Possible roles of cc- and cxc-chemokines in regulating bovine endometrial function during early pregnancy. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18:742. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18040742) doi.org/10.3390/ijms18040742
- 87.Choi Y, Seo H, Han J, Yoo I, Kim J, Ka H. Chemokine (c-c motif) ligand 28 and its receptor ccr10: expression and function at the maternal-conceptus interface in pigs. Biol Reprod 2016;95:84. [https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.116.](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.116.141903) [141903](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.116.141903)
- 88.Khalil RH, Al-Humadi N. Types of acute phase reactants and their importance in vaccination. Biomed Rep 2020;12: 143-52.<https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2020.1276>
- 89.Li Z, Hou Y, Zhao M, et al. Serum amyloid a, a potential biomarker both in serum and tissue, correlates with ovarian

cancer progression. J Ovarian Res 2020;13:67. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13048-020-00669-w) [org/10.1186/s13048-020-00669-w](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13048-020-00669-w)

- 90.Lin YK, Zhu P, Wang WS, Sun K. Serum amyloid A, a hostderived DAMP in pregnancy? Front Immunol 2022;13: 978929.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.978929>
- 91.Watts DH, Krohn MA, Wener MH, Eschenbach DA. C-reactive protein in normal pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 1991;77:176- 80.<https://doi.org/10.1097/00006250-199102000-00002>
- 92.Liu Q, Ryon J, Nilsen-Hamilton M. Uterocalin: a mouse acute phase protein expressed in the uterus around birth. Mol Reprod Dev 1997;46:507-14. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2795(199704)46:4<507::AID-MRD9>3.0.CO;2-S) [1098-2795\(199704\)46:4<507::AID-MRD9>3.0.CO;2-S](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2795(199704)46:4<507::AID-MRD9>3.0.CO;2-S)
- 93.Chapwanya A, Meade KG, Doherty ML, Callanan JJ, Mee JF, O'Farrelly C. Histopathological and molecular evaluation of Holstein-Friesian cows postpartum: toward an improved understanding of uterine innate immunity. Theriogenology 2009;71:1396-407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2009.01.006) [2009.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2009.01.006)
- 94.Gregula-Kania M, Kosior-Korzecka U, Patkowski K, Juszczuk-Kubiak E, Plewik M, Gruszecki TM. Acute-phase proteins, cortisol and haematological parameters in ewes during the periparturient period. Reprod Domest Anim 2020;55:393- 400.<https://doi.org/10.1111/rda.13628>
- 95.Lee S, Yoo I, Cheon Y, Ka H. Conceptus-derived cytokines interleukin-1beta and interferon-gamma induce the expression of acute phase protein serum amyloid A3 in endometrial epithelia at the time of conceptus implantation in pigs. Anim Biosci 2023;36:441-50.<https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.22.0334>
- 96.García-Vázquez FA, Moros-Nicolás C, López-Úbeda R, et al. Evidence of haptoglobin in the porcine female genital tract during oestrous cycle and its effect on in vitro embryo production. Sci Rep 2021;11:12041. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90810-6) [s41598-021-90810-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90810-6)
- 97.Sandri S, Urban Borbely A, Fernandes I, et al. Serum amyloid A in the placenta and its role in trophoblast invasion. PLoS ONE 2014;9:e90881. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090881) [0090881](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090881)
- 98.Huan Y, Kong Q, Mou H, Yi H. Antimicrobial peptides: classification, design, application and research progress in multiple fields. Front Microbiol 2020;11:582779. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.582779) [org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.582779](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.582779)
- 99.Mookherjee N, Anderson MA, Haagsman HP, Davidson DJ. Antimicrobial host defence peptides: functions and clinical potential. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2020;19:311-32. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-019-0058-8) [org/10.1038/s41573-019-0058-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-019-0058-8)
- 100. Donato R, Cannon BR, Sorci G, et al. Functions of S100 proteins. Curr Mol Med 2013;13:24-57.
- 101. Frew L, Stock SJ. Antimicrobial peptides and pregnancy. Reproduction 2011;141:725-35. [https://doi.org/10.1530/](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-10-0537) [REP-10-0537](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-10-0537)
- 102. Nair RR, Khanna A, Singh K. Role of inflammatory proteins S100A8 and S100A9 in pathophysiology of recurrent early

pregnancy loss. Placenta 2013;34:824-7. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2013.06.307) [1016/j.placenta.2013.06.307](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2013.06.307)

- 103. Swangchan-Uthai T, Chen Q, Kirton SE, et al. Influence of energy balance on the antimicrobial peptides S100A8 and S100A9 in the endometrium of the post-partum dairy cow. Reproduction 2013;145:527-39. [https://doi.org/10.1530/](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-12-0513) [REP-12-0513](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-12-0513)
- 104. Lee S, Jang H, Yoo I, Han J, Jung W, Ka H. Unique epithelial expression of S100A calcium binding protein A7A in the endometrium at conceptus implantation in pigs. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 2019;32:1355-62. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.18.0920) [5713/ajas.18.0920](https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.18.0920)
- 105. Jang H, Lee S, Yoo I, et al. Calcium-binding proteins S100A8, S100A9, and S100A12: expression and regulation at the maternal-conceptus interface in pigsdagger. Biol Reprod 2022;106:1098-111. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioac039>
- 106. Lee S, Yoo I, Cheon Y, Hong M, Jeon BY, Ka H. Antimicrobial peptides beta-defensin family: Expression and regulation in the endometrium during the estrous cycle and pregnancy in pigs. Dev Comp Immunol 2023;139:104596. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2022.104596) [org/10.1016/j.dci.2022.104596](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2022.104596)
- 107. Lee S, Yoo I, Cheon Y, Ka H. Spatiotemporal expression and regulation of peptidase inhibitor 3 and secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor at the maternal-fetal interface in pigs. Anim Biosci 2023;36:1034-43.<https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.22.0415>
- 108. Lee S, Yoo I, Han J, Ka H. Antimicrobial peptides cathelicidin, PMAP23, and PMAP37: Expression in the endometrium throughout the estrous cycle and at the maternal-conceptus interface during pregnancy and regulation by steroid hormones and calcitriol in pigs. Theriogenology 2021;160:1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.10.034>
- 109. Ricklin D, Hajishengallis G, Yang K, Lambris JD. Complement: a key system for immune surveillance and homeostasis. Nat Immunol 2010;11:785-97. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1923) [1923](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1923)
- 110. Merle NS, Noe R, Halbwachs-Mecarelli L, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Roumenina LT. Complement system part II: role in immunity. Front Immunol 2015;6:257. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00257) [fimmu.2015.00257](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00257)

111. Zipfel PF, Skerka C. Complement regulators and inhibitory proteins. Nat Rev Immunol 2009;9:729-40. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2620) [10.1038/nri2620](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2620)

JBZ

- 112. Girardi G, Lingo JJ, Fleming SD, Regal JF. Essential role of complement in pregnancy: from implantation to parturition and beyond. Front Immunol 2020;11:1681. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01681) [10.3389/fimmu.2020.01681](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01681)
- 113. Agostinis C, Bulla R, Tripodo C, et al. An alternative role of C1q in cell migration and tissue remodeling: contribution to trophoblast invasion and placental development. J Immunol 2010;185:4420-9.<https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0903215>
- 114. Bulla R, Bossi F, Agostinis C, et al. Complement production by trophoblast cells at the feto-maternal interface. J Reprod Immunol 2009;82:119-25. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2009.06.124) [06.124](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2009.06.124)
- 115. Palomino WA, Argandoña F, Azúa R, Kohen P, Devoto L. Complement C3 and decay-accelerating factor expression levels are modulated by human chorionic gonadotropin in endometrial compartments during the implantation window. Reprod Sci 2013;20:1103-10. [https://doi.org/10.1177/19337](https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719113477486) [19113477486](https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719113477486)
- 116. Li SH, Huang HL, Chen YH. Ovarian steroid-regulated synthesis and secretion of complement C3 and factor B in mouse endometrium during the natural estrous cycle and pregnancy period. Biol Reprod 2002;66:322-32. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod66.2.322) [org/10.1095/biolreprod66.2.322](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod66.2.322)
- 117. Banadakoppa M, Pennington K, Balakrishnan M, Yallampalli C. Complement inhibitor Crry expression in mouse placenta is essential for maintaining normal blood pressure and fetal growth. PLoS ONE 2020;15:e0236968. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236968) [1371/journal.pone.0236968](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236968)
- 118. Nakamura K, Kusama K, Bai R, et al. Increase in complement iC3b is associated with anti-inflammatory cytokine expression during late pregnancy in mice. PLoS ONE 2017; 12:e0178442.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178442>
- 119. Walker CG, Meier S, Littlejohn MD, Lehnert K, Roche JR, Mitchell MD. Modulation of the maternal immune system by the pre-implantation embryo. BMC Genomics 2010;11: 474.<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-474>