Printed in the Republic of Korea ANALYTICAL SCIENCE
& TECHNOLOGY
Vol. 37 No. 5, 271-279, 2024

https://doi.org/10.5806/AST.2024.37.5.271

Method for simultaneous analysis of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil via liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

Min-Chul Shin, Hee-Jin Jeong, Seoung-Min Lee, Jong-Su Seo, and Jong-Hwan Kim*

Environmental Safety-Assessment Center, Korea Institute of Toxicology, Jinju 52834, Korea (Received July 25, 2024; Revised September 9, 2024; Accepted October 7, 2024)

Abstract: Bisphenols and phthalates are endocrine-disrupting chemicals that are commonly used in packaging and as plasticizers. However, they pose health risks through ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact. Accurate analysis of these pollutants is challenging owing to their low concentration and their presence in complex oil matrices. Therefore, they require efficient extraction and detection methods. In this study, an analytical method for the simultaneous quantification of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil is developed. The dynamic multiple reaction monitoring mode of liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry is used according to the different polarities of bisphenols and phthalates. The method is validated by assessing system suitability, linearity, accuracy, precision, homogeneity, and stability. The determination coefficients are higher than 0.99, which is acceptable. The percentage recovery and coefficient of variation of the accuracy and precision confirm that this analytical method is capable of simultaneously quantifying bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil. The bisphenols and phthalates in the formulations and pretreatment samples are stable for 7 d at room temperature and 24 h in an auto-sampler. Therefore, this validated analytical method is effective for the simultaneous quantification of bisphenols and phthalates in oils.

Key words: bisphenols, phthalates, oil, tandem mass spectrometry, method validation

1. Introduction

Bisphenols and phthalates are organic pollutants that occur in the environment and consumer products.¹ Bisphenols are used in packaging, adhesives, and internal coatings of cans, and phthalates are plasticizers used in the handling and storage of food, such as in appliances and containers for cooking food.^{2,3} These compounds are endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), commonly referred to as environmental hormones.³ Humans are exposed to EDCs during eating, breathing, or skin contact.⁴ In addition, pollutants exposed to the environment can be absorbed by animals through food or air and are potentially transferred to humans through the food chain.² Some of them are known to have effects such as reproductive problems and endocrine disruptions, and toxicity may accumulate and affect future generations.^{1,2,5} Because EDCs are mainly soluble in fat, they are not easily decomposed, unlike common natural hormones, and are absorbed

 \star Corresponding author

Phone : +82-(0)55-750-3752 Fax : +82-(0)55-750-3879 E-mail : jjong@kitox.re.kr

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and accumulated in fat and tissues of the body.^{1,6} Analysis in oil is difficult and necessitates analytical techniques with low detection limits owing to the low concentration of analytes. The lipophilic properties of a material and properties of the matrix considerably affect extraction efficiency, recovery, and precision.¹ The fat in samples with high oil content must be removed prior to injection into the detection instrument in order to increase the efficiency of the chromatography column. Liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) and solid phase extraction are performed to determine analytes by removing fat.7 According to previous studies, gas chromatography (GC),8 GC-mass spectrometry (GC-MS),^{1-4,6-11} and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)^{3,5,12} were performed to measure the concentration of bisphenols and phthalates. A derivatization step was included in the method for analyzing bisphenol using a mass spectrometer to increase the sensitivity of detection and enhances the physicochemical properties of bisphenol. N,O-bis (trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide with 1 % trimethylchlorosilane was used as the derivative reagent, and the compound was quantified by GC-MS.2,10

Through GC-MS with this derivatization method, phthalates showed the same (m/z 149) and several non-specific ion values, thereby enabling quantification. However, because bisphenol S (BPS) was not detected, a pretreatment method that included derivatization and simultaneous analysis using GC-MS was not suitable. In particular, phthalate analysis is limited by the use of organic solvents and presence of plastic products and gases, which might exist in the laboratory even when using products without phthalates and glassware during pretreatment. In addition, the processes of extracting, purifying, and concentrating samples are time-consuming and increase the risk of contamination.¹ Therefore, a simple pretreatment method must be performed prior to injecting the sample into the instrument to minimize contamination. To this end, here, LLE using methanol was selected as the pretreatment method for the simultaneous measurement of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil. Furthermore, simultaneous analyses were performed through LC-MS/MS. In this study, three bisphenols (BPA, BPS, BPF) and six phthalates (BBP, DBP, DEHP, DCHP, DIBP, DnPP), as shown in Fig. 1, were selected and spiked into corn oil to evaluate the applicability of the developed analytical method. This selection was driven by the increasing concern over the toxicity of BPA, leading to heightened interest in alternative substances such as BPS and BPF, as well as the inclusion of these compounds in domestic and international regulatory frameworks due to their widespread detection.13,14



Fig. 1. Chemical structures of the studied bisphenols and phthalates.

Analytical Science & Technology

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

The standards for bisphenol A (BPA, 100%), bisphenol F (BPF, 99.3 %), BPS (99.4 %), benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP, 98.8%), dibutyl phthalate (DBP, 99.6 %), bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP, 99.7 %), dicyclohexyl phthalate (DCHP, 99.0%), diisobutyl phthalate (DIBP, 99.7%), and dipentyl phthalate (DnPP, 99.5 %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA). BPA (BPA-13C12, 100 µg/mL in acetonitrile) and bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate-3,4,5,6d4 solutions (DEHP-d4, 100 µg/mL in methanol) were purchased from Chemservice (West Chester, PA, USA) and Accustandard (New Haven, CT, USA), respectively, and used as internal standards (ISs). Methanol and water were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Ethyl alcohol and hexane were purchased from Burdick and Jackson (Brooklyn, NY, USA), respectively. Corn oil was purchased from Daejung (Gyeonggi-do, Korea) and used as an excipient to verify the analytical method.

2.2. Preparation of standard solutions

The stock solutions of the three bisphenols and six phthalates were prepared by dissolving the pure standards in methanol and refrigerating them. After preparing a solution with a concentration of 1 - 1000 ng/mL using the standard stock solution and methanol, corn oil was added at a dilution ratio of 10 to prepare a standard solution for the calibration curve. The concentration range of the calibration curve was 5 - 100 ng/mL for BPA and BPF; 0.1 - 100 ng/mL for BPS; 0.1 - 100 ng/mL for DBP, DCHP, and DnPP; 0.5 - 100 ng/mL for BBP; 1 - 100 ng/mL for DIBP; and 10 - 100 ng/mL for DEHP.

BPA-¹³C12 and DEHP-d4 were used as the ISs of the bisphenols and phthalates, respectively, and prepared at concentrations of 1000 and 500 ng/mL using methanol. Then, they were added to the volume of the calibration curve concentration and diluted 10 times.

2.3. Pretreatment of samples

At the beginning of the study, the samples treated

with corn oil were diluted with hexane and acetone. After concentrating the diluted solution, corn oil remained. As the sample was continuously injected into the MS system and analyzed, the retention time of the analytes decreased, and the efficiency of the analysis column decreased.

Therefore, during the extraction process, it was necessary to use a solvent in which the analyte could be extracted without leaving corn oil. Therefore, ethyl acetate, dichloromethane, chloroform, ethyl ether, acetonitrile, methanol, and ethanol were considered as potential solvents. Because a large amount of corn oil remained in most solvents except methanol, LLE using methanol was selected as the extraction method for the simultaneous measurement of bisphenols and phthalates. To minimize phthalate contamination, 0.1 and 1 mL of corn oil and methanol, respectively, were added to a 2 mL glass vial to perform LLE for 10 min, after which ultrasonic extraction was performed for 15 min. The solution was centrifuged at 8,000 g for 5 min to dilute and mix 0.1 mL of the supernatant, 0.1 mL of the IS, and 0.8 mL of methanol. All glassware was cleaned with hexane and acetone prior to use, followed by drying at approximately 180 °C for a minimum of 4 hours.^{1,4,5,11,12} The solvent used in this study was verified to have no interference that could affect the quantification of the analytes. Additionally, the blank control, which did not contain the three bisphenols or six phthalates, showed no interference during the sample pretreatment process.

2.4. HPLC-MS/MS

In this study, 1260 Infinity HPLC and 6460 Triple Quad MS systems (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) were used to analyze bisphenols and phthalates. Upon using the mobile phase to which the buffer solution was added, bisphenols were not detected. Thus, pure water and methanol were used as the mobile phase for separating bisphenols and phthalates, respectively. A Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (2.7 μ m, 2.1 mm × 100 mm, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, USA) was used. The analysis was performed in the electrospray ionization and dynamic multiple reaction monitoring modes. The analysis

		HPLC	C condition						
Column	Poroshell 120 EC-C18 2.7 µm 2.1 mm × 100 mm (Agilent Technologies, USA)								
Column oven (°C)	30								
		A: water, B: methanol							
	Time (min)		%B Time (min)		l)	%B			
	0		70	20		95			
Mobile phase	9		70	22		100			
	11		85	25		100			
	15		85	30		70			
	17		95	35		70			
Flow rate (mL/min)	0.25								
Injection volume (µL)	5								
MS condition									
Ion source			AJS electrosp	oray ionization					
Gas temperature (°C)	300								
Gas flow (L/min)	5								
Nebulizer (psi)	45								
Dynamic multiple reaction	on monitoring cond	ition							
	Parameters								
Compound	Retention time (min)	Precursor ion	Product ion	Fragmentor (V)	CE (V)	Polarity			
Bisphenol S	1.2	249	108, 92.1	135	29, 31	Negative			
Bisphenol F	1.5	119.1	93.1, 77	115	25, 23	Negative			
Bisphenol A	1.9	227	227, 212	125	13, 15	Negative			

239.1

219.1, 149.1

205.1, 149

205.1, 149.1

219.1, 149.1

167.1, 149

167.1, 149

153

125

80

85

85

80

80, 90

90

90

13

2, 10

2, 10

2, 8

2, 10

10

20

20

Negative

Positive

Positive

Positive

Positive

Positive

Positive

Positive

Tabl	le 1	. HPLC	-MS/MS	operating	conditions	and	parameters
------	------	--------	--------	-----------	------------	-----	------------

conditions are listed in Table 1.

BPA-13C12 (IS1)

Dibutyl phthalate

Dipentyl phthalate

DEHP-d4 (IS2)

Diisobutyl phthalate

Benzyl butyl phthalate

Dicyclohexyl phthalate

Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate

2.5. Method validation

Method validation was performed to confirm the applicability of the method in compliance with the ICH Q2(R1) and European SANTE/11813/2017 guidelines.^{15,16} Quality control (QC) samples completely dissolved in corn oil were prepared for a recovery test to evaluate accuracy and precision. The standard solution was diluted with ethyl alcohol and hexane to ensure complete dissolution in corn oil, followed by

1.9

7.4

7.8

8.2

14.2

14.3

20.5

20.5

239.1

279.1

313.2

279.2

307.2

331.1

391.2

395.2

treatment with corn oil. The QC concentrations of 1, 2, and 10 μ g/mL were used to represent the low, medium, and high QCs (LQC, MQC, and HQC), respectively. Three replicates per concentration were analyzed through a pretreatment process to confirm within-run variations and two or more times on different dates using the same method to confirm between-run variations. Accuracy and precision were evaluated by measuring the recovery and coefficient of variation (CV), respectively. After preparing the formulations of the QC samples with concentrations of

1 and 10 µg/mL, they were stored at room temperature for 7 d, and their stability was confirmed. The initial concentration, which was the standard for the stability test, was confirmed from the results of the homogeneity test by analyzing the concentration in the upper, middle, and lower layers of the test solution. In addition, to confirm the stability after pretreatment, the sample was pretreated, stored in an auto-sampler of the instrument for 24 h, and analyzed. System suitability was evaluated on the basis of the precision of the response value obtained by repeatedly injecting the lowest concentration of the calibration curve six times. Linearity was evaluated using a calibration curve, and the accuracy and precision of the calibration curve were evaluated using the relative error (RE) and CV, respectively. The RE and CV were calculated using the following equations:

RE (%) = [(measured concentration – expected concentration) / expected concentration] \times 100

and

CV (%) = [standard deviation of measured concentration/average value of measured concentration] $\times 100$

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of LC-MS/MS conditions

Most previous studies analyzed bisphenols and phthalates through LC- and GC-MS, respectively, instead of analyzing them in one instrument at the same time.^{3,17} In several studies, only phthalates and BPA or BPF have been simultaneously analyzed through GC-MS.^{2,6} In this study, to simultaneously analyze three bisphenols and six phthalates, a GC-MS analysis method using derivatization was first selected. However, the desired result was not obtained and this was confirmed by verifying analysisusing LC-MS/MS. According to previous studies, 10, 2, and 2 mM ammonium acetate in acetonitrile (pH 4), water, and methanol, respectively, were used as the mobile phases to analyze phthalates.^{5,12} To analyze BPA, pure water and methanol were used as the mobile phases.¹⁷⁻²⁰ In this study, we attempted to separate and analyze bisphenols and phthalates using a mobile phase containing a buffer solution. However, BPF and BPS were not detected even when using various buffer solutions. Therefore, pure water and methanol were selected as the mobile phases, and it was confirmed that all bisphenols were detectable and suitable for the detection of phthalates.

3.2. System suitability

To evaluate the suitability of the system for this analysis method, standard solutions of BPA, BPF, BPS, DBP, DCHP, DnPP, BBP, DIBP, and DEHP at the lowest concentration level of the calibration curve were injected into the LC-MS/MS system six times. It was confirmed that the precision of the response value was 1.1 - 9.1 %, which was within the evaluation standard of 15 % (*Table 2*). The LC-MS/MS instrument used to verify the analytical method was maintained to analyze the lowest concentration of the calibration curve.

3.3. Linearity

To confirm linearity, calibration curves were constructed by preparing at least five concentrations of samples for BPA, BPF, BPS, DBP, DCHP, DnPP, BBP, DIBP, and DEHP. The weight for the calibration curves was 1/x (Wan *et al.*, 2013), and the determination coefficients (r^2) ranged from 0.99368-0.99734 (*Fig.* 2).

In addition, the accuracy and precision of the calibration curve of each compound were -13.0% –

Table 2. System suitability of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil

	Peak area								
	BPA	BPF	BPS	DBP	DCHP	DnPP	BBP	DIBP	DEHP
Coefficient of variation (%)	9.1	9.1	4.4	3.9	7.7	1.1	3.3	3.2	2.7

BPA, bisphenol A; BPF, bisphenol F; BPS, bisphenol S, DBP, dibutyl phthalate; DCHP, dicyclohexyl phthalate; DnPP, dipentyl phthalate; BBP, benzyl butyl phthalate; DIBP, diisobutyl phthalate; (DEBP), bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate.



Fig. 2. Calibration of bisphenols (A) A, (B) F, and (C) S (BPA, BPF, and BPS, respectively), (D) dibutyl phthalate (DBP),
(E) dicyclohexyl phthalate (DCHP), (F) dipentyl phthalate (DnPP), (G) benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP), (H) diisobutyl phthalate (DIBP), and (I) bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) in corn oil.

Compound	Criteria	Nominal concentration (ng/mL)								
Compound	Cinteria	0.1	0.5	1	5	10	30	50	70	100
DDA	CV (%)	-	-	-	2.2	5.7	4.7	3.7	5.2	5.4
BPA	RE (%)	-	-	-	1.4	-3.3	0.9	0.0	2.5	-1.5
DDE	CV (%)	-	-	-	2.9	8.0	4.5	4.5	5.9	5.2
BPF	RE (%)	-	-	-	0.0	0.2	-0.9	-0.6	1.5	-0.1
DDC	CV (%)	1.2	4.6	7.0	5.6	4.8	6.5	2.2	3.0	6.7
BPS	RE (%)	1.6	-7.1	-7.2	4.4	5.1	8.4	3.5	0.0	-7.4
DDD	CV (%)	1.6	11.2	5.8	8.9	0.8	3.3	1.0	6.6	7.2
DBP	RE (%)	1.0	-3.7	-13.0	-1.8	-5.1	3.0	4.6	4.5	0.7
рснр	CV (%)	1.7	9.8	3.2	3.0	4.6	3.5	2.8	3.9	3.8
DCHP	RE (%)	1.9	-7.2	-7.4	4.3	0.8	6.6	2.7	1.3	-4.6
DuDD	CV (%)	1.2	4.5	5.5	4.3	4.2	36	3.1	5.0	2.2
DIIFF	RE (%)	1.6	-8.1	-5.9	9.5	5.5	6.7	1.0	-2.6	-9.3
DDD	CV (%)	-	1.8	4.5	3.3	2.9	2.7	3.3	3.3	2.0
BBP	RE (%)	-	5.1	-9.2	-4.2	-4.6	2.2	4.7	2.4	4.2
DIBP	CV (%)	-	-	0.5	2.2	4.1	2.6	2.2	4.5	3.6
	RE (%)	-	-	1.2	-3.3	-7.6	1.6	2.5	3.2	2.3
DEHP	CV (%)	-	-	-	-	0.9	5.2	2.9	4.8	3.4
	RE (%)	-	-	-	-	-0.2	0.0	0.8	1.1	-1.8

Table 3. Linearity of calibration curves of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil.

BPA, bisphenol A; BPF, bisphenol F; BPS, bisphenol S, DBP, dibutyl phthalate; DCHP, dicyclohexyl phthalate; DnPP, dipentyl phthalate; BBP, benzyl butyl phthalate; DIBP, diisobutyl phthalate; (DEBP), bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate; CV, coefficient of variation; RE, relative error.

276

9.5% and 0.5% – 9.8%, respectively (*Table* 3). Each calibration curve showed a correlation coefficient of \geq 0.99 and result within 15% of the appropriate criteria for accuracy and precision. Therefore, this method was determined to be suitable for quantifying all compounds.

3.4. Accuracy and precision

Accuracy and precision were measured in three repetitions for concentrations of 1 (LQC), 2 (MQC), and 10 (HQC) μ g/mL over 3 d; within- and betweenrun variations were evaluated in terms of recovery and CV (*Table* 4). The appropriate criteria for accuracy and precision were a recovery within $100 \pm 20\%$ and CV within 20 %, respectively. The results of the BPA, BPF, BPS, DBP, DCHP, DnPP, BBP, DIBP, and DEHP analyses all met these criteria. Therefore,

Table 4. Accuracy and precision of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil

Compound	Criitoria	Nominal	concentratio	n (µg/mL)
Compound	Criteria	1	2	10
DDA	Recovery (%)	96.4	105.4	108.8
BPA	CV (%)	7.3	12.5	6.6
DDE	Recovery (%)	96.6	100.1	104.2
BPF	CV (%)	16.4	11.5	11.3
DDC	Recovery (%)	101.5	102.4	93.4
BPS	CV (%)	15.0	14.7	4.5
חחח	Recovery (%)	91.9	104.4	109.2
DBb	CV (%)	10.2	10.9	7.2
DCHP	Recovery (%)	100.8	102.5	99.6
	CV (%)	11.4	10.8	3.5
D., DD	Recovery (%)	103.9	99.4	92.6
Dill I	CV (%)	11.2	10.2	2.7
DDD	Recovery (%)	94.9	105.6	112.0
BBP	CV (%)	8.0	10.7	2.7
DIBP	Recovery (%)	91.5	98.9	107.7
	CV (%)	5.6	9.5	4.0
DEHP	Recovery (%)	99.6	103.6	102.4
	CV (%)	3.7	13.4	9.7

BPA, bisphenol A; BPF, bisphenol F; BPS, bisphenol S, DBP, dibutyl phthalate; DCHP, dicyclohexyl phthalate; DnPP, dipentyl phthalate; BBP, benzyl butyl phthalate; DIBP, diisobutyl phthalate; (DEBP), bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate; CV, coefficient of variation.

it was determined that this method was appropriate.

3.5. Homogeneity and stability

To evaluate the stability of the formulation, the homogeneity was evaluated on the day of preparation to measure the initial concentration, which was used as the basis for content change. The homogeneity was measured by sampling the upper, middle, and lower layers of the 1, 10 µg/mL formulations, respectively, once; the results are shown in *Table* 5. The homogeneity of the formulation containing bisphenols and phthalates was determined to be well prepared within $100\% \pm 20\%$, with a CV of 20\%, which was a suitable criterion with a content of 87.1 %–108.8 % and CV of 0.5% - 7.5%. In addition, the analysis was performed after 24 h of storage in the instrument after pretreatment to confirm the stability before analysis after the sample was prepared. To confirm

Table 5. Homogeneity of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil

Compound	Critorio	Nominal concentration (µg/mL)				
Compound	Ciliena	1	10			
DDA	Content (%)	98.3	101.5			
BPA	CV (%)	4.7	3.5			
DDE	Content (%)	90.8	93.8			
BPF	CV (%)	0.8	1.5			
DDC	Content (%)	92.5	91.8			
BPS	CV (%)	1.3	2.9			
DDD	Content (%)	88.0	100.2			
DBP	CV (%)	2.4	0.8			
DCHP	Content (%)	92.2	95.7			
	CV (%)	3.7	0.5			
D	Content (%)	95.2	91.0			
DnPP	CV (%)	5.7	1.0			
חחח	Content (%)	92.7	108.8			
BBP	CV (%)	3.1	0.7			
חחום	Content (%)	87.1	103.2			
DIBP	CV (%)	5.5	1.2			
DEID	Content (%)	103.9	92.5			
DEHP	CV (%)	7.5	1.3			

BPA, bisphenol A; BPF, bisphenol F; BPS, bisphenol S, DBP, dibutyl phthalate; DCHP, dicyclohexyl phthalate; DnPP, dipentyl phthalate; BBP, benzyl butyl phthalate; DIBP, diisobutyl phthalate; (DEBP), bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate; CV, coefficient of variation.

Vol. 37, No. 5, 2024

Stability (%)							
	Post pre for 2	paration 24 h	Room ter for	Room temperature for 7 d			
Compound	Nominal concentration (µg/mL)						
	1	10	1	10			
BPA	106.3	102.6	109.0	112.2			
BPF	106.9	108.2	102.0	117.4			
DBP	115.9	107.0	110.6	95.6			
DBP	101.0	96.6	117.4	113.2			
DCHP	114.3	106.0	117.3	107.5			
DnPP	108.9	101.4	117.4	103.7			
BBP	104.1	95.9	111.3	102.0			
DIBP	108.9	101.4	117.8	111.0			
DEHP	88.3	112.1	97.3	97.3			

Table 6. Stabilities of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil

BPA, bisphenol A; BPF, bisphenol F; BPS, bisphenol S, DBP, dibutyl phthalate; DCHP, dicyclohexyl phthalate; DnPP, dipentyl phthalate; BBP, benzyl butyl phthalate; DIBP, diisobutyl phthalate; (DEBP), bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate.

whether the formulation was stable at room temperature, its stability was evaluated by comparing the initial and analyzed concentrations after storage at room temperature for 7 d (*Table* 6). The stability after pretreatment and 7 d at room temperature was 88.3 % - 115.9 % and 95.6 % - 117.8 %, respectively, which were within 20 % of the initial concentration. These results indicate that suitable criteria for stability were met and that the formulation was stable for 24 h and 7 d at room temperature.

4. Conclusions

The concentrations of various bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil were measured using an analytical method that involved evaluating the system suitability, linearity, accuracy, homogeneity, and stability of the tested compounds via LC-MS/MS. In this method, LC-MS/MS was appropriately maintained to analyze the minimum concentration level of the calibration curve. The r² value of the calibration curve was at least \geq 0.99, and the accuracy and precision of the calibration of the QC samples evaluated in terms of recovery and CV were high, and the formulation was stable

before injection into the instrument after pretreatment and exposure to room temperature. The proposed method can be applied for the simultaneous quantification of BPA, BPF, BPS, DBP, DCHP, DnPP, BBP, DIBP, and DEHP in corn oil and can be used in related applications for measuring bisphenols and phthalates in oil.

Acknowledgements

Tis study was supported by the Korea Institute of Toxicology(KIT), Republic of Korea (1711133841, 1711195887).

References

- J. Pereira, M. C. Selbourne, and F. Poças, *Food Control.*, 98, 54-60 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018. 11.003
- O. Ballesteros, A. Zafra, A. Navalón, and J. L. Vílchez, J. Chromatogr. A, 1121(2), 154-62 (2006). https://doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2006.04.014
- H. T. Wan, P. Y. Leung, Y. G. Zhao, X. Wei, M. H. Wong, and Chris K. C. Wong, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 261, 763-769 (2013). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.01.034
- R. Babu-Rajendran, G. Preethi, R. K. Poopal, N. P. Nikhil, K. Vimalkumar, A. Subramanian, and S. Krishna-Kumar, *J. Chromatogr. B*, **1079**, 15-24 (2018). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jchromb.2018.01.039
- P. Viñas, N. Campillo, M. Pastor-Belda, A. Oller, and M. Hernández-Córdoba, *J. Chromatogr. A*, **1376**, 18-25 (2015). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2014.12.012
- E. Han, O. Yim, J. Chung, S. Baek, and Y. Kim, *Anal. Sci. Technol.*, **21**(5), 375-382 (2008).
- S. López-Feria, R. Lucena, S. Cárdenas, and M. Valcárcel, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 395(3), 737-746 (2009). https:// doi:10.1007/s00216-009-3066-4
- M-H. Yoon, M-N. Eom, Y-S. Do, H-R. Jung, I-H. Jeong, H-U. Ko, and J-S. Son, *Korean J. Sanitation.*, **20**, 39-46 (2005).
- P. Gimeno, S. Thomas, C. Bousquet, A-F. Maggio, C. Civade, C. Brenier, and P-A. Bonnet, *J. Chromatogr. B*, 949-950, 99-108 (2014). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.jchromb.2013.12.037

Method for simultaneous analysis of bisphenols and phthalates in corn oil via liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry 279

- H. Jung, Y. Hong, D. Lee, K. Pang, and Y. Kim, *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, **35**(2), 278-283 (2013). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2013.01.002
- H-Y. Shen, *Talanta*, **66**(3), 734-739 (2005). http:// doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2004.12.021
- A. Vavrouš, J. Pavloušková, V. Ševčík, K. Vrbík, and R. Čabala, J. Chromatogr: A, 1456, 196-204 (2016). http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.06.014
- M. Hyun, L. Rathor, H-J. Kim, T. McElroy, K. H. Hwang, S. Wohlgemuth, S. Curry, R. Xiao, C. Leeuwenburgh, J-D. Heo, and S. M. Han, *Toxicology*, 461, 152924 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2021.152924
- I. Lee, J. Ra, and K. Ji, J. Environ. Health Sci., 47(1):1-19 (2021). https://doi.org/10.5668/JEHS. 2021.47.1.1
- ICH Expert Working Group. Validation of Analaytical Procedures: Text and Methodology Q2(R1), Version 4. 2005.

- European Commission. 2017. European Commission guidance document on analytical quality control and method validation procedures for pesticide residues and analysis in food and feed. SANTE/11813/2017.
- B-C. Lee, H. Yoon, B. Lee, P. Kim, H-B. Moon, and Y. Kim, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 99, 68-73 (2021). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jiec.2021.03.051
- N. Caballero-Casero and S. Rubio, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1144, 14-25 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2020. 11.057
- N. Dreolin, M. Aznar, S. Moret, and C. Nerin, *Food Chem.*, **274**, 246-253 (2019). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.109
- L. K. Sosvorova, T. Chlupacova, J. Vitku, M. Vlk, J. Heracek, L. Starka, D. Saman, M. Simkova, and R. Hampl, *Talanta*, **174**, 21-28 (2017). http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.talanta.2017.05.070

Authors' Positions

Min-Chul Shin	: Technical Researcher
Hee-Jin Jeong	: Researcher
Seoung-Min Lee	: Technical Researcher
Jong-Su Seo	: Principal Researcher
Jong-Hwan Kim	: Principal Researcher

Vol. 37, No. 5, 2024