

Mesorhizobium koreense **sp. nov., Isolated from Soil**

Hyosun Lee1 , Dhiraj Kumar Chaudhary² , and Dong-Uk Kim1*

¹Department of Biological Science, College of Science and Engineering, Sangji University, Wonju 26339, Republic of Korea Department of Biological Science, College of Science and Engineering, Sangji University, Wonju 26339, Republic of Korea 2 Department of Microbiology, Pukyong National University, Busan 48513 Republic of Korea

An aerobic, Gram-stain-negative, catalase-positive, rod-shaped, and motile bacteria, designated as a strain WR6^T was isolated from soil in Republic of Korea. Strain WR6^T grew at temperatures of 10– 37°C, at pH of 5.0–9.0, and at NaCl concentrations of 0–3.0% (w/v). Phylogenetic and 16S rRNA gene nucleotide sequence analysis confirmed that strain WR6^T affiliated to the genus *Mesorhizobium***, with the nearest relative being** *Mesorhizobium waimense* **ICMP 19557^T (98.5%). The genome of strain WR6^T was 5,035,462 bp with DNA G+C content of 62.6%. In strain WR6^T , Q-10 was sole ubiquinone; summed feature 8 (C18:1***ω***7***c* **and/or C18: 1***ω***6***c***) and C19:0 cyclo** *ω***8***c* **were predominant fatty acids; and diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylmethylethanolamine, phosphatidylcholine, and phosphatidylethanolamine were major polar lipids. Based on these polyphasic taxonomic data, strain WR6^T represents a novel species in the genus** *Mesorhizobium***. Accordingly, we propose the name** *Mesorhizobium koreense* **sp. nov., with the type strain WR6^T (=KCTC 92695T =NBRC 116021T).**

Keywords: Mesorhizobium koreense sp. nov. soil, Phyllobacteriaceae; Pseudomonadota, phylogenetics

Introduction

Mesorhizobium bacteria constitute a diverse array of soil-dwelling microorganisms essential for nitrogen fixation, increasing soil nitrogen availability, enhancing crop yield, and diminishing reliance on chemical fertilizers [1]. Additionally, Mesorhizobium species are renowned for their capacity to synthesize various enzymes and secondary metabolites [2, 3]. Reports indicate that Mesorhizobium species can release cellulase, proteases, lipases, and exopolysaccharides, rendering them applicable in bioremediation, bioconversion, and biopharmaceuticals [4-6]. Moreover, Mesorhizobium strains contribute substantially to producing biofuel and biopolymers [7, 8]. These attributes underscore the promising role of Mesorhizobium strains in sustainable crop production, biotechnological innovation, and industrial applications. Consequently, exploring Mesorhizobium strains from diverse environments is imperative for harnessing their potential as valuable industrial bioresources.

The Mesorhizobium genus belongs to the family Phyllobacteriaceae within the phylum Pseudomonadota and was proposed by Jarvis et al. in 1997, designating Mesorhizobium loti as the type species [9]. Mesorhizobium members are aerobic, Gram-negative, non-spore-forming, and rod-shaped bacteria widely recognized for their nitrogen fixation capabilities. The primary respiratory quinone system is ubiquinone-10, and the DNA G+C content ranges between 59.0%–64.0% [10-12]. As of May 2024, the genus Mesorhizobium comprises 63 valid species with accurate names (https://lpsn.dsmz.de/genus/mesorhizobium). These bacteria exhibit habitat versatility and are commonly isolated from root nodules of various plants [12-15]. Beyond nodular environments, Mesorhizobium species have also been found in seawater, soil, sediment, coal bed water, and sludge [10, 16-18]. In this study, we conducted a taxonomic investigation of strain WR6^T isolated from a soil sample. The presented taxonomic data confirm that strain WR6 $^{\rm T}$ represents a novel species within the genus Mesorhizobium.

Materials and Methods

Isolation of Strain

Strain WR6 $^{\rm T}$ was isolated from a soil sample collected from the Republic of Korea (36°33'15.1"N 126°54'05.0"E). A standard dilution plating technique with R2A media (MB Cell) was employed for the isolation. Subsequently, the plates were incubated aerobically at 25°C for one week. Following multiple streaking on R2A agar, pure colonies of strain WR6^T were obtained and preserved at 4°C until taxonomic analysis was completed. For longterm preservation, strain WR6 $^{\rm T}$ was stored in R2A broth supplemented with 20% (v/v) glycerol stock at -80°C.

16S rRNA Gene Sequence and Phylogenetic Analysis

Genomic DNA from strain WR6^T was extracted using the HiGene Genomic DNA Prep Kit (BIOFACT, Republic of Korea). PCR was performed to amplify the 16S rRNA gene using the 27F and 1492R primer set [19]. Subsequently, the amplified gene was sequenced and analyzed following standard protocols [20]. The closest phylogenetically related taxa were identified by analyzing the 16S rRNA gene sequences on the EzBioCloud

Received: April 16, 2024 Accepted: June 4, 2024

First published online: June 24, 2024

*Corresponding author E-mail: dukim@sangji.ac.kr

Supplementary data for this paper are available on-line only at http://jmb.or.kr.

pISSN 1017-7825 eISSN 1738-8872

Copyright © 2024 by the authors. Licensee KMB. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.

database server [21]. Phylogenetic trees based on the 16S rRNA gene and two housekeeping genes (gyrase subunit B, gyrB; and RNA polymerase subunit B, rpoB) were generated using MEGA X [22] through maximumlikelihood (ML) [23] and neighbor-joining (NJ) algorithms [22]. The tree topologies were estimated using the bootstrap resampling method with 1,000 replications [23], and the evolutionary distances were calculated using Kimura's two-parameter model [24].

Genome Analysis

The genome sequencing of strain $\mathrm{W}\mathrm{R}\mathrm{6}^\mathrm{T}$ was conducted using the PacBio sequencing technique, and assembly of the raw sequences was performed with NextDenovo v. 2.4.0. Quality assessment of the genome data was carried out using the ContEst16S algorithm [25], CheckM [26], and Blast-N tool [27]. Subsequently, the genome sequence was annotated using the prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline (PGAP) [28] and the rapid annotation subsystem technology (RAST) server [29]. The DNA G+C content was determined from the genome sequence data. Genomic relatedness between strain WR6 $^{\text{\tiny{\text{T}}}}$ and the closest species was assessed using digital DNA–DNA hybridization (dDDH) [30] and average nucleotide identity (ANI) [31] web-based tools. Gene clusters for putative secondary metabolites were also investigated using antiSMASH 7.0 [32]. A phylogenomic tree was generated on the type (Strain) genome server with the FastME 2.1.6.1 tool [33, 34].

Morphology, Physiology, and Biochemical Analysis

The cell structure of the strain $W R6^T$ was analyzed using transmission electron microscopy (Talos; FEI) after culturing on R2A agar at 25°C for three days, following previously described methods [35]. Gram-staining reactions were carried out with the Color Gram 2 kit (bioMérieux, France) following the manufacturer's instructions. As described previously, catalase, oxidase, motility, and anaerobic growth ability were assessed [20]. The temperature, NaCl, and pH range for optimal growth were evaluated using previously illustrated methods [36]. Hydrolysis of DNA, CM-cellulose, casein, starch, and Tween 80 were analyzed following standard protocols [37]. Other enzymatic, biochemical, and carbon assimilation properties were determined using API ID 32 GN, API 20NE, and API ZYM kits (bioMérieux).

Chemotaxonomic Characterization

Strain WR6 $^{\rm T}$ and other closest taxa of the genus *Mesorhizobium* were cultured at 25°C on R2A agar for three days. Biomass was harvested and utilized for fatty acid extraction, analysis, and identification following the MIDI protocol [38]. Freeze-dried cells were prepared after growing strain $W R6^T$ at 25°C on R2A agar for three days. Polar lipids and quinones were analyzed from freeze-dried cells [41, 42]. Polar lipid spots in the chromatograms were detected using various reagents [39].

Results and Discussion

The 16S rRNA gene nucleotide sequence of strain WR6 T was 1,416 bp. Analysis of the 16S rRNA gene nucleotide sequence revealed that strain WR6^T belonged to the genus Mesorhizobium, with the closest phylogenetic species being *Mesorhizobium waimense* ICMP 19557 $^{\rm T}$ (98.5%). The 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities between strain $W R \vec{\delta}^T$ and all closest genetic neighbors were below the specified cut-off values of < 98.7%, as established for species demarcation [40, 41]. Both phylogenetic trees (ML and NJ) based on the 16S rRNA gene showed that strain $WR6^T$ formed a clade with *Mesorhizobium alexandrii* Z1-4^T (Figs. 1 and S1), whereas phylogenetic trees (ML) based on the gyrB and rpoB genes generated a separate lineage for strain WR6 T within the members of the genus Mesorhizobium (Figs. S2 and S3).

The quality check confirmed the validity of the genome sequence of strain $\rm W R6^T\!$ displaying 92.0% completeness and 2.2% contamination. Strain WR6^T exhibited a genome size of 5,035,462 bp, with a DNA G+C content of 62.6%. The entire genome sequence of WR6^T was assembled into a single contig with a genome coverage of 124.0x (Table S1). RAST annotation revealed 338 subsystem features in strain WR6^T (Fig. S4). Analysis of biosynthetic gene clusters indicated the presence of genes encoding for ectoine, betalactone, and arylpolyene (Table S2). The $\rm d$ DDH and ANI values between strain $\rm W R6^T$ and its closest neighbors (*Mesorhizobium waimense* ICMP 19557 $^{\rm T}$ and *Mesorhizobium amorphae* NBRC 102496^T) ranged from 20.0% to 20.1% and 75.4% to 75.6%, respectively. These genomic relatedness values fell far below the established threshold values of 70.0% (for dDDH) [42] and 95.0% (for ANI) [42], indicating substantial genomic divergence of strain WR6^T from all other members of the genus *Mesorhizobium*. The phylogenomic tree illustrated that strain WR6 $^{\text{T}}$ formed distinct lineage within the genus Mesorhizobium (Fig. S5), consistent with the results of the phylogenetic tree analysis.

Cells of strain WR6^T were Gram-stain-negative, motile, rod-shaped, and flagellated (Fig. S6). Strain WR6^T exhibited growth at a temperature range of 10°C–30°C (optimum 25°C), a pH range of 5.0–8.5 (optimum 7.0), and a NaCl concentration of 3.0% (w/v) (optimum without NaCl). Catalase was positive, whereas oxidase was negative. Strain WR6 $^{\rm T}$ was unable to hydrolyze esculin, starch, Tween 80, casein, DNA, urea, gelatin, and cellulose. Alkaline phosphatase, valine arylamidase, and α -glucosidase were negative, whereas trypsin, α -galactosidase, and β -galactosidase were positive for strain WR6 T . The major distinguishing features of strain WR6 T are described in the species protologue and presented in Table 1 alongside the closest reference taxa. All data regarding enzyme activities and carbon assimilation properties resulting from API kits are displayed in Table S3.

The only ubiquinone detected in strain WR6^T was Q-8, similar to other species of the genus *Mesorhizobium* [12, 13]. Strain WR6^T contained diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylmethylethanolamine, phosphatidylcholine, and phosphatidylethanolamine. Additionally, unidentified aminolipids (AL1-AL2) were visualized (Fig. S7). The major polar lipids were similar to other species within the genus Mesorhizobium [10, 12].

The principal cellular fatty acids detected in strain WR6 $^{\rm T}$ were summed feature 8 (C $_{\rm 18:1}$ ω 7 c and C $_{\rm 18:1}$ ω 6 c ; 49.2%) and $C_{19:0}$ cyclo ω 8c (14.0%). The profile of principal cellular fatty acids resembles that of the closest species within the Mesorhizobium genus. However, the composition of minor fatty acids differed proportionally between strain $W R 6^T$ and the closest taxa (Table 2).

ັ້ Characteristic	◢ $\mathbf{1}$	\overline{c}	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$
Growth temperature (°C)	$10 - 30$	$15 - 30$	$10 - 35$
Highest salt tolerance (%, w/v)	3.0	8.0	0.5
pH range	$5.0 - 8.5$	$5.0 - 8.0$	$5.5 - 8.0$
Catalase/oxidase	$+/-$	$+/-$	$+/-$
Esculin			
Enzyme activity			
Alkaline phosphatase		$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$
Esterase Lipase (C8)	\ddag		$\ddot{}$
Valine arylamidase			
Trypsin	$+$		
α -Galactosidase			
β -Galactosidase	$\ddot{}$		
β -Glucuronidase	$\ddot{}$	$+$	
α -Glucosidase			
β -Glucosidase		$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$
Assimilation from			
(API 20NE and ID 32 GN test)			
D-Glucose			
L-Arabinose		$+$	$+$
D-Mannose	$\ddot{}$	$+$	
D-Maltose		$+$	$+$
Malate		$+$	$+$
		$+$	$+$
Citrate		$+$	
Phenyl-acetate		$+$	
D-Melibiose	$\ddot{}$	$+$	
L-Fucose		$+$	
D-Sorbitol	$\ddot{}$	$+$	
Propionate	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	
Valerate			
3-Hydroxy-butyrate	$\ddot{}$		
L-Proline	$\overline{+}$		
L-Rhamnose		$+$	$+$
D-Ribose			
Inositol	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$+$
D-Sucrose		$+$	$+$
Acetate	$\overline{+}$		
Lactate			
L-Alanine			
Glycogen	$\,{}^{+}\,$		
L-Serine			
DNA $G + C$ content $(\%)$	62.6	62.4	62.9

Table 1. Differentiating properties of strain WR6^T and closely affiliated reference taxa.

Strains: 1, WR6^T; 2, *Mesorhizobium waimense* LMG 28228^T; 3, *Mesorhizobium amorphae* NBRC 102496^T. All data were obtained in this study. The data for G+C content was computed from genome sequences. +, positive; -, negative.

Taxonomic Conclusion

In conclusion, the taxonomic data presented here confirm that the isolate $W R6^T$ represents a novel species within the genus Mesorhizobium for which the name Mesorhizobium koreense sp. nov. is proposed.

Description of *Mesorhizobium koreense* **sp. nov.**

Mesorhizobium koreense sp. nov. (ko.re.en'se. N.L. neut. adj. koreense pertaining to Korea).

Cells (4.2–4.3 × 2.4–2.5 μm) are aerobic, Gram-stain-negative, rod-shaped, flagellated, and motile. Colonies are white-coloured, circular, and convex with the diameter of 4.4–5.8 mm. Cells grow at temperature of 10–30°C (optimum 25°C), at pH of 5.0–8.5 (optimum 7.0), and at NaCl content of 0–3.0% (w/v) (optimum without NaCl). Cells are positive for catalase activity. Negative for oxidase, nitrate reduction, esculin, starch, Tween 80, casein, DNA, urea, gelatin, and cellulose hydrolysis. Positive for esterase (C4), esterase lipase (C8), leucine arylamidase, trypsin, acid phosphatase, naphtol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase, α-galactosidase, and β-galactosidase. Assimilates L-arabinose, D-mannitol, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, D-melibiose, D-sorbitol, propionate, 3-hydroxy-butyrate, Lproline, inositol, acetate, lactate, and glycogen. The only ubiquinone is Q-10; key cellular fatty acids are summed

avic 2. Central faity acid profiles of strain www.and related reference inclinuers.				
Fatty acid	$\overline{1}$	\overline{c}	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	
Saturated				
$\mathcal{C}_{\scriptscriptstyle{10:0}}$	$1.0\,$			
$C_{12:0}$	1.0		tr	
$C_{14:0}$	2.2		$\mathop{\mathrm{tr}}$	
$\mathcal{C}_{\scriptscriptstyle{16:0}}$	8.3	14.0	12.4	
$\mathbf{C}_{17:0}$	$\overline{}$		tr	
$\mathrm{C}_{18:0}$	2.0	1.6	3.8	
$\mathbf{C}_{\text{20:0}}$			$\mathop{\mathrm{tr}}$	
Unsaturated				
$\mathop{\rm C}_{17:1} \omega$ 6c				
$C_{18:1} \omega$ 9c			$\mathop{\mathrm{tr}}$	
$C_{\text{20:1}}\,\omega7c$			tr	
Branched saturated				
iso- $C_{10:0}$	1.0			
iso- $C_{13:0}$	$\overline{}$			
iso- $C_{13:0}$ 3OH	$\overline{}$		tr	
iso- $C_{15:0}$	8.3		tr	
iso- $C_{15:0}$ 3OH	1.0		-	
iso- $C_{17:0}$	1.1	2.6	1.8	
iso- $C_{18:0}$		$\overline{}$	tr	
anteiso-C _{17:1} ω 9c		4.0	tr	
$C_{10:0} \omega$ 7c 11-methyl		3.2	5.6	
Hydroxyl fatty acid				
$\mathrm{C}_{18:1}$ 2OH	tr			
Cyclo				
$C_{17:0}$ cyclo	1.2			
$C_{19:0}$ cyclo ω 8c	14.0	4.3	1.4	
Summed features [*]				
3	9.2	2.3	tr	
8	49.2	65.3	68.8	

Table 2. Cellular fatty acid profiles of strain WR6^T and related reference members.

Strains: 1, WR6 $\rm ^T$; 2, *Mesorhizobium waimense* LMG 28228 $\rm ^T$; 3, *Mesorhizobium amorphae* NBRC 102496 $\rm ^T$. All data were obtained in this study. The data are presented in % of totals. TR, trace amount (<1.0%); –, not detected.

*Summed features represent groups of two or three fatty acids that could not be separated using the MIDI system. Summed feature 3 comprised $C_{16.1}\omega$ 7c and/or $C_{16.1}\omega$ 6c and Summed feature 8 comprised $C_{18.1}\omega$ 7c and/or $C_{18.1}\omega$ 6c. Unknown fatty acids are designated by their ECL, relative to the chain lengths of known straight-chain saturated fatty acids.

feature 8 ($C_{18:1}\omega$ 7c and/or $C_{18:1}\omega$ 6c) and $C_{19:0}$ cyclo ω 8c; and predominant polar lipids are diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylmethylethanolamine, phosphatidylcholine, and phosphatidylethanolamine. The DNA G+C content is 62.6%.

The type strain, WR6 $^{\text{T}}$ (=KCTC 92695 $^{\text{T}}$ =NBRC 116021 $^{\text{T}}$)., was isolated from soil samples collected from Republic of Korea (36°33'15.1"N 126°54'05.0"E).

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the 16S rRNA nucleotide and genome sequences of strain $\mathrm{W R6}^\mathrm{T}$ are ON810355 and CP134228, respectively.

Abbreviations

KCTC: Korean Collection for Type Cultures

NBRC: NITE Biological Resource Center: Deutsche

BGCs: Biosynthetic gene clusters

ANI: Average nucleotide identity

dDDH: Digital DNA-DNA hybridization

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Biological Resources (NIBR), funded by the Ministry of Environment (MOE) of the Republic of Korea (NIBR202203112).

Author Contributions

HL and DK designed the study. HL and DKC contributed the experimental work, data analysis, and original draft preparation. HL and DKC reviewed and finalized the manuscript. DK supervised the project.

Data Availability

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession number for the 16S rRNA gene sequences of strain WR6 $^{\rm T}$ is ON810355. The whole genome shotgun sequence of strain $W R6^T$ is CP134228.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- 1. Shahwar D, Mushtaq Z, Mushtaq H, Alqarawi AA, Park Y, Alshahrani TS, et al. 2023. Role of microbial inoculants as bio fertilizers for improving crop productivity: a review. Heliyon **9:** e16134.
- 2. Krick A, Kehraus S, Eberl L, Riedel K, Anke H, Kaesler I, et al. 2007. A marine Mesorhizobium sp. produces structurally novel longchain N-acyl-L-homoserine lactones. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **73:** 3587-3594.
- 3. Shahid M, Khan MS, Syed A, Marraiki N, Elgorban AM. 2021. Mesorhizobium ciceri as biological tool for improving physiological, biochemical and antioxidant state of Cicer aritienum (L.) under fungicide stress. Sci. Rep. **11:** 9655.
- 4. Mir MI, Kumar BK, Gopalakrishnan S, Vadlamudi S, Hameeda B. 2021. Characterization of rhizobia isolated from leguminous plants and their impact on the growth of ICCV 2 variety of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Heliyon **7:** e08321.
- 5. Teng Y, Li X, Chen T, Zhang M, Wang X, Li Z, et al. 2016. Isolation of the PCB-degrading bacteria Mesorhizobium sp. ZY1 and its combined remediation with Astragalus sinicus L. for contaminated soil. Int. J. Phytoremediation **18:** 141-149.
- 6. Sierra EM, Pereira MR, Maester TC, Gomes-Pepe ES, Mendoza ER, Lemos EGdM. 2017. Halotolerant aminopeptidase M29 from Mesorhizobium SEMIA 3007 with biotechnological potential and its impact on biofilm synthesis. Sci. Rep. **7:** 10684.
- 7. Biswas B, Gresshoff PM. 2014. The role of symbiotic nitrogen fixation in sustainable production of biofuels. Int. J. Mol. Sci. **15:** 7380- 7397.
- 8. Roesler BCS, Vaz RG, Castellane TCL, de Macedo Lemos EG, Burkert CAV. 2021. The potential of extracellular biopolymer production by Mesorhizobium sp. from monosaccharide constituents of lignocellulosic biomass. Biotechnol. Lett. **43:** 1385-1394.
- Jarvis B, Van Berkum P, Chen W, Nour S, Fernandez M, Cleyet-Marel J, et al. 1997. Transfer of Rhizobium loti, Rhizobium huakuii, Rhizobium ciceri, Rhizobium mediterraneum, and Rhizobium tianshanense to Mesorhizobium gen. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **47:** 895- 898.
- 10. Jung YJ, Kim HJ, Hur M. 2020. Mesorhizobium terrae sp. nov., a novel species isolated from soil in Jangsu, Korea. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek **113:** 1279-1287.
- 11. Meng D, Liu YL, Zhang JJ, Gu PF, Fan XY, Huang ZS, et al. 2022. Mesorhizobium xinjiangense sp. nov., isolated from rhizosphere soil of Alhagi sparsifolia. Arch. Microbiol. **204:** 29.
- 12. Zheng WT, Li Jr Y, Wang R, Sui XH, Zhang XX, Zhang JJ, et al. 2013. Mesorhizobium qingshengii sp. nov., isolated from effective nodules of Astragalus sinicus. Int. J Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **63:** 2002-2007.
- 13. De Meyer SE, Wee Tan H, Heenan PB, Andrews M, Willems A. 2015. Mesorhizobium waimense sp. nov. isolated from Sophora longicarinata root nodules and Mesorhizobium cantuariense sp. nov. isolated from Sophora microphylla root nodules. Int. J Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **65:** 3419-3426.
- 14. Lu YL, Chen WF, Wang ET, Han LL, Zhang XX, Chen WX, et al. 2009. Mesorhizobium shangrilense sp. nov., isolated from root nodules of Caragana species. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **59:** 3012-3018.
- 15. Wang E, Van Berkum P, Sui X, Beyene D, Chen W, Martínez-Romero E. 1999. Diversity of rhizobia associated with Amorpha fruticosa isolated from Chinese soils and description of Mesorhizobium amorphae sp. nov. Int. J Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **49:** 51-65.
- 16. Yuan CG, Jiang Z, Xiao M, Zhou E-M, Kim CJ, Hozzein WN, et al. 2016. Mesorhizobium sediminum sp. nov., isolated from deep-sea sediment. Int. J Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **66:** 4797-4802.
- 17. Fu Gy, Yu Xy, Zhang Cy, Zhao Z, Wu D, Su Y, et al. 2017. Mesorhizobium oceanicum sp. nov., isolated from deep seawater. Int. J Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **67:** 2739-2745.
- 18. Siddiqi MZ, Thao NTP, Choi G, Kim D-C, Lee Y-W, Kim SY, et al. 2019. Mesorhizobium denitrificans sp. nov., a novel denitrifying bacterium isolated from sludge. J. Microbiol. **57:** 238-242.
- 19. Frank JA, Reich CI, Sharma S, Weisbaum JS, Wilson BA, Olsen GJ. 2008. Critical evaluation of two primers commonly used for amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **74:** 2461-2470.
- 20. Chaudhary DK, Kim DU, Kim D, Kim J. 2019. Flavobacterium petrolei sp. nov., a novel psychrophilic, diesel-degrading bacterium isolated from oil-contaminated Arctic soil. Sci. Rep. **9:** 4134.
- 21. Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y, Seo H, et al. 2017. Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. Int. J Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **67:** 1613-1617.
- 22. Saitou N, Nei M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. **4:** 406- 425.
- 23. Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution **39:** 783-791.
- 24. Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. **16:** 111-120.
- 25. Lee I, Chalita M, Ha SM, Na SI, Yoon SH, Chun J. 2017. ContEst16S: an algorithm that identifies contaminated prokaryotic genomes using 16S RNA gene sequences. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **67:** 2053-2057.
- 26. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res. **25:** 1043-1055.
- 27. Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W. 2000. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. J. Comput. Biol. **7:** 203-214.
- 28. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, et al. 2016. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res. **44:** 6614-6624.
- 29. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, et al. 2008. The RAST server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. BMC Genomics **9:** 75.
- 30. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk HP, Göker M. 2013. Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. BMC Bioinformatics **14:** 60.
- 31. Yoon SH, Ha Sm, Lim J, Kwon S, Chun J. 2017. A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate average nucleotide identity. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek **110:** 1281-1286.
- 32. Blin K, Shaw S, Augustijn HE, Reitz ZL, Biermann F, Alanjary M, et al. 2023. antiSMASH 7.0: new and improved predictions for detection, regulation, chemical structures and visualisation. Nucleic Acids Res. **51:** W46-W50.
- 33. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M. 2019. TYGS is an automated high-throughput platform for state-of-the-art genome-based taxonomy. Nat. Commun. **10:** 2182.
- 34. Lefort V, Desper R, Gascuel O. 2015. FastME 2.0: a comprehensive, accurate, and fast distance-based phylogeny inference program. Mol. Biol. Evol. **32:** 2798-2800.
- 35. Senghor B, Bassène H, Khelaifia S, Robert C, Fournier P-E, Ruimy R, et al. 2019. Oceanobacillus timonensis sp. nov. and Oceanobacillus senegalensis sp. nov., two new moderately halophilic, Gram-stain positive bacteria isolated from stools sample of healthy young Senegalese. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek **112:** 785-796.
- 36. Lee H, Chaudhary DK, Lim OB, Lee KE, Cha IT, Chi WJ, *et al. 2023. Paenibacillus caseinilyticus* sp. nov., isolated forest soil. *Int. J. Syst.* Evol. Microbiol. **73:** 006171.
- 37. Smibert RM, Krieg NR. 1994. Phenotypic characterization. In Gerhardt, P., Murray, R. G. E., Wood, W. A., and Krieg, N. R. (eds.), Methods for general and molecular bacteriology, pp. 607–654. ASM Press, Washington D.C., USA.
- 38. Sasser M. 1990. Bacterial identification by gas chromatographic analysis of fatty acid methyl esters (GC-FAME) (MIDI Technical Note 101. Newark, DE: MIDI Inc.
- 39. Komagata K, Suzuki KI. 1988. 4 Lipid and cell-wall analysis in bacterial systematics. Methods Microbiol. **19:** 161-207.
- 40. Stackebrandt E. 2006. Taxonomic parameters revisited: tarnished gold standards. Microbiol. Today **33:** 152-155.
- 41. Yarza P, Richter M, Peplies J, Euzeby J, Amann R, Schleifer KH, et al. 2008. The All-Species Living Tree project: a 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree of all sequenced type strains. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. **31:** 241-250.
- 42. Wayne LG, Brenner DJ, Colwell RR, Grimont PAD, Kandler O, Krichevsky MI, et al. 1987. Report of the ad hoc committee on reconciliation of approaches to bacterial systematics. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **37:** 463-464.