

# Meat quality and safety issues during high temperatures and cutting-edge technologies to mitigate the scenario

AMM Nurul Alam<sup>1</sup>, Eun-Yeong Lee<sup>1</sup>, Md Jakir Hossain<sup>1</sup>, Abdul Samad<sup>1</sup>, So-Hee Kim<sup>1</sup>, Young-Hwa Hwang<sup>2</sup>, Seon-Tea Joo<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Applied Life Science (BK 21 Four), Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Agriculture & Life Science, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea



Received: Jan 24, 2024  
Revised: Apr 15, 2024  
Accepted: Apr 20, 2024

## \*Corresponding author

Seon-Tea Joo  
Division of Applied Life Science (BK 21 Four), Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea.  
Tel: +82-55-772-1943  
E-mail: stjoo@gnu.ac.kr

Copyright © 2024 Korean Society of Animal Sciences and Technology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ORCID

AMM Nurul Alam  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3153-3718>  
Eun-Yeong Lee  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3467-7349>  
Md Jakir Hossain  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7663-9202>  
Abdul Samad  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4724-3363>  
So-Hee Kim  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3966-6160>  
Young-Hwa Hwang  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3687-3535>  
Seon-Tea Joo  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5483-2828>

## Competing interests

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## Abstract

Climate change, driven by the natural process of global warming, is a worldwide issue of significant concern because of its adverse effects on livestock output. The increasing trend of environmental temperature surging has drastically affected meat production and meat product quality, hence result in economic losses for the worldwide livestock business. Due to the increasing greenhouse gas emissions, the situation would get prolonged, and heat exposure-related stress is expected to worsen. Heat exposure causes metabolic and physiological disruptions in livestock. Ruminants and monogastric animals are very sensitive to heat stress due to their rate of metabolism, development, and higher production levels. Before slaughter, intense hot weather triggers muscle glycogen breakdown, producing pale, mushy, and exudative meat with less water-holding capacity. Animals exposed to prolonged high temperatures experience a decrease in their muscle glycogen reserves, producing dry, dark, and complex meat with elevated final pH and increased water-holding capacity. Furthermore, heat stress also causes oxidative stresses, especially secondary metabolites from lipid oxidation, severely affects the functionality of proteins, oxidation of proteins, decreasing shelf life, and food safety by promoting exfoliation and bacterial growth. Addressing the heat-related issues to retain the sustainability of the meat sector is an essential task that deserves an inclusive and comprehensive approach. Considering the intensity of the heat stress effects, this review has been designed primarily to examine the consequences of hot environment temperatures and related stresses on the quality and safety of meat and secondarily focus on cutting edge technology to reduce or alleviate the situational impact.

**Keywords:** Heat stress, Meat quality, Muscle glycogen, Dry meat, Food safety, Cutting edge technology

## INTRODUCTION

In the 21st century, Global warming is a significant peril confronting the world, resulting in recurrent episodes of extreme heat and increasing global temperature [1]. The rise in human-caused greenhouse

### Funding sources

This research was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. 2020R111A206937911), and the Korean Institute of Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (IPET) through the Agri-Bioindustry Technology Development Program, funded by the Ministry of Agriculture, Food, and Rural Affairs (MAFRA) (Project No. 321028-5), Korea.

### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

### Availability of data and material

Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.

### Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: Alam AN, Joo ST.  
Data curation: Alam AN.  
Formal analysis: Alam AN, Joo ST.  
Methodology: Alam AN.  
Software: Samad A, Hwang YH, Joo ST.  
Validation: Lee EY, Hossain MJ, Samad A, Kim SH, Hwang YH, Joo ST.  
Writing - original draft: Alam AN.  
Writing - review & editing: Alam AN, Lee EY, Hossain MJ, Samad A, Kim SH, Hwang YH, Joo ST.


### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This article does not require IRB/ACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

gas (GHG) levels has contributed to the unprecedented warming of the Earth in recent years [2]. This, in turn, has resulted in more frequent and protracted periods of severe heat, which have an enormous impact on both living and working conditions [3]. In addition to the human population, this hot and humid global weather throughout the summer results in economic losses for the worldwide livestock and meat business due to decreased animal output and increased mortality rates [4]. Heat stress is a highly demanding and costly occurrence in the lives of livestock animals, resulting in deleterious effects on animal productivity, welfare and the quality of meat products. Heat stress affects the live animal's growth and performance and can undermine meat quality attributes such as pH, water-holding capacity (WHC), and meat color [5]. This can lead to financial losses for producers and reduced customer acceptance [6]. Heat stress is a critical obstacle in worldwide farm animal production, particularly in warmer climates [7]. Rising heat waves caused by climate change [8], and the shifting of animal production to comparatively warmer regions to address rising requirements for global meat demand, which have increased livestock susceptibility to heat stress [9]. With the increasing global meat demand, more emphasis and pressure are coming on intensively farmed animals; treating livestock as meat machines has led to additional stress to the animals along with heat stress [10]. Due to continuous genetic improvement broiler chicken finishing weight rise to 2.5 kg in approximately 30 days in present days, which used to take 112 days in 1925 [11]. These boosted broiler growth patterns have increased metabolic rates [12]. They are distinctly vulnerable to heat stress because of their elevated rate of metabolism, which outturn in higher body heat production. Increasing avian population density, paired with high atmospheric temperature, increases the likelihood of heat stress [13]. However, in recent studies, it was observed that wild animals are less prone to extreme temperate weather. One similar study indicates that the Iberian pig can withstand elevated ambient temperatures, unlike lean pigs and other native breeds that see a decline in the quality of their meat [14]. So, it can be said that high-yielding poultry breeds, ruminants, and pigs are more prone to heat stress due to their higher rate of metabolism, generation of metabolic heat, fast growth rate, and higher productivity. Poultry and pigs are extremely prone to heat stress as they lack sweat glands. They also have subcutaneous fat (in the case of pigs) or feathers (in the case of poultry) that offer insulation to their skin. For ruminants, the heat generated when their feed ferments in the rumen raises their metabolic heat output and impairs their ability to regulate the temperature of the body [15,16].

Pre-slaughter exposure to intense heat causes a rapid breakdown of muscle glycogen, leading to an increased lactic acid content and a fast increase in muscle pH after death, which makes the carcass is warm [17, 99]. The consecutive outcome is the production of soft, exudative and pale meat, known for its reduced WHC, which is commonly observed in monogastric farm animals like poultry and pigs [18]. However similar observations have also been revealed in cattle [19]. In contrast, animals who endure continuous hot temperature exposure have lesser muscle glycogen reserves, thus restricting lactic acid formation. This results in hardened, dry, dark meat forming, with a higher pH and greater WHC. Typically, ruminants show this phenomenon more often than other farm animals [20]. Furthermore, research has revealed that in different species, hot seasons lead to an increase in the oxidation of lipids and protein, meaning that the keeping quality and safety of meat decreases due to microbial shedding and development [21]. Hot climates may be more prone to high-yielding animals than wild animals. Fig. 1 shows an indication of the effect of heat stress on biological, chemical, and biochemical parameters of animals that affect meat quality.

It is imperative to have an in-depth knowledge of the impacts of heat stress on animal productivity and meat quality to develop successful approaches for sustainable meat production and quality assurance. This study examines the existing understanding and advancements in research regarding the impact of heat stress on meat quality. Additionally, it emphasizes actions that can potentially



**Fig. 1. Biological, biochemical, and chemical molecules change during heat stress in farmed animals.**

reduce the adverse effects of heat stress and improve meat quality.

## EFFECT OF HEAT STRESS ON HOMEOSTASIS AND MEAT QUALITY PARAMETERS

The thermoneutral zone is the range of temperatures that pigs, poultry, and ruminants inhabit [22]. The comfortable temperature zone for all these species is between 17°C to 24°C and above this range, there are changes in thermoregulation and risk of heat stress. Furthermore, when these animals exposed to a temperature over 35°C or more with elevated humidity might result in fatality. However, extended exposure to temperatures above 25°C with high humidity can cause heat stress in many organisms and continued exposures to temperatures above 35°C with high humidity, or above 40°C with low humidity, can be lethal [23–27].

The body's internal temperature rises when external factors—such as outside temperature, sun radiation, airflow, and humidity—exceed the thermoneutral zone, inevitably developing hyperthermia and heat stress [28]. Feeding habit is also related to the extent of heat stress. It was observed that during heat exposure, ruminants with grain-based diets can easily break down and produce volatile fatty acids, for rumen microbes are more susceptible to heat exposure related stress [29]. In Fig. 2 an illustration is given of how heat stress along with other stressors affects the animal physiology, growth, meat quality, and safety of the meat-producing animals.

High-yielding poultry breeds genetically improved for faster muscle growth have successfully altered their ability to respond to and adapt to environmental cues based on different climates but to a certain extent. According to Lara and Rostagno [30], heat stress is an important aspect of the environment that greatly impacts the yield and wellness of chickens in intensive and extensive farming conditions around the globe. Commercial broiler strains exhibit reduced adaptability to severe temperatures compared to native chicken breeds due to their higher metabolic response temperatures and accelerated growth rate. Slow-growing breeds of chicken outperform commercial kinds in terms of feed efficiency, survival rates, body weights, and growth rates when exposed to heat stress. Furthermore, the rectal temperatures of slow-growing breeds are lower [31].



Fig. 2. Relationship between heat stress and physiological response of the meat animals.

Thyroid hormones regulate the skeletal muscles' calcium content, related to bone strength and body growth [32]. Warm-blooded farm animals alter their thyroid hormone secretion rate according to ambient temperature fluctuations. For example, when the temperature increases, the secretion of thyroid hormone rate decreases, and vice versa [33]. Chickens have been found to exhibit a negative association between their plasma T3 concentration and the surrounding temperature, as reported by Tao et al. [34]. It was evident that exposure to one day in the heat commercially bred turkeys reduced T3 levels, and there was an imbalance in T3 : T4 ratio [32].

Controlled housing where temperature and humidity are controlled is found to be better regarding growth and meat quality. When broilers are housed at a controlled temperature of 22°C–24°C, their breast meat is lighter (L\* 41.8 vs. 37.5), their ultimate pH (pHu) is higher (more than 6), and their weight loss during cooking is reduced (32.4 vs. 35.8%), compared to broilers kept over 25°C temperature in open house [35]. In an experiment at 22 ± 1°C, 60% relative humidity and 32 ± 1°C, 80%–90% relative humidity, there was no difference in drip loss between meat from two groups of birds [36]. A different study [37] revealed comparable results regarding the exposure of high temperature on weight loss and meat shelf life. In addition, there was evidence of protein and lipid oxidation in meat, alongside reduced keeping quality of the product, when compared to broilers kept



at an average temperature of approximately 24°C–25°C.

Long-term high temperatures (32°C for a period of 14 days) and subsequent heat stress up to harvesting in broilers increase the skeletal muscle of chickens' generation of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS), which leads to protein oxidation and lipid peroxidation [38]. The pectoral muscle of broilers in this study had increased levels of lactic acid, intramuscular fat, lactate dehydrogenase and pyruvate kinase. These data suggest that prolonged exposure to high temperatures causes mitochondrial dysfunction, which reduces the animal's ability to perform aerobic metabolism. As a result, there is an increase in glycolysis and a build-up of fat in the muscles, which may deteriorate the meat's quality in prolonged heat stress due to oxidation. To compare the results if looked into another study where in control group broiler chicks housed in controlled temperature conditions (25°C) and treatment groups exposed to cyclical heat exposure (33°C and 25°C in day and night respectively) saw a 16% drop in breast muscle weight during the 42-day raising period [39]. The higher weight of the breast muscles after extended heat stress exposure suggests that chicken use their energy resources more for maintaining body temperature regulation than for muscular building. In a separate study carried out on broiler chickens, it was observed that rearing them in long-term heat stress (34°C–36°C) preslaughter triggered an increase in muscle glycolysis in the pectoral and thigh muscles. This was confirmed by increased lactate production, decreased meat pHu by 13 points from 5.88 to 5.75, lighter meat, almost two percent increase in cooking loss, and increased shear force value (27.59 and 22.68 N) in comparison to chickens reared at 23°C [40]. These results confer with another study exposing commercial broilers to cyclical chronic heat stress, involving of 8 hours at 32°C with a maximum of 90% relative humidity resulted in lower pHu from 6.0 to 5.8, lightness & b\* value increased, a\* value decreased, and the shear force of the pectoralis muscle was almost doubled [36].

The combined stress of heat and temperature was found to be harmful to chickens. In an experiment by Sandercock et al. [41] and his group imposed high temperature and humidity at 32°C and 75%, respectively, for two hours in chicken and found reduced levels of alkaline phosphatase but increased planes of creatine kinase, lipase, and aspartate aminotransferase, and alanine aminotransferase. In this study post slaughter observations were hemorrhages in the muscle and lower pH. Elevated pH levels and dark pigmentation of the meat are indicators of stress caused by heat exposure, which is associated with darker and dry meat in fattening cattle, sheep, and goats [42]. Pre-slaughter stress is responsible for the depletion of muscle glycogen reserves, making the meat dark, complex, and dry. The main element responsible for darker meat is deoxymyoglobin, and the mechanism is increased oxygen consumption by mitochondria at high pH exposures [43]. In addition, the elevated pH of the meat inhibits the contraction of myofibrils and muscle cells after death, resulting in reduced light scattering properties (low L\* values) of the meat [44].

There are more physiological consequences of heat stress in farm animals. A common disease in cattle, buffalo, camels, sheep, goats, and pigs is acute hemorrhagic septicemia caused during heat stress and is typified by high fever, which causes death in a few hours to days. The causative organism of acute septicemic disease is an anaerobic bacteria *Multicoid pasteurilla* [45]. Research has shown a greater prevalence of mortality due to stomach abnormalities and indigestion in ruminant animals during high rearing temperatures compared to low rearing temperatures, according to a study conducted by McPhail et al. [46]. An observable surge in the prevalence of dark and arid conditions is also observed throughout late spring and autumn as a result of abrupt weather fluctuations or temperature variances, with frigid evenings and scorching days serving as the primary catalysts. According to Boykin et al. [47], there is a greater occurrence of dark and dry meat cases recorded in the north hemisphere between the months of September and October. Pighin et al. [48] found that sheep have elevated rectal temperatures and reduced glycogen levels during times of stress. This

indicates a correlation between increased body temperature and subsequent physiological reaction to glycolytic stress, and the potential for higher muscle pH and darker meat color. A concise review of the impact of heat exposure on meat quality is presented in Table 1.

Due to hot and high temperatures, there are many significant alterations in muscle tissue pre-slaughter and meat post-slaughter. There is changes in muscle pH before and after slaughter of animals. During post slaughter rigor mortis, there is a drop of energy store in muscle that results in a drop of pH and muscle temperature [49] and a low muscle pH (5.2–6.9) evaluated to produce high quality meat [17]. Elevated body temperatures are linked to a higher threshold rigor mortis, resulting in earlier and more prominent muscle stiffness, leading to meat stiffness [50].

Archana et al. [51] conducted a recent study to examine the impact of heat stress on the body weight and carcass characteristics of two goat breeds (Osmanabadi and Salem). The scientists observed that heat stress did not affect the meat color in both breeds. Osmanabadi goats exposed to heat stress decreased body weight by 3 kilograms and increased pH<sub>u</sub> at 24 hours (6.03 compared to 5.74) in the vertebral muscle, when compared to goats housed in shaded pens. These findings imply that the depletion of glycogen reserves may have occurred due to heat stress, however the study did not directly assess this. The consistent meat pH<sub>u</sub> and body weight stability observed in the Salem breed suggests the breed's exceptional ability to acclimate to hot and moist weather [51].

A Korean study revealed that Hanwoo carcasses of varying genders, slaughtered throughout the summer, exhibited significantly reduced ribeye area and marbling scores compared to those slaughtered during different seasons. Nevertheless, the maturity score held greater importance in the carcasses butchered throughout the summer [52]. Another investigation on Hanwoo cattle revealed that the meat obtained from animals slaughtered during the summer season exhibited a greater pH level in the longissimus thoracic muscle 48 hours post-slaughter, compared to meat obtained from animals slaughtered during the winter. The variation was ascribed to the elevated temperature and excessive humidity characteristic of the Korean weather during summer [53].

There needs to be more research available on the effects of dehydration on the quality of meat. Nevertheless, Jacob et al. [54], in a different study, revealed that subjecting lambs to a 48-hour water deprivation period resulted in a significant increase in both live weight loss and muscle dry matter loss. Significantly, this dehydration did not impact the dressing yield or post slaughter carcass weight. The researchers demonstrated that the meat of lambs with dehydration exhibits a deeper hue as a result of the myofibrils contracting. This darkening phenomenon is not always correlated with pH levels [54]. However, these characteristics did not have an effect on the quality of meat intake [55].

## HEAT STRESS AND MEAT SAFETY

Mounting data indicates that stress has a substantial detrimental impact on the taste, flavor, and safety of meat. Although there are reports associating stress with the presence and release of pathogens in farm animals, the exact processes behind this relationship have not been completely understood. Acute *Multicoid pasteurilla* infection in animals under heat stress [45] should be considered for further studies on whether it infects meat. The presence of enteric infections caused by pathogenic bacteria such as *Salmonella enterica*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Campylobacter* spp. in farm animals and subsequent exposure to human food is an important threat to financial stability and human health [56–58]. Amongst these *Salmonella* is a highly significant meat born pathogen that is responsible for around 93.8 million cases of gastroenteritis and 0.15 million fatalities globally every year [59]. Stress caused by elevated environmental temperature is associated with gut disorders in animals [60], and increase the risk of meat contamination from unhealthy animals. Healthy animals

**Table 1.** Effect of high temperature on meat quality

| Type of Study                         | Species    | Experimental condition  | Observations   | Reference |
|---------------------------------------|------------|---|--|-----------|
| Exposed to prolonged heat stress      | Pig        | MXT 33°C<br>MNT 23°C<br>MXRH 68%<br>MNRH 58%                                | Heat stress resulted in soft fat in the belly region and was challenging to handle and process.  | [104]     |
| Rearing temperature                   | Pig        | MXT 35°C<br>MNT 22°C<br>MXRH 81%<br>MNRH 78%                                | Heat stress decreased feed intake without any change in meat quality   | [105]     |
| 2 hours of prolonged heat stress      | Pig        | MXT 37°C<br>MNT 21°C  | A quality decrease in muscle structure and the final product were observed.  | [106]     |
| Exposer to prolonged high temperature | Pig        | MXT 30°C<br>MNT 22°C  | High temperature reduces meat pH, redness, yellowness, and antioxidant activity.   | [107]     |
| Variation in rearing temperatures     | Broiler    | MXT ranges 36°C / 38°C / 40°C; MNT: 25°C                                    | A temperature exposure of 36°C increased meat lightness and cooking loss.  | [39]      |
| Chronic heat stress during rearing    | Broiler    | MXT 32 ± 1°C<br>MNT 22 ± 1°C<br>MXRH 89%–90%<br>MNRH 60%                    | Heat stress reduces pHu, increases lightness, and almost doubles the shear force value in breast meat.   | [35]      |
| Summer heat stress on farm            | Broiler    | MXT 32°C  | Increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation in breast meat was observed.  | [37]      |
| Transportation in summer              | Broiler    | Heat exposure 0–4 hours   | The impact of summer transpiration on lightness, cooking loss, and drip loss was negative.   | [77]      |
| Feeding environment                   | Goat       | MXT 40°C<br>MNT 28°C<br>MXRH 58%<br>MNRH 29%                                | High temperature significantly increased meat pH and hardness, resulting in pale meat.   | [50]      |
| Housing condition                     | Lamb       | Open house<br>MXT 40°C<br>MNT 28°C<br>controlled shade MXT 21°C<br>MNT 18°C | Housing temperature had no significant influence on pH, TBARS of meat, and carcass weight.   | [83]      |
| Transportation in summer              | Goat       | MXT- 42°C   | Preslaughter transportation during high ambient temperatures increases meat pH and hardness, decreasing meat lightness and water-holding capacity. | [108]     |
| Seasonal effect                       | Goat/sheep | MXT 35°C<br>RH-47%<br>Cool season<br>MNT 21°C<br>RH 59%                     | The summer heat resulted in pale meat and increased meat pH.   | [109]     |

MXT, maximum temperature; MNT, minimum temperature; MXRH, maximum relative humidity; MNRH, minimum relative humidity; TBARS, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; RH, relative humidity.

usually maintain a state of equilibrium between flora (beneficial bacteria) and fauna (pathogenic bacteria). Nevertheless, the equilibrium of hazardous bacteria excretion is distributed when external variables like feed withdrawal, transit, hot and humid temperatures, and high animal density come into play, shifting from sporadic to continuous patterns [61]. An elevation in pH in the stomach especially in monogastric animals like pig and poultry, raises the risk of foodborne diseases caused

by *Salmonella*, *E. coli*, and *Campylobacter* [62], surviving the transit through the stomach, colonizing the lower gastrointestinal tract, and is discharged into the environment [58]. Studies have shown that heat stress can decrease blood circulation to the intestines and cause damage to the intestinal lining through oxidative stress. This can lead to disruption of the intestinal barrier and an increased probability of endotoxemia in chickens and swine [39,63]. Furthermore, this situation can easily contaminate meat and pose health risk for meat consumers.

Usually meat contamination at slaughterhouse is impacted by heat stress to animals during their farming, so special attention and more study required in this segment, which found inadequate during searching literatures for this review. The animals themselves are the source of bacterial contamination in meat processing plants. *Salmonella* and other detrimental microbes can propagate horizontally inside the lairage area via feces and polluted drinking water; a recent study in pig abattoir has confirmed in favor of the statement [64]. Meat, particularly in processing factories, is often associated with the presence of foodborne pathogens, including *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Clostridium perfringens*, and *C. botulinum*. Foodborne infections exhibit greater resilience and propensity for propagation in correlation with rising ambient heat [65]. Changes in housing conditions and good farming practices are anticipated to impact the transmission of foodborne diseases. Elevated levels of pathogenic microorganism carriage, environmental contamination will heighten the likelihood of contaminated meat during processing, and further processing of meat in slaughterhouse.


From 1998 to 2015, the majority of outbreaks associated to pork in the USA took place during the summer months, representing 84.3% of the cases. *Salmonella* was the most commonly recognized cause of these outbreaks [66]. *Salmonella* and *C. perfringens* were the main pathogens that caused foodborne outbreaks in the chicken sector in the United States between 1998 and 2012. These outbreaks were primarily caused by the increased intake of turkey and poultry during certain seasons [67]. Elevated temperature and related stressors in poultry can promote the penetration of salmonella into the muscle fibers of heat-exposed birds [63]. This increases the likelihood of contracting a foodborne illness if the meat is not cooked completely before to ingestion. The summer season has been associated with a rise in the occurrence and release of *Campylobacter* bacteria in poultry, resulting in an increase in *Campylobacter* infections [68]. Contrary to this, multiple studies have shown that provision of shed to fattening cattle, with the intension of reducing their exposure to heat does not effectively eradicate *E. coli* infection [69].

## IMPROVEMENT IN ANIMAL WELFARE DURING HEAT STRESS TO MAINTAIN MEAT QUALITY

It is a proven fact that animal welfare is directly related to the production of premium quality meat for the consumers [70]. To address the heat stress, the welfare of the animals we farm for meat production should be intensively taken care of, especially during hot, humid weather. Furthermore, rearing stresses are responsible for insufficient glycogen and lactic acid in the blood [71], changing the pH to higher than normal after slaughter [72] and as per physiological terms this changes can affect meat color, texture and keeping quality. Good husbandry practices are essential tools to obtain gold standard of animal welfare. Usually, changes in the housing system, temperature control, and use of nutraceuticals have been used to maintain optimum feed intake and reduce heat loss. A graphical representation of good farming practices is shown in Fig. 3. Management techniques evaluated to minimize heat stress and improve animal welfare during rearing are discussed in this section.

Rearing conditions are most important to protect the animals from heat and other possible





**Fig. 3.** Good farming practices to address heat stress.

stresses. Housing techniques are essential in protecting animals from exposure to outside temperatures. Condensation cooling is an efficient method of dissipating heat from the animal's body. It can chill animals on the farm and during their stay at the processing plant by providing air circulation and water cooling systems. Nevertheless, the effects of water cooling are less noticeable or nonexistent in areas with high humidity [28].

Integrating a well-designed and constructed shed with natural airflow and insulation may be helpful for the welfare of the animals [73]. As we know, in many places, open shades are used in cattle farming, but providing a better housing system can protect them from the effects of heat [74]. Only a few studies have examined the impact of shade on meat quality. These studies found that sheep meat under shed had lower pHu levels and improved WHC [75]. Nevertheless, there were no discernible disparities in meat quality from cattle provided in constructed sheds compared to open-rearing conditions [76]. Although shade can effectively mitigate many adverse effects of extreme hot circumstances, its effectiveness may be reduced in hot and humid conditions due to physiological differences in ruminants [28]. It was observed that the provision of electric fans and waterz sprinklers aided in the elevated feed intake, reduced respiration rate, and body temperature in dairy cattle [76]. An application of water spray for ten minutes during the transportation of the broiler was found helpful in reducing heat stress in the hot season. Subsequently, it improved the meat quality after slaughter [77]. Research studies have proven that well-natural ventilation coupled with controlled humidity and temperature can significantly improve pork meat quality regarding drip loss and pH [78]. Pork meat quality usually deteriorates more than other species during heat stress, especially when the protein content in the muscle is lower and drip loss is higher [79]. Better transportation facility in hot weather with sufficient space, ventilation from farm to abattoir found to produce better quality pork meat in terms of color and tenderness [80–82].

## DIETARY STRATEGIES

Adopting dietary practices that prioritize improving energy metabolism could effectively reduce the adverse effects of hot weather and preserve meat quality. The administration of electrolytes is the predominant method used to address heat stress in animals on the farm and in processing facilities before slaughter. Heat stress induces oxidative stress in farmed livestock [83,84]. To alleviate this, adding vitamin C and electrolytes has effectively reduced stress and enhanced immunity in buffaloes under hot conditions [85]. Vitamin E aids in the mitigation of oxidative damage to lipids and proteins. Houben et al. [24] demonstrated that adding Vitamin E reduced the oxidation of beef products and improved their color stability. The inclusion of selenium and vitamin E supplementation in pigs [83] resulted in a significant reduction in oxidative stress. Nevertheless, research is scarce regarding the influence of Vitamin E and Selenium supplementation on meat quality. In their study, [84] found that supplementing vitamin E had a beneficial effect on the color and stability of lamb meat. Betaine is a compound containing a quaternary ammonium group, and when added to the diet, it aids in mitigating the adverse effects of heat stress in livestock. The administration of betaine enhanced body weight in Ross broiler chickens under hot weather circumstances, as reported by Shakeri et al. [85]. A study conducted by Fu et al. [86] found that including betaine in broiler chickens' diet improved the meat's pH and its ability to hold water. A separate investigation conducted by He et al. [87] shows evidence that including betaine of a specific quality in broiler feed helped to diminish the harmful effects of heat stress on both body weight and fat storage. An investigation was carried by Shahin et al. [88] out to examine the physiological effects of chromium supplementation, specifically chromium picolinate, on broiler chickens during high heat exposure. The results revealed a positive correlation between higher chromium supplementation and carcass quality. Resveratrol is a specific type of phenol derived from plants and it was effectively improved meat quality in broiler chicken during heat stress. This was attained through boosting the general ability of the muscle to counteract oxidative stress and increasing the potency of specific antioxidant enzymes, such as catalase [89].

Observing the improvements in animal welfare and meat characteristics achieved by the management of the above strategies and feed nutraceuticals suggests that these techniques may provide significant benefits regarding meat quality for animals in hot climate related stress conditions. However, further investigation is necessary to examine the correlation between heat stress, animal well-being, and characteristics of meat quality in order to promote sustainable livestock production and the meat business.

Additional stressor need to be taken care of to minimize the animal's stress due to the hot climate. To manage the farming environment, heat stress and sustainability in meat production efficiency of nitrogen use needs to be measured and taken care to reduce at the farm level [90]. Efficient and mechanized removal of feces and urine from farms may improve the overall ambient and subsequent liveweight achievement. Smart industrial-scale recycling of this waste into nitrogen and phosphorus for plants could be a sustainable solution to animal welfare [91]. In addition, achieving sustainability in meat production requires enhancing nutrient use efficiency and strategies to reduce losses of nutrients per unit of meat produced would improve the heat emission in the flock and have positive impacts on the environment and public health [92]. To address the deleterious consequences of heat stress, meat processing industries are modernizing transportation systems, slaughter techniques, packaging materials, and deliquiate product handling measures [93].

## CUTTING EDGE TECHNOLOGIES TO ADDRESS HEAT STRESS

The changing global warming situation and pressure on livestock production demand the application of novel approaches in animal husbandry practices and meat processing systems. Use of digital instruments, and remote sensing in the farms may reduce environmental impact and GHG emissions bring sustainability in the livestock and meat industry [10]. Furthermore, the Internet of Things (IoT), computer vision, and artificial intelligence (AI) have facilitated the automation of farming practices to reduce stressors and improve animal welfare methodologies [94,95]. Machine and deep learning algorithms are a subset of AI and assist in evaluating physiological alterations in animals under stress conditions more precisely. Thus, prompt actions can be taken to alleviate the stresses and improve productivity and superior meat quality [96,97]. Currently, meat proteomics is used to assess heat shock proteins, texture, and tenderness biomarkers, which helps predict the quality of meat and stress levels in animals before slaughtering [97–99]. It can be corrected in advance [100]. Deep learning techniques [101] and computer vision approach [102]. In pigs, an elevating concentration of acute phase protein (APP) is observed during stress and suggested for use as a promising biomarker to identify stress conditions rapidly [6,103].

## CONCLUSION

Relevant research revealed that heat stress causes physiological, hormonal, neurological and metabolic reactions and changes in ruminants, pigs, and poultry significantly which is highly connected with meat quality. A significant observation during heat stress is a decrease in muscle glycogen and protein levels and additional reposition of fat stores. In contrast, dehydration diminishes the overall body weight and the amount of dry muscle tissue. Furthermore, heat stress exacerbates oxidative and cellular damage, linked to diminished meat quality and product deterioration. Additionally, summer production has been associated with an increased hazard of foodborne outbreaks compared to winter production. With increased animal productivity, exposure should be given to animal welfare issues regarding better housing, ventilation, and heat management to avoid the detrimental effects of heat stress on animal health and productivity. Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge the special attention on the handling and transporting meat type animals from farms to processing plants to maintain optimum physiological parameters and welfare to maintain product quality in the context of heat stress conditions.

## REFERENCES

1. NASA [National Aeronautics and Space Administration]. Global climate change [Internet]. Vital Signs of the Planet. 2021 [cited 2023 Dec 9]. <https://climate.nasa.gov/evidence/>
2. Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, Tignor MMB, Allen SK, Boschung J, et al. Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Working group I contribution to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2013.
3. Baccini M, Biggeri A, Accetta G, Kosatsky T, Katsouyanni K, Analitis A, et al. Heat effects on mortality in 15 European cities. *Epidemiology*. 2008;1:711-9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e318176bfcd>
4. Zhang M, Dunshea FR, Warner RD, DiGiacomo K, Osei-Amponsah R, Chauhan SS. Impacts of heat stress on meat quality and strategies for amelioration: a review. *Int J*

- Biometeorol. 2020;64:1613-28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-020-01929-6>
5. Ma X, Jiang Z, Zheng C, Hu Y, Wang L. Nutritional regulation for meat quality and nutrient metabolism of pigs exposed to high temperature environment. *Nutr Food Sci*. 2015;5:1-5. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9600.1000420>
  6. Čobanović N, Stajković S, Blagojević B, Betić N, Dimitrijević M, Vasilev D, et al. The effects of season on health, welfare, and carcass and meat quality of slaughter pigs. *Int J Biometeorol*. 2020;64:1899-909. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-020-01977-y>
  7. Rhoads RP, Baumgard LH, Suagee JK, Sanders SR. Nutritional interventions to alleviate the negative consequences of heat stress. *Adv Nutr*. 2013;4:267-76. <https://doi.org/10.3945/an.112.003376>
  8. IPCC [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change]. Special report: global warming of 1.5°C [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2024 Jan 17]. <https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/>
  9. Athira P, Ratnakaran V, Sejian V, Sanjo Jose V, Shalini V, Bagath M, et al. Behavioral responses to livestock adaptation to heat stress challenges. *Asian J Anim Sci*. 2017;11:1-13. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ajas.2017.1.13>
  10. Kumar P, Abubakar AA, Verma AK, Umaraw P, Adewale Ahmed M, Mehta N, et al. New insights in improving sustainability in meat production: opportunities and challenges. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2023;63:11830-58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2022.2096562>
  11. Dennehy DG. Evaluation of Cobb MV x Cobb 500 broiler digestible lysine requirement and response to various nutrient regimens during the finisher phase [Master's thesis]. Mississippi State, MS: Mississippi State University; 2022.
  12. Tallentire CW, Leinonen I, Kyriazakis I. Breeding for efficiency in the broiler chicken: a review. *Agron Sustain Dev*. 2016;36:66. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-016-0398-2>
  13. Goo D, Kim JH, Park GH, Delos Reyes JB, Kil DY. Effect of heat stress and stocking density on growth performance, breast meat quality, and intestinal barrier function in broiler chickens. *Animals*. 2019;9:107. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9030107>
  14. Pardo Z, Fernández-Fígares I, Lachica M, Lara L, Nieto R, Seiquer I. Impact of heat stress on meat quality and antioxidant markers in Iberian pigs. *Antioxidants*. 2021;10:1911. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10121911>
  15. Kadzere CT, Murphy MR, Silanikove N, Maltz E. Heat stress in lactating dairy cows: a review. *Livest Prod Sci*. 2002;77:59-91. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(01\)00330-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(01)00330-X)
  16. Tajima K, Nonaka I, Higuchi K, Takusari N, Kurihara M, Takenaka A, et al. Influence of high temperature and humidity on rumen bacterial diversity in Holstein heifers. *Anaerobe*. 2007;13:57-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2006.12.001>
  17. England EM, Scheffler TL, Kasten SC, Matarneh SK, Gerrard DE. Exploring the unknowns involved in the transformation of muscle to meat. *Meat Sci*. 2013;95:837-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.04.031>
  18. Freitas AS, Carvalho LM, Soares AL, Oliveira MES, Madruga MS, Neto ACS, et al. Pale, soft and exudative (PSE) and dark, firm and dry (DFD) meat determination in broiler chicken raised under tropical climate management conditions. *Int J Poult Sci*. 2017;16:81-7. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2017.81.87>
  19. Kim BYH, Warner RD, Rosenvold K. Influence of high pre-rigor temperature and fast pH fall on muscle proteins and meat quality: a review. *Anim Prod Sci*. 2014;54:375-95. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN13329>
  20. Adzitey F, Nurul H. Pale soft exudative (PSE) and dark firm dry (DFD) meats: causes and measures to reduce these incidences. *Int Food Res J*. 2011;18:11-20.
  21. Wang RR, Pan XJ, Peng ZQ. Effects of heat exposure on muscle oxidation and protein

- functionalities of pectoralis majors in broilers. *Poult Sci.* 2009;88:1078-84. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2008-00094>
22. Asseng S, Spänkuch D, Hernandez-Ochoa IM, Laporta J. The upper temperature thresholds of life. *Lancet Planet Health.* 2021;5:E378-85. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(21\)00079-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00079-6)
  23. Hansen PJ. Effects of heat stress on mammalian reproduction. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2009;364:3341-50. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0131>
  24. Houben JH, van Dijk A, Eikelenboom G, Hoving-Bolink AH. Effect of dietary vitamin E supplementation, fat level and packaging on colour stability and lipid oxidation in minced beef. *Meat Sci.* 2000;55:331-6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740\(99\)00161-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(99)00161-8)
  25. Mignon-Grasteau S, Moreri U, Narcy A, Rousseau X, Rodenburg TB, Tixier-Boichard M, et al. Robustness to chronic heat stress in laying hens: a meta-analysis. *Poult Sci.* 2015;94:586-600. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev028>
  26. Morignat E, Perrin JB, Gay E, Vinard JL, Calavas D, Hénaux V. Assessment of the impact of the 2003 and 2006 heat waves on cattle mortality in France. *PLOS ONE.* 2014;9:e93176. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093176>
  27. Schauburger G, Mikovits C, Zollitsch W, Hörtenhuber SJ, Baumgartner J, Niebuhr K, et al. Global warming impact on confined livestock in buildings: efficacy of adaptation measures to reduce heat stress for growing-fattening pigs. *Clim Change.* 2019;156:567-87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02525-3>
  28. Renaudeau D, Collin A, Yahav S, de Basilio V, Gourdine JL, Collier RJ. Adaptation to hot climate and strategies to alleviate heat stress in livestock production. *Animal.* 2012;6:707-28. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731111002448>
  29. Gonzalez-Rivas PA, DiGiacomo K, Giraldo PA, Leury BJ, Cottrell JJ, Dunshea FR. Reducing rumen starch fermentation of wheat with three percent sodium hydroxide has the potential to ameliorate the effect of heat stress in grain-fed wethers. *J Anim Sci.* 2017;95:5547-62. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas2017.1843>
  30. Lara LJ, Rostagno MH. Impact of heat stress on poultry production. *Animals.* 2013;3:356-69. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani3020356>
  31. Deeb N, Shlosberg A, Cahaner A. Genotype-by-environment interaction with broiler genotypes differing in growth rate. 4. Association between responses to heat stress and to cold-induced ascites. *Poult Sci.* 2002;81:1454-62. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/81.10.1454>
  32. Chiang W, Booren A, Strasburg G. The effect of heat stress on thyroid hormone response and meat quality in turkeys of two genetic lines. *Meat Sci.* 2008;80:615-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2008.02.012>
  33. Silva JE. The thermogenic effect of thyroid hormone and its clinical implications. *Ann Intern Med.* 2003;139:205-13. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-139-3-200308050-00010>
  34. Tao X, Zhang ZY, Dong H, Zhang H, Xin H. Responses of thyroid hormones of market-size broilers to thermoneutral constant and warm cyclic temperatures. *Poult Sci.* 2006;85:1520-8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/85.9.1520>
  35. Dai SF, Gao F, Xu XL, Zhang WH, Song SX, Zhou GH. Effects of dietary glutamine and gamma-aminobutyric acid on meat colour, pH, composition, and water-holding characteristic in broilers under cyclic heat stress. *Br Poult Sci.* 2012;53:471-81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2012.719148>
  36. Akter Y, O'Shea CJ, Moore D, Hutchison CL. The response of growth performance and meat quality of broiler chickens to an experimental model of cyclical heat stress. In: 28th Annual Australian Poultry Science Symposium; 2017; Sydney, New South Wales. p. 237-40.



37. Imik H, Atasever MA, Urcar S, Ozlu H, Gumus R, Atasever M. Meat quality of heat stress exposed broilers and effect of protein and vitamin E. *Br Poult Sci.* 2012;53:689-98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2012.736609>
38. Lu Z, He X, Ma B, Zhang L, Li J, Jiang Y, et al. Chronic heat stress impairs the quality of breast-muscle meat in broilers by affecting redox status and energy-substance metabolism. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2017;65:11251-8. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b04428>
39. Shakeri M, Cottrell JJ, Wilkinson S, Ringuet M, Furness JB, Dunshea FR. Betaine and antioxidants improve growth performance, breast muscle development and ameliorate thermoregulatory responses to cyclic heat exposure in broiler chickens. *Animals.* 2018;8:162. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani8100162>
40. Zhang ZY, Jia GQ, Zuo JJ, Zhang Y, Lei J, Ren L, et al. Effects of constant and cyclic heat stress on muscle metabolism and meat quality of broiler breast fillet and thigh meat. *Poult Sci.* 2012;91:2931-7. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02255>
41. Sandercock DA, Hunter RR, Nute GR, Mitchell MA, Hocking PM. Acute heat stress-induced alterations in blood acid-base status and skeletal muscle membrane integrity in broiler chickens at two ages: implications for meat quality. *Poult Sci.* 2001;80:418-25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/80.4.418>
42. Gregory NG. How climatic changes could affect meat quality. *Food Res Int.* 2010;43:1866-73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.05.018>
43. Suman SP, Joseph P. Myoglobin chemistry and meat color. *Annu Rev Food Sci Technol.* 2013;4:79-99. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-food-030212-182623>
44. Hughes J, Clarke F, Purslow P, Warner R. A high rigor temperature, not sarcomere length, determines light scattering properties and muscle colour in beef *M. sternomandibularis* meat and muscle fibres. *Meat Sci.* 2018;145:1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.05.011>
45. Dubey S, Brahmabhatta MN, Singh RV, Gupta B, Sharma N, Patel R, et al. Epidemiology and techno-economical aspect of haemorrhagic septicaemia in India: a review. *Rakasah Tech Rev;* 2021;11:6-16.
46. McPhail NG, Stark JL, Ball AJ, Warner RD. Factors influencing the occurrence of high ultimate pH in three muscles of lamb carcasses in Australia. *Anim Prod Sci.* 2014;54:1853-9. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN14315>
47. Boykin CA, Eastwood LC, Harris MK, Hale DS, Kerth CR, Griffin DB, et al. National Beef Quality Audit – 2016: survey of carcass characteristics through instrument grading assessments. *J Anim Sci.* 2017;95:3003-11. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2017.1544>
48. Pighin DG, Brown W, Ferguson DM, Fisher AD, Warner RD. Relationship between changes in core body temperature in lambs and post-slaughter muscle glycogen content and dark-cutting. *Anim Prod Sci.* 2014;54:459-63. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN12379>
49. Strydom P, Lühl J, Kahl C, Hoffman LC. Comparison of shear force tenderness, drip and cooking loss, and ultimate muscle pH of the loin muscle among grass-fed steers of four major beef crosses slaughtered in Namibia. *S Afr J Anim Sci.* 2016;46:348-59. <https://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v46i4.2>
50. Warner RD, Dunshea FR, Gutzke D, Lau J, Kearney G. Factors influencing the incidence of high rigor temperature in beef carcasses in Australia. *Anim Prod Sci.* 2014;54:363-74. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN13455>
51. Archana PR, Sejian V, Ruban W, Bagath M, Krishnan G, Aleena J, et al. Comparative assessment of heat stress induced changes in carcass traits, plasma leptin profile and skeletal muscle myostatin and HSP70 gene expression patterns between indigenous Osmanabadi and Salem Black goat breeds. *Meat Sci.* 2018;141:66-80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/>

- j.meatsci.2018.03.015
52. Panjono P, Kang SM, Lee IS, Kim YJ, Lee SK. Relationship of slaughter age and carcass traits of Hanwoo cattle. *Korean J Food Sci Anim.* 2009;29:550-6. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2009.29.5.550>
  53. Panjono, Kang SM, Lee IS, Lee SK. The quality characteristics of *M. longissimus* from Hanwoo (Korean cattle) steer with different raising altitudes and slaughter seasons. *Livest Sci.* 2011;136:240-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2010.09.015>
  54. Jacob RH, Pearce KL, Pethick DW. Dehydration does not change the eating quality of lamb meat. In: Troy D, Pearce R, Byrne B, Kerry J, editors. 52nd international congress of meat science and technology. Wageningen: Wageningen Academic; 2006. p. 129-30.
  55. Jacob RH, Pethick DW, Clark P, D'Souza DN, Hopkins DL, White J. Quantifying the hydration status of lambs in relation to carcass characteristics. *Aust J Exp Agric.* 2006;46:429-37. <https://doi.org/10.1071/EA04093>
  56. European Food Safety Authority, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. The European Union One Health 2021 Zoonoses Report. *EFSA J.* 2022;20:e07666. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2022.7666>
  57. Mkangara M. Prevention and control of human *Salmonella enterica* infections: an implication in food safety. *Int J Food Sci.* 2023;2023:8899596. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/8899596>
  58. Rostagno MH. Can stress in farm animals increase food safety risk? *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* 2009;6:767-76. <https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2009.0315>
  59. Galán-Relaño Á, Valero Díaz A, Huerta Lorenzo B, Gómez-Gascón L, Mena Rodríguez MÁ, Carrasco Jiménez E, et al. *Salmonella* and salmonellosis: an update on public health implications and control strategies. *Animals.* 2023;13:3666. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13233666>
  60. Gabler NK, Pearce SC. The impact of heat stress on intestinal function and productivity in grow-finish pigs. *Anim Prod Sci.* 2015;55:1403-10. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN15280>
  61. Mulder A, van de Graaf AA, Robertson LA, Kuenen JG. Anaerobic ammonium oxidation discovered in a denitrifying fluidized bed reactor. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 1995;16:177-83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1995.tb00281.x>
  62. Firrman J, Liu L, Mahalak K, Tanes C, Bittinger K, Tu V, et al. The impact of environmental pH on the gut microbiota community structure and short chain fatty acid production. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2022;98:fiac038. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiac038>
  63. Alhenaky A, Abdelqader A, Abuajamieh M, Al-Fataftah AR. The effect of heat stress on intestinal integrity and *Salmonella* invasion in broiler birds. *J Therm Biol.* 2017;70:9-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2017.10.015>
  64. Buder C, Meemken D, Fürstenberg R, Langforth S, Kirse A, Langkabel N. Drinking pipes and nipple drinkers in pig abattoir lairage pens—a source of zoonotic pathogens as a hazard to meat safety. *Microorganisms.* 2023;11:2554. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11102554>
  65. Hellberg RS, Chu E. Effects of climate change on the persistence and dispersal of foodborne bacterial pathogens in the outdoor environment: a review. *Crit Rev Microbiol.* 2016;42:548-72. <https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2014.972335>
  66. Self JL, Luna-Gierke RE, Fothergill A, Holt KG, Vieira AR. Outbreaks attributed to pork in the United States, 1998–2015. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2017;145:2980-90. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268817002114>
  67. Chai SJ, Cole D, Nisler A, Mahon BE. Poultry: the most common food in outbreaks with known pathogens, United States, 1998–2012. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2017;145:316-25. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268817002114>

- org/10.1017/S0950268816002375
68. Skarp CPA, Hänninen ML, Rautelin HIK. Campylobacteriosis: the role of poultry meat. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2016;22:103-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2015.11.019>
  69. Wells JE, Berry ED, Kim M, Shackelford SD, Hales KE. Evaluation of commercial  $\beta$ -agonists, dietary protein, and shade on fecal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from feedlot cattle. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* 2017;14:649-55. <https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2017.2313>
  70. Marchewka J, Sztandarski P, Solka M, Louton H, Rath K, Vogt L, et al. Linking key husbandry factors to the intrinsic quality of broiler meat. *Poult Sci.* 2023;102:102384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.102384>
  71. Matarneh SK, Scheffler TL, Gerrard DE. The conversion of muscle to meat. In: Toldrá F, editor. *Lawrie's meat science*. 9th ed. Cambridge, MA: Woodhead Publishing; 2023. p. 159-94.
  72. Terlouw EMC, Picard B, Deiss V, Berri C, Hocquette JF, Lebreton B, et al. Understanding the determination of meat quality using biochemical characteristics of the muscle: stress at slaughter and other missing keys. *Foods.* 2021;10:84. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10010084>
  73. Rocha LM, Velarde A, Dalmau A, Saucier L, Faucitano L. Can the monitoring of animal welfare parameters predict pork meat quality variation through the supply chain (from farm to slaughter)? *J Anim Sci.* 2016;94:359-76. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9176>
  74. Van Laer E, Moons CPH, Sonck B, Tuytens FAM. Importance of outdoor shelter for cattle in temperate climates. *Livest Sci.* 2014;159:87-101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.11.003>
  75. Liu HW, Cao Y, Zhou DW. Effects of shade on welfare and meat quality of grazing sheep under high ambient temperature. *J Anim Sci.* 2012;90:4764-70. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2012-5361>
  76. DiGiacomo K, Warner RD, Leury BJ, Gaughan JB, Dunshea FR. Dietary betaine supplementation has energy-sparing effects in feedlot cattle during summer, particularly in those without access to shade. *Anim Prod Sci.* 2014;54:450-8. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN13418>
  77. Aggarwal A, Upadhyay R. *Heat stress and animal productivity*. New Delhi: Springer; 2013.
  78. Xing T, Xu X, Jiang N, Deng S. Effect of transportation and pre-slaughter water shower spray with resting on AMP-activated protein kinase, glycolysis and meat quality of broilers during summer. *Anim Sci J.* 2016;87:299-307. <https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12426>
  79. Driessen B, Van Beirendonck S, Buyse J. Effects of housing, short distance transport and lairage on meat quality of finisher pigs. *Animals.* 2020;10:788. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10050788>
  80. Albert F, Kovács-Weber M, Bodnár Á, Pajor F, Egerszegi I. Seasonal effects on the performance of finishing pigs' carcass and meat quality in indoor environments. *Animals.* 2024;14:259. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14020259>
  81. Pasquale V. Effects of space allowance on behaviour, physiology, skin lesions and meat quality of pigs transported in an actively ventilated vehicle in the summer and winter [Master's thesis]. Ontario: University of Guelph; 2022.
  82. Arsenoaia VN, Mălăncuș RN. Indicators of pre-slaughter stress in pigs and their impact on meat quality. *J Appl Life Sci Environ.* 2023;56:15-23. <https://doi.org/10.46909/alse-561082>
  83. Liu F, Celi P, Chauhan SS, Cottrell JJ, Leury BJ, Dunshea FR. A short-term supranutritional vitamin E supplementation alleviated respiratory alkalosis but did not reduce oxidative stress in heat stressed pigs. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci.* 2018;31:263-9. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.17.0256>

84. Baldi G, Chauhan SS, Linden N, Dunshea FR, Hopkins DL, Sgoifo Rossi CA, et al. Comparison of a grain-based diet supplemented with synthetic vitamin E versus a lucerne (alfalfa) hay-based diet fed to lambs in terms of carcass traits, muscle vitamin E, fatty acid content, lipid oxidation, and retail colour of meat. *Meat Sci.* 2019;148:105-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.10.013>
85. Shakeri M, Cottrell JJ, Wilkinson S, Le HH, Suleria HAR, Warner RD, et al. Growth performance and characterization of meat quality of broiler chickens supplemented with betaine and antioxidants under cyclic heat stress. *Antioxidants.* 2019;8:336. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox8090336>
86. Fu Q, Leng ZX, Ding LR, Wang T, Wen C, Zhou YM. Complete replacement of supplemental DL-methionine by betaine affects meat quality and amino acid contents in broilers. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2016;212:63-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.12.004>
87. He S, Zhao S, Dai S, Liu D, Bokhari SG. Effects of dietary betaine on growth performance, fat deposition and serum lipids in broilers subjected to chronic heat stress. *Anim Sci J.* 2015;86:897-903. <https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12372>
88. Sahin K, Ozbey O, Onderci M, Cikim G, Aysondu MH. Chromium supplementation can alleviate negative effects of heat stress on egg production, egg quality and some serum metabolites of laying Japanese quail. *J Nutr.* 2002;132:1265-8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/132.6.1265>
89. Zhang C, Wang L, Zhao XH, Chen XY, Yang L, Geng ZY. Dietary resveratrol supplementation prevents transport-stress-impaired meat quality of broilers through maintaining muscle energy metabolism and antioxidant status. *Poult Sci.* 2017;96:2219-25. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex004>
90. Hutchings NJ, Sørensen P, Cordovil CMS, Leip A, Amon B. Measures to increase the nitrogen use efficiency of European agricultural production. *Glob Food Secur.* 2020;26:100381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100381>
91. Adegbeye MJ, Salem AZM, Reddy PRK, Elghandour MMM, Oyebamiji KJ. Waste recycling for the eco-friendly input use efficiency in agriculture and livestock feeding. In: Kumar S, Meena RS, Jhariya MK, editors. *Resources use efficiency in agriculture.* Singapore: Springer; 2020. p. 1-45.
92. Gerber PJ, Uwizeye A, Schulte RPO, Opio CI, de Boer IJM. Nutrient use efficiency: a valuable approach to benchmark the sustainability of nutrient use in global livestock production? *Curr Opin Environ Sustain.* 2014;9-10:122-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2014.09.007>
93. Ponnampalam EN, Holman BWB. Sustainability II: sustainable animal production and meat processing. In: Toldrá F, editor. *Lawrie's meat sci.* 9th ed. Cambridge, MA: Woodhead Publishing; 2023. p. 727-98.
94. Morota G, Ventura RV, Silva FF, Koyama M, Fernando SC. BIG DATA ANALYTICS AND PRECISION ANIMAL AGRICULTURE SYMPOSIUM: machine learning and data mining advance predictive big data analysis in precision animal agriculture. *J Anim Sci.* 2018;96:1540-50. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/sky014>
95. Singh M, Kumar R, Tandon D, Sood P, Sharma M. Artificial intelligence and IOT based monitoring of poultry health: a review. In: 2020 IEEE International Conference on Communication, Networks and Satellite (Comnetsat); 2020; Batam, Indonesia. p. 50-4.
96. Neethirajan S, Kemp B. Digital livestock farming. *Sens Biosensing Res.* 2021;32:100408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbsr.2021.100408>

97. Loudon KMW, Tarr G, Pethick DW, Lean IJ, Polkinghorne R, Mason M, et al. The use of biochemical measurements to identify pre-slaughter stress in pasture finished beef cattle. *Animals*. 2019;9:503. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9080503>
98. Marco-Ramell A, Pato R, Peña R, Saco Y, Manteca X, Ruiz de la Torre JL, et al. Identification of serum stress biomarkers in pigs housed at different stocking densities. *Vet J*. 2011;190:e66-71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2011.01.003>
99. Xing T, Wang MF, Han MY, Zhu XS, Xu XL, Zhou GH. Expression of heat shock protein 70 in transport-stressed broiler pectoralis major muscle and its relationship with meat quality. *Animal*. 2017;11:1599-607. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731116002809>
100. Kumar P, Ahmed MA, Abubakar AA, Hayat MN, Kaka U, Ajat M, et al. Improving animal welfare status and meat quality through assessment of stress biomarkers: a critical review. *Meat Sci*. 2023;197:109048. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.109048>
101. Cowton J, Kyriazakis I, Plötz T, Bacardit J. A combined deep learning gru-autoencoder for the early detection of respiratory disease in pigs using multiple environmental sensors. *Sensors*. 2018;18:2521. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s18082521>
102. Jorquera-Chavez M, Fuentes S, Dunshea FR, Warner RD, Poblete T, Jongman EC. Modelling and validation of computer vision techniques to assess heart rate, eye temperature, ear-base temperature and respiration rate in cattle. *Animals*. 2019;9:1089. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9121089>
103. Gruber SL, Tatum JD, Engle TE, Chapman PL, Belk KE, Smith GC. Relationships of behavioral and physiological symptoms of preslaughter stress to beef longissimus muscle tenderness. *J Anim Sci*. 2010;88:1148-59. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2009-2183>
104. Seibert JT, Abuajamieh M, Sanz Fernandez MV, Johnson JS, Kvidera SK, Horst EA, et al. Effects of heat stress and insulin sensitizers on pig adipose tissue. *J Anim Sci*. 2018;96:510-20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skx067>
105. Shi ZB, Ma XY, Zheng CT, Hu YJ, Yang XF, Gao KG, et al. Effects of high ambient temperature on meat quality, serum hormone concentrations, and gene expression in the longissimus dorsi muscle of finishing pigs. *Anim Prod Sci*. 57:1031-9. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN15003>
106. Cruzen SM, Baumgard LH, Gabler NK, Pearce SC, Lonergan SM. Temporal proteomic response to acute heat stress in the porcine muscle sarcoplasm. *J Anim Sci*. 2017;95:3961-71. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2017.1375>
107. Yang P, Hao Y, Feng J, Lin H, Feng Y, Wu X, et al. The expression of carnosine and its effect on the antioxidant capacity of longissimus dorsi muscle in finishing pigs exposed to constant heat stress. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*. 2014;27:1763-72. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2014.14063>
108. Kadim IT, Mahgoub O, Khalaf S. Effects of the transportation during hot season and electrical stimulation on meat quality characteristics of goat Longissimus dorsi muscle. *Small Rumin Res*. 2014;121:120-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2014.01.010>
109. Kadim IT, Al-Qamshui BHA, Mahgoub O, Al-Marzooqi W, Johnson EH. Effect of seasonal temperatures and ascorbic acid supplementation on performance of broiler chickens maintained in closed and open-sided houses. *Int J Poult Sci*. 2008;7:655-60. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2008.655.660>