

## SOME COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS WITH CONVERSE COMMUTING MAPPINGS IN BICOMPLEX-VALUED PROBABILISTIC METRIC SPACE

SARMILA BHATTACHARYYA<sup>a,\*</sup>, TANMAY BISWAS<sup>b</sup> AND CHINMAY BISWAS<sup>c</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The probabilistic metric space as one of the important generalizations of metric space, was introduced by Menger [16] in 1942. Later, Choi et al. [6] initiated the notion of bicomplex-valued metric spaces (bi-CVMS). Recently, Bhattacharyya et al. [3] linked the concept of bicomplex-valued metric spaces and menger spaces, and initiated menger space with bicomplex-valued metric. Here, in this paper, we have taken probabilistic metric space with bicomplex-valued metric, i.e., bicomplex-valued probabilistic metric space and proved some common fixed point theorems using converse commuting mappings in this space.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theorems are of fundamental importance in many areas of Mathematics. Several fixed point theorems are established on metric space theory. In 1986, Jungck [9] introduced the notion of compatible mappings in metric space. Later on, Jungck et al. [10] studied the notion of weakly compatible mappings and improved the commutativity conditions in common fixed point theorems. In 2002, Lü [14] presented the concept of the converse commuting mappings as a reverse process of weakly compatible mappings and proved few common fixed point theorems for single-valued mappings in metric spaces. Then some interesting common fixed point theorems were established for converse commuting mappings by several researchers. For examples, one may see [5, 17, 18, 19, 23].

However, in 1942, K. Menger [16] was the first who thought distance distribution function in metric space and introduced the concept of probabilistic metric space.

---

Received by the editors April 4, 2024. Revised May 22, 2024, Accepted May 26, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30G35, 47H10, 54E70.

*Key words and phrases.* probabilistic metric spaces, conversely commuting mappings, commuting point, fixed point.

\*Corresponding author.

He [16] replaced the distance function  $d(x, y)$ , the distance between two points  $x$  and  $y$  by distribution function  $F_{x,y}(t)$ , where the value of  $F_{x,y}(t)$  is interpreted as the probability that the distance between  $x, y$ , i.e.,  $d(x, y)$  is less than  $t, t > 0$ .

In this connection, the definition of probabilistic metric space is given as follows:

**Definition 1.1** ([16, 22]). A probabilistic metric space (briefly PM space) is an ordered pair  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ , where  $X$  is a non-empty set of elements and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a mapping of  $X \times X$  into a collection  $\Delta_+$  of all distribution functions  $F$  (a distribution function  $F$  is a nondecreasing and left continuous mapping from the set of real numbers to  $[0, 1]$  with  $\inf F(t) = 0$  and  $\sup F(t) = 1$ ). The value of  $F$  at  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  will be denoted by  $F_{x,y}$ . The function  $F_{x,y}, x, y \in X$ , are assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

- (a)  $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (b)  $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$ ,
- (c)  $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$ , and
- (d) If  $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$  and  $F_{y,z}(s) = 1$ , then  $F_{x,z}(t + s) = 1$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $s, t \geq 0$ .

After that, many mathematicians proved several fixed point results in probabilistic metric spaces and menger spaces. In 2013, Chauhan et al. [4] proved some common fixed point theorems for conversely commuting mappings using implicit relations in menger space.

On the other hand, in 2011, Azam et al. [1] introduced the notion of complex valued metric space (CVMS) as a generalization and extension of cone metric space and classical metric space. Considering the idea of CVMS, as introduced by Azam et al. [1], Kumar et al. [12] proved some common fixed point theorems for conversely commuting mapping in complex valued metric space in 2014. Again in 2017, Choi et al. [6] linked the concepts of bicomplex numbers and complex valued metric spaces and introduced bicomplex valued metric spaces (bi-CVMS). For more details in the direction of CVMS and bi-CVMS, we refer the researchers [2, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15].

The set of bicomplex numbers denoted by  $\mathbb{C}_2$  is the first setting in an infinite sequence of multicomplex sets which are generalizations of the set of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C} = \{z = x + iy | x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } i^2 = -1\}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  be the sets of real numbers. For the idea and characteristics of bi-CVMS, one may see [20, 21]. However, we discuss briefly about the bicomplex numbers as follows:

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{w = p_0 + i_1 p_1 + i_2 p_2 + i_1 i_2 p_3 | p_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = 0, 1, 2, 3\}.$$

Each element  $w$  in  $\mathbb{C}_2$  be written as

$$\begin{aligned} w &= p_0 + i_1 p_1 + i_2(p_2 + i_1 p_3) \\ \text{or } w &= z_1 + i_2 z_2 (z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

So, we can also express  $\mathbb{C}_2$  as

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 | z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

where  $z_1 = p_0 + i_1 p_1$ ,  $z_2 = p_2 + i_1 p_3$  and  $i_1, i_2$  are independent imaginary units such that  $i_1^2 = -1 = i_2^2$ . The product of  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  defines a hyperbolic unit  $j$  such that  $j^2 = 1$ . The products of all units are commutative and satisfy

$$i_1 i_2 = j, i_1 j = -i_2, i_2 j = -i_1.$$

Let  $u = u_1 + i_2 u_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2$  and  $v = v_1 + i_2 v_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2$ . A partial order relation  $\preceq_{i_2}$  defined on  $\mathbb{C}_2$ , for details one may see [6]. A norm of a bicomplex number  $w = z_1 + i_2 z_2$  denoted by  $\|w\|$  is defined by

$$\|w\| = \|z_1 + i_2 z_2\| = (|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which, upon choosing  $w = p_0 + i_1 p_1 + i_2 p_2 + i_1 i_2 p_3$  ( $p_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ), gives

$$\|w\| = (p_0^2 + p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For details about bicomplex numbers, one may see [20]. For any two bicomplex numbers  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}_2$ , one can easily verify that  $0 \preceq_{i_2} u \preceq_{i_2} v$  which implies  $\|u\| \leq \|v\|$ ;  $\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$ ;  $\|\alpha u\| \leq \alpha \|u\|$  where  $\alpha$  is non-negative real number. Choi et al. [6] have defined a bicomplex-valued metric as follows:

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2$  be a bicomplex-valued metric on  $X$  if it satisfies the following properties: For  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (M<sub>1</sub>)  $0 \preceq_{i_2} d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (M<sub>2</sub>)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (M<sub>3</sub>)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ; and
- (M<sub>4</sub>)  $d(x, y) \preceq_{i_2} d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then  $(X, d)$  is called a bicomplex-valued metric space.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$  if and only if

$$Sx = Tx = x.$$

The self maps  $S$  and  $T$  are said to be commuting (see [14]) if  $STx = T Sx$  for all  $x \in X$ , and the point  $x$  is called commuting point. Two self maps  $S$  and  $T$  are said

to be conversely commuting if  $STx = TSx$  implies  $Sx = Tx$  for all  $x \in X$ . The set of converse commuting points of  $S$  and  $T$  is denoted by  $C(S, T)$ .

Recently, Bhattacharyya et al. [3] linked the concept of bicomplex valued metric spaces and menger spaces, where they interpreted  $Fx, y(t)$  as the probability that the norm of the distance between  $x$  and  $y$  is less than  $t$ , i.e.,  $\|d(x, y)\| < t, t > 0$  and they initiated menger space with bicomplex valued metric. They [3] have also proved certain common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings satisfying  $(CLR_g)$  or  $(E.A)$  property in this space. Here, in this paper, we have taken probabilistic metric space with bicomplex-valued metric, i.e., probabilistic bicomplex-valued metric space and proved some common fixed point theorems using converse commuting mappings in this space.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we have established the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a set of elements and  $(X, F)$  be a bicomplex-valued probabilistic metric space. Let  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  be four self maps on  $X$  such that*

(i).  *$(P, S)$  and  $(Q, T)$  are conversely commuting,*

(ii).  *$P$  and  $S$  have a commuting point,*

(iii).  *$Q$  and  $T$  have a commuting point, and*

(iv).  *$F_{Px, Qy}(t) \geq \max\{F_{Px, Sx}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{Sx, Ty}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{Qy, Ty}(\frac{t}{\alpha})\}$  where  $\alpha \in (0, 1), t > 0$  and for all  $x, y \in X$ .*

*Then  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.*

*Proof.* From (ii),  $P$  and  $S$  have a commuting point, say  $a$ . So,

$$(2.1) \quad PSa = SPa.$$

Also, from (iii),  $Q$  and  $T$  have a commuting point, say  $b$ . So,

$$(2.2) \quad QTb = TQb.$$

Since  $P$  and  $S$  are conversely commuting, therefore

$$(2.3) \quad Pa = Sa.$$

Also, as  $Q$  and  $T$  are conversely commuting, so

$$(2.4) \quad Qb = Tb.$$

Then from (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad PPa = PSa = SPa = SSa$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad QQb = QTb = TQb = TTb.$$

Now from (iv) using (2.3) and (2.4), we get by putting  $x = a$  and  $y = b$  that,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Pa,Qb}(t) &\geq \max\{F_{Pa, Sa}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right), F_{Sa, Tb}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right), F_{Qb, Tb}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right)\} \\ &= \max\{1, F_{Sa, Tb}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right), 1\} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$F_{Pa,Qb}(t) \geq 1.$$

But

$$F_{Pa,Qb}(t) \not\geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$F_{Pa,Qb}(t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Which implies that

$$(2.7) \quad Pa = Qb.$$

Now we show that  $Pa$  is a fixed point of the mapping  $P$ . Taking  $x = Pa$  and  $y = b$  in (iv), and using (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{PPa,Qb}(t) &\geq \max\{F_{PPa, SPa}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right), F_{SPa, Tb}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right), F_{Qb, Tb}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right)\} \\ &= \max\{1, F_{SPa, Tb}\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right), 1\} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_{PPa,Qb}(t) \not\geq 1$ , we must have  $F_{PPa,Qb}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . Hence, by (2.7), we get that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{PPa, Pa}(t) &= 1 \text{ for all } t > 0. \\ \text{So, } PPa &= Pa. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Pa$  is a fixed point of  $P$ .

Again with the help of (2.3) and (2.6) and putting  $x = a$  and  $y = Qb$  in (iv), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{Pa,QQb}(t) \\ \geq & \max\{F_{Pa, Sa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{Sa, TQb}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{QQb, TQb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})\} \\ = & \max\{1, F_{Sa, TQb}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), 1\} \\ = & 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $F_{Pa,QQb}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , as  $F_{Pa,QQb}(t) \not\neq 1$ .

So, using (2.7), we get that  $F_{Qb,QQb}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . Then

$$(2.9) \quad Qb = QQb.$$

Therefore, from (2.7) and (2.9), it follows that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} Pa &= Qb = QQb = QPa, \\ \text{i.e., } QPa &= Pa. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $Pa$  is a fixed point of  $Q$ .

On the other hand, using (2.5) and (2.8), we get that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} Pa &= PPa = PSa = SPa, \\ \text{i.e., } SPa &= Pa. \end{aligned}$$

Also, using (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9), we obtain that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} Pa &= Qb = QQb = TQb = TPa, \\ \text{i.e., } TPa &= Pa. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (2.8), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we see that  $Pa$  is a common fixed point of  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $S$  and  $T$ .

Now, to show the uniqueness, let, if possible,  $\omega$  be another fixed point of  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $S$  and  $T$  in  $X$ .

Taking  $x = Pa$  and  $y = \omega$  in (iv), we have

$$F_{PPa, Q\omega}(t) \geq \max\{F_{PPa, SPa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{SPa, T\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{Q\omega, T\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})\}.$$

Since  $Pa$  and  $\omega$  are common fixed points of  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$ , so from above we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Pa,\omega}(t) &\geq \max\{F_{Pa,Pa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{Pa,\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{\omega,\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})\} \\ &= \max\{1, F_{Pa,\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), 1\} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F_{Pa,\omega}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , as  $F_{Pa,\omega}(t) \not\geq 1$ .

Which implies  $Pa = \omega$ .

Therefore,  $Pa$  is the unique common fixed point of  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  in  $X$ . □

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = [1, \infty)$  and a mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2$  be defined by

$$d(x, y) = (1 + i_1 + i_2 + i_1i_2)|x - y|, \quad x, y \in X,$$

where the symbol  $||$  denotes the usual real modulus. So,  $d$  is a bicomplex-valued metric on  $X$ . Now we define

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+||d(x,y)||}, & t > 0 \\ 0, & t = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then  $(X, F)$  is a probabilistic metric space with bicomplex-valued metric. Now the self-mappings  $P, Q, S, T$  are defined on  $X$  as

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= \begin{cases} 2x - 1, & x < 2, \\ 1, & x \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ Q(x) &= \begin{cases} 2x - 1, & x < 2, \\ 2, & x \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ S(x) &= \begin{cases} x^2, & x < 2, \\ x + 3, & x \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ T(x) &= \begin{cases} 3x^2 - 2, & x < 2, \\ x^2 + 1, & x \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here the pairs  $(P, S)$  and  $(Q, T)$  are conversely commuting. All conditions of the Theorem 2.1 are satisfied by the mappings and 1 is the unique common fixed point of the mappings  $P, Q, S, T$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a set of elements and  $(X, F)$  is a bicomplex-valued probabilistic metric space. Let  $P$  and  $S$  be self maps on  $X$  such that

- (i). the pair  $(P, S)$  is conversely commuting,
- (ii).  $P$  and  $S$  have a commuting point, and
- (iii).  $F_{Px,Py}(t) \geq \max\{F_{Px,Sx}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{Sx,Sy}(\frac{t}{\alpha}), F_{Py,Sy}(\frac{t}{\alpha})\}$  where  $\alpha \in (0, 1), t > 0$  and for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Then  $P$  and  $S$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* The proof can be established easily by taking  $P = Q$  and  $S = T$  in Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(X, F)$  be a bicomplex-valued probabilistic metric space. Let  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  are self mappings on  $X$  such that*

(i). *the pairs  $(P, T)$  and  $(Q, S)$  are conversely commuting,*

(ii).  *$P$  and  $T$  have a commuting point,*

(iii).  *$Q$  and  $S$  have a commuting point, and*

(iv).  *$F_{Px, Qy}(t) \geq \max\left\{\frac{F_{Px, Tx}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Tx, Sy}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \frac{F_{Px, Tx}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Sy, Qy}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \frac{F_{Px, Sy}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Tx, Qy}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}\right\}$*

*for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $t > 0$ . Then  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be commuting points of the pairs  $(P, T)$  and  $(Q, S)$  respectively.

Therefore,

$$(2.13) \quad PTa = TPa$$

$$(2.14) \quad \text{and } Q Sb = S Q b.$$

Again, the pairs  $(P, T)$  and  $(Q, S)$  are conversely commuting, so

$$(2.15) \quad Pa = Ta$$

$$(2.16) \quad \text{and } Qb = Sb.$$

From (2.13), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$(2.17) \quad PPa = PTa = TPa = TTa$$

$$(2.18) \quad \text{and } QQb = Q Sb = S Q b = SSb.$$

Now we try to establish

$$Pa = Qb.$$

In (iv), putting  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{Pa, Qb}(t) \\ & \geq \max \left\{ \frac{F_{Pa, Ta}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Ta, Sb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{F_{Pa, Ta}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Sb, Qb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \frac{F_{Pa, Sb}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Ta, Qb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$



Hence in view of (2.15) and (2.16), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{Pa,Qb}(t) \\ & \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1 + F_{Ta,Sb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, 1, \frac{F_{Pa,Sb}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Ta,Qb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2} \right\} \\ & = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_{Pa,Qb}(t) \not\equiv 1$ , we must have  $F_{Pa,Qb}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Which implies that

$$(2.19) \quad Pa = Qb.$$

Next we show that

$$P^2a = PPa = Pa.$$

Taking  $x = Pa, y = b$  in (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{P^2a,Qb}(t) \\ & \geq \max \left\{ \frac{F_{PPa,TPa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{TPa,Sb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{F_{PPa,TPa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Sb,Qb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \frac{F_{PPa,Sb}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{TPa,Qb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{P^2a,Qb}(t) \\ & \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1 + F_{TPa,Sb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, 1, \frac{F_{PPa,Sb}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{TPa,Qb}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2} \right\} \\ & = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_{P^2a,Qb}(t) \not\equiv 1$ , we must have  $F_{P^2a,Qb}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Therefore, from (2.19) and above, we get that

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} P^2a &= Qb = Pa, \\ \text{i.e., } P^2a &= Pa. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$(2.21) \quad Q^2b = Qb.$$

Hence it follows that

$$Pa = PPa = PTa = TPa,$$

which implies that

$$(2.22) \quad TPa = Pa.$$

Again by (2.18) and (2.21), we have

$$Qb = QQb = Q Sb = SQb.$$

As  $Pa = Qb$ , so we get from above,

$$(2.23) \quad SPa = Pa.$$

Also,  $QQb = Qb$  implies that

$$(2.24) \quad QPa = Pa.$$

From (2.20), (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24), we can show that  $Pa$  is a common fixed point of  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$ .

To show the uniqueness, let, if possible,  $\omega$  be another fixed point of  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  in  $X$ .

Taking  $x = Pa$  and  $y = \omega$  in (iv), we have

$$F_{PPa, Q\omega}(t) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{F_{PPa, TPa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{TPa, S\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \frac{F_{PPa, TPa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{S\omega, Q\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \frac{F_{PPa, S\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{TPa, Q\omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2} \right\}.$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Pa, \omega}(t) &\geq \max \left\{ \frac{F_{Pa, Pa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Pa, \omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \frac{F_{Pa, Pa}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{\omega, \omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, \frac{F_{Pa, \omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) + F_{Pa, \omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{1 + F_{Pa, \omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha})}{2}, 1, F_{Pa, \omega}(\frac{t}{\alpha}) \right\} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F_{Pa, \omega}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , as  $F_{Pa, \omega}(t) \not\geq 1$ .

Which implies that  $Pa = \omega$ .

Therefore,  $Pa$  is the unique common fixed point of  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  in  $X$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are very much thankful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions to bring the paper in its present form.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Azam, F. Brain & M. Khan: Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **32** (2011), no. 3, 243-253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2011.533046>
2. R. Agarwal, M.P. Goswami & R.P. Agarwal: Bicomplex version of Stieltjes transform and applications. *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. B Appl. Algorithms* **21** (2014), 229-246.
3. S. Bhattacharyya, C. Biswas & T. Biswas: Common fixed point theorems in connection with two weakly compatible mappings in menger space with bicomplex-valued metric. *Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **12**, (2024), no. (1, 9), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.21608/EJMAA.2024.243997.1087>
4. S. Chauhan & H. Sahper: Common fixed point theorems for conversely commuting mappings using implicit relations. *J. Oper. Hindawi* **2013**, Article ID 391474, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/391474>
5. S. Chauhan & B.D. Pant: Fixed points of weakly compatible mappings using common (E.A) like property. *Matematiche* **68** (2013) no. 1, 99-116.
6. J. Choi, S.K. Datta, T. Biswas & Md N. Islam: Some fixed point theorems in connection with two weakly compatible mappings in bicomplex valued metric spaces. *Honam Math. J.* **39** (2017), no. 1, 115-126. <https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2017.39.1.115>
7. A.J. Gnanaprakasam, S.M. Boulaaras, G. Mani, B. Cherif & S.A. Idris: Solving system of linear equations via bicomplex valued metric space. *Demonstr. Math.* **54** (2021), 474-487. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2021-0046>
8. Md N. Islam: Fixed point theorems based on well-posedness and periodic point property in ordered bicomplex valued metric spaces. *Malaya J. Mat.* **9** (2020), no. 1, 9-19. <https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0901/0002>
9. G. Jungck: Compatible mappings and common fixed points. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **9** (1986), no. 4, 771-779. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171286000935>
10. G. Jungck & B.E. Rhoades: Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29** (1998), no. 3, 227-238.
11. M.V.R. Kameswari & A. Bharathi: Common fixed points for a pair of maps in bicomplex valued generalized metric spaces. *Adv. Appl. Math. Sci.* **21** (2022), no. 5, 2345-2370.
12. J. Kumar, R. Sharma & A. Singh: Common fixed point theorem for converse commuting maps in complex valued metric spaces. *Int. J. Math. Archive* **5** (2014), no. 6, 215-220.
13. R.G. Lavoie, L. Marchildon & D. Rochon: In finite-dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **1** (2010), no. 2, 75-91. <https://doi.org/10.15352/afa/1399900590>

14. Z.X. Lü: Common fixed points for converse commuting selfmaps on a metric space. *Acta Anal. Funct. Appl.* **4** (2002), no. 3, 226-228 (Chinese).
15. G. Mani, A.J. Gnanaprakasam, R. George & G.D. Mitrovic: The existence of a solution of a nonlinear Fredholm integral equations over bicomplex b-metric spaces. *Gulf J. Math.* **14** (2023), no. 1, 69-83. <https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v14i1.984>
16. K. Menger: Statistical metrics. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.* **28** (1942), 535-537. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.28.12.535>
17. H.K. Pathak & R.K. Verma: Integral type contractive condition for converse commuting mappings. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **3** (2009), no. 24, 1183-1190.
18. H.K. Pathak & R.K. Verma: An Integral type implicit relation for converse commuting mappings. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **3** (2009), no. 24, 1191-1198.
19. V. Popa: A general fixed point theorem for converse commuting multi-valued mappings in symmetric spaces. *Filomat* **21** (2007), no. 2, 267-271.
20. D. Rochon & M. Shapiro: On algebraic properties of bicomplex and hyperbolic numbers. *An. Univ. Oradea Fasc. Mat.* **11** (2004), 71-110.
21. C. Segre: Le rappresentazioni reali delle forme complesse e gli enti iperalgebrici. *Math. Ann.* **40** (1892), 413-467. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01443559>
22. B. Schweizer & A. Sklar: *Probabilistic metric spaces*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1983.
23. R. Vasuki: Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **30** (1999), 419-423.

<sup>a</sup>ASSISTANT PROFESSOR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NETAJI MAHAVIDYALAYA, P.O.- ARAMBAGH, DIST.-HOOGHLY, PIN-712601, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.  
*Email address:* [sarmilabhattacharyya1982@gmail.com](mailto:sarmilabhattacharyya1982@gmail.com)

<sup>b</sup>INDEPENDENT RESEARCH SCIENTIST: RAJBARI, RABINDRAPALLY, R. N. TAGORE ROAD, P.O.- KRISHNAGAR, P.S.-KATWALI, DIST.-NADIA, PIN-741101, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.  
*Email address:* [tanmaybiswas.math@rediffmail.com](mailto:tanmaybiswas.math@rediffmail.com)

<sup>c</sup>ASSISTANT PROFESSOR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NABADWIP VIDYASAGAR COLLEGE, NABADWIP, DIST.-NADIA, PIN-741302, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.  
*Email address:* [chinmay.shib@gmail.com](mailto:chinmay.shib@gmail.com)