Honam Mathematical J. **46** (2024), No. 2, pp. 267–278 https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2024.46.2.267

UNIQUENESS OF A MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION WITH DIFFERENCE POLYNOMIAL OF DIFFERENCE OPERATOR SHARING TWO VALUES CM

H. R. JAYARAMA, H. HARISH, S. H. NAVEENKUMAR^{*}, AND C. N. CHAITHRA

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the uniqueness of a meromorphic function f(z) and its difference polynomial of difference operator with two sharing values counting multiplicities. Our two results improve and generalize the recent results of Barki Mahesh, Dyavanal Renukadevi S and Bhoosnurmath Subhas S [4] and for the case $q \ge 2$, this allows for a highly unique generalization. To further demonstrate the validity of our main result, we provide an example.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, we denote the set of all complex numbers and natural numbers by \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{N} , respectively. We mean $\overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. By any meromorphic function f we always mean that it is defined on \mathbb{C} . For any nonconstant meromorphic function h(z) we define $S(r,h) = o\{(T(r,h)\}, (r \to \infty, r \notin E) \text{ where, } E$ denotes any set of positive real numbers having finite linear measure. We assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations and basic results of Nevanlinna's value distribution theory (see [10], [21], [22]). We recall that T(r, f) denotes the Nevanlinna characteristic function of the non-constant meromorphic function and $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = N(r, a; f)$

 $\left(\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \overline{N}(r,a;f)\right) \text{ denotes the counting function (reduced counting function) of a-points of } f.$

Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . If for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, f - a and g - a have the same set of zeros with the same multiplicities, we say that f and g share the value a

Received October 7, 2023. Accepted December 21, 2023.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30D35, 39A10.

Key words and phrases. Nevanlinna theory, sharing values, difference polynomials, difference operators, meromorphic functions.

^{*}Corresponding author

CM (counting multiplicities). If we do not consider multiplicities, then f and g are said to share the value a IM (ignoring multiplicities).

In 1926, Nevanlinna [17] investigated the following two theorems for shared values.

Theorem 1.1. If two meromorphic functions f and g share five distinct values IM, then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem 1.2. If two meromorphic functions f and g share four distinct values CM, then $f \equiv g$ or $f \equiv Tog$, where T is a mobious transformation.

In 1977, Rubel and Yang [19] investigated uniqueness of entire function f sharing values with its derivatives f'. Mues and Steinmetz [[15], [16]] Gunder Sen [7] improved their results.

Recently Nevanlinna theory has been established for difference operators [[6], [5], [12], [18], [9], [1], [3]] Many authors [[8], [11], [12], [2], [14], [20]] have considered shared values of meromorphic function with their difference operators or shifts.

The following two theorems, due to Heittokangas Et. Al. [[11], [12]] give the idea of shared values of meromorphic function with their shifts.

Theorem 1.3. Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order and let $c \in \mathbb{C}$. If f(z) and f(z+c) share three distinct periodic functions $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \tilde{S}(f) = S(f) \cup \infty$ with period c CM, then f(z) = f(z+c) for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1.4. Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order and let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \tilde{S}(f)$ be three distinct periodic functions with period c. If f(z) and f(z+c) share a_1, a_2 CM and a_3 IM, then f(z) = f(z+c) for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

In 2013, Jiang and Chen [13] proved the following theorem for two distinct shared CM with some conditions.

Theorem 1.5. Let f be a non constant meromorphic function of finite order such that N(r, f) = S(r, f), let η be a constant such that $f(z + \eta) - f(z) \neq 0$ and let a, b be two non zero distinct finite complex constants. If $\Delta f(z) = f(z + \eta) - f(z)$ and f(z) share a, b CM then $f(z + \eta) = 2f(z)$.

In 2022, Barki Mahesh, Dyavanal Renukadevi S and Bhoosnurmath Subhas S [4] proved the following theorem for two distinct shared CM with some operator.

Theorem 1.6. Let f be a non constant meromorphic function of finite order such that N(r, f) = S(r, f), let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be a constant such that $\Delta_c^n f(z) \neq 0$ and let a, b be two non zero distinct finite complex constants. If $\Delta_c^n f(z)$ and f(z)share a, b CM then $\Delta_c^n f(z) \equiv f(z)$.

Let η be a non-zero complex constant, $k \ge 2$ be a natural number and f(z) be a meromorphic function. $f(z + \eta)$ denotes the shift operator of f(z). The

difference and k-th difference operators are denoted by $\Delta_{\eta} f(z)$ and $\Delta_{\eta}^{k} f(z)$ respectively and defined as follows:

$$\Delta_{\eta} f(z) = f(z+\eta) - f(z) \text{ and } \Delta_{\eta}^{k} f(z) = \Delta_{\eta}^{k-1}(\Delta_{\eta} f(z)).$$

For a meromorphic function f(z) and a nonzero complex constant η , for $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we define its Shift by $f(z+\eta)$ and q-th order difference operator $\Delta_{\eta}^{q} f(z)$ is defined by $\Delta_{\eta}^{q} f(z) = \Delta_{\eta}^{q-1} f(z)(\Delta_{\eta} f(z))$, where $q(\geq 2)$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$, while the difference polynomial of difference operator is given by $L(\Delta_{\eta} f(z)) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} a_{i} \Delta_{\eta}^{i} f(z)$,

where $a_i (i = 1, 2, ..., q)$ are nonzero constants.

We can also deduce that,

$$\Delta_{\eta}^{q} f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{q} {q \choose i} f(z + (q - i)\eta),$$
$$L(\Delta_{\eta} f(z)) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} a_i \Delta_{\eta}^{i} f(z) = a_1 \Delta_{\eta}^{1} f(z) + \dots + a_q \Delta_{\eta}^{q} f(z).$$

In this article we generalize Theorem 1.6 to the case of difference polynomial of difference operators defined above.

Theorem 1.7. Let f be a non constant meromorphic function of finite order such that N(r, f) = S(r, f), let $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ be a constant such that $L(\Delta_{\eta}f(z)) \neq 0$ and let e_1, e_2 be two non zero distinct finite complex constants. If $L(\Delta_{\eta}f(z))$ and f(z) share e_1, e_2 CM then $L(\Delta_{\eta}f(z)) \equiv f(z)$.

Corollary 1.8. Let f be a non constant meromorphic function of finite order such that N(r, f) = S(r, f), let $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ be a constant such that $L(\Delta_{\eta}f(z)) \neq 0$ and let e_1, e_2 be two non zero distinct finite complex constants. If $L(\Delta_{\eta}f(z))$ and f(z) share e_1, e_2 CM then $f(z + q\eta) = a_q 2^q f(z)$.

Remark 1.9. The corollary 1.8 generalizes Theorem 1.6.

Example 1.10. Let Q(z) be a periodic function of period 1 such that $\sigma(Q) = 2$ and $f(z) = \frac{Q(z)e^{z\log 2}}{\sin 2\pi z}$ then $L(\Delta_{\eta}f(z)) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} a_i \Delta_{\eta}^i f(z) = a_1 \Delta_{\eta}^1 f(z) + \dots + a_q \Delta_{\eta}^q f(z)$ and f(z) share 1, 2 CM and N(r, f) = S(r, f), hence using corollary 1.8, we get $L(\Delta_{\eta}f(z)) \equiv f(z)$.

2. Lemma

In this section, we state some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [22] Let f(z) be a non constant meromorphic function, $b_i(i = 1, ..., n)$ be m distinct complex numbers. Then we have

$$m\left(r, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{f - b_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(r, \frac{1}{f - b_i}\right) + O(1).$$

270 H. R. Jayarama, H. Harish, S. H. Naveenkumar, and C. N. Chaithra

In 2013, Chen and Feng [6] and in 2006, Halburd and Korhonen [13] investigated the Value distribution theory of meromorphic function for difference operators. Analogous result of the Lemma on logarithmic derivatives is as follows

Lemma 2.2. [8] Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of finite order and let η be a non-zero complex constant. Then

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z+\eta)}{f(z)}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+\eta)}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.3. Let f(z) be a non constant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} . Let b(i = 1, ..., n) be m distinct complex numbers. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m\bigg(r,\frac{1}{f-b_i}\bigg) \leq m\bigg(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\bigg) + S(r,f).$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b_i}\right) \le m\left(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta} f)}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m \bigg(r, \frac{1}{f - b_i} \bigg) &= m \bigg(r, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{f - b_i} \bigg) + O(1) \\ &= m \bigg(r, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}}{f^{(k)}(f - b_i)} \bigg) + O(1) \\ &\leq m \bigg(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \bigg) + m \bigg(r, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}}{f - b_i} \bigg) + O(1) \\ &= m \bigg(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \bigg) + S(r, f). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b_i}\right) \le m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Now by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^n m\bigg(r, \frac{1}{f - b_i}\bigg) &= m\bigg(r, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{f - b_i}\bigg) + O(1) \\ &= m\bigg(r, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{L(\Delta_\eta f)}{L(\Delta_\eta f)(f - b_i)}\bigg) + O(1) \\ &\leq m\bigg(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_\eta f)}\bigg) + m\bigg(r, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{L(\Delta_\eta f)}{f - b_i}\bigg) + O(1) \\ &\leq m\bigg(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_\eta f)}\bigg) + \sum_{i=1}^n m\bigg(r, \frac{L(\Delta_\eta f)}{f - b_i}\bigg) + O(1) \\ &\leq m\bigg(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_\eta f)}\bigg) + S(r, f). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b_i}\right) \le m\left(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta} f)}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.4. [6] Let f(z) be a meromorphic function with order $\sigma(f) = \sigma < \infty$, and η be a fixed non-zero complex number, then for each $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$T(r, (f+\eta)) = T(r, f) + O(r^{\sigma-1+\epsilon}) + S(r, f).$$

3. Proof of the Theorem

Theorem 1.7

Proof. By Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem and the assumption that $L(\Delta_{\eta}f(z))$ and f(z) share e_1, e_2 CM, we have

$$\begin{split} T(r,f) &\leq N(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_1}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_2}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &= N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_1}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_2}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &= N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_\eta f - e_1)}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_\eta f - e_2)}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_\eta f) - f}\right) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

That is

(1)
$$T(r,f) \le N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-f}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Since N(r, f) = S(r, f) and using first fundamental theorem, we have
$$\begin{split} N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - f}\right) + S(r, f) &= N\left(r, \frac{f}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - f}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &= N\left(r, \frac{1}{\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f} - 1}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T\left(r, \frac{1}{\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f} - 1}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &= T\left(r, \frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &= m\left(r, \frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f}\right) \\ &+ S(r, f) \\ &= N\left(r, \frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + S(r, f). \end{split}$$

Hence by using the Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{split} N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - f}\right) + S(r, f) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + a_q 2^q N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &= N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f). \end{split}$$

That is

(2)
$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-f}\right) + S(r,f) \le T(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

From
$$(1)$$
 and (2) , we obtain

(3)
$$T(r,f) = N\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r,f) = N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_1}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_2}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Let $\psi = \frac{(L(\Delta_{\eta}f))}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_1} - \frac{f}{f - e_1}$. Using the logarithmic derivative theorem, we have

(4)
$$m(r,\psi) \le m\left(r, \frac{\left(L(\Delta_{\eta}f)\right)'}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_1}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f - e_1}\right) + O(1)$$
$$\le S(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + S(r, f).$$

Since

(5)

$$T(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) = m(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + N(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f))$$

$$\leq m\left(r, f\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} a_{i} \begin{pmatrix} q\\ i \end{pmatrix} N(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq m(r, f) + m\left(r, \frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f}\right) + a_{q}2^{q}N(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq m(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Since $S(r, L(\Delta_{\eta} f)) = S(r, f)$, from (4), we have $m(r, \psi) = S(r, f)$. Since ψ is the logarithmic derivative of $\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta} f) - e_1}{f - e_1}$, the poles of ψ derive from the zeros and poles of $\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta} f) - e_1}{f - e_1}$. Since f, $L(\Delta_{\eta} f)$ share the value e_1 CM, then $\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta} f) - e_1}{f - e_1}$ has no zeros and has at most N(r, f) poles. Thus $N(r, \psi) = N(r, f) = S(r, f)$. Combining this and (4), we have $T(r, \psi) = S(r, f)$.

Suppose that $\psi \not\equiv 0$, then from

$$\frac{\psi}{f-e_2} = \frac{\left(L(\Delta_\eta f)\right)'}{L(\Delta_\eta f)-e_1} \cdot \frac{L(\Delta_\eta f)}{f-e_2} - \frac{f^1}{(f-e_1)-(f-e_2)},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} m\bigg(r,\frac{1}{f-e_2}\bigg) &\leq m\bigg(r,\frac{1}{\psi}\bigg) + m\bigg(r,\frac{\psi}{f-e_2}\bigg) \\ &\leq m\bigg(r,\frac{1}{\psi}\bigg) + m\bigg(r,\frac{(L(\Delta_{\eta}f))'}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_1}\bigg) + m\bigg(r,\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f-e_2}\bigg) \\ &+ m\bigg(r,\frac{f'}{(f-e_1)(f-e_2)}\bigg) + O(1) \\ &\leq m\bigg(r,\frac{1}{\psi}\bigg) + m\bigg(r,\frac{(L(\Delta_{\eta}f))'}{e_1}\bigg(\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_1}-\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}\bigg)\bigg) \\ &+ m\bigg(r,\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}{f-e_2}\bigg) + m\bigg(r,\frac{f'}{(e_1-e_2)}\bigg(\frac{1}{f-e_1}\frac{1}{f-e_2}\bigg)\bigg) + O(1) \\ &\leq T(r,\psi) + S(r,L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + S(r,f) \\ &= S(r,f). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_2}\right) = S(r,f).$$

By the first fundamental theorem we get

(6)
$$T(r,f) = N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_2}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Thus, by (3) and (6), we have

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - e_1}\right) = S(r, f).$$

By the assumption that $L(\Delta_{\eta}f)$ and f(z) share e_1 CM, we have

$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{1}}\right)=N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_{1}}\right)=S(r,f).$$

Hence

$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{1}}\right) = S(r,f)$$

Since $L(\Delta_{\eta}f)$ and f(z) share e_2 CM, by equation (5) and (6) and first fundamental theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} m\bigg(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{2}}\bigg) + N\bigg(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{2}}\bigg) &= T(r,L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq T(r,f) + S(r,f) \\ &= N\bigg(r,\frac{1}{f-e_{2}}\bigg) + S(r,f) \\ &= N\bigg(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{2}}\bigg) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_2}\right) = S(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) = S(r, f).$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have that

(7)

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_{1}}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_{2}}\right)$$

$$\leq m\left(r,\frac{1}{(L(\Delta_{\eta}f))'}\right) + S(r,f),$$

(8)
$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_1}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_2}\right) \le m\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_\eta f)}\right) + S(r,f).$$

By using (3) and (7)-(8), we have

By using (3) and (7)-(8), we have (9)

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_2}\right) \le N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_2}\right) + S(r, f)$$

$$(10) \qquad N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - e_1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - e_2}\right) \le T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

By (9)-(10), we obtain

(11)

$$T\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{1}}\right)+T\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{2}}\right)+T\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_{1}}\right)+T\left(r,\frac{1}{f-e_{2}}\right)$$

$$\leq m\left(r,\frac{1}{(L(\Delta_{\eta}f))'}\right)+N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{2}}\right)+T(r,f)$$

$$+S(r,f)$$

$$\leq T\left(r,\frac{1}{(L(\Delta_{\eta}f))'}\right)+T\left(r,\frac{1}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)-e_{2}}\right)+T(r,f)$$

$$+S(r,f).$$

Since N(r, f) = S(r, f), we get

$$\begin{split} T\bigg(r, \frac{1}{\left(L(\Delta_{\eta}f)\right)'}\bigg) = & m(r, \left(L(\Delta_{\eta}f)\right)') + N(r, \left(L(\Delta_{\eta}f)\right)') + O(1) \\ = & m\bigg(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)\frac{\left(L(\Delta_{\eta}f)\right)'}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}\bigg) + N(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + \overline{N}(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) \\ & + O(1) \\ \leq & m(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + m\bigg(r, \frac{\left(L(\Delta_{\eta}f)\right)'}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f)}\bigg) + S(r, f) \\ \leq & T(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + S(r, f). \end{split}$$

Hence

(12)
$$T\left(r, \frac{1}{(L(\Delta_{\eta}f))'}\right) \leq T(r, L(\Delta_{\eta}f)) + S(r, f).$$

Considering (4), (11), (12) and first fundamental theorem, we get

$$T(r,f) = S(r,f),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $\psi \equiv 0$, that is

(13)
$$\frac{\left(L(\Delta_{\eta}f)\right)'}{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_1} = \frac{f'}{f - e_1}.$$

By integrating (13), we obtain

(14)
$$\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_1}{f - e_1} \equiv C_1,$$

where C_1 is some non-zero constant. Using the same procedure as above, by the assumption, $L(\Delta_\eta f)$ and f(z) share e_2 CM, we get

(15)
$$\frac{L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_2}{f - e_2} \equiv C_2,$$

where C_2 is some non-zero constant.

If $C_1 \equiv 1$ or $(C_2 \equiv 1)$, then by (14) and (15), we obtain

$$L(\Delta_{\eta} f) \equiv f(z).$$

Hence conclusion holds.

If $C_1 \neq 1$ and $C_2 \neq 1$, then by (14) and (15), we get

$$(L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_1) - (L(\Delta_{\eta}f) - e_2) = (C_1f - C_1e_1) - (C_2f - C_2e_2)$$
$$e_1 - e_2 = (C_1 - C_2)f + C_2e_2 - C_1e_1$$

(16)
$$(C_1 - C_2)f = e_1 - e_2 + C_2e_2 - C_1e_1.$$

If $C_1 \neq C_2$, then f is a constant. Which is contradiction. Hence $C_1 = C_2$, thus by (16), we have

$$e_1 - e_2 = C_1(e_1 - e_2)$$

Therefore $C_1 = 1 = C_2$. Which is contradiction. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1.8

Proof. Using Theorem 1.7, we have

 $L(\Delta_{\eta} f) \equiv f(z).$

This implies that

$$f(z+q\eta) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} a_i \begin{pmatrix} q\\ i \end{pmatrix} 2^{is} + 1\right] f(z)$$
$$= a_q 2^q f(z)$$

Hence the proof

References

- Molla Basir Ahamed, The class of meromorphic functions sharing values with their difference polynomials, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 54 (2023), no. 4, 1158–1169.
- [2] A. Banerjee and G. Haldar, Sufficient conditions for periodicity of meromorphic function and its shift operator sharing one or more sets with finite weight, Novi Sad J. Math. 49 (2019), no. 1, 41–65.
- [3] A. Banerjee and M. Basir Ahamed, Results on meromorphic function sharing two sets with its linear-difference operator, J. Contemp. Math. Anal. (Armenian Academy of Sciences) 55 (2020), no. 3, 143–155.
- [4] Mahesh Barki, Renukadevi S Dyavanal, and Subhas S Bhoosnurmath, Uniqueness of a meromorphic function sharing two values cm with its difference operator, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 53 (2022), no. 4, 907–914.
- [5] Baoqin Chen, Zongxuan Chen, and Sheng Li, Uniqueness of difference operators of meromorphic functions, J Inequal. Appl. 48 (2012), 1-9.

- [6] Zong-Xuan Chen and Hong-Xun Yi, On sharing values of meromorphic functions and their differences, Results Math. 63 (2013), no. 1-2, 557-565.
- [7] G. G. Gundersen, Meromorphic functions that share two finite values with their derivative, Pac. J. Math. 105 (1983), 299–309.
- [8] G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314** (2006), no. 2, 477–487.
- [9] G. Haldar, Uniqueness of Meromorphic functions concerning k-th derivatives and difference operators, Palest. J. Math. 11 (2022), no. 3, 462–473.
- [10] Walter Kurt Hayman, Meromorphic functions, 78, Oxford Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [11] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen, I. Laine, and J. Rieppo, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing values with their shifts, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 56 (2011), no. 1-4, 81–92.
- [12] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen, I. Laine, J. Rieppo, and J. Zhang, Value sharing results for shifts of meromorphic functions, and sufficient conditions for periodicity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 355 (2009), no.1, 352–363.
- [13] Yeyang Jiang and Zongxuan Chen, Meromorphic functions share two values with its difference operator, An. S.tiint, Univ. 'Al. I. Cuza'Ia, si, Mat. 63 (2013), no. 1, 169–175.
- [14] Sujoy Majumder, Meromorphic Functions Sharing One Value with Their Derivatives Concerning the Difference Operator, Commun. Math. Stat. 5 (2017), 407–427.
- [15] Erwin Mues and Norbert Steinmetz, Meromorphe funktionen, die mit ihrer ableitung werte teilen, Manuscripta Math. 29 (1979), 195–206.
- [16] Erwin Mues and Norbert Steinmetz, Meromorphe funktionen, die mit ihrer ableitung zwei werte teilen, Results Math 6 (1983), 48–55.
- [17] Rolf Nevanlinna, Einige eindeutigkeits atze in der theorie der meromorphen funktionen, Acta Math. 48 (1926), 367–391.
- [18] Xiaoguang Qi and Kai Liu, Uniqueness and value distribution of differences of entire functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 379 (2011), no.1, 180–187.
- [19] L. A. Rubel and Chung-Chun Yang, Values shared by an entire function and its derivative, In Complex analysis, Lecture Notes in Math. 599 (1977), 101–103.
- [20] Pulak Sahoo and Himadri Karmakar, Uniqueness results related to certain q-shift difference polynomials, Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University-Mathematics 2 (2018).
- [21] Chung-Chun Yang and Hong-Xun Yi, The uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Science press, Beijing, 1995.
- [22] Chung-Chun Yang and Hong-Xun Yi, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Springer Science and Business Media 557, 2004.

Jayarama H. R Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering, Presidency University, Bangalore-560064, INDIA E-mail: jayjayaramhr@gmail.com

Harish H Department of Mathematics, Sri Mahaveera First Grade College, Moodbidri, Dakshina Kannada-574197 E-mail: harishjonty@gmail.com

278 H. R. Jayarama, H. Harish, S. H. Naveenkumar, and C. N. Chaithra

Naveenkumar S. H Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering, Presidency University, Bangalore-560064, INDIA E-mail: naveenkumarsh.220@gmail.com

Chaithra C. N Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering, Presidency University, Bangalore-560064, INDIA E-mail: chinnuchaithra15@gmail.com