



Response to: Letter to the Editor Regarding “Validation of Ultrasound and Computed Tomography-Based Risk Stratification System and Biopsy Criteria for Cervical Lymph Nodes in Preoperative Patients With Thyroid Cancer”

Young Hun Jeon¹, Ji Ye Lee^{1,2}

¹Department of Radiology, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea

²Department of Radiology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Keywords: Thyroid neoplasm; Computed tomography; Neoplasm metastasis; Lymph nodes

We appreciate the insightful comments of Drs. Lim and Sim [1] on our recent article [2]. They have elucidated several crucial issues encountered while assessing cervical lymph nodes (LNs) in patients with thyroid cancer.

In our practice, we conduct fine-needle aspiration (FNA) with washout thyroglobulin assays on suspicious LNs, irrespective of their size. This approach aligns with the methodology presented by Roh et al. [3]; however, it differs

Received: November 12, 2023 **Accepted:** November 26, 2023
Corresponding author: Ji Ye Lee, MD, Department of Radiology, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea

• E-mail: peachwh@naver.com

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

from the biopsy criteria proposed by the Korean Society of Thyroid Radiology (KSThR) [4].

The KSThR criteria likely reflect the fact that the risk of recurrence is higher in cases of macroscopic metastasis than in cases of microscopic metastasis (metastatic deposits ≤ 2 mm) [5,6]. Nevertheless, it is essential to note that the biopsy cutoff size recommended by the KSThR is based on the short diameter LNs visualized on imaging, which may not always correspond to the maximal diameter of metastatic tumor deposits, potentially leading to measurement discrepancies. With recent advancements in ultrasound (US) technology providing high resolution and enabling differentiation of internal features in small LNs, we believe that if an LN exhibits evident suspicious features on US, FNA is necessary for the accurate preoperative diagnosis of cN1 disease, regardless of the background nodal size. This is particularly critical for patients with suspected cN1b disease, in whom the risk of recurrence can increase by as much as 30% [7].

Proper management of indeterminate LNs remains elusive. Current guidelines have employed traditional size criteria for further differentiation of indeterminate LNs, which are known to reflect the tumor burden [8,9]. However, recent studies have suggested that the nodal size in both suspicious and indeterminate LNs does not necessarily correlate with an increased risk of metastasis in thyroid cancer patients [10-12]. This trend was also confirmed in our study, wherein we found a lower risk of malignancy for large indeterminate LNs detected on computed tomography (CT). Although a paradoxically high malignancy risk was observed in small US-detected indeterminate LNs, we did not consistently observe this tendency in the CT-indeterminate LNs. Overall, considering the low malignancy risk of indeterminate LNs localized on CT, these LNs should not be considered indications for FNA. We believe that this change will eventually lead to a reduction in indications for FNA of indeterminate LNs in the CT category.

As mentioned in our limitations section, we acknowledge that the generalizability of our results may be challenging because of the small number of biopsies performed on benign and indeterminate LNs. A multi-reader study with a large sample size needs to be conducted in the future to support these findings.

We express our gratitude to Drs. Lim and Sim for their

valuable comments. We believe that their insights have enriched our study and sincerely appreciate their attention.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author Contributions

Writing—original draft: all authors. Writing—review & editing: all authors.

ORCID IDs

Young Hun Jeon

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7672-3932>

Ji Ye Lee

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3929-6254>

Funding Statement

None

REFERENCES

- Lim H, Sim JS. Letter to the editor regarding “validation of ultrasound and computed tomography-based risk stratification system and biopsy criteria for cervical lymph nodes in preoperative patients with thyroid cancer” and “validation of CT-based risk stratification system for lymph node metastasis in patients with thyroid cancer.” *Korean J Radiol* 2024;25:319-320
- Jeon YH, Lee JY, Yoo RE, Rhim JH, Lee KH, Choi KS, et al. Validation of ultrasound and computed tomography-based risk stratification system and biopsy criteria for cervical lymph nodes in preoperative patients with thyroid cancer. *Korean J Radiol* 2023;24:912-923
- Roh YH, Chung SR, Baek JH, Choi YJ, Sung TY, Song DE, et al. Validation of CT-based risk stratification system for lymph node metastasis in patients with thyroid cancer. *Korean J Radiol* 2023;24:1028-1037
- Ha EJ, Chung SR, Na DG, Ahn HS, Chung J, Lee JY, et al. 2021 Korean thyroid imaging reporting and data system and imaging-based management of thyroid nodules: Korean Society of Thyroid Radiology consensus statement and recommendations. *Korean J Radiol* 2021;22:2094-2123
- Randolph GW, Duh QY, Heller KS, LiVolsi VA, Mandel SJ, Steward DL, et al. The prognostic significance of nodal metastases from papillary thyroid carcinoma can be stratified based on the size and number of metastatic lymph nodes, as well as the presence of extranodal extension. *Thyroid* 2012;22:1144-1152
- Bardet S, Malville E, Rame JP, Babin E, Samama G, De Raucourt D, et al. Macroscopic lymph-node involvement and neck dissection predict lymph-node recurrence in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2008;158:551-560
- Moreno MA, Agarwal G, de Luna R, Siegel ER, Sherman SI, Edeiken-Monroe BS, et al. Preoperative lateral neck ultrasonography as a long-term outcome predictor in papillary thyroid cancer. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2011;137:157-162
- Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, Doherty GM, Mandel SJ, Nikiforov YE, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: the American Thyroid Association guidelines task force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. *Thyroid* 2016;26:1-133
- Leenhardt L, Erdogan MF, Hegedus L, Mandel SJ, Paschke R, Rago T, et al. 2013 European thyroid association guidelines for cervical ultrasound scan and ultrasound-guided techniques in the postoperative management of patients with thyroid cancer. *Eur Thyroid J* 2013;2:147-159
- Lee JY, Yoo RE, Rhim JH, Lee KH, Choi KS, Hwang I, et al. Validation of ultrasound risk stratification systems for cervical lymph node metastasis in patients with thyroid cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 2022;14:2106
- Chung SR, Baek JH, Choi YJ, Sung TY, Song DE, Kim TY, et al. Risk factors for metastasis in indeterminate lymph nodes in preoperative patients with thyroid cancer. *Eur Radiol* 2022;32:3863-3868
- Yoo RE, Kim JH, Bae JM, Hwang I, Kang KM, Yun TJ, et al. Ultrasonographic indeterminate lymph nodes in preoperative thyroid cancer patients: malignancy risk and ultrasonographic findings predictive of malignancy. *Korean J Radiol* 2020;21:598-604