Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 29, No. 2 (2024), pp. 451-460 ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2024.29.02.07 http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa



## L<sup>r</sup> INEQUALITIES FOR POLYNOMIALS

# Reingachan N<sup>1</sup>, Mayanglambam Singhajit Singh<sup>2</sup>, Nirmal Kumar Singha<sup>3</sup>, Khangembam Babina Devi<sup>4</sup> and Barchand Chanam<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Langol Imphal 795004, Manipur, India <sup>1</sup>e-mail: reinga140gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Langol Imphal 795004, Manipur, India e-mail: msinghasingho@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Langol Imphal 795004, Manipur, India e-mail: nirmalsingha99@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Langol Imphal 795004, Manipur, India e-mail: khangembabbabina@gmail.com

<sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Langol Imphal 795004, Manipur, India e-mail: barchand\_2004@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract.** If  $p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having no zero in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$  and p'(z) its derivative, then Qazi [19] proved

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \le n \frac{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_{\mu}}{a_{0}} \right| k^{\mu+1}}{1 + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_{\mu}}{a_{0}} \right| (k^{\mu+1} + k^{2\mu})} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$

In this paper, we not only obtain the  $L^r$  version of the polar derivative of the above inequality for r > 0, but also obtain an improved  $L^r$  extension in polar derivative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Received August 10, 2023. Revised January 10, 2024. Accepted January 13, 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30A10, 30C10, 30D15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Keywords: Polynomial, polar derivative, zero,  $L^r$  inequalities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Corresponding author: Mayanglambam Singhajit Singh(msinghasingho@gmail.com).

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree *n*. Then, according to a well-known classical result due to Bernstein [4],

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \le n \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$
(1.1)

Inequality (1.1) is sharp and equality holds if p(z) has all its zeros at the origin.

Now, for a polynomial p(z) of degree n, we define for r > 0

$$||p||_{r} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$
 (1.2)

We let  $r \to \infty$  in (1.2) and make use of the well-known fact from analysis [22] that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} = \max_{|z=1} |p(z)|,$$
(1.3)

we can suitably denote

$$||p||_{\infty} = \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$
(1.4)

Similarly, one can define

$$\|p\|_0 = exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log|p(e^{i\theta})|d\theta\right\}$$

and show that  $\lim_{r\to 0^+} \|p\|_r = \|p\|_0$ . It would be of further interest that by taking limit as  $r \to 0^+$ , the stated results concerning  $L^r$  inequalities holding for r > 0, hold for r = 0 as well. Inequality (1.1) can be obtained by letting  $r \to \infty$  in the inequality

$$||p'||_r \le n ||p||_r, \ r > 0.$$
(1.5)

Inequality (1.5) for  $r \ge 1$  is due to Zygmund [24]. Arestov [1] proved that (1.5) remains valid for 0 < r < 1 as well.

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zeros in |z| < 1, then inequalities (1.1) and (1.5) can be respectively improved by

$$\|p'\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{2} \|p\|_{\infty} \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\|p'\|_r \le \frac{n}{\|1+z\|_r} \|p\|_{\infty}, \ r > 0.$$
(1.7)

Inequality (1.6) was conjectured by Erdös and later verified by Lax [13], whereas inequality (1.7) was proved by de-Brujin [8] for  $r \ge 1$ , Rahman and Schmeisser [20] showed that (1.7) remains true for 0 < r < 1.

452

Let  $P_{n,\mu}$  be the class of polynomials  $p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , of degree *n*. As a generalization of (1.6), Malik [14] proved that if  $p \in P_{n,1}$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ , then

$$\|p'\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{1+k} \|p\|_{\infty}.$$
 (1.8)

For a polynomial p(z) of degree n, we now define the polar derivative of p(z) with respect to a real or complex number  $\alpha$  as

$$D_{\alpha}p(z) = np(z) + (\alpha - z)p'(z).$$

This polynomial  $D_{\alpha}p(z)$  is of degree at most n-1 and it generalizes the ordinary derivative p'(z) in the sense that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{D_{\alpha} p(z)}{\alpha} = p'(z),$$

uniformly with respect to z for  $|z| \leq R$ , R > 0.

Aziz [2] was among the first who extended some of the above inequalities to polar versions. He, in fact, extended inequality (1.8) to polar derivative of a polynomial by proving that if p(z) is a polynomial of degree *n* having no zero in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ , then for any complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}p(z)| \le n \left(\frac{|\alpha|+k}{1+k}\right) \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$
(1.9)

As an  $L^r$  analogue of polar derivative of (1.8), Rather [21] proved that for any complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$  and for every r > 0,

$$||D_{\alpha}p(z)||_{r} \le n\left(\frac{|\alpha|+k}{||z+k||_{r}}\right)||p||_{r}.$$
(1.10)

Over the last four decades, many different authors produced a large number of different versions and generalizations of the above inequalities. Many of these generalizations involve the comparison of polar derivative  $D_{\alpha}p(z)$  with various choices of p(z),  $\alpha$  and other parameters. More information on this topic can be found in the books of Milovanović et al. [17] and Marden [15], and in the literatures [6, 7, 10, 12, 16, 23].

Using the class of Lacunary-type polynomial, Mir [18] recently extended (1.9) by proving that if  $p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having no zero in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ , then for every r > 0 and for every 454 Reingachan N, M. S. Singh, N. K. Singha, K. B. Devi and B. Chanam

complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ ,

$$\|D_{\alpha}p(z)\|_{r} \le n \frac{(|\alpha| + k^{\mu})}{\|z + k^{\mu}\|_{r}} \|p\|_{r}.$$
(1.11)

Further, Qazi [19] improved (1.8) by proving:

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $p \in P_{n,\mu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then

$$\|p'\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{\|A_0 + z\|_{\infty}} \|p\|_{\infty}, \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$A_0 = \frac{n|a_0|k^{\mu+1} + \mu|a_\mu|k^{2\mu}}{n|a_0| + \mu|a_\mu|k^{\mu+1}}.$$
(1.13)

Dewan et al. [9] also improved Theorem 1.1 by involving  $\min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $p \in P_{n,\mu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ , then

$$\|p'\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{\|A_0 + z\|_{\infty}} \|p\|_{\infty} - \frac{n}{k^n} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\|A_0 + z\|_{\infty}} \right\} \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|, \qquad (1.14)$$

where  $A_0$  is as defined in (1.13).

Dewan et al. [9] extended Theorem 1.1 to  $L^r$  analogue for  $r \ge 1$  and for r > 0 by Chanam [5].

**Theorem 1.3.** If  $p \in P_{n,\mu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then for each r > 0,

$$||p'||_r \le \frac{n}{||A_0 + z||_r} ||p||_r, \tag{1.15}$$

where  $A_0$  is as defined in (1.13).

## 2. Lemmas

For the proof of the theorem, we require the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Qazi [19].

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $p \in P_{n,\mu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then

$$k^{\mu+1} \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_{\mu}}{a_{0}} \right| k^{\mu-1} + 1}{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_{\mu}}{a_{0}} \right| k^{\mu+1}} |p'(z)| \le |q'(z)| \text{ on } |z| = 1$$

$$(2.1)$$

and

$$\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_{\mu}}{a_0} \right| k^{\mu} \le 1, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $q(z) = z^n \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)}$ .

 $L^r$  inequalities for polynomials

The next lemma is due to Govil and Kumar [11].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let p, q be any two positive real numbers such that  $p \ge qx$ , where  $x \ge 1$ . If  $\gamma$  is any real such that  $0 \le \gamma \le 2\pi$ , then for any  $y \ge 1$ 

$$\frac{p+qy}{x+y} \le \left| \frac{p+qe^{i\gamma}}{x+e^{i\gamma}} \right|.$$
(2.3)

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$  be two complex numbers independent of  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  being real. Then for r > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |z_1 + z_2 e^{i\alpha}|^r d\alpha = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| |z_1| + |z_2| e^{i\alpha} \right|^r d\alpha.$$
(2.4)

The above lemma is due to Govil and Kumar [11].

**Lemma 2.4.** Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n. Then for every  $\gamma$  with  $0 \leq \gamma < 2\pi$  and r > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} q'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta d\gamma \le 2\pi n^r \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta, \qquad (2.5)$$

where  $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(\frac{1}{\overline{z}})}$ .

The above result is due to Aziz and Rather [3].

### 3. Main results

In this paper, we obtain  $L^r$  analogue of the polar derivative version of Theorem 1.2 for r > 0 which further extends both Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. More precisely, we prove:

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $p \in P_{n,\mu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$  and  $|\beta| < \frac{1}{k^n}$  and for each r > 0,

$$\|D_{\alpha}p(z) + n\alpha m\beta z^{n-1}\|_{r} \le \frac{n(|\alpha| + A)}{\|A + z\|_{r}}\|p(z) + m\beta z^{n}\|_{r},$$
(3.1)

where

$$A = \frac{n|a_0|k^{\mu+1} + \mu|a_\mu + m\beta|k^{2\mu}}{n|a_0| + \mu|a_\mu + m\beta|k^{\mu+1}} \quad and \quad m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|.$$
(3.2)

*Proof.* We have for any r > 0

456

$$\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |A + e^{i\gamma}|^{r} d\gamma \right\} \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}\{p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}\}|^{r} d\theta \right] \\
= \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |A + e^{i\gamma}|^{r} d\gamma \right\} \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |n\{p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}\} + (\alpha - e^{i\theta})\{p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}\}|^{r} d\theta \right] \\
= \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |A + e^{i\gamma}|^{r} d\gamma \right\} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}p(e^{i\theta}) + n\alpha m\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^{r} d\theta \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

If  $q(z) = z^n \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)}$ , then it can be easily verified that for  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$ ,

$$n\{p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}\} - e^{i\theta}\{p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}\} = e^{i(n-1)\theta}\overline{q'(e^{i\theta})}.$$

Using the above inequality, we have for  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$ ,

$$D_{\alpha}\{p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}\} = n\{p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}\} + (\alpha - e^{i\theta})\{p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}\} = e^{i(n-1)\theta}\overline{q'(e^{i\theta})} + \alpha\{p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}\},\$$

which implies

$$D_{\alpha}\{p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}\}| \le |q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha||p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|.$$
(3.4)

Using inequality (3.4) in inequality (3.3), we get

$$\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |A + e^{i\gamma}|^{r} d\gamma \right\} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}p(e^{i\theta}) + n\alpha m\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^{r} d\theta \right\} \\
\leq \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |A + e^{i\gamma}|^{r} d\gamma \right\} \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{ |q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha| |p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| \right\}^{r} d\theta \right]. \tag{3.5}$$

By Rouche's theorem, the polynomial  $P(z) = p(z) + m\beta z^n$  has no zero in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$  and if we apply Lemma 2.1 to the polynomial P(z), we have

$$\left\{\frac{n|a_0|k^{\mu+1} + \mu|a_\mu|k^{2\mu}}{n|a_0| + \mu|a_\mu|k^{\mu+1}}\right\}|p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| \le |q'(e^{i\theta})|.$$
(3.6)

Taking  $p = |q'(e^{i\theta})|$ ,  $q = |p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|$ , x = A and  $y = |\alpha|$  in Lemma 2.2, we have for all  $\gamma \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,

$$|A + e^{i\gamma}| \left\{ |q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha||p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| \right\}$$
  
$$\leq (A + |\alpha|) \left| |q'(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\gamma}|p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| \right|.$$
(3.7)

Further, it can be easily verified that

$$\left| |q'(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\gamma} |p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| \right|$$
  
=  $\left| |p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| + e^{i\gamma} |q'(e^{i\theta})| \right|.$  (3.8)

Now, inequality (3.7) and inequality (3.8) give

$$\left|A + e^{i\gamma}\right| \left\{ |q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha| |p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| \right\}$$
  
$$\leq (A + |\alpha|) \left| |p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| + e^{i\gamma} |q'(e^{i\theta})| \right|.$$
(3.9)

Applying inequality (3.9) to the right hand side of inequality (3.5), we have for any r > 0

$$\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |A + e^{i\gamma}|^{r} d\gamma \right\} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}p(e^{i\theta}) + n\alpha m\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^{r} d\theta \right\}$$
$$\leq (A + |\alpha|)^{r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| |p'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}| + e^{i\gamma} |q'(e^{i\theta})| \right|^{r} d\gamma d\theta \right\}. \quad (3.10)$$

Using Lemma 2.3 and then applying Lemma 2.4 to the right hand side of inequality (3.10), we get

$$\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |A + e^{i\gamma}|^{r} d\gamma \right\} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha}p(e^{i\theta}) + n\alpha m\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^{r} d\theta \right\}$$
$$\leq (A + |\alpha|)^{r} 2\pi n^{r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta}) + m\beta e^{in\theta}|^{r} d\gamma d\theta \right\},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}|A+e^{i\gamma}|^{r}d\gamma\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}|D_{\alpha}p(e^{i\theta})+n\alpha m\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^{r}d\theta\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ \leq (A+|\alpha|)n\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}|p(e^{i\theta})+m\beta e^{in\theta}|^{r}d\theta\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

which completes the proof.

**Remark 3.2.** If we take  $\beta = 0$  and divide both sides of inequality (3.1), by  $|\alpha|$  and letting  $|\alpha| \to \infty$ , Theorem 3.1 reduces to the integral analogue of Theorem 1.1.

If we let  $r \to \infty$  in (3.1), we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.3.** If  $p \in P_{n,\mu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every real or complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $|\alpha| > 1$  and  $|\beta| < \frac{1}{k^n}$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}p(z) + n\alpha m\beta z^{n-1}| \le \frac{n(|\alpha| + A)}{(A+1)} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z) + m\beta z^{n}|.$$
(3.11)

**Remark 3.4.** If we take  $\beta = 0$ , Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following interesting result which provides the polar version of Theorem 1.3.

**Corollary 3.5.** If  $p \in P_{n,\mu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| > 1$  and for each r > 0,

$$||D_{\alpha}p(z)||_{r} \leq \frac{n(|\alpha|+A)}{||A+z||_{r}}||p||_{r}, \qquad (3.12)$$

where A is as defined in Theorem 3.1.

**Remark 3.6.** If we divide both sides of (3.12) of Corollary 3.5 by  $|\alpha|$  and take limit as  $|\alpha| \to \infty$ , we obtain inequality (1.15) of Theorem 1.3, which corresponds the  $L^r$  analogue of Theorem 1.1.

**Remark 3.7.** By (2.2) of Lemma 2.1, it is evident that

$$A = \frac{n|a_0|k^{\mu+1} + \mu|a_\mu|k^{2\mu}}{n|a_0| + \mu|a_\mu|k^{\mu+1}} \ge k^{\mu}$$

for  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and hence for  $|\alpha| \ge 1$  and for each r > 0,

$$\frac{(|\alpha|+A)}{\|A+z\|_r} \le \frac{(|\alpha|+k^{\mu})}{\|k^{\mu}+z\|_r}.$$
(3.13)

Using (3.13) to Corollary 3.5, we get inequality (1.11).

**Remark 3.8.** Dividing both sides of inequality (3.1) by  $|\alpha|$  and taking limit as  $|\alpha| \to \infty$ , we have the following result independently proved by Chanam [5].

**Corollary 3.9.** If  $p \in P_{n,\mu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$  and  $p(z) \ne 0$  in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < \frac{1}{k^n}$  and for each r > 0,

$$\|p'(z) + mn\beta z^{n-1}\|_r \le \frac{n}{\|A+z\|_r} \|p(z) + m\beta z^n\|_r,$$
(3.14)

where A and m are as defined in Theorem 3.1.

 $L^r$  inequalities for polynomials

**Remark 3.10.** If we let  $r \to \infty$  on both sides of (3.14), we have

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z) + mn\beta z^{n-1}| \le \frac{n}{1+A} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z) + m\beta z^n| \le \frac{n}{1+A} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| + m|\beta| \right\},$$
(3.15)

where A and m are as defined in Theorem 3.1.

Let  $z_0$  on |z| = 1 be such that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| = |p'(z_0)|.$$

Then, in particular, inequality (3.15) becomes

$$|p'(z_0) + mn\beta z_0^{n-1}| \le \frac{n}{1+A} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| + m|\beta| \right\}.$$

Choosing the argument of  $\beta$  suitably such that

$$|p'(z_0) + mn\beta z_0^{n-1}| = |p'(z_0)| + mn|\beta|,$$

and finally making limit as  $|\beta| \to \frac{1}{k^n}$ , we get as cited earlier, the best possible inequality due to Dewan et al. [9].

#### References

- V.V. Arestov, On integral inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivative, IZV. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Math., 45(2) (1981), 3–22.
- [2] A. Aziz, Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, J. Approx. Theory, 55(2) (1988), 183–193.
- [3] A. Aziz and N.A. Rather, Some Zygmund type Lp inequalities for polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 289(2004), 14–29.
- [4] S. Bernstein, "Lecons Sur Les Propriétés extrémales et la meilleure approximation desfunctions analytiques d'une fonctions reele," Gauthier-Villars (Paris, 1926).
- [5] B. Chanam,  $L^r$  inequalities for polynomials, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 42 (2018), 825–832.
- [6] B. Chanam, K.B. Devi and K. Krishnadas, Some inequalities concerning polar derivative of a polynomial, Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math. Sci., 14(1) (2021), 9–19.
- [7] I. Das, R. Soraisam, M.S. Singh, N.K. Singha and B. Chanam, *Inequalities for complex polynomial with restricted zeros*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., 28(4) (2023), 943–956.
- [8] N.G. de-Bruijn, Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain, Ned-erl. Akad. Wetench. Proc. Ser. A 50 (1947), 1265–1272; Indag. Math., 9 (1947), 591–598.
- [9] K.K. Dewan, A. Bhat and M.S. Pukhta, Inequalities concerning the L<sup>r</sup> norm of a polynomial, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 224 (1998), 14–21.
- [10] K.K. Dewan, N. Singh and A. Mir, Extensions of some polynomial inequalities to the polar derivative, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 352 (2009), 807–815.
- [11] N.K. Govil and P. Kumar, On L<sup>p</sup> inequalities involving polar derivative of a polynomial, Acta Math. Hungar., 152 (2017), 130–139.

- [12] I. Hussain and A. Liman, Generalization of certain inequalities concerning the polar derivative of a polynomial, Kragujav. J. Math., 47(4) (2023), 613–625.
- [13] P.D. Lax, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdös on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 50 (1944), 509–513.
- [14] M.A. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 1(2) (1969), 57–60.
- [15] M. Marden, Geometry of Polynomials, Math. Surveys, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 3 1966.
- [16] G.V. Milovanović, A. Mir and A. Malik, Estimates for the polar derivative of a constrained polynomial on a disk, CUBO, Math. J., 24(3) (2022), 541–554.
- [17] G.V. Milovanović, D.S. Mitrinović and T.M. Rassias, *Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems*, Ineq. Zeros, World Scientific., Singapore, 1994.
- [18] A. Mir, Bernstein-type integral inequalities for a certain class of polynomials, Mediterr. J. Math., 16(143) (2020), 1–11.
- [19] M.A. Qazi, On the maximum modulus of polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 115(2) (1992), 337–343.
- [20] Q.I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, L<sup>p</sup> inequalities for polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, 53 (1988), 26–32.
- [21] N.A. Rather, Extremal properties and location of zeros of polynomials, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Kashmir, 1998.
- [22] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company(reprinted in India), 1977.
- [23] M.S. Singh, N. Reingachand, M.T. Devi and B. Chanam, L<sup>γ</sup> Inequalities for the polar derivative of polynomials, Malaysian J. Math. Sci., 17(3) (2023), 333–347.
- [24] A. Zygmund, A remark on conjugate series, Proc. London. Math. Soc., 34 (1932), 392– 400.