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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to the existence of solutions for Kirchhoff type equations with singular nonlinearities, sub-critical and critical exponent. By using the Nehari manifold and Maximum principle theorem, the existence of at least two distinct positive solutions is obtained.


## 1. Introduction

This paper deals with the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions to the following Kirchhoff problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L(u)[-\Delta u+b u]=|u|^{p-1} u+\mu \frac{|u|^{-1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} u \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $L(u):=\left(a+\lambda \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda b \int_{\Omega} u^{2}\right), \Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{3}, a, b>0, p \in(3,5], \lambda>0, \mu>0,0<\alpha<3(p+\beta) / p$ and $0<\beta<1$.

[^0]In recent years, many authors have paid much attention to the following Kirchhoff type problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f(x, u) \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, 0 \in \Omega, a, b>0$ and $f$ is a suitable function containing singularities on $x$. See $[1,3,4,9,13,17,19]$ and the references therein for the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to (1.2). Mao and Luan [14, 15], Zhang and Perera [20] studied the existence of sign-changing solutions of (1.2). When $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$, in [11] Li and Shi showed the existence of nontrivial solutions of the following problem with zero mass:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u=h(x) f(u) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.3}\\
u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the closure of the compactly supported smooth functions with respect to the norm $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the potential function $h(x)$ is a nonnegative continuous function, $h \in\left[L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right] \backslash\{0\}$ for some $s \geq 2 N /(N+2)$ and $|x . \nabla h(x)| \leq \alpha h(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and some $\alpha \in(0,2)$.

Kirchhoff type problems are often referred to as being nonlocal because of the presence of the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$ which implies that the equation in (1.1) is no longer a pointwise identity. It is analogous to the stationary case of equations that arise in the study of string or membrane vibrations, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=f(x, u) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a smooth bounded domain $(N \geq 3)$, $u$ denotes the displacement, $f(x, u)$ is the external force and $a$ is the initial tension while $b$ is related to the intrinsic properties of the string (such as Young's modulus). Equations of this type were first proposed by Kirchhoff in 1883 to describe the transversal oscillations of a stretched string (see [10, 18]).

For $N \geq 3, a=1, \lambda=0, p=(N+2) /(N-2)$ in the problem (1.1), El Mokhtar and Matallah [8] have shown the existence of multiple positive solutions.

It is clear that these problems contribute to the transition from the academic world to that of application. Indeed, very taken for its physical motivations, the problem (1.1) is nothing other than a stationary version of the following model which governs the behavior an elastic thread whose ends are fixed and which is subjected to non-linear vibrations (1.4) in $\Omega \times(0, T)$ where $T>0$, $a$ is the initial tension, $b$ represents the Young's modulus of the wire material
and $L$ its length. The latter is known to be an extension of the Alembert wave equation. Indeed, Kirchhoff took into account the changes caused by the transverse oscillations along the length of the wire.

Strengthened by their implications in other disciplines, and given the extent of their fields of application, non-local problems will be used to model several physical phenomena. They also occur in biological systems where $u$ describes a process depending on its mean such as the density of population.

Due to this significant impact reinforcing the field of applications, this type of problem has perceived the interest of mathematicians and many works aiming at the existence of solutions have emerged. In particular after the coup de grace provided by the famous article by Lions [12] where the latter adopted an approach based on functional analysis. Nevertheless, in most of these articles, the favored method is purely topological.

It is only in recent decades that this approach has been abandoned in favor of variational methods when Alves and his colleagues [1] obtained for the first time times of existence results via these methods. Since then, there has been a very fruitful boom which has given rise to a lot of work founding this advantageous axis see $[7,11,12]$.

Nonlocal effect also finds its applications in biological systems. A parabolic version of (1.1) can, in theory, be used to describe the growth and movement of a particular species. The movement, modelled by the integral term, is assumed dependent on the "energy" of the entire system with $u$ being its population density. Alternatively, the movement of a particular species may be subject to the total population density within the domain (for instance, the spreading of bacteria) which gives rise to equations of the type (1.4). Chipot and Lovat [5] and Correa et al. [6], for examples, studied the existence of solutions and their uniqueness for such nonlocal problems as well as their corresponding elliptic problems.

Before giving our main results, we state here some definitions, notations and known results.

The space $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+b|u|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Let $S$ be the best Sobolev constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)^{2 /(p+1)}} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [9], $S$ is achieved.

The functional energy $J$ of (1.1) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\frac{1}{2} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\frac{\mu}{1-\beta} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following approximation equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L(u)[-\Delta u+b u]=|u|^{p-1} u+\mu \frac{|u+\theta|^{-1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}}(u+\theta) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.7}\\
u=0 \text { in } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $\theta>0$ (small another). The energy functional of (1.7) $J_{\theta}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\theta}(u):=\frac{1}{2} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda\|u\|^{4}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\frac{\mu}{1-\beta} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u+\theta|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to an algebraic relation of Simon [16], the Holder inequality and by (2.2), we obtain that $J_{\theta}$ is a $C^{1}$-function on $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Omega)$.

A point $u \in \mathcal{H}$ is a weak solution of the equation (1.1) if it satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle J_{\theta}^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle:= & \left(\lambda\|u\|^{2}+a\right) \int_{\Omega}(\nabla u \nabla \varphi+b u \varphi) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-1} u \varphi d x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u+\theta|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} \varphi d x  \tag{1.9}\\
= & 0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\langle.,$.$\rangle denotes the product in the duality \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{H}$.
In our work, we research the critical points as the minimizers of the energy functional associated to the problem (1.1) on the constraint defined by the Nehari manifold, which are solutions of our problem.

Let $\mu_{*}$ and $\mu_{* *}$ be positive numbers such that $\mu_{*}=\max \left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ and $\mu_{* *}$ be positive numbers such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}:=\frac{(p-1) a}{(p+\beta) A} S^{(1-\beta / 2)}\left[\frac{2 \sqrt{(1+\beta)(3+\beta) a \lambda}}{p+\beta} S^{(p+1) / 2}\right]^{\frac{\beta+1}{p-2}}, \\
& \mu_{2}:=\frac{2 \sqrt{(p-1)(p-3) a \lambda}}{(p+\beta) A} S^{(1-\beta) / 2}\left[\frac{a(1+\beta)}{p+\beta} S^{(p+1) / 2}\right]^{\frac{\beta+1}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mu_{* *}:=\frac{(p-1) A}{(p+\beta) a}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+\beta}{p+\beta}\right)^{\frac{3-\beta}{p-1}} S^{\frac{2(p+1)^{2}-4(p-1)^{2}+(1+\beta)(p-1)^{2}}{2(p-1)(p+1)}},
$$

where

$$
A=\left[\frac{4 \pi(p+\beta)}{3(p+\beta)-\alpha(p+1)}\right]^{\frac{p+\beta}{p+1}} R_{0}^{\frac{3}{p+1}(p+\beta)-\alpha}>0
$$

with

$$
0 \leq \alpha<\frac{3}{p+1}(p+\beta)
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}, E$ a Banach space and $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$.
(i) $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence at level $c\left(\right.$ in short $\left.(P S)_{c}\right)$ in $E$ for $I$ if

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right)=c+o_{n}(1) \text { and } I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1),
$$

where $o_{n}(1)$ tends to 0 as $n$ goes at infinity.
(ii) We say that $I$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition if any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence in $E$ for $I$ has a convergent subsequence.

Nehari manifold: It is well known that $J$ is of class $C^{1}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and the solutions of (1.1) are the critical points of $J$ which is not bounded below on $\mathcal{H}$. Consider the following Nehari manifold (see, [1])

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{H} \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle J_{\theta}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Thus, $u \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{H} \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\|u\|^{2}+\lambda\|u\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}$ contains every nontrivial solution of the problem (1.1). In order to obtain the first positive solution, we give the following important lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. $J$ is coercive and bounded from below on $\mathcal{M}$.
Proof. Let $R_{0}>0$ such that $\Omega \subset B\left(0, R_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|x|<R_{0}\right\}$. If $u \in \mathcal{M}$, then by (2.1), the Hölder inequality and for any $\theta>0$ (small another), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x \leq & {\left[\frac{4 \pi(p+\beta)}{3(p+\beta)-\alpha(p+1)}\right]^{\frac{p+\beta}{p+1}} }  \tag{2.2}\\
& \times R_{0}^{\frac{N}{p+1}(p+\beta)-\alpha}\|u\|^{1-\beta}(S)^{\frac{-(1-\beta)}{2}},
\end{align*}
$$

and we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\theta}(u)= & ((p-1) / 2(p+1)) a\|u\|^{2}+((p-3) / 4(p+1)) \lambda\|u\|^{4} \\
& -\mu((p+\beta) /(p+1)(1-\beta)) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x, \\
\geq & ((p-1) / 2(p+1)) a\|u\|^{2}+((p-3) / 4(p+1)) \lambda\|u\|^{4} \\
& -\mu((p+\beta) /(p+1)(1-\beta)) A\|u\|^{1-\beta}(S)^{\frac{-(1-\beta)}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
A=\left[\frac{4 \pi(p+\beta)}{3(p+\beta)-\alpha(p+1)}\right]^{\frac{p+\beta}{p+1}} R_{0}^{\frac{3}{p+1}(p+\beta)-\alpha}>0
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<\frac{3}{p+1}(p+\beta)$. Thus, $J_{\theta}$ is coercive and bounded from below on $\mathcal{M}$.

Define

$$
\phi(u)=\left\langle J_{\theta}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle .
$$

Then, for $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle= & 2 a\|u\|^{2}+4 \lambda\|u\|^{4}-(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x  \tag{2.3}\\
& -\mu(1-\beta) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x \\
= & (1+\beta) a\|u\|^{2}+(3+\beta) \lambda\|u\|^{4}-(p+\beta) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \\
= & \mu(p+\beta) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x-\left[(p-2) a\|u\|^{2}+(p-3) \lambda\|u\|^{4}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we split $\mathcal{M}$ in three parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^{+} & =\left\{u \in \mathcal{M}:\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle>0\right\}, \\
\mathcal{M}^{0} & =\left\{u \in \mathcal{M}:\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\}, \\
\mathcal{M}^{-} & =\left\{u \in \mathcal{M}:\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle<0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following results.
Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer for $J_{\theta}$ on $\mathcal{M}$. If $u_{0} \notin \mathcal{M}^{0}$ then, $u_{0}$ is a critical point of $J_{\theta}$.

Proof. If $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer for $J_{\theta}$ on $\mathcal{M}$, then $u_{0}$ is a solution of the optimization problem

$$
\min _{\{u \in \mathcal{H} \backslash\{0\} / \phi(u)=0\}} J_{\theta}(u) .
$$

Hence, there exists a Lagrange multipliers $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
J_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\sigma \phi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) \text { in } \mathcal{H}^{\prime}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\langle J_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}\right\rangle=\sigma\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}\right\rangle .
$$

But $\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}\right\rangle \neq 0$, since $u_{0} \notin \mathcal{M}^{0}$. Hence $\sigma=0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4. There exists a positive number $\mu_{*}$ such that for all $\mu$ verifying

$$
0<\mu<\mu_{*},
$$

we have $\mathcal{M}^{0}=\emptyset$.
Proof. Let us reason by contradiction. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}^{0} \neq \emptyset$ such that $0<$ $\mu<\mu_{*}$. Then, by (2.3) and for $u \in \mathcal{M}^{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\beta) a\|u\|^{2}+(3+\beta) \lambda\|u\|^{4}-(p+\beta) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(p+\beta) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x-\left[(p-1) a\|u\|^{2}+(p-3) \lambda\|u\|^{4}\right]=0 \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (2.2) and since $2 a b \leq a^{2}+b^{2}$, by using Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \geq\left[\frac{2 \sqrt{(1+\beta)(3+\beta) a \lambda}}{p+\beta} S^{(p+1) / 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \leq\left[\frac{\mu(p+\beta) A}{2 \sqrt{(p-1)(p-3) a \lambda}} S^{-(1-\beta) / 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2+\beta}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $(*)$ and $(* *)$, the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we also have, respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \geq\left[\frac{a(1+\beta)}{p+\beta} S^{-(p+1) / 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \leq\left[\frac{\mu(p+\beta) A}{2(p-1) a} S^{-(1-\beta) / 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4) and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\mu \geq \mu_{1}=\frac{(p-1) a}{(p+\beta) A} S^{(1-\beta / 2)}\left[\frac{2 \sqrt{(1+\beta)(3+\beta) a \lambda}}{p+\beta} S^{(p+1) / 2}\right]^{\frac{p+1}{p-2}}
$$

and from (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$
\mu \geq \mu_{2}=\frac{2 \sqrt{(p-1)(p-3) a \lambda}}{(p+\beta) A} S^{(1-\beta) / 2}\left[\frac{a(1+\beta)}{p+\beta} S^{(p+1) / 2}\right]^{\frac{\beta+1}{p-1}} .
$$

Thus, $\mu \geq \mu_{*}=\max \left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, which contradicts our hypothesis.
We know that s $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}^{+} \cup \mathcal{M}^{-}$. Define

$$
c:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}} J_{\theta}(u), c^{+}:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}^{+}} J_{\theta}(u) \text { and } c^{-}:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}^{-}} J_{\theta}(u) .
$$

For the sequel, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.5. (i) For all $\mu$ such that $0<\mu<\mu_{*}$, one has $c \leq c^{+}<0$.
(ii) There exists $\mu_{* *}$ defined in Theorem 3.7 such that for all $\lambda$ such that $0<\mu<\mu_{* *}$, one has

$$
c^{-}>C_{0}=C_{0}(\lambda, S, \beta) .
$$

Proof. (i) Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^{+}$. By (2.3), we have

$$
\frac{1+\beta}{p+\beta} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{3+\beta}{p+\beta} \lambda\|u\|^{4}>\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x
$$

and so, since $p>3$ and $0<\beta<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\theta}(u) & <\frac{-(1+\beta)}{2(1-\beta)} a\|u\|^{2}-\frac{(3+\beta)}{4(1-\beta)} \lambda\|u\|^{4}+\frac{p+\beta}{(p+1)(1-\beta)} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \\
& <-\left[\frac{(p-1)(1+\beta)}{2(p+1)(1-\beta)} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{(p-3)(3+\beta)}{4(p+1)(1-\beta)} \lambda\|u\|^{4}\right] \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we conclude that $c \leq c^{+}<0$.
(ii) Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^{-}$. By (2.3), we get

$$
\frac{1+\beta}{p+\beta} a\|u\|^{2}+\frac{3+\beta}{p+\beta} \lambda\|u\|^{4}<\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x .
$$

Moreover, by Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \leq S^{-(p+1) / 2}\|u\|^{p+1}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|>S^{\frac{p+1}{2(p-1)}}\left[\frac{1+\beta}{p+\beta} a\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \text { for all } u \in \mathcal{M}^{-} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.2), we get $J(u) \geq C_{0}$ for all $\mu$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <\mu<\mu_{* *} \\
& =\frac{(p-1) A}{(p+\beta) a}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+\beta}{p+\beta}\right)^{\frac{3-\beta}{p-1}} S^{\frac{2(p+1)^{2}-4(p-1)^{2}+(1+\beta)(p-1)^{2}}{2(p-1)(p+1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.6. ([2]) (i) For all $\mu$ such that $0<\mu<\mu_{*}$, there exists a $(P S)_{c^{+}}$sequence in $\mathcal{M}^{+}$.
(ii) For all $\mu$ such that $0<\mu<\mu_{* *}$, there exists a $(P S)_{c^{-}}$sequence in $\mathcal{M}^{-}$ and for each $u \in \mathcal{H} \backslash\{0\}$, we write

$$
t_{M}:=t_{\max }(u)=\left[\frac{(p+1)(1+\beta) a\|u\|^{2}}{(p+\beta) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}>0
$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $\lambda$ real parameter such that $0<\mu<\mu_{*}$. For each $u \in \mathcal{H} \backslash\{0\}$, there exist unique $t^{+}$and $t^{-}$such that $0<t^{+}<t_{M}<t^{-}$,

$$
\left(t^{+} u\right) \in \mathcal{M}^{+},\left(t^{-} u\right) \in \mathcal{M}^{-}
$$

$$
J_{\theta}\left(t^{+} u\right)=\inf J_{\theta}(t u) \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_{M},
$$

and

$$
J_{\theta}\left(t^{-} u\right)=\sup J_{\theta}(t u) \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq 0
$$

Proof. With minor modifications, we refer to [4].

## 3. Main Results

Proposition 3.1. For all $\mu$ such that $0<\mu<\mu_{*}$, the functional $J_{\theta}$ has a minimizer $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathcal{M}^{+}$and it satisfies:
(i) $J_{\theta}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)=c=c^{+}$,
(ii) $u_{0}^{+}$is a nontrivial solution of (1.1).

Proof. If $0<\mu<\mu_{*}$, then by Proposition 2.6 (i) there exists a $(P S)_{c^{+}}$sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $\bar{B}_{R} \subset \mathcal{M}^{+}$and it bounded by Lemma 2.2. Then, there exists $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathcal{H}$ and we can extract a subsequence which will denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+} \text {weakly in } \mathcal{H},  \tag{3.1}\\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+} \text {strongly in } L^{1-\beta}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\alpha}\right), \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+} \text {a.e in } \Omega .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.2) and (3.1), we have

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta} u_{n}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{0}^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta} u_{0}^{+}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x+o(1) .
$$

Thus, by (3.1), $u_{0}^{+}$is a weak nontrivial solution of (1.1).
Now, we show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $u_{0}^{+}$strongly in $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose otherwise. By the lower semi-continuity of the norm, then either $\left\|u_{0}^{+}\right\|<\liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c \leq & J_{\theta}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right) \\
= & \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} a\left\|u_{0}^{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{p-3}{4(p+1)} \lambda\left\|u_{0}^{+}\right\|^{4} \\
& -\mu \frac{p+\beta}{(p+1)(1-\beta)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{0}^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta} u_{0}^{+}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x \\
< & \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right) \\
= & c .
\end{aligned}
$$

We get a contradiction. Therefore, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge to $u_{0}^{+}$strongly in $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, we have $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathcal{M}^{+}$. If not, then by Lemma 2.7, there are two numbers $t_{0}^{+}$ and $t_{0}^{-}$, uniquely defined so that $t_{0}^{+} u_{0}^{+} \in \mathcal{M}^{+}$and $t^{-} u_{0}^{+} \in \mathcal{M}^{-}$. In particular, we have $t_{0}^{-}<t_{0}^{+}=1$. Since

$$
\frac{d}{d t} J_{\theta}\left(t u_{0}^{+}\right)_{J t=t_{0}^{+}}=0 \text { and } \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} J_{\theta}\left(t u_{0}^{+}\right)_{J t=t_{0}^{+}}>0
$$

there exists $t_{0}^{-}<t^{-} \leq t_{0}^{+}$such that $J_{\theta}\left(t_{0}^{-} u_{0}^{+}\right)<J_{\theta}\left(t^{+} u_{0}^{+}\right)$. By Lemma 2.7, we get

$$
J_{\theta}\left(t_{0}^{-} u_{0}^{+}\right)<J_{\theta}\left(t^{-} u_{0}^{+}\right)<J_{\theta}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{0}^{+}\right)=J_{\theta}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right),
$$

which contradicts the fact that $J_{\theta}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)=c^{+}$. Since $J_{\theta}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)=J_{\theta}\left(\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|\right)$and $\left|u_{0}^{+}\right| \in \mathcal{M}^{+}$, by Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $u_{0}^{+}$is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1). By the Harnack inequality, we conclude that $u_{0}^{+}>0$, see for example [18].

Our first main result is follow:
Theorem 3.2. Assume that $p \in(3,5], 0 \leq \alpha<\frac{3}{p+1}(p+\beta), 0<\beta<1$, $a, b>0, \lambda>0$ and $\mu$ verifying $0<\mu<\mu_{*}$. Then the system (1.1) has at least one positive solutions.

Proof. Now, taking as a starting point the work of Tarantello [19], we establish the existence of a local minimum for $J_{\theta}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{+}$.

Next, we establish the existence of a local minimum for $J_{\theta}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{-}$. For this, we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $J_{\theta}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Then, $J_{\theta}^{\prime}(u)=0$ and $J_{\theta}(u) \geq-\mu^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} C(a, p, \beta, A, S)$, with $C(a, p, \beta, A, S)>0$, where

$$
C(a, p, \beta, A, S)=\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} a\left[\frac{(p+\beta) A}{(p-1) a}\right]^{\frac{2}{1+p}} \frac{2}{1-\beta} S^{\frac{-(1-\beta)}{1+\beta}}
$$

Proof. It easy to prove that $J_{\theta}^{\prime}(u)=0$, which implies that $\left\langle J_{\theta}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0$, and

$$
a\|u\|^{2}+\lambda\|u\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x=0 .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\theta}\left(u^{+}\right)= & \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} a\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{p-3}{4(p+1)} \lambda\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{4} \\
& -\mu \frac{p+\beta}{(p+1)(1-\beta)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.2) and considering $\|u\|$ small another, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u^{+}+\theta\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x \leq & {\left[\frac{4 \pi(p+\beta)}{3(p+\beta)-\alpha(p+1)}\right]^{\frac{p+\beta}{p+1}} }  \tag{3.2}\\
& \times R_{0}^{\frac{N}{p+1}(p+\beta)-\alpha}\|u\|^{1-\beta}(S)^{\frac{-(1-\beta)}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\theta}(u) \geq & \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} a\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{p-3}{4(p+1)} \lambda\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{4} \\
& -\mu \frac{p+\beta}{(p+1)(1-\beta)} A\|u\|^{1-\beta}(S)^{\frac{-(1-\beta)}{2}} \\
\geq & \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} a\|u\|^{2}-\mu \frac{p+\beta}{(p+1)(1-\beta)} A\|u\|^{1-\beta}(S)^{\frac{-(1-\beta)}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
A=\left[\frac{4 \pi(p+\beta)}{3(p+\beta)-\alpha(p+1)}\right]^{\frac{p+\beta}{p+1}} R_{0}^{\frac{N}{p+1}(p+\beta)-\alpha}
$$

Using (3.2) and function $f(t)=D t^{2}-\mu E t^{1-\beta}$, we obtain that

$$
f(t) \geq-\mu^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} C(a, p, \beta, A, S)
$$

for all $t>0$ small another, where

$$
C(a, p, \beta, A, S)=D\left[\frac{(1-\beta) E}{2 D}\right]^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)}
$$

with

$$
D=\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} a \text { and } E=\frac{p+\beta}{(p+1)(1-\beta)} S^{\frac{-(1-\beta)}{2}} A
$$

Since $0<\beta<1$, we have $C(a, p, \beta, A, S)>0$. Then we conclude that

$$
J_{\theta}(u) \geq-\mu^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} C(a, p, \beta, A, S)
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{* *}\right)$. Then the functional $J$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition in $\mathcal{H}$ with $c<c^{*}$, where

$$
c^{*}=\frac{(p-1)(p+\beta)}{2(1+\beta)(p+1)} S^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}-\mu^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} C(a, p, \beta, A, S)
$$

Proof. If $0<\mu<\mu_{* *}$, then by Proposition 2.6 (ii) there exists a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{M}$, and it is bounded by Lemma 2.2 . Then, there exists $u \in \mathcal{H}$ and we can extract a subsequence which will denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \quad \rightharpoonup u \text { weakly in } \mathcal{H} \\
& u_{n} \quad \rightharpoonup u \text { weakly in } L^{p+1}(\Omega) \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { a.e in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $u$ is a weak solution of (1.1). Let $v_{n}=u_{n}-u$. Then, by Brezis-Lieb [2] we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\|u\|^{2}+o_{n}(1)  \tag{3.3}\\
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{4}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}-\|u\|^{4}+o_{n}(1) \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1}-d x \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x+o_{n}(1) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
J_{\theta}\left(u_{n}\right)=c+o_{n}(1), J_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1)
$$

and by (3.3) and (3.5) we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} a\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{4}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x & =c-J_{\theta}(u)+o_{n}(1)  \tag{3.6}\\
a\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\lambda\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{4}-\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x & =o_{n}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \longrightarrow l, \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x \longrightarrow l \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by Sobolev inequality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \geq S \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.7), we obtain

$$
l \geq l^{\frac{2}{p+1}} S
$$

Either

$$
l=0 \text { or } l \geq S^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} .
$$

Then from (3.6), (3.7), Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$
c \geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} l+J_{\theta}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq c^{*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $l=0$ and we conclude that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $u$ strongly in $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, $\left\{J_{\theta}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges to $J_{\theta}(u)=c$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Lemma 3.5. There exist $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\Lambda_{*}>0$ such that for $\mu \in\left(0, \Lambda_{*}\right)$, one has

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} J_{\theta}(t v)<c^{*}
$$

In particular, $c<c^{*}$ for all $\mu \in\left(0, \Lambda_{*}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)$ satisfies (1.3). Then, we have

$$
\frac{\lambda}{1-\beta} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x>0
$$

We consider the two functions:

$$
f(t):=J_{\theta}\left(t \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text { and } g(t)=\frac{t^{2}}{2} a\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p+1} d x .
$$

Then, for all $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{* *}\right)$,

$$
f(0)=0<c^{*} .
$$

By the continuity of $f$, there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
f(t)<c^{*}, \quad \forall t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\max _{t \geq 0} g(t):=\frac{(p-1)(p+\beta)}{2(1+\beta)(p+1)} a S^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} .
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} J_{\theta}\left(t \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)<\frac{(p-1)(p+\beta)}{2(1+\beta)(p+1)} S^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}-\mu^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} C(a, p, \beta, A, S) .
$$

Now, taking $\mu>0$ such that

$$
-\frac{\mu t_{0}^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x<-\mu^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} C(a, p, \beta, A, S),
$$

we obtain

$$
0<\mu<\frac{t_{0}^{1+\beta}}{[(1-\beta) C(a, p, \beta, A, S)]^{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}}}\left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1-\beta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x\right]^{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}}=\Lambda_{1} .
$$

Set

$$
\Lambda_{*}=\min \left\{\mu_{* *}, \Lambda_{1}\right\}
$$

We deduce that $c^{-}<c^{*}$ for all $\mu \in\left(0, \Lambda_{*}\right)$, then there exists $t_{n}>0$ such that $t_{n} w_{n} \in \mathcal{M}^{-}$with $w_{n}$ satisfying (1.3),

$$
c^{-} \leq J_{\theta}\left(t_{n} w_{n}\right) \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} J_{\theta}\left(t w_{n}\right)<c^{*}
$$

Lemma 3.6. For all $\mu$ such that $0<\mu<\Lambda_{*}=\min \left\{\mu_{* *}, \Lambda_{1}\right\}$, the functional $J_{\theta}$ has a minimizer $u_{0}^{-}$in $\mathcal{M}^{-}$and it satisfies
(i) $J_{\theta}\left(u_{0}^{-}\right)=c^{-}>0$,
(ii) $u_{0}^{-}$is a nontrivial solution of (1.1) in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. By (ii) in Proposition 2.6, there exists a $(P S)_{c^{-}}$sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for $J_{\theta}$, in $\mathcal{M}^{-}$for all $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{* *}\right)$. From Lemmas 3.4, 3.5 and by (ii) in Lemma 2.5 , for $\mu \in\left(0, \Lambda_{1}\right), J_{\theta}$ satisfies $(P S)_{c^{-}}$condition and $c^{-}>0$. Then, we get that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore, there exist a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $u_{0}^{-} \in \mathcal{M}^{-}$such that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $u_{0}^{-}$strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ and $J_{\theta}\left(u_{0}^{-}\right)=c^{-}>0$ for all $\mu \in\left(0, \Lambda_{*}\right)$.

Finally, by using the same arguments as in the proof of the Proposition 3.1 for all $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{*}\right)$, we have that $u_{0}^{-}$is a solution of (1.1).

Theorem 3.7. In addition to the assumptions of the Theorem 3.2, there exists $\Lambda_{*} \in\left(0, \mu_{* *}\right)$ such that if $\mu$ satisfying $0<\mu<\Lambda_{*}$, then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.
Proof. For the complete proof of this theorem, by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.6, we obtain that (1.1) has two positive solutions $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathcal{M}^{+}$and $u_{0}^{-} \in \mathcal{M}^{-}$. Since $\mathcal{M}^{+} \cap \mathcal{M}^{-}=\emptyset, u_{0}^{+}$and $u_{0}^{-}$are distinct.

Finally, for every $\theta \in(0,1)$, problem (1.7) has solution $u_{\theta} \in \mathcal{H} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $J_{\theta}\left(u_{\theta}\right)=c_{\theta}$ and $J_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(u_{\theta}\right)=0$. Thus there exist $\left\{\theta_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ with $\theta_{n} \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Then we get $u=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} u_{\theta_{n}}$.

## 4. Conclusion

In our work, we have searched the critical points as the minimizers of the energy functional associated to the problem on the constraint defined by the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{M}$, which are solutions of our problem. Under some sufficient conditions on coefficients of equation of (1.1) such that $a, b>0, p \in(3,5]$, $\lambda \geq 0, \mu>0,0<\alpha<3(p+\beta) / p$ and $0<\beta<1$, we split $\mathcal{M}$ in two disjoint subsets $\mathcal{M}^{+}$and $\mathcal{M}^{-}$thus we consider the minimization problems on $\mathcal{M}^{+}$and $\mathcal{M}^{-}$respectively.
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