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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give an improvement for the second main theorems of algebraically non-degenerate meromorphic maps from generalized $p$-parabolic manifolds into projective varieties intersecting hypersurfaces in subgeneral position with some index, which extends the results of Han [6] and Chen-Thin [3].


## 1. Introduction

In 1933, Cartan [2] established a second main theorem for linearly nondegenerate holomorphic curves into complex projective spaces intersecting hyperplanes in general position. Later, Ahlfors [1], using an innovative geometry method, extended Cartan's second main theorem to linearly nondegenerate meromorphic maps on $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. Stoll and Wong $[17,18]$ generalized the above results to algebraically non-degenerate meromorphic maps defined on parabolic manifolds. In 2004, Ru [13], using the filtration of the vector space of homogeneous polynomials, established a defect relation for linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings from parabolic manifolds into the projective space intersecting hypersurfaces. Subsequently, Ru [11] obtained a second main theorem of algebraically nondegenerate holomorphic curves into projective varieties, solving the Shiffman's conjecture [15]. Han [6] generalized Ru's results to meromorphic maps from $p$-parabolic manifolds into smooth projective varieties intersecting hypersurfaces in general position. The result of Han [6] was generalized by Chen-Thin [3] to the case of intersecting hypersurfaces in subgeneral position.

Recently, Ji-Yan-Yu [7] introduced the concept of the index of subgeneral position, and gave interesting improvements of some previously known second main theorems. Motivated by this new notion, we will prove a second main

[^0]theorem for meromorphic maps from $p$-parabolic manifolds into projective varieties intersecting hypersurfaces in subgeneral position with index, which are improvements and extensions of the results in Han [6] and Chen-Thin [3].

To state our result, we give some basic definitions and notations of $p$ parabolic manifolds. For more details, we refer the reader to $[18,19]$.

Definition. For $1 \leq p \leq m$, a Kahler manifold $(M, \omega)$ of dimension $m$ is said to be a generalized $p$-parabolic manifold if there exists a plurisubharmonic function $\phi$ such that

- $\{\phi=-\infty\}$ is a closed subset of $M$ with strictly lower dimension,
- $\phi$ is smooth on the open dense set $M \backslash\{\phi=-\infty\}$ satisfying

$$
\left(d d^{c} \phi\right)^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{m-p} \not \equiv 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(d d^{c} \phi\right)^{p} \wedge \omega^{m-p} \equiv 0
$$

Note that $m$-parabolic manifolds are just ordinary parabolic manifolds. Write $\tau:=\mathrm{e}^{\phi}$ and $\sigma:=d^{c} \phi \wedge\left(d d^{c} \phi\right)^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{m-p}$, where $\tau \geq 0$ is called a $p$-parabolic exhaustion on $M$. For any positive real number $r>0$, define

$$
M[r]:=\left\{x \in M: \tau(x) \leq r^{2}\right\}, \quad M(r):=\left\{x \in M: \tau(x)<r^{2}\right\} .
$$

Then the pseudo-spheres associated with $\tau$ are defined as

$$
M\langle r\rangle:=M[r] \backslash M(r)=\left\{x \in M: \tau(x)=r^{2}\right\} .
$$

By [6], we have, for all $r>0$,

$$
\int_{M\langle r\rangle} \sigma=\varsigma,
$$

where $\varsigma$ is a constant depending only on the structure of $M$.
We next introduce the notion of associated maps. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic map defined on a complex manifold of dimension $m$, and let $\mathbf{f}_{z}: U_{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a reduced representation of $f$ on some a chart $\left(z, U_{z}\right)$. If a global meromorphic $(m-1,0)$-form $B$ is given on $M$, we define the first $B$-derivative $f_{B}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{f}_{z}$ on $U_{z}$, by

$$
d \mathbf{f}_{z} \wedge B=f_{B}^{\prime} d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{m}
$$

This operation can be iterated such that the $k$-th $B$-derivative $f_{B}^{(k)}$ is defined as

$$
d f_{B}^{(k-1)} \wedge B=f_{B}^{(k)} d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{m}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, n$. Then the $k$-th associated map $f_{k}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right)$ is defined by $\left.f_{k}\right|_{U_{z}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{f}_{k}\right)$ on $U_{z}$, where $\mathbb{P}$ is the projection. We note that the associated maps are independent of the choice of local charts, and thus are globally well-defined.

With the notions as above, we give some general conditions on $p$-parabolic manifolds.
(1) $(M, \tau, \omega)$ denotes a $p$-parabolic manifold which possesses a globally defined meromorphic form $B$ of degree ( $m-1,0$ ), such that, for any linearly non-degenerate meromorphic map $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$, the $k$-th associated map $f_{k}$ is well defined for $k=0,1, \ldots, n$, where we put $f_{0}:=f$ and where $f_{n}$ is a constant.
(2) There exists a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle ( $\mathfrak{L}, \hbar$ ) which admits a holomorphic section $\mu$ such that, for some increasing function $Y(\tau)$, we have

$$
(-1)^{(m-1)(m-2) / 2} m!\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\right)^{m-1}|\mu|_{\hbar}^{2} B \wedge \bar{B} \leq Y(\tau)\left(d d^{c} \tau\right)^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{m-p}
$$

A $p$-parabolic manifold $(M, \tau, \omega)$ with the above assumptions is called an admissible $p$-parabolic manifold.

For $1 \leq p \leq m, A_{p}$ is the $p$-th symmetric polynomial of the matrix $\left(\tau_{a \bar{b}}\right)$ with respect to the Kahler metric $\omega$. Actually, $A_{1}$ is the trace of $\tau_{a \bar{b}}$, while $A_{m}$ is the determinant $\operatorname{det}\left(\tau_{a \bar{b}}\right)(>0)$. We denote

$$
m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M\langle r\rangle} \log \frac{1}{|\mu|_{\hbar}^{2}} \sigma-\frac{1}{2} \int_{M\langle s\rangle} \log \frac{1}{|\mu|_{\hbar}^{2}} \sigma .
$$

Following $[7,20]$, we give a definition for hypersurfaces being in $N$-subgeneral position with index $\kappa$.
Definition. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be an algebraic subvariety, and let $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ be a family of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $N$ and $\kappa$ be two positive integers satisfying $N \geq \operatorname{dim} X \geq \kappa$.
(1) The hypersurfaces $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ are called in general position in $X$ if for any subset $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, q\}$ with $\sharp I \leq \operatorname{dim} X+1$,

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i} \bigcap X\right) \geq \sharp I .
$$

(2) The hypersurfaces $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ are called in $N$-subgeneral position in $X$ if for any subset $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, q\}$ with $\sharp I \leq N+1$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i} \bigcap X\right) \leq N-\sharp I
$$

(3) The hypersurfaces $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ are called in $N$-subgeneral position with index $\kappa$ in $X$ if $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ are in $N$-subgeneral position and for any subset $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, q\}$ with $\sharp I \leq \kappa$,

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i} \bigcap X\right) \geq \sharp I
$$

(Here we set $\operatorname{dim} \emptyset=-1$ ).
Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f: M \rightarrow X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be an algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic map defined on an admissible p-parabolic manifold $M$, where $X$ is a smooth variety of dimension $\ell \geq 1$. Let $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ be a collection of hypersurfaces in $N$-subgeneral position with index $\kappa$ in $X$, and $\operatorname{deg} Q_{j}=d_{j}(j=$ $1, \ldots, q)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $r>s>0$, we have ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\left(q-\frac{N-\ell+\kappa}{\kappa}(\ell+1)-\varepsilon\right) T_{f}(r, s) \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_{j}^{-1} N_{f}^{\mathfrak{m}}\left(r, s ; D_{j}\right)+c\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\varsigma \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\varsigma \log ^{+} r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c \gg 1$ is a constant, $\mathfrak{m} \leq \operatorname{deg} X d^{\ell} e^{\ell}\left(1+\frac{u}{\ell}\right)^{\ell}$ is a positive integer with $u$ controlled by (16), and $\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s), N_{\text {Ramf }}(r, s)$ are the counting functions of $\operatorname{div} A_{p}$ and the ramification divisor $\tilde{\theta}$, respectively. Whenever s is fixed, take $\mathfrak{m}$ to be the largest integer less than

$$
(\operatorname{deg} X)^{\ell+1}\left[\frac{e d^{\ell+1}(N-\ell+\kappa)(2 \ell+5) l}{\kappa \varepsilon}\right]^{\ell}
$$

where $l=\frac{q!(\ell-\kappa+1)}{\kappa!(n-\ell+1)!(q-N-1)!}+q$.
Letting $\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \infty$, we get the following second main theorem without truncation.

Corollary 1.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have, for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $r>s>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_{j}^{-1} m_{f}\left(r, D_{j}\right) \leq & \left(\frac{N-\ell+\kappa}{\kappa}(\ell+1)+\varepsilon\right) T_{f}(r, s) \\
& +c\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\varsigma \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\varsigma \log ^{+} r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c \gg 1$ is a constant.
In this paper, we use the Hilbert weights method to prove a second main theorem with truncated counting functions, which extends the main result in [14] to the case of meromorphic maps from generalized $p$-parabolic manifolds into projective varieties. We note that the main theorem in [3] is just a special case of our main result when $\kappa=1$. Next, we introduce a filtration of the vector space corresponding to the coordinate ring of the variety. This filtration is a generalization of Corvaja-Zannier's filtration [4], given by Dethloff-Tan [5]. By utilizing the algebraic properties of the filtration and properties of Hilbert polynomials, we provide an alternative proof of Corollary 1.2.

[^1]
## 2. Basic notations and auxiliary results

In this section, we briefly recall some notations and facts in Nevanlinna theory on generalized $p$-parabolic manifolds.

### 2.1. Nevanlinna theory

Green-Jensen formula (on $p$-parabolic manifolds) [19], which is the fundamental formula in the theory of value distribution, is defined as follows, for $r>s>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{2 p-1}} \int_{M[t]} d d^{c} \varphi \wedge\left(d d^{c} \tau\right)^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{m-p}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M\langle r\rangle} \varphi \sigma-\frac{1}{2} \int_{M\langle s\rangle} \varphi \sigma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is a plurisubharmonic function, and $d d^{c} \varphi$ denotes differentiation in the sense of currents.

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a hypersurface, and let $Q \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ defining $D$. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic map such that $f(M) \nsubseteq D$. We choose a reduced representation $\mathbf{f}_{z}=\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right): U_{z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ on a local chart $U_{z} \subseteq M$. Then the Weil function of $f$ with respect to $D($ or $Q)$ is locally denoted as, for $x \notin\left(Q\left(\mathbf{f}_{z}\right)\right)^{-1}(0)$,

$$
\lambda_{D}\left(\mathbf{f}_{z}\right):=\left.\lambda_{D}(f)\right|_{U_{z}}=\log \frac{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{z}\right\|^{d}\|Q\|}{\left|Q\left(\mathbf{f}_{z}\right)\right|}
$$

where $\|Q\|$ is the maximum norm of the coefficients appearing in $Q$. Note that $\lambda_{D}(f)$ is independent of the reduced representations and hence is global well-defined. Correspondingly, the proximity function $m_{f}(r, D)$ is defined as

$$
m_{f}(r, D)=\int_{M\langle r\rangle} \lambda_{D}(f) \sigma
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume $\|Q\|=1$ in the definition of the Weil function and the proximity function.

Put $\left.\theta_{f}^{D}\right|_{U_{z}}:=\left.\operatorname{div}\left(Q\left(\mathbf{f}_{z}\right)\right)\right|_{U_{z}}$ on the local chart $\left(z, U_{z}\right)$. Given two reduced representations $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{f}_{\beta}$ on the overlapping charts $U_{\alpha}, U_{\beta}$ correspondingly, we have $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha \beta} \mathbf{f}_{\beta}$ on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, for a non-vanishing holomorphic function $h_{\alpha \beta}$, and thus $\theta_{f}^{D}$ is a global well-defined divisor on $M$. Then the counting function of $f$ with respect to $D$ is defined by

$$
N_{f}(r, s ; D)=\int_{s}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{2 p-1}} \int_{M[t]} \theta_{f}^{D} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \tau\right)^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{m-p}
$$

for $0<s<r$. Writing $\theta_{f}^{D}$ as locally finite sums $\theta_{f}^{D}=\sum_{\lambda \in A} k_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}$ of irreducible analytic hypersurfaces, the $\mathfrak{m}$-th truncated divisor is locally defined as $\theta_{f}^{\mathfrak{m}, D}:=$ $\min \left\{\mathfrak{m}, k_{\lambda}\right\} v_{\lambda}$ for some positive integer $\mathfrak{m}$. Then the counting function with truncated level $\mathfrak{m}$ is defined by

$$
N_{f}^{\mathfrak{m}}(r, s ; D)=\int_{s}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{2 p-1}} \int_{M[t]} \theta_{f}^{\mathfrak{m}, D} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \tau\right)^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{m-p}
$$

Accordingly, for any $r>s>0$, the characteristic function of $f$ is defined as

$$
T_{f}(r, s):=\int_{s}^{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t^{2 p-1}} \int_{M[t]} f^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{FS}} \wedge\left(d d^{c} \tau\right)^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{m-p}
$$

where $\Omega_{\mathrm{FS}}$ is Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$.
Now, the Green-Jensen formula (1) implies:
Theorem 2.1 (First Main Theorem [6]). Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a nonconstant meromorphic map defined on a p-parabolic manifold $M$, and let $D \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be $a$ hypersurface of degree $d$ such that $f(M) \nsubseteq D$. Then for any $r>s>0$,

$$
d T_{f}(r, s)=N_{f}(r, s ; D)+m_{f}(r, D)-m_{f}(s, D)
$$

### 2.2. Some lemmas

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a projective variety of dimension $\ell$. Set $V_{u}=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{u}$ and $\widehat{V_{u}}=\frac{\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{u}}{\mathcal{I}(X)_{u}}$, where $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is the ideal of $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ defining $X$ and $\mathcal{I}(X)_{u}=\mathcal{I}(X) \cap \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{u}$. The Hilbert polynomial $H_{X}(u)$ of $X$ is defined by

$$
H_{X}(u):=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{u} / I_{X}(u)\right)
$$

Then for $u$ big enough, we have

$$
H_{X}(u)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{u}}{\mathcal{I}(X)_{u}}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \widehat{V_{u}}=\operatorname{deg} V \cdot \frac{u^{\ell}}{\ell!}+O\left(u^{\ell-1}\right)
$$

by the theory of Hilbert polynomials (see [16]). The Hilbert Weight $S_{X}(u, \mathbf{c})$ of $X$ with respect to some tuple $\mathbf{c}=\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is defined by

$$
S_{X}(u, \mathbf{c})=\max \left(\sum_{j=1}^{H_{X}(u)} \mathbf{a}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{c}\right)
$$

where the maximum is taken over all sets of monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{H_{X}(u)}}$ whose residue classes modulo $\mathcal{I}(X)$ form a basis of $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{u} /(\mathcal{I}(X))_{u}$, where $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}=\left(a_{j 0}, \ldots, a_{j n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is an $(n+1)$-dimensional multi-index, and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}}=$ $x_{0}^{a_{j 0}} \ldots x_{n}^{a_{j n}}$.
Lemma 2.2 (see $[11,12]$ ). Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be an algebraic subvariety of dimension $\ell$ and degree $\triangle$. Let $u>\triangle$ be an integer, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$, and let $\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{\ell}\right\}$ be a subset of $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying $\left\{x=\left[x_{0}: \cdots: x_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n}: x_{i_{0}}=\cdots=x_{i_{\ell}}=0\right\} \cap$ $X=\emptyset$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{u H_{X}(u)} S_{X}(u, \mathbf{c}) \geq \frac{1}{(\ell+1)}\left(c_{i_{0}}+\cdots+c_{i_{\ell}}\right)-\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u}\left(\max _{0 \leq i \leq n} c_{i}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [17]). Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic map defined on a generalized p-parabolic manifold $M$, and let $\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{q}$
be a collection of hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. We have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left(\theta_{f}^{H_{j}}-\theta_{f}^{n, H_{j}}\right) \leq \tilde{\theta}
$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [6]). Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic map defined on an admissible p-parabolic manifold M. Let $\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{q}$ be arbitrary hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then, for $r>s>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \int_{M\langle r\rangle} \max _{\mathcal{K}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_{H_{j}}(f) \sigma \\
\leq & (n+1) T_{f}(r, s)-N_{\operatorname{Ram} f}(r, s) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\frac{1}{2} \varsigma n(n+1) \log ^{+} T_{f}(r, s) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \varsigma n(n+1)\left(\log ^{+} m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\log ^{+} \operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\log ^{+} r\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\max _{\mathcal{K}}$ ranges over all subsets $\mathcal{K}$ of $\{1, \ldots, q\}$ such that the hyperplanes $\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{K}}$ are linearly independent.

## 3. Second main theorems

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. Firstly, we prove the main theorem for the case where the hypersurfaces have the same degree $d$. Let $Q_{i} \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the homogeneous polynomial defining $D_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. We choose a reduced representation $\mathbf{f}$ of $f$ on an arbitrary local chart $U \subseteq M$. For any $z \in U$ (excluding the zeros of all $Q_{j}(\mathbf{f})$ in $U$ ), there exists a permutation $I_{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ of $\{1, \ldots, q\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{i_{1}} \circ \mathbf{f}(z)\right| \leq\left|Q_{i_{2}} \circ \mathbf{f}(z)\right| \leq \cdots \leq\left|Q_{i_{q}} \circ \mathbf{f}(z)\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following positive function [9]

$$
h(z)=\max _{1 \leq t \leq N+1}\left\{\frac{\left|Q_{i_{t}}(z)\right|}{\|z\|^{d}}\right\}
$$

where $z=\left[z_{0}: \cdots: z_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\|z\|=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We see that $h$ is a positive continuous function on $X$. By the compactness of $X$, there exist two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, independence of the choice of $I_{i}$, such that $c_{1}=\min _{z \in X} h(z)$ and $c_{2}=\max _{z \in X} h(z)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\|\mathbf{f}\|^{d} \leq \max _{1 \leq t \leq N+1}\left|Q_{i_{t}}(\mathbf{f})\right| \leq c_{2}\|\mathbf{f}\|^{d} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (2) and (3) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\|\mathbf{f}(z)\|^{d}}{\left|Q_{j}(\mathbf{f})(z)\right|} \leq \frac{1}{c_{1}^{q-N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\|\mathbf{f}(z)\|^{d}}{\left|Q_{i_{k}}(\mathbf{f})(z)\right|} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the hypersurfaces $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ are located in $N$-subgeneral position with index $\kappa$ in $X$, we get

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{\kappa} D_{i_{t}} \bigcap X\right) \geq \kappa
$$

With respect to the hypersurfaces $\left\{D_{i_{1}}, \ldots, D_{i_{N}}\right\}$, we can construct $(\ell-\kappa)$ homogeneous polynomials of the following forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}=\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N-\ell+j} b_{j t} Q_{i_{t}}, \quad b_{j t} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j=\kappa+1, \ldots, \ell \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\left\{D_{i_{1}}, \ldots, D_{i_{\kappa}}, \tilde{D}_{i_{\kappa+1}}, \ldots, \tilde{D}_{i_{\ell}}\right\}$ are located in general position on $X$, where $\left\{\tilde{D}_{i_{\kappa+1}}, \ldots, \tilde{D}_{i_{\ell}}\right\}$ are defined by the above $P_{j}$ 's, respectively. This method of construction is due to Quang [8].

Now, we construct $P_{\kappa+1}$ as follows. Let $\Gamma$ be the set of irreducible components of $\left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{\kappa} D_{i_{t}} \bigcap X\right)$ with codimension $\kappa$. For any $\Delta \in \Gamma$, let

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
X_{\Delta}=\{\mathbf{b} & =\left(b_{\kappa+1}, \ldots, b_{N-\ell+\kappa+1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+1}: \Delta \subseteq \widetilde{D}, \text { where } \\
& \widetilde{D} \text { is the hypersurface defined by } \widetilde{Q}
\end{array}=\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N-\ell+\kappa+1} b_{t} Q_{i_{t}}\right\} .
$$

Observe that $\widetilde{D}=\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ in the case where $\widetilde{Q}$ is the zero polynomial. By definition, $X_{\Delta}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+1}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{N-\ell+\kappa+1} D_{i_{t}} \bigcap X\right) \geq \kappa+1
$$

there exists some $t \in\{\kappa+1, \ldots, N-\ell+\kappa+1\}$ such that $\Delta \nsubseteq \tilde{D}_{i_{t}}$. This implies that $X_{\Delta}$ is a proper subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+1}$. In view of the fact that $\Gamma$ is at most countable, we have

$$
\mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+1} \backslash \bigcup_{\Delta \in \Gamma} X_{\Delta} \neq \emptyset
$$

We denote by $\widetilde{D}_{i_{\kappa+1}}$ the hypersurface defined by $\widetilde{P}_{\kappa+1}=\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N-\ell+\kappa+1} b_{t} Q_{i_{t}}$, where $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{\kappa+1}, \ldots, b_{N-\ell+\kappa+1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+1} \backslash \cup_{\Delta \in \Gamma} V_{\Delta}$. This clearly implies that

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{\kappa} D_{i_{t}} \bigcap X \bigcap \widetilde{D}_{i_{\kappa+1}}\right) \geq \kappa+1
$$

Next, let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be the set of irreducible components of $\left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{\kappa} D_{i_{t}} \bigcap X \bigcap \widetilde{D}_{i_{\kappa+1}}\right)$ with codimension $\kappa+1$. For any $\Delta^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{\prime}$, put

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
X_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\{\mathbf{b} & =\left(b_{\kappa+1}, \ldots, b_{N-\ell+\kappa+2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+2}: \Delta^{\prime} \subseteq \widetilde{D} \text {, where } \\
& \widetilde{D} \text { is the hypersurface defined by } \widetilde{Q}
\end{array}=\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N-\ell+\kappa+2} b_{t} Q_{i_{t}}\right\} .
$$

Similarly, $\Delta^{\prime}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+2}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{N-\ell+\kappa+2} D_{i_{t}} \bigcap X\right) \geq \kappa+2,
$$

there exists some $t \in\{\kappa+1, \ldots, N-\ell+\kappa+2\}$ such that $X_{\Delta^{\prime}} \nsubseteq \tilde{D}_{i_{t}}$. This implies that $X_{\Delta}$ is a proper subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+2}$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is at most countable,

$$
\mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+2} \backslash \bigcup_{\Delta^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{\prime}} X_{\Delta^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset .
$$

Denote by $\widetilde{D}_{i_{\kappa+2}}$ the hypersurface defined by $\widetilde{P}_{\kappa+2}=\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N-\ell+\kappa+2} b_{t} Q_{i_{t}}$, where $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{\kappa+1}, \ldots, b_{N-\ell+\kappa+2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N-\ell+2} \backslash \bigcup_{\Delta^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{\prime}} X_{\Delta^{\prime}}$. Obviously,

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{\kappa} D_{i_{t}} \bigcap X \bigcap \widetilde{D}_{i_{\kappa+1}} \bigcap \widetilde{D}_{i_{\kappa+2}}\right) \geq \kappa+2
$$

Repeating the above argument, the construction is complete. Putting $\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}:=$ $D_{i_{t}}$ for $1 \leq t \leq \kappa$, then $\left\{\tilde{D}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \tilde{D}_{i_{\ell}}\right\}$ are in general position on $X$. For any permutation $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ of $\{1, \ldots, q\}$, we can always construct homogeneous polynomials $\left\{P_{\kappa+1}, \ldots, P_{\ell}\right\}$ satisfying (5), correspondingly.

Since there are only finitely choices of $N$-polynomials in $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{q}\right\}$, we can find a constant $C>0$, independent of $z$, such that

$$
\left|P_{t}(\mathbf{f})(z)\right| \leq C \max _{\kappa+1 \leq j \leq N-\ell+t}\left|Q_{i_{j}}(\mathbf{f})(z)\right|=C\left|Q_{i_{N-\ell+t}}(\mathbf{f})(z)\right|
$$

for $\kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell$, and thus by the definition, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{D_{i_{N-\ell+t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z)) \leq \lambda_{\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+O(1) \quad \text { for } \kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the above inequality with (4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{q} \lambda_{D_{j}}(\mathbf{f}(z))  \tag{7}\\
\leq & \sum_{t=1}^{\kappa} \lambda_{D_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N-\ell+\kappa} \lambda_{D_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+\sum_{t=N-\ell+\kappa+1}^{N} \lambda_{D_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+O(1)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{t=1}^{\kappa} \lambda_{\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N-\ell+\kappa} \lambda_{D_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{\ell} \lambda_{\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+O(1) \\
& =\sum_{t=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N-\ell+\kappa} \lambda_{D_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))+O(1) \\
& \leq \frac{N-\ell+\kappa}{\kappa}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}}(\mathbf{f}(z))\right)+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (5), we can also construct a homogeneous polynomial

$$
P_{\ell+1}=\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{N+1} b_{j t} Q_{i_{t}}
$$

which defines $\tilde{D}_{i_{\ell+1}}$ such that $\left\{\tilde{D}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \tilde{D}_{i_{\ell+1}}\right\}$ are in general position on $X$. Let $I$ denote the set of all permutations of $\{1, \ldots, q\}$, written as $I=\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{\# I}\right\}$. For each $I_{i}:=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \in I$, we use $P_{i, \kappa+1}, \ldots, P_{i, \ell+1}$ to denote the polynomials obtained from the hypersurfaces $\left\{D_{i_{1}}, \ldots, D_{i_{N+1}}\right\}$. For each $t \in\{\kappa+$ $1, \ldots, \ell+1\}$, the polynomial $P_{i, t}$ is determined only by $Q_{i_{\kappa+1}}, \ldots, Q_{i_{N-\ell+t}}$, so we can take a subset $\hat{I} \subseteq I$ with cardinality $l=\frac{q!}{\kappa!(N-\ell+1)!(q-N-1)!}$ to construct all possible polynomials of the above form [14]. By renumbering, we may put $\hat{I}=\left\{I_{1}, I_{2} \ldots, I_{l}\right\}$. Consider the map $\chi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by
$\chi(z)=:\left[Q_{1}: \cdots: Q_{q}: P_{1, \kappa+1}(z): \cdots: P_{1, \ell+1}(z): \cdots: P_{l, \kappa+1}(z): \cdots: P_{l, \ell+1}(z)\right]$
for $k=(\ell-\kappa+1) l+q$. Set $Z=\chi(X)$. Then $\chi$ is a finite morphism, $Z$ is an $\ell$-dimensional algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{C})$, and $\triangle:=\operatorname{deg} Z \leq d^{\ell} \operatorname{deg} X$.

Now, let $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ be a system of local reduced representations of $f$. Given any $z \notin \cup_{j=1}^{q}\left(Q_{j}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)^{-1}(0)$, set
$\mathbf{c}(z)=\left(c_{0,1}(z), \ldots, c_{0, q}(z), c_{1, \kappa+1}(z), \ldots, c_{1, \ell+1}(z), \ldots, c_{l, \kappa+1}(z), \ldots, c_{l, \ell+1}(z)\right)$, in which $c_{i, t}(z)=\lambda_{D_{t}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right)$ for $i=0,1 \leq t \leq q$, and $c_{i, t}(z)=\lambda_{\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l, \kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell+1$. Let $\mathcal{I}(Z)$ be the ideal in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]$ defining Z. Put $\mathcal{I}(Z)_{u}=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]_{u} \cap \mathcal{I}(Z)$ for some positive integer $u>\triangle$. Since $\left\{\tilde{D}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \tilde{D}_{i_{\ell+1}}\right\}$ are in general position with respect to $X$, we have, by Lemma 2.2 and (7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \sum_{j=1}^{q} \lambda_{D_{j}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right) \leq \frac{S_{Z}(u, \mathbf{c}(z))}{u H_{Z}(u)}+\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u} \max _{i, t} c_{i, t}(z) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p=\frac{\kappa}{(N-\ell+\kappa)(\ell+1)}$. Fix a basis $\phi_{0}, \ldots, \phi_{n_{u}}$ for $\widehat{V_{u}}$, where $\widehat{V_{u}}=\frac{\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]_{u}}{\mathcal{I}(Z)_{u}}$, and $n_{u}=H_{Z}(u)-1$. We consider the map

$$
F=\left[\phi_{0}(\chi \circ f): \cdots: \phi_{n_{u}}(\chi \circ f)\right]: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_{u}}
$$

Set $\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}=\left(F_{0, \lambda}, \ldots, F_{n_{u}, \lambda}\right)$, where $F_{j, \lambda}=\phi_{j}\left(Q_{1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right), \ldots, Q_{q}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right), P_{1, \kappa+1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.P_{1, \ell+1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right), \ldots, P_{l, \kappa+1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right), \ldots, P_{l, \ell+1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, n_{u}$. Note that $\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}$ is a reduced representation of $F$ on $U_{\lambda}$, and $F$ is linearly nondegenerate.

For $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}=\left(a_{j 1}, \ldots, a_{j k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k}$, put $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}}=x_{1}^{a_{j 1}} \cdots x_{k}^{a_{j k}}$. By the definition of Hilbert weight, there exist monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{H_{Z}(u)}}$ (depending on $z$ ) whose residue classes modulo $\mathcal{I}(Z)_{u}$ form a basis of $\widehat{V_{u}}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{H_{Z}(u)} \mathbf{a}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{c}=$ $S_{Z}(u, \mathbf{c}(z))$. For each $1 \leq j \leq H_{Z}(u)$, write $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_{j}}=L_{j, z}\left(\phi_{0}, \ldots \phi_{n_{u}}\right)$, where $L_{j, z}$ are linear forms that are linearly independent for every fixed $z$. Note that there are only finitely many choices of $L_{j, z}$ in total. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{j, z}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right)=\left(Q_{1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)(z)\right)^{a_{j 1}} \cdots Q_{q}\left(\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)(z)\right)^{a_{j q}} \\
& \cdot\left(P_{1, \kappa+1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)(z)\right)^{a_{j, q+1}} \cdots P_{l, \ell+1}\left(\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)(z)\right)^{a_{j k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives that

$$
-\log \left|L_{j, z}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right)\right|=\mathbf{a}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{c}(z)-u \log \left\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right\|^{d}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{j=1}^{H_{Z}(u)} \log \left|L_{j, z}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right)\right|=S_{Z}(u, \mathbf{c}(z))-u H_{Z}(u) \log \left\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right\|^{d} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (8) and (9), we have
(10) $p \sum_{j=1}^{q} \lambda_{D_{j}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right) \leq \frac{1}{u H_{Z}(u)} \sum_{j=1}^{H_{Z}(u)} \lambda_{L_{j, z}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right)$

$$
+\frac{1}{u} \log \frac{\left\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right\|^{d u}}{\left\|\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right\|}+\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u} \max _{i, t} c_{i, t}(z)+O\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)
$$

where $O\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)$ denotes a bounded term independent of $z$. By the definition of $F$, we have

$$
c_{1}\left\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right\|^{d u} \leq\left\|\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right\| \leq c_{2}\left\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right\|^{d u}
$$

for positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ independent of $\lambda$. We derive that
$p \sum_{j=1}^{q} \lambda_{D_{j}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right) \leq \frac{1}{u H_{Z}(u)} \sum_{j=1}^{H_{Z}(u)} \lambda_{L_{j, z}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right)+\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u} \max _{i, t} c_{i, t}(z)+O(1)$,
where the bounded term $O(1)$ does not depend on $z$. Taking integration on both sides of the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\| p \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_{f}\left(r, D_{j}\right) \leq & \frac{1}{u H_{Z}(u)} \int_{M\langle r\rangle} \max _{\mathcal{K}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_{L_{j}}(\mathbf{F}(z)) \sigma  \tag{11}\\
& +\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u} \int_{M\langle r\rangle} \sum_{i, t} c_{i, t}(z) \sigma+O(1),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\max _{\mathcal{K}}$ ranges over all subsets of all possible linear forms $\left\{L_{j, z}\right\}$. By Lemma 2.4 and by the fact $T_{F}(r, s)=d u \cdot T_{f}(r, s)+O(1)[6]$, we have, for any $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \| p \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_{f}\left(r, D_{j}\right)  \tag{12}\\
\leq & d T_{f}(r, s)-\frac{N_{\mathrm{RamF}}(r, s)}{u H_{Z}(u)}+\frac{d \varepsilon^{\prime}}{H_{Z}(u)} T_{f}(r, s) \\
& +\left(\frac{H_{Z}(u)-1}{2 u}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{u H_{Z}(u)}\right)\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric_{p}}(r, s)+\kappa \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\kappa \log ^{+}(r)\right) \\
& +\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u}\left[\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} m_{f}\left(r, D_{j}\right)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\
\kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell+1}} m_{f}\left(r, \tilde{D}_{i_{t}}\right)\right]+O(1)
\end{align*}
$$

We next verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N_{\mathrm{RamF}}(r, s)}{u H_{Z}(u)}  \tag{13}\\
\geq & p \sum_{j=1}^{q}\left[N_{f}\left(r, s ; D_{j}\right)-N_{f}^{n_{u}}\left(r, s ; D_{j}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u}\left[\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} N_{f}\left(r, s ; D_{j}\right)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\
\kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell+1}} N_{f}\left(r, s ; \tilde{D}_{i_{t}}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

From the assumption of subgeneral position, there are at most $N$-hypersurfaces among $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ passing through $f(z)$ for any $z \in \cup_{j=1}^{q}\left(Q_{j}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)^{-1}(0)$. Without loss of generality, for fixed $z$, we may assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right) \geq \cdots \geq \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)>0 & =\operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{\mathfrak{s}+1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right) \\
& =\cdots=\operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{p}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{j}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)$ is the vanishing order of $Q_{j}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)$ along $E$ at $z$ for some fixed irreducible hypersurface $E$, and $\mathfrak{s} \in\{0,1, \ldots, N\}$. Denote $P_{\kappa+1}, \ldots, P_{\ell+1}$ the polynomials obtained from $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{N+1}\right\}$, and then we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(P_{t}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{N-\ell+t}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right), \quad t=\kappa+1, \ldots, \ell+1
$$

We define

$$
\mathbf{c}=\left(c_{0,1}, \ldots, c_{0, q}, c_{1, \kappa+1}, \ldots, c_{1, \ell+1}, \ldots, c_{t, \kappa+1}, \ldots, c_{t, \ell+1}\right),
$$

where $c_{i, t}=\max \left\{0, \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{t}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)-n_{u}\right\}$ for $i=0,1 \leq t \leq q$, and $c_{i, t}=$ $\max \left\{0, \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(P_{i, t}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)-n_{u}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l, \kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell+1$. Likewise, take monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{H_{Z}}(u)}$ whose residue classes modulo $\mathcal{I}(Z)_{u}$ form a basis
of $\widehat{V_{u}}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{H_{Z}(u)} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{c}=S_{Z}(u, \mathbf{c}) \quad \text { for } \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j}=\left(\hat{a}_{j 1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{j k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k} .
$$

Furthermore, there are linear forms $\left\{L_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{H_{Z}(u)}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{j}}=L_{j}\left(\phi_{0}, \ldots, \phi_{n_{u}}\right)$ for every $1 \leq j \leq H_{Z}(u)$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{Z}(u, \mathbf{c}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{H_{Z}(u)} \max \left\{0, \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(L_{j}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}\right)\right)-n_{u}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the flip side, by Lemma 2.2 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{S_{Z}(u, \mathbf{c})}{u H_{Z}(u)} \geq & \frac{1}{\ell+1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \max \left\{0, \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{j}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)-n_{u}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{t=\kappa+1}^{\ell} \max \left\{0, \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{N-\ell+t}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)-n_{u}\right\}\right)-\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u} \max _{i, t} c_{i, t} \\
\geq & p\left(\sum_{t=1}^{N} \max \left\{0, \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{t}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)-n_{u}\right\}\right)-\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u} \max _{i, t} c_{i, t} \\
= & p\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} \max \left\{0, \operatorname{ord}_{E, z}\left(Q_{j}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)-n_{u}\right\}\right)-\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u} \max _{i, t} c_{i, t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (14), Lemma 2.3 and the above inequality, we get
(15) $\frac{\tilde{\theta}}{u H_{Z}(u)} \geq p \sum_{j=1}^{q}\left[\theta_{f}^{D_{j}}-\theta_{f}^{n_{u}, D_{j}}\right]-\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{u}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_{f}^{D_{j}}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\ \kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell+1}} \theta_{f}^{D_{i_{t}}}\right]$.

Integrating both sides of (15), we thus get (13). By (12) and (13) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|(p q-1) T_{f}(r, s) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{H_{Z}(u)}+\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle k}{u}\right) T_{f}(r, s)+\left(\frac{H_{Z}(u)-1}{2 d u}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{d u H_{Z}(u)}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\kappa \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\kappa \log ^{+}(r)\right)+\frac{p}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{q} N_{f}^{n_{u}}\left(r, s ; D_{j}\right) \\
& +\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle}{d u}\left[\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} m_{f}\left(s, D_{j}\right)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\
\kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell+1}} m_{f}\left(s, \tilde{D}_{i_{t}}\right)\right]+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, we choose $u$ as the smallest integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u>\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle k}{p \varepsilon}, \quad \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{H_{Z}(u)}+\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle k}{u}<p \varepsilon \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} m_{f}\left(s, D_{j}\right)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\ \kappa+1 \leq t \leq \ell+1}} m_{f}\left(s, \tilde{D}_{i_{t}}\right)<u
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\left(q-\frac{N-\ell+\kappa}{\kappa}(\ell+1)-\varepsilon\right) T_{f}(r, s)  \tag{17}\\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{d} N_{f}^{n_{u}}\left(r, s ; D_{j}\right)+c\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\varsigma \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\varsigma \log ^{+} r\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $c \leq \frac{H_{Z}(u)-1}{2 d p u}+1$. For some fixed $s$, we can choose $u$ as the smallest integer satisfying

$$
u>\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle k}{p \varepsilon}, \quad \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{H_{Z}(u)}+\frac{(2 \ell+1) \triangle k}{u}<p \varepsilon
$$

such that (17) makes sense. Then we give an explicit estimate for $n_{u}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{u}=H_{Z}(u)-1 \leq \triangle\binom{u+\ell}{\ell} & \leq \operatorname{deg} X d^{\ell} e^{\ell}\left(1+\frac{u}{\ell}\right)^{\ell} \\
& \leq(\operatorname{deg} X)^{\ell+1}\left[\frac{e d^{\ell+1}(N-\ell+\kappa)(2 \ell+5) l}{\kappa \varepsilon}\right]^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{q}\right\}$ are not of the same degree, then we set $d:=\operatorname{lcm}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{q}\right)$ and apply (17) for the hypersurfaces $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ defined by $Q_{1}^{d / d_{1}}, \ldots, Q_{q}^{d / d_{q}}$, respectively, which yields our result.

### 3.2. Another proof of Corollary 1.2

Proof. Similarly, we only need to give proofs for the case, where $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{q}\right\}$ have the same degree $d$.

For a positive integer $L$, let $V_{L}=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L}$ and $\widehat{V_{L}}=\frac{\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L}}{\mathcal{I}(X)_{L}}$, where $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is the ideal of $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ defining $X$ and $\mathcal{I}(X)_{L}=\mathcal{I}(X) \cap$ $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L}$. Denote $[\phi]$ the projection of $\phi$ in $\widehat{V_{L}}$. In what follows, we introduce a filtration of $\widehat{V_{L}}$ with respect to $\left\{Q_{i_{1}}, \ldots, Q_{i_{\kappa}}, P_{\kappa+1}, \ldots, P_{\ell}\right\}$. For brevity, we put $P_{t}:=Q_{i_{t}}$ for $1 \leq t \leq \kappa$.

We arrange, in lexicographic order, the $\ell$-tuples $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\ell}\right)$ of nonnegative integers and put $\|\mathbf{i}\|:=\sum_{j} i_{j}$.
Definition (see [5, 7, 10]).
(i) For every $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$ and non-negative integer $L$ with $L \geq d\|\mathbf{i}\|$, denote by $I_{L}^{\mathrm{i}}$ the subspace of $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|}$ consisting of all $r \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|}$ such that

$$
P_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots P_{\ell}^{i_{\ell}} r-\sum_{\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right)>\mathbf{i}} P_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots P_{\ell}^{i_{\ell}} r_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathcal{I}(X)_{L}
$$

$$
\text { or }\left[P_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots P_{\ell}^{i_{\ell}} r\right]=\left[\sum_{\mathbf{j}>\mathbf{i}} P_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots P_{\ell}^{i_{\ell}} r_{\mathbf{j}}\right] \text { on } \widehat{V_{L}}
$$

for some $r_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L-d\|\mathbf{j}\|}$;
(ii) Let $I^{\mathrm{i}}$ denote the homogeneous ideal in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ generated by

$$
\bigcup_{L \geq d\|\mathbf{i}\|} I_{L}^{\mathrm{i}} .
$$

Remark 3.1 (see [5, 7, 10]). From the above definition, we have the following properties.
(i) $\left(\mathcal{I}(X), P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\ell}\right)_{L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|} \subseteq I_{L}^{\mathbf{i}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|}$, where $\left(\mathcal{I}(X), P_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, P_{\ell}\right)$ is the ideal in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ generated by $\mathcal{I}(X) \cup\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\ell}\right\}$;
(ii) $I^{\mathbf{i}} \cap \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|}=I_{L}^{\mathrm{i}}$;
(iii) If $\mathbf{i}_{1}-\mathbf{i}_{2}:=\left(i_{1,1}-i_{2,1}, \ldots, i_{1, \ell}-i_{2, \ell}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$, then $I_{L}^{\mathbf{i}_{2}} \subseteq I_{L+d\left\|\mathbf{i}_{1}\right\|-d\left\|\mathbf{i}_{2}\right\|}^{\mathbf{i}_{1}}$. Hence $I^{\mathbf{i}_{2}} \subseteq I^{\mathbf{i}_{1}}$.

Here, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L}^{\mathrm{i}}:=\operatorname{dim} \frac{\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|}}{I_{L}^{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2 (see [5, 7, 10]).
(i) $\left\{I^{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}\right\}$ is a finite set.
(ii) There exists a positive integer $L_{0}$ such that, for every $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}, \Delta_{L}^{\mathbf{i}}$ is independent of $L$ for all $L$ satisfying $L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|>L_{0}$.
(iii) For all $L$ and $\mathbf{i}$ with $L-d\|\mathbf{i}\| \geq 0, \Delta_{L}^{\mathbf{i}}$ is bounded.

Subsequently, we construct the filtration of $V_{L}$ and $\widehat{V_{L}}$ with respect to $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\ell}\right\}$ for a fixed large enough integer $L$.

Let $\tau_{L}$ denote the set of all $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$ with $L-d\|\mathbf{i}\| \geq 0$, arranged by the lexicographic order. Define the spaces $W_{\mathbf{i}}=W_{L, \mathbf{i}}$ by

$$
W_{\mathbf{i}}=\sum_{\mathbf{j} \geq \mathbf{i}} P_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots P_{\ell}^{j_{\ell}} V_{L-d\|\mathbf{j}\|} .
$$

Clearly, $W_{(0, \ldots, 0)}=V_{L}$ and $W_{\mathbf{i}} \supset W_{\mathbf{i}^{\prime}}$ if $\mathbf{i}^{\prime}>\mathbf{i}$, thus $\left\{W_{\mathbf{i}}\right\}$ is a filtration of $V_{L}$. Set $\widehat{W_{\mathbf{i}}}=\left\{[g] \mid g \in W_{\mathbf{i}}\right\}$. Hence, $\left\{\widehat{W_{\mathbf{i}}}\right\}$ is a filtration of $\widehat{V_{L}}$.

Lemma 3.3 (see [5, 7, 10]). If $\mathbf{i}^{\prime}$ follows $\mathbf{i}$ in lexicographic ordering, then

$$
\frac{\widehat{W_{\mathbf{i}}}}{\widehat{W_{\mathbf{i}^{\prime}}}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|}}{I_{L}^{\mathrm{i}}}=\Delta_{L}^{\mathrm{i}}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, for every $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$, there is an integer $L_{0}$, such that $\Delta_{L}^{\mathbf{i}}$ is a constant for all $L$ satisfying $L-\bar{d}\|\mathbf{i}\|>L_{0}$. Here, we let $\Delta^{\mathbf{i}}$ be this constant.

Take $\Delta_{0}:=\min _{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}} \Delta^{\mathbf{i}}$. Then $\Delta_{0}=\Delta^{\mathbf{i}_{0}}$ for some $\mathbf{i}_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$. By (iii) of the remark below Section 3.2, if $\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{i}_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$, then $\Delta^{\mathbf{i}} \leq \Delta^{\mathbf{i}_{0}}$. Set

$$
\tau_{L}^{0}:=\left\{\mathbf{i} \in \tau_{L}: L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|>L_{0} \text { and } \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{i}_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}\right\}
$$

Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.4 (see [5, 7, 10]).
(i) $\Delta_{0}=\Delta^{\mathbf{i}}$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \tau_{L}^{0}$;
(ii) $\sharp \tau_{L}^{0}=\frac{1}{d^{\ell}} \frac{L^{\ell}}{\ell!}+O\left(L^{\ell-1}\right)$;
(iii) $\Delta_{L}^{\mathrm{i}}=\Delta d^{\ell}$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \tau_{L}^{0}$, where $\Delta=\operatorname{deg} X$.

Now, for $L$ big enough, divisible by $d$, and for every $1 \leq j \leq \ell$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \tau_{L}} i_{j}=\frac{\Delta L^{\ell+1}}{d^{\ell+1}(\ell+1)!}+O\left(L^{\ell}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For a proof see (3.6) in [10].) Then combining (19) with Lemma 3.4, for every $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \tau_{L}} \Delta_{L}^{\mathbf{i}} i_{j}=\frac{\Delta L^{\ell+1}}{d(\ell+1)!}+O\left(L^{\ell}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{U_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ be an open covering of $M$, and denote by $\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}: U_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ a reduced representation of $f$ on $U_{\lambda}$, correspondingly. Set $\mathfrak{u}:=\operatorname{dim} \widehat{V_{L}}$ and choose a basis $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{\mathfrak{u}}\right\}$ for $\widehat{V_{L}}$ with respect to the filtration. Let $\psi_{s}$ be an element of $\mathcal{B}$, which lies inside $\widehat{W_{\mathbf{i}}} \backslash \widehat{W_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}}$. We thus write $\psi_{s}=\left[P_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots P_{\ell}^{i_{\ell}} r\right]$, where $r \in V_{L-d\|\mathbf{i}\|}$. By the definition of the Weil function and (20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{\mathfrak{u}} \lambda_{\psi_{s}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right) \geq\left(\frac{\Delta L^{\ell+1}}{d(\ell+1)!}+O\left(L^{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right)+O(1) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O(1)$ denotes a bounded term which depends only on the $\psi_{s}$ ', but not on $\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}$ and $z$.

We fix a basis $\left\{\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{\mathfrak{u}}\right\}$ for $\widehat{V_{L}}$, and let $\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}=\left(\phi_{1}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right), \ldots, \phi_{\mathfrak{u}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)\right)$. Then $\mathbf{F}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}\right)$ is independent of choices of $\lambda$. Therefore, we can define a meromorphic map $\mathbf{F}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathfrak{u}-1}(\mathbb{C})$. Write the basis $\mathcal{B}$ as linear forms $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{\mathfrak{u}}$ in $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{\mathfrak{u}}$ satisfying $\psi_{s}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}\right)=L_{s}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}\right), s=1, \ldots, \mathfrak{u}$. By the definition of $\mathbf{F}$, there exist positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, independent of $\lambda$, such that

$$
c_{1}\left\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right\|^{L} \leq\left\|\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right\| \leq c_{2}\left\|\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right\|^{L} .
$$

Combining the above inequality with (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{\mathfrak{u}} \lambda_{L_{s}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}(z)\right) \geq\left(\frac{\Delta L^{\ell+1}}{d(\ell+1)!}+O\left(L^{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{\tilde{D}_{i_{t}}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\lambda}(z)\right)+O(1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear forms $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{\mathfrak{u}}$ are linearly independent, and we have, by the assumption of algebraic non-degeneracy of $\mathbf{f}$, that $\mathbf{F}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\boldsymbol{u}-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is linearly
nondegenerate. Since there are only finitely many choices of $N$-polynomials in $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{q}\right\}$, then the collection of all possible linear forms $L_{s}(1 \leq s \leq \mathfrak{u})$ is a finite set. For simplicity, we denote it by $\mathcal{L}:=\left\{L_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\Lambda}, \Lambda<\infty$.

Hence, by (7) and (22), taking integration on the pseudo-sphere of radius $r$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\Delta L^{\ell+1}}{d(\ell+1)!}+O\left(L^{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_{f}\left(r, D_{j}\right)  \tag{23}\\
\leq & \frac{N-\ell+\kappa}{\kappa} \int_{M\langle r\rangle} \max _{\mathcal{K}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_{L_{j}}(\mathbf{F}(z)) \sigma+O(1),
\end{align*}
$$

where the maximum is taken over all subsets $\mathcal{K} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \Lambda\}$ with $\# \mathcal{K}=\mathfrak{u}$ such that $\left\{L_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{K}}$ are linearly independent. Since $N_{\text {RamF }}(r, s) \geq 0$, Lemma 2.4 yields that, for $r>s>0$, and any $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ (which will be chosen later),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \int_{M\langle r\rangle} \max _{\mathcal{K}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_{L_{j}}(\mathbf{F}(z)) \sigma \\
\leq & \left(u+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) T_{F}(r, s) \\
& +\left(\frac{u(u-1)}{2}+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\varsigma \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\varsigma \log ^{+}(r)\right)+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above inequality with (23), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\left(\frac{\Delta L^{\ell+1}}{d(\ell+1)!}+O\left(L^{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_{f}\left(r, D_{j}\right)  \tag{24}\\
\leq & \frac{N-\ell+\kappa}{\kappa}\left\{\left(u+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) T_{F}(r, s)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{u^{2}-u}{2}+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\varsigma \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\varsigma \log ^{+}(r)\right)\right\}+O(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we encounter a comparison between $T_{F}(r, s)$ and $T_{f}(r, s)$. By [6], we get

$$
T_{F}(r, s)=L \cdot T_{f}(r, s)+O(1)
$$

Since we have, for $L$ big enough

$$
u=H_{X}(L)=\Delta \frac{L^{\ell}}{\ell!}+O\left(L^{\ell-1}\right)
$$

(24) gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_{f}\left(r, D_{j}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{N-\ell+\kappa}{\kappa}\left[\frac{d(\ell+1)!}{\Delta L^{\ell}(1+o(1))}\left(u+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) T_{f}(r, s)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.+C_{L}\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\varsigma \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\varsigma \log ^{+}(r)\right)\right]
$$

where

$$
C_{L}:=\Delta \frac{\ell+1}{2 \ell!} L^{\ell-1}+O\left(L^{\ell-2}\right)
$$

is a constant dependent on $L$. For $L$ large enough, we may suppose

$$
\frac{d(\ell+1)!\left(u+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}{\Delta L^{\ell}(1+o(1))} \leq d(\ell+1)+\varepsilon .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \| \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_{f}\left(r, D_{j}\right)  \tag{25}\\
\leq & \frac{N-\ell+\kappa}{\kappa}(d(\ell+1)+\varepsilon) T_{f}(r, s) \\
& +C_{L}\left(m_{0}(\mathfrak{L} ; r, s)+\operatorname{Ric}_{p}(r, s)+\varsigma \log ^{+} Y\left(r^{2}\right)+\varsigma \log ^{+} r\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, this completes the proof.
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