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#### Abstract

It is known that the automorphism group of a K3 surface with Picard number two is either an infinite cyclic group or an infinite dihedral group when it is infinite. In this paper, we study the generators of such automorphism groups. We use the eigenvector corresponding to the spectral radius of an automorphism of infinite order to determine the generators.


## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to give some conditions on the generators of the automorphism group of a K3 surface of Picard number 2 (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2). For a K3 surface $X$ with rank two Picard lattice, Galluzzi, Lombardo and Peters [4] applied the classical theory of binary quadratic forms to prove that the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is trivial or $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if it is finite. Moreover, if it is infinite, the automorphism group is an infinite cyclic group or an infinite dihedral group. In this paper, we find conditions for the generators of the automorphism group by using the eigenvector corresponding to the spectral radius of an automorphism of infinite order.

Let $g$ be an automorphism of a compact complex surface $X$. It is known that the topological entropy $h(g)$ is determined by the spectral radius $\rho$ of $g^{*}$ acting on $H^{*}(X)$, that is, $h(g)=\log \rho\left(g^{*} \mid H^{2}(X)\right)$. If $h(g)>0$, then a minimal model for $X$ is either a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, a complex torus or a rational surface [3]. In the sense of dynamics of automorphisms, it is a natural question to find a minimal possible entropy. For example, for a K3 surface, one constructs an automorphism synthetically by the lattice theory and Torelli theorem to find the minimal entropy ([9]). However, in this paper, we go the

[^0]other way around. That is, using topological entropies, we determine automorphisms of $X$. More precisely, by finding eigenvectors of an automorphism, we can find the generators of the automorphism group of a K3 surface.

The main observation of this idea is the fact that the solutions of Pell equation associated with a non-square number form an infinite group generated by Pell multiples of finite solutions (cf. Section 2.1). For some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0,-1\}$, if we consider a non-empty set $A_{k}$ (cf. (10) in Section 3) of divisors each of which has the self-intersection number $2 k$, then by $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(X), g^{*}(D) \in A_{k}$ for any $D \in A_{k}$, where $g^{*}:=g^{*} \mid S_{X}$ and $S_{X}$ is the Picard lattice of $X$. In particular, for $(u, v) \in A_{k}$, if we consider a sequence of $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right):=g^{n *}(u, v)$ and if the ratio $u_{n} / v_{n}$ converges to $U / V$ as $n$ increases, then ( $U, V$ ) will be the eigenvector corresponding to the spectral radius of $g^{*} \mid S_{X}$. We use this eigenvector to find $g$. Furthermore, using this eigenvector and $g$, we can also determine anti-symplectic involutions when $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. An automorphism $g$ of $X$ is said to be symplectic if $g^{*} \omega_{X}=\omega_{X}$ and anti-symplectic if $g^{*} \omega_{X}=-\omega_{X}$, where $\omega_{X}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form of $X$.

Let $X$ be a K3 surface with Picard lattice $S_{X}$ whose self-intersection matrix is

$$
Q_{S_{X}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 a & b  \tag{1}\\
b & 2 c
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some basis with $d:=-\operatorname{disc}\left(S_{X}\right)=b^{2}-4 a c>0$. Let $g$ be an automorphism of $X$ with $g^{*} \mid S_{X}$ given by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta  \tag{2}\\
\gamma & \delta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Theorem 1.1. For a K3 surface $X$ with intersection matrix of Picard lattice $S_{X}$ as above, an automorphism $g$ of infinite order acting on $S_{X}$ as in (2) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=-\frac{a}{c} \beta, \delta=\alpha-\frac{b}{c} \beta \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha^{2}-\frac{b}{c} \alpha \beta+\frac{a}{c} \beta^{2}=1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $g^{*} \mid S_{X}$ is a power of an isometry $h$ of $S_{X}$ defined by the matrix

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{1}  \tag{4}\\
-\frac{a}{c} \beta_{1} & \alpha_{1}-\frac{b}{c} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left(2 \alpha_{1}-b \frac{\beta_{1}}{c}, \frac{\beta_{1}}{c}\right)$ is the minimal positive solution of Pell equation $x^{2}-d y^{2}=$ 4.

Theorem 1.2. Let $X$ be a K3 surface with Picard lattice $S_{X}$ whose intersection matrix is (1). If $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, then an involution ८ acting on $S_{X}$ by the matrix in (2) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=-\alpha, \gamma=-\frac{b}{c} \alpha+\frac{a}{c} \beta \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha^{2}-\frac{b}{c} \alpha \beta+\frac{a}{c} \beta^{2}=1 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.3. By Lemma 2.6 in Section 2.2, we can easily see whether an isometry acts on $S_{X}$ as -id or not. If it acts on $S_{X}$ as -id, then it extends to an isometry of $H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, if it preserves the ample cone, then by Torelli theorem, it extends to an anti-symplectic involution.

The structure of this paper is the following: In Section 2 we recall some results about Pell equations and lattices. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 we apply our results to several examples to find the generators of the automorphism group of a K3 surface of Picard number 2.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Pell equations

For a positive integer $d$, an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}-d v^{2}=1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a Pell equation. We are interested in solutions $(u, v)$, where $u$ and $v$ are integers. Solutions with $u>0$ and $v>0$ will be called positive solutions. It is known in [8] that for every non-square positive integer $d$, the equation (6) has a nontrivial solution with $v \neq 0$. Moreover, the solutions of Pell equation can be generated from the smallest positive solution $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ of (6).

Theorem 2.1 ([1], Sec. 6.6. Theorem 7). If $d$ is a square, the only solutions of (6) are $u= \pm 1$ and $v=0$.

If $d$ is not a square, let $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ be the smallest positive solution of (6) and write $\alpha=u_{1}+v_{1} \sqrt{d}$, then all solutions of (6) are

$$
\left\{\left( \pm u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \mid u_{n}, v_{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \text { such that } u_{n}+v_{n} \sqrt{d}=(\alpha)^{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Remark 2.2. All solutions of (6) are units of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$.
More generally, for $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}-d v^{2}=m \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a generalized Pell equation. Note that if $(a, b)$ is a solution of (7), then for any solution $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ of $(6),\left(u_{n}^{\prime}, v_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ defined by $u_{n}^{\prime}+v_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d}=\left(u_{n}+\right.$ $\left.v_{n} \sqrt{d}\right)(a+b \sqrt{d})$ is also a solution of $(7) .\left(u_{n}^{\prime}, v_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is called a Pell multiple of $a+b \sqrt{d}$.

In particular, for $m=4$, we have the following result.
Theorem 2.3 ([2], Theorem 4.4.1). Let $d$ be a non-square positive integer. If $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is the smallest positive solution of $u^{2}-d v^{2}=4$, then all solutions are generated by powers of $\alpha=\frac{u_{1}+v_{1} \sqrt{d}}{2}$ in the sense that writing $\alpha^{n}=\frac{u_{n}+v_{n} \sqrt{d}}{2}$, $\left( \pm u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ is a new solution and all solutions can be obtained in that way.

### 2.2. Lattices

A lattice is a pair $(L, b)$ of a free finite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-module $L$ together with a bilinear form $b: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. A lattice is even if $b(x, x) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for any $x \in L$, odd otherwise. The discriminant $\operatorname{disc}(L)$ is the determinant of the matrix of the bilinear form. A lattice is called non-degenerate if the discriminant is non-zero and unimodular if the discriminant is $\pm 1$. If the lattice $L$ is non-degenerate, the pair $\left(s_{+}, s_{-}\right)$, where $s_{ \pm}$denotes the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\pm 1$ for the quadratic form associated to $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$, is called a signature of $L$. An isometry of a lattice is an isomorphism preserving the bilinear form. The orthogonal group $O(L)$ consists of all isometries of $L$.

For a lattice $(L, b)$, the dual lattice $L^{*}$ is defined by

$$
L^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z})=\{x \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mid b(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \text { for any } y \in L\} .
$$

We have a natural inclusion $L \hookrightarrow L^{*}$ and the discriminant group of $L$ is $A(L)=$ $L^{*} / L$. The bilinear form on $L$ induces a symmetric bilinear form $b^{*}: L^{*} \times L^{*} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, $b^{*}$ induces a symmetric bilinear form $b_{L}: A(L) \times A(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ and thus a quadratic form $q_{L}: A(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$.

Whenever $L$ is even, $q_{L}$ takes values in $\mathbb{Q} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. By $O(A(L))$ we denote the group of automorphisms of $A(L)$ preserving $q_{L}$. The inclusion of $L$ into $L^{*}$ yields a homomorphism $\Phi: O(L) \rightarrow O(A(L))$. For a non-degenerate lattice $L$ of signature $(1, k)$ with $k>0$, we have the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x \in L \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid x^{2}>0\right\}=C_{L} \cup\left(-C_{L}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

into two disjoint cones. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
O^{+}(L):=\left\{g \in O(L) \mid g\left(C_{L}\right)=C_{L}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $O^{+}(L)$ is a subgroup of $O(L)$ of index 2 .
We state some results about lattices which will be used in later sections.
Theorem 2.4 ([12], Theorem 1.14.4). For any even lattice $L$ of signature ( $1, \rho$ ) with $\rho \leq 9$, there exists a projective K3 surface $X$ such that $S_{X} \cong L$.

An embedding $S \hookrightarrow L$ of lattices is called primitive if $L / S$ is free.
Proposition 2.5 ([11], Proposition 1.6.1). A primitive embedding of an even lattice $S$ into an even unimodular lattice $L$, in which the orthogonal complement of $S$ is isomorphic to $K$, is determined by an isomorphism $\gamma: A(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} A(K)$ for which $q_{K} \circ \gamma=-q_{S}$.

Lemma 2.6 ([6], Lemma 1). Let L be a non-degenerate even lattice of rank $n$. For $g \in O(L)$ and $\epsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$, $g$ acts on $A(L)$ as $\epsilon \cdot$ id if and only if $\left(g-\epsilon \cdot I_{n}\right) \cdot Q_{L}^{-1}$ is an integer matrix, where $Q_{L}$ is the intersection matrix of $L$.

In [4], Galluzzi, Lombardo, and Peters classified the automorphism groups of K3 surfaces of Picard number 2.
Definition ([4], Section 3.2). A lattice $L$ is ambiguous if $L$ admits an isometry $P$ with $\operatorname{det} P=-1$.

Theorem 2.7 ([4], Corollary 1). For $X$ a K3 surface with Picard number 2 the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is finite precisely when the Picard lattice $S_{X}$ contains divisors $L$ with $L^{2}=0$ or with $L^{2}=-2$. If $S_{X}$ does not contain such divisors and if moreover $S_{X}$ is not ambiguous, then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is infinite cyclic, but if $S_{X}$ is ambiguous, then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is either infinite cyclic or the infinite dihedral group.

It is known that a symplectic involution on a projective K3 surface occurs only if Picard number is greater than 8.
Proposition 2.8 ([5], Section 2.1). A projective K3 surface with a symplectic involution has Picard number at least 9 .

## 3. Proof

Let $L$ be an even lattice of signature $(1,1)$ with intersection matrix given by (1) with $d:=-\operatorname{disc}(L)=b^{2}-4 a c>0$. By Theorem 2.4, there is a K3 surface $X$ whose Picard lattice $S_{X} \cong L$. It is known that $d$ is a square number if and only if there is a $D \in S_{X}$ with $D^{2}=0$. Suppose that $d$ is not a square number. Moreover, we assume that $S_{X}$ has no divisor $D$ with self-intersection -2. Hence we have that $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is infinite (cf. Theorem 2.7).

We consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=\left\{D=(x, y) \in S_{X} \mid D^{2}=2 a x^{2}+2 b x y+2 c y^{2}=2 k\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A_{k} \neq \emptyset$. Then $A_{k}$ consists of $\left(A_{k}\right)_{ \pm}=\{(x, y) \mid x=$ $\frac{-b y \pm z}{2 a}$ such that $\left.z^{2}-d y^{2}=4 a k\right\}$. For $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in\left(A_{k}\right)_{ \pm}$with $x_{0}=\frac{-b y_{0} \pm z_{0}}{2 a}$ and $z_{0}^{2}-d y_{0}^{2}=4 a k$, we have that $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in\left(A_{k}\right)_{ \pm}$, where $x_{n}=\frac{-b y_{n} \pm z_{n}}{2 a}$ with $z_{n}^{2}-d y_{n}^{2}=4 a k$ and $\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ is a Pell multiple of $\left(z_{0}, y_{0}\right)$.
Lemma 3.1. For every $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in\left(A_{k}\right)_{ \pm}$(resp.), $\frac{x_{n}}{y_{n}}$ converges to $\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{d}}{2 a}$ (resp.) as $n$ increases.
Proof. For $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ with $x_{n}=\frac{-b y_{n}+z_{n}}{2 a},\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ is obtained by $z_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}=$ $\left(u_{n}+v_{n} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(z_{0}+y_{0} \sqrt{d}\right)$ with $u_{n}^{2}-d v_{n}^{2}=1$. Hence $\frac{z_{n}}{y_{n}}=\frac{u_{n} z_{0}+d v_{n} y_{0}}{v_{n} z_{0}+u_{n} y_{0}}=\frac{z_{0} \frac{u_{n}}{v_{n}}+d y_{0}}{z_{0}+\frac{u_{n}}{v_{n}} y_{0}}$ converges to $\sqrt{d}$ since $\frac{u_{n}}{v_{n}}$ converges to $\sqrt{d}$ as $n$ increases. Now for $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in$ $\left(A_{k}\right)_{+}, \frac{x_{n}}{y_{n}}=\frac{-b+z_{n} / y_{n}}{2 a}$ converges to $\frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2 a}$ as $n$ increases.

Similarly this also holds for $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in\left(A_{k}\right)_{-}$.

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $g$ be an automorphism of infinite order of $X$ whose action on $S_{X}$ is given by (2). Then $A_{k} \neq \emptyset$ for some $k \neq 0,-2$ (cf. Theorem 2.7). For $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in A_{k}$, let $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=g^{n *}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. Then we have that $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in A_{k}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\frac{x_{n}}{y_{n}}$ converges to $\frac{x}{y}=\frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2 a}$ as $n$ increases, hence the ratio $\frac{x}{y}$ indicates the direction of an eigenvector of $g^{*}$. Hence $g *$ preserves the ratio, i.e., for

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta  \tag{11}\\
\gamma & \delta
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}=\binom{\alpha x+\beta y}{\gamma x+\delta y}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha x+\beta y}{\gamma x+\delta y}=\frac{x}{y}=\frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2 a} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{\alpha \frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2 a}+\beta}{\gamma \frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2 a}+\delta}=\frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2 a} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(-b+\sqrt{d})+2 a \beta=\frac{\gamma\left(2 b^{2}-4 a c-2 b \sqrt{d}\right)}{2 a}+\delta(-b+\sqrt{d}) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since this is an element of $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}]$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+\frac{b}{a} \gamma-\delta=0 \quad \text { and } \quad a \beta+c \gamma=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the first two conditions in (3). Since we assume that $d$ is not a square number, $c \neq 0$. Moreover, since $g^{*}$ is an isometry of $S_{X}$, we have that $g^{* t r} Q_{S_{X}} g^{*}=Q_{S_{X}}$, where $Q_{S_{X}}$ is the intersection matrix of $S_{X}$ as in (1). This and conditions in (14) give the last identity in (3). This proves the first part of the theorem.

Next, we will see that the generator of infinite order is a power of such a minimal isometry in the sense that the minimal isometry is associated with the minimal positive solution of some Pell equation. Suppose that

$$
h^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha^{\prime} & \beta^{\prime}  \tag{15}\\
-\frac{a}{c} \beta^{\prime} & \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{b}{c} \beta^{\prime}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{b \frac{\beta^{\prime}}{c}+z}{2}$ with $\left(z, \frac{\beta^{\prime}}{c}\right)$ being another solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}-d v^{2}=4 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to show that $h^{\prime}$ is a power of $h$ in (4). For this, let $\left(z_{1}, \frac{\beta_{1}}{c}\right)$ be the minimal positive solution of (16). Then by Theorem 2.3, any solution $\left(z_{k}, \frac{\beta_{k}}{c}\right)$ is given by a power of $\frac{z_{1}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{c} \sqrt{d}}{2}$, that is, $\frac{z_{k}+\frac{\beta_{k}}{2} \sqrt{d}}{2}=\left(\frac{z_{1}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{c} \sqrt{d}}{2}\right)^{k}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $z=z_{l}$ and $\beta^{\prime}=\beta_{l}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following claim shows that $h^{\prime}=h^{l}$.
Claim. For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\alpha_{k}=\frac{b \frac{\beta_{k}}{c}+z_{k}}{2}$. Then

$$
h^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{k} & \beta_{k} \\
-\frac{a}{c} \beta_{k} & \alpha_{k}-\frac{b}{c} \beta_{k}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proof. For the induction argument, suppose that

$$
h^{k-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{k-1} & \beta_{k-1} \\
-\frac{a}{c} \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_{k-1}-\frac{b}{c} \beta_{k-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $h^{k}$ is given by the following matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{1} \alpha_{k-1}-\frac{a}{c} \beta_{1} \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_{1} \beta_{k-1}+\alpha_{k-1} \beta_{1}-\frac{b}{c} \beta_{1} \beta_{k-1} \\
-\frac{a}{c} \beta_{k-1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\frac{b}{c} \beta_{1}\right)-\frac{a}{c} \alpha_{k-1} \beta_{1} & \alpha_{k-1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\frac{b}{c} \beta_{1}\right)-\beta_{k-1}\left(\frac{b}{c} \alpha_{1}-\frac{b^{2}-a c}{c^{2}} \beta_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From $\frac{z_{k}+\frac{\beta_{k}}{c} \sqrt{d}}{2}=\left(\frac{z_{1}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{c} \sqrt{d}}{2}\right)^{k}$, we have that $z_{k}=\frac{z_{1} z_{k-1}+d \frac{\beta_{1} \beta_{k-1}}{c^{2}}}{2}$ and $\beta_{k}=$ $\frac{z_{1} \beta_{k-1}+z_{k-1} \beta_{1}}{2}$. Hence $\beta_{k}=\alpha_{1} \beta_{k-1}+\alpha_{k-1} \beta_{1}-\frac{b}{c} \beta_{1} \beta_{k-1}$ and $\alpha_{k}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{k-1}-$ $\frac{a}{c} \beta_{1} \beta_{k-1}$.

In particular, $h^{\prime}=h^{l}$ and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Remark 3.2. Note that for $\alpha_{k}=\frac{\frac{b}{c} \beta_{k}-z_{k}}{2}$, the corresponding isometry reflects the positive cone and the negative cone.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we find some conditions on the involution if we have $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are the generators of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. By Proposition 2.8, these are anti-symplectic involutions. Hence if we let $g=\sigma \circ \tau$, then $g$ is symplectic of infinite order. Let $\iota$ be an involution of $X$. Then $\iota=g^{n} \circ \sigma$ or $\tau \circ g^{n}$ for some $n$. Let $\{v, w\}$ be the eigenvectors of $g^{n *} \mid S_{X} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\rho$ and $1 / \rho$ such that $g^{n *} v=\rho v$ and $g^{n *} w=\frac{1}{\rho} w$, where $\rho$ is the spectral radius of $g^{n *} \mid S_{X}$.

We see that $v$ and $w$ lie on each of the two extremal rays of the ample cone of $X$ since $g^{n *}$ preserves the ample cone. Otherwise, $g^{k *}=$ id on $S_{X}$ for some $k$. Since $g$ is symplectic, $g^{k *}=\mathrm{id}$ on $H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then by Torelli theorem, $g^{k}$ is an identity which is a contradiction. Moreover, the two involutions $\sigma$ and $\tau$ interchange these two eigenvectors $v$ and $w$. Indeed, the matrices corresponding to the actions of $\sigma$ and $\tau$ on $S_{X}$ have the same determinant -1 . Otherwise, they trivially act on $S_{X}$ which implies that $g=\sigma \circ \tau$ also acts trivially on $S_{X}$. Then $g$ is an identity by Torelli theorem which is also a contradiction. Hence $\sigma(v)=r_{0} w$ and $\sigma(w)=r_{0}^{-1} v$ for some $r_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash 0$.

Now for either $\iota=g^{n} \circ \sigma$ or $\tau \circ g^{n}, \iota(v)=r w$ and $\iota(w)=r^{-1} v$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R} \backslash 0$. If we let

$$
\iota^{*} \left\lvert\, S_{X}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta  \tag{17}\\
\gamma & \delta
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

then as in (12) this implies that for $v=(x, y)$ with $\frac{x}{y}=\frac{-b+\sqrt{d}}{2 a}$, the ratio $\frac{x}{y}$ is interchanged with its conjugate via $\iota$. Hence, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{d}}{2 a}+\beta}{\gamma \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{d}}{2 a}+\delta}=\frac{-b \mp \sqrt{d}}{2 a} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{d}}{2 a} \alpha+\beta=\frac{c}{a} \gamma+\frac{-b \mp \sqrt{d}}{2 a} \delta . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=-\alpha, \quad \gamma=-\frac{b}{c} \alpha+\frac{a}{c} \beta . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\operatorname{det}(\iota)=-1$, we also have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}-\frac{b}{c} \alpha \beta+\frac{a}{c} \beta^{2}=1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the similarity of automorphisms in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we have the following lemma. Let $E_{12} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix interchanging two columns.

Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be a K3 surface with the intersection matrix (1) of Picard lattice $S_{X}$. If $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $a=c$ in (1), then for any involution $\iota$, $\iota^{*} \mid S_{X}=h^{m} E_{12}$ for some $m$, where $h$ is in (4).

Proof. Suppose $a=c$. Let $\iota$ be an involution given by (2) with conditions (5). Then by interchanging the two columns of (2), we will have a matrix with the conditions in (3). The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that any such matrix with the conditions in (3) is a power of $h$, hence we will have the lemma.

## 4. Applications

In this section, we apply our results to several examples.

### 4.1. Example 1

In [10], Mori showed that there is a non-singular quartic surface $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with a non-singular curve $C$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$ if and only if (1) $g=d^{2} / 8+1$, or $(2) g<d^{2} / 8$ and $(d, g) \neq(5,3)$. More generally, we refer to [7].

Let $X$ be a quartic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ whose Picard lattice $S_{X}$ has the intersection matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 & d  \tag{22}\\
d & 2 g-2
\end{array}\right)
$$

generated by $\left\{H=\mathcal{O}_{X}(1), C\right\}$. If $g=d^{2} / 8+1$, then the discriminant of (22) is zero. In this case, by Theorem 2.7, the automorphism group is finite. Hence we assume that $g<d^{2} / 8$.

We consider a K3 surface $X$ whose Picard lattice $S_{X}$ has the following intersection matrix

$$
Q_{S_{X}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 & 2 n  \tag{23}\\
2 n & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $d:=-\operatorname{disc}\left(S_{X}\right)=4\left(n^{2}-4\right)>0$. Since $X$ has no divisors of selfintersection number 0 or $-2, \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is either $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by Theorem 2.7.

First, we determine the generator of automorphisms of infinite order in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. By the relations (3), an automorphism $g$ of infinite order with $g^{*} \mid S_{X}$ in (2) satisfies $\gamma=-\beta, \delta=\alpha-n \beta$ and $\alpha^{2}-n \alpha \beta+\beta^{2}=1$. Now by Theorem $1.1, g^{*} \mid S_{X}$ is a power of $h$, where

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n & 1  \tag{24}\\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Indeed, we have the following.
Proposition 4.1. For a K3 surface $X$ whose intersection matrix of Picard lattice $S_{X}$ is given in (23) with $d=-\operatorname{disc}\left(S_{X}\right)=4\left(n^{2}-4\right)>0, \operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The generator is as follows:
(1) if $n$ is even, $g^{*}=h^{4}$ is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ and symplectic.
(2) if $n$ is odd $(\neq 3), g^{*}=h^{6}$ is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ and symplectic.
(3) if $n=3, g^{*}=h^{3}$ is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ and anti-symplectic.

Proof. As Claim in Section 3.1, let $\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}$ be the first row of $h^{k}$. Then we have $\alpha_{k+1}=n \alpha_{k}-\beta_{k}$ and $\beta_{k+1}=\alpha_{k}$. For example, $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)=(n, 1),\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)=$ $(1,0)$ and $\left(\alpha_{-1}, \beta_{-1}\right)=(0,-1)$, etc. It is easily shown that $\left(h^{4}-I_{2}\right) Q_{S_{X}}^{-1}$ is an integer matrix for even $n$ and $\left(h^{6}-I_{2}\right) Q_{S_{X}}^{-1}$ is an integer matrix for odd $n$. Moreover, these powers are the minimal in order to be an integer matrix. In other words, for even number $n,\left(h^{k} \pm I_{2}\right) Q_{S_{X}}^{-1}$ is not an integer matrix for any $k \leq 3$. Similarly, for $n \neq 3$ odd, $\left(h^{k} \pm I_{2}\right) Q_{S_{X}}^{-1}$ is not an integer matrix for any $k \leq 5$.

Now by Proposition 2.5, $h^{4}$ ( $n$ even) or $h^{6}$ ( $n \neq 3$ odd) can be extended to an isometry of $H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and by Torelli theorem it defines an automorphism of $X$. Hence $h^{4}$ for $n$ even ( $h^{6}$ for $n \neq 3$ odd) is the generator of automorphisms of infinite order of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, both $h^{4}$ and $h^{6}$ are symplectic. By the same argument, $h^{3}$ is the generator of automorphisms of infinite order of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ for $n=3$ and is anti-symplectic.

Now by assuming $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, we will derive a contradiction. If we assume that $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, then, by Theorem 1.2,

$$
\tau^{*} \left\lvert\, S_{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p & q  \tag{25}\\
q-n p & -p
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

where $p^{2}-n p q+q^{2}=1$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.3 , both $\tau^{*} \mid S_{X}$ and $\sigma^{*} \mid S_{X}$ are the matrices obtained from $h^{k}$ by interchanging columns for some $k$. For example, $\tau^{*} \mid S_{X}:=\tau_{k}=h^{k} E_{12}$, i.e.,

$$
\tau^{*} \left\lvert\, S_{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{k} & \beta_{k}  \tag{26}\\
-\beta_{k} & \alpha_{k}-n \beta_{k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta_{k} & \alpha_{k} \\
\alpha_{k}-n \beta_{k} & -\beta_{k}
\end{array}\right)\right.,
$$

where $\left(\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}\right)$ is the first row of $h^{k}$.
Since $\tau$ is anti-symplectic, by Lemma 2.6, $\left(\tau^{*} \mid S_{X}+\mathrm{id}\right) Q_{S_{X}}^{-1}$ is an integer matrix. Note that if

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\tau^{*} \mid S_{X}+\mathrm{id}\right) Q_{S_{X}}^{-1} & =\frac{1}{2\left(n^{2}-4\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n \alpha_{k}-2 \beta_{k}-2 & -2 \alpha_{k}+n \beta_{k}+n \\
-2 \alpha_{k}+n \beta_{k}+n & n \alpha_{k}-2 \beta_{k}-2-\left(n^{2}-4\right) \beta_{k}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& =: \frac{1}{2\left(n^{2}-4\right)}\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1,2}
\end{align*}
$$

is an integer matrix, then $\beta_{k}$ is even because $2\left(n^{2}-4\right)$ divides both $a_{11}$ and $a_{22}$, hence their sum.

Now if $n$ is odd, then since $a_{12}=-2 \alpha_{k}+n\left(\beta_{k}+1\right)$ is even, $\beta_{k}$ is odd, a contradiction.

If $n$ is even, $\beta_{k}$ is even only if $k$ is even and $\alpha_{k}$ is even only if $k$ is odd. Hence, $\tau^{*}\left|S_{X}:=\tau_{2 l}^{*}\right| S_{X}=h^{2 l} E_{12}$ for some $l$. Now by multiplying $g=h^{4}$ or $g^{-1}=h^{-4}$, we may assume that $h^{2} E_{12}$ or $E_{12}$ is an isometry of an antisymplectic automorphism on $S_{X}$ since $g$ is symplectic. However, by Lemma 2.6 , this is not possible.

### 4.2. Example 2

We consider a K3 surface $X$ whose Picard lattice $S_{X}$ has the following intersection matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & n  \tag{28}\\
n & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $d:=-\operatorname{disc}\left(S_{X}\right)=n^{2}-4>0$ and for $n \neq 3, \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is either $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by Theorem 2.7. In [4, Example 4], Galluzzi, Lombardo and Peters proved that $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by finding generators. We can also find the same generators by using our results.

By Theorem 1.1, an automorphism $g$ of infinite order in (2) satisfies $\gamma=$ $-\beta, \delta=\alpha-n \beta$ and $\alpha^{2}-n \alpha \beta+\beta^{2}=1$. Moreover, by Theorem 1.1, $g^{*} \mid S_{X}$ is a power of $h$, where

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n & 1  \tag{29}\\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

As the example above, we have that $h^{2}$ is a symplectic automorphism.
Moreover, by Theorem 1.2, we may have an anti-symplectic involution $\sigma$ which satisfies

$$
\sigma^{*} \left\lvert\, S_{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p & q  \tag{30}\\
q-n p & -p
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

where $p^{2}-n p q+q^{2}=1$. By Lemma 3.3, $h^{2}=\sigma^{*}\left|S_{X} \circ \tau^{*}\right| S_{X}$ and $\sigma^{*} \mid S_{X}=$ $h E_{12}, \tau^{*} \mid S_{X}=h^{-1} E_{12}$, hence

$$
\sigma^{*} \left\lvert\, S_{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & n  \tag{31}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right. \text { and } \tau^{*} \left\lvert\, S_{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
n & 1
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.6 and Torelli theorem, $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are anti-symplectic involutions. Hence $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with generators $\sigma$ and $\tau$.

Remark 4.2. When $n=4, X$ is the complete intersection of bidegree $(1,1)$ and $(2,2)$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$. When $n=5, X$ is the complete intersection of bidegree $(1,2)$ and $(2,1)$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$.
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