

## On the Tarry-Escott and Related Problems for $2 \times 2$ matrices over $\mathbb{Q}$

SUPAWADEE PRUGSAPITAK\* AND WALISA INTARAPAK

*Algebra and Applications Research Unit,*

*Division of Computational Science, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand*

*e-mail: supawadee.p@psu.ac.th and walisa.p@hotmail.com*

VICHIAN LAOHAKOSOL

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand*

*e-mail: fscivil@ku.ac.th*

ABSTRACT. Reduced solutions of size 2 and degree  $n$  of the Tarry-Escott problem over  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  are determined. As an application, the diophantine equation  $\alpha A^n + \beta B^n = \alpha C^n + \beta D^n$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are rational numbers satisfying  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  and  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ , is completely solved for  $A, B, C, D \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

### 1. Introduction

A Diophantine equation is an equation, usually with integral or rational coefficients, in which the sought-after unknowns are also integers. In 1989, Vaserstein [6] suggested solving classical problems of number theory substituting the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the ring  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of  $2 \times 2$  integral matrices. Some problems become easier and some give us interesting results. The Tarry-Escott problem is a classical problem in number theory which asks one to find two distinct multisets of integers  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^j$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We call  $n$  the size of the solution and  $k$  the degree. We abbreviate the above system by writing

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} =_k \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}.$$

---

\* Corresponding Author.

Received October 31, 2022; revised July 2, 2023; accepted July 5, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11C99.

Key words and phrases: Diophantine Equation, Matrix Equation, Tarry-Escott Problem.

Solutions with  $k = n - 1$  are called ideal solutions. The Tarry-Escott problem has been extensively investigated in the literature; see for instance [1], [2] and also [5].

In 2006, Choudhry [3] introduced a matrix analog of the Tarry-Escott problem by considering the problem over  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . The Tarry-Escott problem over  $M_m(R)$  for a given ring  $R$  can be stated as follows: given  $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a ring  $R$ , two different multisets

$$A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\},$$

where  $A_i, B_i \in M_m(R) \setminus \{0\}$ , constitute a non-trivial solution of the Tarry-Escott problem of size  $n$  and degree  $k$  over  $M_m(R)$  if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n A_i^j = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, k),$$

abbreviated as  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} =_k \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ . Choudhry [3] obtained, in parametric terms, two distinct pairs of matrices  $A_1, A_2$  and  $B_1, B_2$  in  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $A_1^n + A_2^n = B_1^n + B_2^n$  holds simultaneously for all integral values of  $n$ , whether positive or negative. This gives a non-trivial solution of the matrix analog of the Tarry-Escott problem of infinite degree and size 2. Using this solution, he obtained an arbitrarily long multigrade chain of matrices in  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$A_{11}^n + A_{12}^n = A_{21}^n + A_{22}^n = \dots = A_{m1}^n + A_{m2}^n,$$

which also holds simultaneously for all integral values of  $n$ , whether positive or negative. Further, he obtained a parametric solution over  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of the equation

$$A_1^n + A_2^n + A_3^n = B_1^n + B_2^n + B_3^n,$$

for all integral values of  $n$ . This solution leads to another arbitrarily long multigrade chain of matrices in  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

In the present work, we present a different approach to obtain solutions of the Tarry-Escott problem over  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ; our approach also provides additional solutions different from those of Choudhry. As an application of our main result, general solutions, over  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , are determined for the diophantine equation

$$\alpha A^n + \beta B^n = \alpha C^n + \beta D^n,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  and  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**2. Main Results**

First, we prove an auxiliary result which will be used later.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers, let  $A_i, B_i \in M_m(\mathbb{Q})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i B_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i B_i^2,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (A_i + C) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (B_i + C) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (A_i + C)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (B_i + C)^2$$

for any  $C \in M_m(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i B_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i B_i^2$ , it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (A_i + C) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (B_i + C)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (A_i + C)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A_i^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A_i\right)C + C\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i C^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i B_i^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i B_i\right)C + C\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i B_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i C^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (B_i + C)^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Immediate from Lemma 2.1 is

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers and let*

$$A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$$

*be subsets of  $M_m(\mathbb{Q})$ . If  $A =_2 B$ , then for any matrix  $C \in M_m(\mathbb{Q})$  we have*

$$A + C =_2 B + C$$

where

$$A + C = \{A_1 + C, A_2 + C, \dots, A_n + C\}, \quad B + C = \{B_1 + C, B_2 + C, \dots, B_n + C\}.$$

We next define equivalent solutions.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $k, m$  and  $n$  be positive integers. Let

$$A = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, B = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}, X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, Y = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$$

be subsets of  $M_m(\mathbb{Q})$ . We say that  $A =_k B$  and  $X =_k Y$  are equivalent if there exist  $M$  and  $N$  in  $M_m(\mathbb{Q})$  such that for all  $i$ ,

$$X_i = MA_i + N \quad \text{and} \quad Y_i = MB_i + N.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $k, m$  and  $n$  be positive integers. Let  $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, B = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  be subsets of  $M_m(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then a solution  $A =_k B$  is called a reduced solution if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i = \underline{0}.$$

The concept of being reduced is useful because of the next result.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers. Every solution of size  $n$  and degree 2 of the Tarry-Escott Problem over  $M_m(\mathbb{Q})$  is equivalent to a reduced solution.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  and  $B = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  be two subsets of  $M_m(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $A =_2 B$ . Now let  $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  and  $Y = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n\}$ , where  $X_i = A_i - S, Y_i = B_i - S$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $S = (A_1 + \dots + A_n)/n$ . It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = \underline{0}.$$

Thus  $X =_2 Y$  is a reduced solution. Since  $A =_2 B$  and  $X =_2 Y$ , by Lemma 2.1,  $A =_2 B$  is equivalent to a reduced solution  $X =_2 Y$ .  $\square$

We now consider the so-called symmetric solutions of the Tarry-Escott Problem over  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ ; these are integral matrices  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfying

$$X^n + (-X)^n = Y^n + (-Y)^n,$$

for all positive integers  $n$ . It suffices to show that  $X^2 = Y^2$ . We first recall a result from [4].

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are two elements in  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $XY \neq YX$ . If  $X^2 + Y^2 = cI$  where  $I$  is the identity matrix, then  $\text{tr}(X) = \text{tr}(Y) = 0$  and  $\det X + \det Y = -c$ .

We prove now another auxiliary result.

**Lemma 2.7.** Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are nonzero elements in  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $X \neq Y$ . Then  $X^2 = Y^2$  and  $XY = YX$  if and only if there exist nonzero matrices  $A, B \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$AB = BA = \underline{0}, X = \frac{A+B}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \frac{A-B}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $X^2 = Y^2$  and  $XY = YX$ . Next, we let  $A = X + Y$  and  $B = X - Y$ . Then the results follows easily. For the converse, we suppose that  $X = (A + B)/2$  and  $Y = (A - B)/2$  where  $AB = BA = \underline{0}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $XY = YX$  and  $X^2 = Y^2$ . Hence the converse holds as desired.  $\square$

From Lemma 2.7, in order to find commutative solutions of  $X^n + (-X)^n = Y^n + (-Y)^n$  for all positive integer  $n$ , it suffices to solve for matrices  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $AB = BA = \underline{0}$ , and this leads us to our first main result.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are nonzero elements in  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\{X, -X\} =_2 \{Y, -Y\}$  if and only if  $X, Y$  belong to one of the following two classes.*

1.  $XY \neq YX$ ,  $X^2 = Y^2$ ,  $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & -w \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a, b, c, w, x, y$  are rationals such that  $a^2 + bc = w^2 + xy$  and  $(bx \neq cx$  or  $ax \neq bw$  or  $ay \neq cw)$ .
2.  $XY = YX$ ,  $X^2 = Y^2$ , and there exist nonzero matrices  $A, B \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$AB = BA = \underline{0}, X = \frac{A + B}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \frac{A - B}{2}.$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are of the following forms:

- (a)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & ma \\ c & mc \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ -\frac{w}{m} & -\frac{x}{m} \end{pmatrix}$  where  $acmw \neq 0$  and  $aw + xc = 0$ ,
- (b)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ -\frac{cw}{d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $cdw \neq 0$ ,
- (c)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & -\frac{bw}{d} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $bdw \neq 0$ ,
- (d)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $dw \neq 0$ ,
- (e)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $cy \neq 0$ ,
- (f)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $bx \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $X^2 = Y^2$ .

*Case 1:*  $XY \neq YX$ . Then by Theorem 2.6,  $\text{tr}(X) = \text{tr}(Y) = 0$  and  $\det X + \det iY = 0$ . Let  $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & -w \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a, b, c, w, x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\det X + \det iY = 0$ ,  $\det X = \det Y$ . This implies that  $a^2 + bc = w^2 + xy$  as desired. Now note that  $XY = \begin{pmatrix} aw + by & ax - bw \\ cw - ay & cx + aw \end{pmatrix}$  and  $YX = \begin{pmatrix} aw + cx & bw - ax \\ ay - cw & by + aw \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus we have  $by \neq cx$  or  $ax \neq bw$  or  $ay \neq cw$ .

*Case 2:*  $XY = YX$ . By Lemma 2.7, there exist  $A, B \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $AB = BA = \underline{0}$ . Suppose  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus we have the following system of equations:

$$(2.1) \quad aw + by = aw + cx = 0$$

$$(2.2) \quad ax + bz = bw + xd = 0$$

$$(2.3) \quad cw + dy = ay + cz = 0$$

$$(2.4) \quad cx + dz = by + dz = 0$$

Since  $AB = \underline{0}$ , this implies that  $\det A = 0$  or  $\det B = 0$ . We may assume that  $\det A = 0$ . Thus  $ad - bc = 0$ .

*Case 2.1:*  $abcd \neq 0$ . Since  $ad - bc = 0$ ,  $a/b = c/d$ . Let  $m = b/a$ . By (2.1),  $y = -w/m$ . By (2.2),  $z = -x/m$ . Thus  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & ma \\ c & mc \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ -\frac{w}{m} & -\frac{x}{m} \end{pmatrix}$  as desired.

*Case 2.2:*  $ad = bc = 0$ . Thus there are 4 cases to consider.

*Case 2.2.1:*  $a = b = 0$ . Then (2.1)-(2.4) imply that

$$cx = xd = cw + dy = cz = cx + dz = dz = 0.$$

Since at least one of  $c$  and  $d$  is nonzero, we have  $x = z = 0$ . If  $cd \neq 0$  then  $cw + dy = 0$ . Then  $y = -cw/d$ . Thus we obtain solutions of the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ -\frac{cw}{d} & d \end{pmatrix}$  where  $cdw \neq 0$ .

*Case 2.2.1(i):*  $c = 0$ . Then  $y = 0$ . The fact that  $A, B$  are nonzero matrices implies  $dw \neq 0$ . Thus we obtain solutions of the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $dw \neq 0$ .

*Case 2.2.1(ii):*  $d = 0$ . Then  $w = 0$ . Again since  $A, B$  are nonzero matrices, we have  $cy \neq 0$ . So we obtain solutions of the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $cy \neq 0$ .

*Case 2.2.2:*  $a = c = 0$ . Then (2.1)-(2.4) imply that

$$by = bz = bw + dx = dy = dz = by + dz = 0.$$

Since at least one of  $b$  and  $d$  is nonzero, we have  $y = z = 0$ .

*Case 2.2.2(i):*  $bd \neq 0$ . Then  $bw + dx = 0$  and  $x = -bw/d$ . Thus we obtain solutions of the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & -\frac{bw}{d} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $bdw \neq 0$ .

*Case 2.2.2(ii):*  $b = 0$ . Then  $x = 0$ . The fact that  $A, B$  are nonzero matrices implies  $dw \neq 0$ . Thus we obtain solutions of the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $dw \neq 0$ .

*Case 2.2.2(iii):*  $d = 0$ . Then  $w = 0$ . Again since  $A$  and  $B$  are nonzero matrices, we have  $bx \neq 0$ . So we obtain solutions of the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $bx \neq 0$ .

For the case  $b = d = 0$  and  $c = d = 0$ , we proceed similarly and obtain solutions as shown in the previous cases. The converse is easily checked.  $\square$

We next provide an example.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is easy to see that  $AB = BA = \underline{0}$ . Next, we let  $X = (A + B)/2$  and  $Y = (A - B)/2$ . Then

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 5/2 & 3 \\ -3/2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 & -1 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 2.7,  $XY = YX$  and  $X^2 = Y^2$ . Thus  $\{X, -X\} =_n \{Y, -Y\}$  for any positive integer  $n$ . Moreover, since  $X$  and  $Y$  are nonsingular matrices,  $\{X, -X\} =_n \{Y, -Y\}$  for all integer  $n$ .

We proceed now to our final task which is to solve

$$\alpha_1 X_1^n + \alpha_2 X_2^n = \alpha_1 Y_1^n + \alpha_2 Y_2^n$$

for  $n = 1$  and  $2$  over  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . We need two more auxiliary results.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Let  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  be matrices in  $M_m(\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be nonzero rational numbers such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$ . If*

$$\alpha_1 A_1^n + \alpha_2 A_2^n = \alpha_1 B_1^n + \alpha_2 B_2^n$$

for  $n = 1, 2$  then there exist  $A'_i$  and  $B'_i$  in  $M_m(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$\alpha_1 A'_1 + \alpha_2 A'_2 = \underline{0} = \alpha_1 B'_1 + \alpha_2 B'_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1 A_1'^2 + \alpha_2 A_2'^2 = \alpha_1 B_1'^2 + \alpha_2 B_2'^2.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha_1 A_1^n + \alpha_2 A_2^n = \alpha_1 B_1^n + \alpha_2 B_2^n$  for  $n = 1, 2$  and  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \neq 0$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , we define

$$S = \alpha_1 A_1 + \alpha_2 A_2, A'_i = A_i - \frac{S}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, B'_i = B_i - \frac{S}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}.$$

Then  $\alpha_1 A'_1 + \alpha_2 A'_2 = \alpha_1 B'_1 + \alpha_2 B'_2 = \underline{0}$ . Since  $\alpha_1 A_1^n + \alpha_2 A_2^n = \alpha_1 B_1^n + \alpha_2 B_2^n$  for  $n = 1, 2$ , by Lemma 2.1, we have  $\alpha_1 A_1'^2 + \alpha_2 A_2'^2 = \alpha_1 B_1'^2 + \alpha_2 B_2'^2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be nonzero rational numbers such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$ . There exist  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in M_m(\mathbb{Q})$  such that*

$\alpha_1 A_1 + \alpha_2 A_2 = \alpha_1 B_1 + \alpha_2 B_2 = \underline{0}$  and  $\alpha_1 A_1^2 + \alpha_2 A_2^2 = \alpha_1 B_1^2 + \alpha_2 B_2^2$  if and only if  $A_1^2 = B_1^2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha_1 A_1 + \alpha_2 A_2 = \alpha_1 B_1 + \alpha_2 B_2 = \underline{0}$ ,  $A_2 = (-\alpha_1 A_1)/\alpha_2$  and  $B_2 = (-\alpha_1 B_1)/\alpha_2$ . Then

$$\left(\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2/\alpha_2\right)A_1^2 = \alpha_1 A_1^2 + \alpha_2 A_2^2 = \alpha_1 B_1^2 + \alpha_2 B_2^2 = \left(\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2/\alpha_2\right)B_1^2.$$

Thus  $A_1^2 = B_1^2$ .

For the converse, suppose  $A_2 = (-\alpha_1 A_1)/\alpha_2$  and  $B_2 = (-\alpha_1 B_1)/\alpha_2$ . Then

$$\alpha_2 A_2 + \alpha_1 A_1 = \alpha_1 B_1 + \alpha_2 B_2 = \underline{0}.$$

Since  $A_1^2 = B_1^2$ , we obtain that

$$\alpha_1 A_1^2 + \alpha_2 A_2^2 = \alpha_1 B_1^2 + \alpha_2 B_2^2.$$

□

Combining the results of Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11, we arrive at our final main result.

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be nonzero rational numbers such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$ . Then all solutions of the diophantine equation*

$$\alpha_1 X_1^n + \alpha_2 X_2^n = \alpha_1 Y_1^n + \alpha_2 Y_2^n$$

for  $n = 1$  and  $2$  over  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  are the form  $X_1 = A_1 + C$ ,  $X_2 = (-\alpha_1 A_1)/\alpha_2 + C$ ,  $Y_1 = B_1 + C$ ,  $Y_2 = (-\alpha_1 B_1)/\alpha_2 + C$  where  $A_1^2 = B_1^2$  and  $C \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

We end this paper with an example.

**Example 2.13.** We show how to solve the following system of equations

$$2X_1^n + 3X_2^n = 2Y_1^n + 3Y_2^n \quad (1 \leq n \leq 2)$$

over  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

By Lemma 2.10, we can restrict our attention to find solutions  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  in  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$2A_1 + 3A_2 = 2B_1 + 3B_2 = \underline{0} \quad \text{and} \quad 2A_1^2 + 3A_2^2 = 2B_1^2 + 3B_2^2.$$

By Theorem 2.8, it suffices to find  $A_1, B_1 \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $A_1^2 = B_1^2$ . We work out some solutions for two different cases.

*Case 1:*  $A_1 B_1 = B_1 A_1$ . Let  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5/2 & 3 \\ -3/2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 & -1 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then we have

$$A_2 = \frac{-2}{3}A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -5/3 & -2 \\ 1 & 4/3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \frac{-2}{3}B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2/3 \\ -1/3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



Case 2:  $A_1 B_1 \neq B_1 A_1$ . Let  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -9 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$A_2 = \frac{-2}{3}A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -4/3 & -2/3 \\ 8/3 & 4/3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B_2 = \frac{-2}{3}B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2/3 \\ 6 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$2(A_1 + C)^n + 3(A_2 + C)^n = 2(B_1 + C)^n + 3(B_2 + C)^n$$

for  $n = 1, 2$  where  $C \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to express our gratitude to the referee(s) for comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] A. Alpers and R. Tijdeman, *The two-dimensional Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem*, J. Number Theory, **123**(2)(2007), 403–412.
- [2] P. Borwein and C. Ingalls, *The Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem revisited*, Enseign. Math., **40**(2)(1994), 3–27.
- [3] A. Choudhry, *Matrix analogues of the Tarry-Escott problem, multigrade chains and the equation of Fermat*, Math. Student, **75**(2006), 215–224.
- [4] B. Cohen, *Generalized Pell Equation for  $2 \times 2$  matrices*, Discuss. Math. GAA, **37**(2017), 13–30.
- [5] H. L. Dorwart and O. E. Brown, *The Tarry-Escott Problem*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **44**(10)(1937), 613–626.
- [6] L. N. Vaserstein, *Noncommutative number theory*, in Algebraic K-theory and Algebraic Number Theory, Contemp. Math. **83**, Amer. Math. Soc., (1989), 445–449.