KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 63(2023), 325-331 https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2023.63.3.325 pISSN 1225-6951 eISSN 0454-8124 © Kyungpook Mathematical Journal

# Annihilating Conditions of Generalized Skew Derivations on Lie Ideals

NADEEM UR REHMAN AND SAJAD AHMAD PARY

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, 202002 Aligarh, India e-mail: nu.rehman.mm@amu.ac.in and paryamu@gmail.com

Junaid Nisar $^*$ 

Department of Applied Sciences, Symbiosis Institute of Technology, Symbiosis International (Deemed) University, Pune 412115, India e-mail: junaidnisar730gmail.com; junaid.nisar0sitpune.edu.in

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring of  $char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$ ,  $\mathscr{L}$  a non-central Lie ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}$  a generalized skew derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $p \in \mathfrak{A}$ , a nonzero fixed element. If  $p\mathscr{F}(\eta)\eta \in C$  for any  $\eta \in \mathscr{L}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a prime ring with center  $Z(\mathfrak{A})$ , right Martindale quotient ring  $\mathscr{Q}$ , extended centroid C and  $p \in \mathfrak{A}$ , a nonzero fixed element. Any information about definitions and main properties can be found in [3]. The standard polynomial identity  $S_4$  in four variables is defined as  $S_4(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_4, \eta_4) = \sum (-1)^{\sigma} \eta_{\sigma(1)} \eta_{\sigma(2)} \eta_{\sigma(3)} \eta_{\sigma(4)}$ , where  $(-1)^{\sigma}$  is +1 when  $\sigma$  is an even permutation, and  $(-1)^{\sigma}$  is (-1), when  $\sigma$  is an odd permutation in the symmetric group  $S_4$ . An additive map  $d : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$  is a skew derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  if  $d(\eta\omega) = d(\eta)\omega + \alpha(\eta)d(\omega)$ for all  $\eta, \omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $\alpha$  is associated automorphism of d. If  $\alpha$  is an identity automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  then d is derivation called an inner derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . An additive map  $\mathscr{F} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$  is a generalized skew derivation if  $\mathscr{F}(\eta\omega) = \mathscr{F}(\eta)\omega + \alpha(\eta)d(\omega)$  for all  $\eta, \omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where d is an skew derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with associated automorphism  $\alpha$ . Further, a generalized skew derivation  $\mathscr{F} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$  is called X - inner if there exist elements  $a, b \in \mathscr{Q}$  and an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathscr{F}(\eta) = a\eta + \alpha(\eta)b$  for all

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

Received March 29, 2022; revised August 7, 2022; accepted August 22, 2022.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16N60, 16W10, 16W25.

Key words and phrases: Prime ring, Generalized skew derivation.

For the first author, this research is supported by the National Board of Higher Mathematics (NBHM), India, Grant No. 02011/16/2020 NBHM (R. P.) R and D II/7786.

 $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$ , otherwise it is outer. Similarly, a skew derivation  $d : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$  is called X- inner if there is element  $a \in \mathscr{Q}$  and an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $d(\eta) = a\eta - \alpha(\eta)a$  for all  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$ , otherwise, it is X-outer. The notion of a generalized skew derivation is combination of the notions of skew derivation and generalized derivation.

In [13], Sharma and Dhara proved that if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a prime ring with non-zero derivation d,  $\mathscr{L}$  a non-central Lie ideal and  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $a\eta^n d(\eta)^m = 0$  for all  $\eta \in \mathscr{L}$ , where  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$  are fixed integers, then one of the following holds:

- (1) a = 0 or  $d(\mathscr{L}) = 0$  if  $char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$ .
- (2) a = 0 or  $d(\mathfrak{A}) = 0$  if  $[\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{L}] \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \neq M_2(F)$ .

In [8], Dhara and De Filippis considered generalized derivations. They proved for a prime ring  $\mathfrak{A}$  that if H is a generalized derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathscr{L}$  a non-commutative Lie ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\eta^s H(\eta) \eta^t = 0$  for all  $\eta \in \mathscr{L}$ , where  $s \ge 0, t \ge 0$  are fixed integers, then  $H(\eta) = 0$ , for all  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$ , unless  $Char(\mathfrak{A}) = 2$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

In [9], Du and Wang demonstrated the following result for generalized derivations. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring, U be its Utumi ring of quotients, H a nonzero generalized derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathscr{L}$  a non-central Lie ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that  $a\eta^s H(\eta)\eta^t = 0$  for all  $\eta \in \mathscr{L}$ , where  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$  are fixed integers. Then either s = 0 and there exists  $b \in U$  such that  $H(\eta) = b\eta$  for all  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$  with ab = 0 or  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

Inspired by the above outcomes, in the present paper, we prove the following result about generalized skew derivations with an annihilating condition on the non-central Lie ideal.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring of  $Char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$ ,  $\mathscr{L}$  a non-central Lie ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathscr{F}$  a generalized skew derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $p \in \mathfrak{A}$ , a nonzero fixed element. If  $p\mathscr{F}(\eta)\eta \in C$  for any  $\eta \in \mathscr{L}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

#### 2. Preliminaries

The following facts are often referenced in the proofs of our results:

**Fact 2.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring and  $\mathscr{I}$  be a two sided ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\mathscr{I}, \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathscr{Q}$  satisfy the same generalized polynomial identity with coefficients in  $\mathscr{Q}$  [5]. Furthermore,  $\mathscr{I}, \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathscr{Q}$  satisfy the same generalized polynomial identity with automorphisms [6].

**Fact 2.2.** ([14, Lemma 2.1]) Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring with extended centroid C. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\dim_{\mathscr{C}} \mathfrak{A} \mathscr{C} \leq 4.$
- (2)  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .
- (3)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative or  $\mathfrak{A}$  embeds in  $M_2(F)$ , for F a field.
- (4)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is algebraic of bounded degree 2 over  $\mathscr{C}$ .

(5)  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $[[a^2, b], [a, b]] = 0.$ 

**Fact 2.3.** ([7, Theorem 1]) Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring, D be an X-outer skew derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\alpha$  be an X- outer automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $(\psi(a_i,), D(a_i), \alpha(a_i))$  is a generalized polynomial identity for  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  also satisfies the generalized polynomial identity  $\psi(a_i, b_i, c_i)$ , where  $a_i, b_i, c_i$  are distinct indeterminates.

**Fact 2.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring and  $\mathscr{L}$  a be non-central Lie ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$ , by [3, Lemma 1] there exists a nonzero ideal  $\mathscr{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $0 \neq [\mathscr{I}, \mathfrak{A}] \subseteq \mathscr{L}$ . If  $char(\mathfrak{A}) = 2$  and  $dim_{\mathscr{C}}\mathfrak{A}\mathscr{C} > 4$ , i.e.,  $char(\mathfrak{A}) = 2$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  does not satisfy  $S_4$ , then by [11, Theorem 13] there exists a nonzero ideal  $\mathscr{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $0 \neq [\mathscr{I}, \mathfrak{A}] \subseteq \mathscr{L}$ . Thus, if either  $char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$  or  $\mathfrak{A}$  does not satisfy  $S_4$ , then we may conclude that there exists a nonzero ideal  $\mathscr{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $[\mathscr{I}, \mathscr{I}] \subseteq \mathscr{L}$ .

**Fact 2.5.** ([2, Lemma 7.1]) Let  $V_D$  be a vector space over a division ring D with  $\dim V_D \geq 2$  and  $T \in End(V)$ . If  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $T\mathfrak{s}$  are D-dependent for every  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$ , then there exists  $\chi \in D$  such that  $T\mathfrak{s} = \chi \mathfrak{s}$  for every  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathscr{V}$ .

#### 3. Some Important Results

We start with the following lemma and proposition; they are required for the development of our theorems:

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a primitive ring isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations on some vector space V over a division ring  $D, \dim_D V \ge 2, f \in End(V)$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ . If  $a\mathfrak{s} = 0$ , for any  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{s})\}$  is linearly D-independent, then a = 0, unless  $\dim_D V = 2$  and  $char(\mathfrak{A}) = 2$ .

*Proof.* A vector  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$  is fixed such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{s})\}$  is linearly *D*-independent, then  $a\mathfrak{s} = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{r} \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}\}$  is linearly *D*-dependent. Then both  $a\mathfrak{r} = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{r} \in \text{span} \{\mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{s})\}$  are trivial.

Now, let  $\mathfrak{r} \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}\}$  is linearly *D*-independent and  $a\mathfrak{r} \neq 0$ . By the hypothesis, we have  $\{\mathfrak{r}, f(\mathfrak{r})\}$  is linearly *D*-dependent, as are  $\{\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{s})\}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{r} - \mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{r} - \mathfrak{s})\}$ . Thus, there exists  $\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}}, \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}}, \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{s}} \in D$  such that

$$f(\mathfrak{r}) = \mathfrak{r}\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}}, \ f(\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{s}) = (\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{s})\kappa_{\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{s}}, \ f(\mathfrak{r} - \mathfrak{s}) = (\mathfrak{r} - \mathfrak{s})\kappa_{\mathfrak{r} - \mathfrak{s}}.$$

In other words, we have

(3.1) 
$$\mathfrak{r}\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}} + f(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{r}\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}} + \mathfrak{s}\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}}$$

and

(3.2) 
$$\mathfrak{r}\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}} - f(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{r}\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{s}} - \mathfrak{s}\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{s}}.$$

Suppose  $\dim_D V \geq 3$ . It is obvious that  $\mathfrak{r} \in Span\{\mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{s})\}$ , otherwise equation (3.1) is contradicted. Thus for any  $\mathfrak{r} \in V$ , we have  $\mathfrak{r} \in Span\{\mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{s})\}$ , that is  $V = Span\{\mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{s})\}$ , a contradiction.

To complete the proof, suppose  $dim_D V = 2$  and suppose  $char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$ , if not we are done.

By equating (3.1) and (3.2), we get both

(3.3) 
$$\mathfrak{r}(2\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}} - \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}} - \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{s}}) + \mathfrak{s}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{s}} - \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}}) = 0$$

and

(3.4) 
$$2f(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{r}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}} - \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{s}}) + \mathfrak{s}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}} + \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{s}}).$$

By (3.3) and since  $\{\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{s}\}$  is *D*-independent and  $char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$ , we have  $\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}} = \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}} = \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{s}}$ . Thus,  $2f(\mathfrak{s}) = 2\mathfrak{s}\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}}$  by (3.4). Since  $\{\mathfrak{s}, f(\mathfrak{s})\}$  is *D*-independent, the conclusion  $\kappa_{\mathfrak{r}} = \kappa_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{s}} = 0$  follows, that is  $f(\mathfrak{r}) = 0$  and  $char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$ , it follows that  $a\mathfrak{r} = 0$ , for any  $\mathfrak{r} \in V$ , i.e. aV = (0). Hence, a = 0 follows.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-commutative prime ring,  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ , I a nonzero twosided ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $[pa[\eta_1, \eta_2]^2, [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$  for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in I$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies  $S_4$ .

Proof. By hypothesis, I satisfies  $[pa[\eta_1, \eta_2]^2, [\omega, \omega_2]] = 0$  for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in I$ . In particular  $\eta_1 = b$ , we get  $[pa[b, \eta_2]^2, [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$ . Then by [1, Lemma 2.2], we get  $pa[b, \eta_2]^2 \in C$ . Since  $[b, \eta_2]$  is an nonzero inner derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  then  $pa[b, \eta_2]^2$  is a central DI for I. Thus by [4, Lemma 2],  $dim_C \mathfrak{A}C \leq 4$ . Hence, by Fact 2.2,  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring,  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ , I be a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $[p(a[\eta_1, \eta_2] + [\eta_1, \eta_2]b)[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$  for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in I$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

Proof. Suppose  $b \in C$ , then by given hyphothesis  $[p(a + b)[\eta_1, \eta_2]]^2$ ,  $[\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$ for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in I$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.2 the required conclusion follows. In case  $b \notin C$ , assume  $\dim_C V \geq 3$  then  $[p(a[\eta_1, \eta_2] + [\eta_1, \eta_2]b)[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$ is a non-trivial generalized polynomial identity (GPI) for I. Then by Fact 2.1  $[p(a[\eta_1, \eta_2] + [\eta_1, \eta_2]b)[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$  is a non-trivial GPI for  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathscr{Q}$  also. By Martindale Theorem [12],  $\mathscr{Q}$  is a primitive ring having non-zero socle and its associated division ring is finite dimensional over C. Hence, by Jacobson Theorem in [10, Page 75],  $\mathscr{Q}$  is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformation on some vector space V over C. Assume that  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$  exists such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, b\mathfrak{s}\}$  are linearly C-independent. Since  $\dim_C V \geq 3$ , then there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, b\mathfrak{s}, w\}$  are linearly C-independent. By the density of  $\mathscr{Q}$ , there exists  $h_1, h_2, k_1, k_2 \in \mathscr{Q}$  such that

$$\begin{split} h_1\mathfrak{s} &= \mathfrak{s}, \ h_2\mathfrak{s} = 0, \ k_1w = w, \ k_2\mathfrak{s} = w, \ k_1\mathfrak{s} = 0, \\ h_1w &= 0, \ h_2w = \mathfrak{s}, \ h_1b\mathfrak{s} = 0, \ h_2b\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}. \end{split}$$

This gives,  $0 = (p(a[h_1, h_2] + [h_1, h_2]b)[h_1, h_2], [k_1, k_2]])\mathfrak{s} = p\mathfrak{s}$ . Hence, we have proved  $p\mathfrak{s} = 0$  for any vector  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, b\mathfrak{s}\}$  are linearly C-independent. By

328

Lemma 3.1, p = 0 follows a contradiction. Thus,  $\{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s}\}$  are linearly C-dependent for all  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$ . Then by Fact 2.5, there exists  $\kappa \in C$  such that  $b\mathfrak{s} = \kappa\mathfrak{s}$  for any  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$ . For any  $r \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we have that  $[b, r]\mathfrak{s} = b(r\mathfrak{s}) - rb\mathfrak{s} = \kappa r\mathfrak{s} - \kappa r\mathfrak{s} = 0$ , that is, [b, r]V = 0. Hence [b, r] = 0 for any  $r \in \mathfrak{A}$ , which implies that  $b \in C$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $\dim_C V \leq 2$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

### 4. Case of inner generalized skew derivation

In this case, we have  $a, b \in \mathscr{Q}$  such that  $F(\eta) = a\eta + \alpha(\eta)b$  for all  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $\alpha \in Aut(\mathscr{Q})$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring of  $Char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$  and  $a, b, q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . If q is an invertible element of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and I be a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that

(4.1) 
$$[p(a[\eta_1\eta_2] + q[\eta_1,\eta_2]q^{-1}b)[\eta_1,\eta_2], [\omega_1,\omega_2]] = 0$$

for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in I$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

Proof. Suppose  $q^{-1}b \in C$ , then by (4.1), we have  $[p(a + b)[\eta_1, \eta_2]^2, [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$ , for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in I$ . Hence by Lemma 3.2,  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ . Furthermore, in case  $q \in C$ , we have  $[p(a[\eta_1, \eta_2] + [\eta_1, \eta_2]b)[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$  for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in I$ , and hence by Proposition 3.3, we get the conclusion. From now, we have  $q^{-1}b \notin C$ and  $q \notin C$ . So, (4.1) is a non-trivial GPI for I. Then by Fact 2.1, (4.1) is a nontrivial GPI for  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathscr{Q}$  also. By Martindale Theorem in [12],  $\mathscr{Q}$  is a primitive ring having non-zero socle and its associated division ring is finite dimensional over C. Hence, by Jacobson Theorem in [10, page 75],  $\mathscr{Q}$  is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformation on some vector space V over C. Assume  $dim_C V \geq 3$  and suppose that there exists  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, q^{-1}b\mathfrak{s}\}$  are linearly C-independent. Since  $dim_C V \geq 3$ , then there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, q^{-1}b\mathfrak{s}, w\}$  are linearly C-independent. By the density of  $\mathscr{Q}$ , there is  $h_1, h_2, k_1, k_2$  such that

$$h_1 \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}, \ h_2 \mathfrak{s} = 0, \ k_1 \mathfrak{s} = 0, \ k_2 \mathfrak{s} = w, \ k_1 w = w$$
  
 $h_1 w = 0, \ h_2 w = \mathfrak{s}, \ h_1 q^{-1} b \mathfrak{s} = 0, \ h_2 q^{-1} b \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}$ 

This gives,  $0 = ([p(a[h_1, h_2] + q[h_1, h_2]q^{-1}b)[h_1, h_2], [k_1, k_2]])\mathfrak{s} = p\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{s}$ . Hence, we have proved  $p\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{s} = 0$  for any vector  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, q^{-1}b\mathfrak{s}\}$  are linearly C-independent. By Lemma 3.1, pq = 0 follows a contradiction. Thus,  $\{\mathfrak{s}, q^{-1}b\mathfrak{s}\}$  are linearly C-dependent for all  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$ . Then by Fact 2.5, there exists  $\kappa \in C$  such that  $q^{-1}b\mathfrak{s} = \kappa\mathfrak{s}$  for any  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$ . For any  $r \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we have that  $[q^{-1}b, r]\mathfrak{s} = q^{-1}b(r\mathfrak{s}) - rq^{-1}b\mathfrak{s} = \kappa r\mathfrak{s} - \kappa r\mathfrak{s} = 0$ , that is, $[q^{-1}b, r]V = 0$ . Hence  $[q^{-1}b, r] = 0$  for any  $r \in \mathfrak{A}$ , which implies that  $q^{-1}b \in C$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $dim_C V \leq 2$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a prime ring of  $char(\mathfrak{A}) \neq 2$ ,  $\alpha : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$  be an outer automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . suppose that  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that

(4.2) 
$$[p(a[\eta_1, \eta_2] + \alpha([\eta_1, \eta_2])b)[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$$

for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\alpha \neq I$ , otherwise the conclusion directly follows from Proposition 3.3. By Fact 2.1,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a GPI-ring and Q is also a GPI-ring. By Martindale Theorem in [12],  $\mathscr{Q}$  is a primitive ring having non-zero socle and its associated division ring is finite dimensional over C. Hence, by Jacobson Theorem in [10, page 79],  $\mathcal{Q}$  is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformation on some vector space V over C. By [13, page 79], there exists a semi-linear transformation  $T \in End(V)$  such that  $\alpha(\eta) = T\eta T^{-1}$  for all  $\eta \in \mathscr{Q}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $T^{-1}b\mathfrak{s}$  are linearly C-dependent for all  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$ . By Fact 2.5, there exists  $\kappa \in C$  such that  $T^{-1}b\mathfrak{s} = \kappa\mathfrak{s}$  for all  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$ . In this case for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $(a\eta + \alpha(\eta)b)\mathfrak{s} = (a\eta + T\eta T^{-1}b)\mathfrak{s} = a\eta\mathfrak{s} + T\eta T^{-1}b\mathfrak{s} =$  $a\eta\mathfrak{s} + T(\kappa\eta\mathfrak{s}) = a\eta\mathfrak{s} + T(\kappa(\eta\mathfrak{s})) = a\eta\mathfrak{s} + T(T^{-1}b)(\eta\mathfrak{s}) = (a+b)\eta\mathfrak{s}$ . This means that  $(a\eta + \alpha(\eta)b)\mathfrak{s} = (a+b)\eta\mathfrak{s}$  for all  $\eta \in \mathscr{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$ , since V is faithful, it follows that  $(a\eta + \alpha(\eta)b) = (a+b)\eta$ . Thus, (4.2) reduces to  $[p(a+b)[\eta_1, \eta_2]^2, [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.2,  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies  $S_4$ . Now, Suppose there exists  $\mathfrak{s} \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, T^{-1}b\mathfrak{s}\}\$  are linearly C-independent. Assume  $\dim_C V \geq 3$ , then there exists  $\mathfrak{w} \in V$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{s}, T^{-1}b\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{w}\}$  are linearly *C*-independent. By the density of  $\mathscr{Q}$ , there exists  $h_1, h_2, k_1, k_2$  such that

$$h_1 T^{-1} b \mathfrak{s} = 0, \ h_2 T^{-1} b \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}, \ k_1 \mathfrak{s} = 0, \ k_2 \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{w}, \ k_1 \mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}$$
  
 $h_1 \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}, \ h_2 \mathfrak{s} = 0, \ h_1 \mathfrak{w} = 0, \ h_2 \mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{s}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 = \left( \left[ p(a[h_1, h_2] + T[h_1, h_2]T^{-1}b)[h_1, h_2], [k_1, k_2] \right] \right) \mathfrak{s} = pT(\mathfrak{s}). \end{array} \\ \text{Hence, we have proved that } pT(\mathfrak{s}) = 0 \text{ for every } \mathfrak{s} \in V \text{ such that } \{\mathfrak{s}, T^{-1}b\mathfrak{s}\} \text{ are linearly } C\text{-independent.} \\ \text{By Lemma 3.1, } p = 0 \text{ follows a contradiction. Thus } dim_C V \leq 2. \\ \text{Hence } \mathfrak{A} \text{ satisfies } \\ S_4. \end{array}$ 

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In view of the Fact 2.4, a nonzero two-sided ideal I exists such that  $0 \neq [I, \mathfrak{A}] \subseteq L$ . Therefore, I satisfies  $[pF([\eta_1, \eta_2])[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$ . we known there exists a skew derivation d of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and an element  $a \in \mathscr{Q}$  such that  $F(\eta) = a\eta + d(\eta)$  for all  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

**Case 1**: If d is inner, then  $d(\eta) = b\eta - \alpha(\eta)b$  for some  $b \in \mathscr{Q}$  for all  $\eta \in \mathscr{Q}$ , So that  $F(\eta) = (a+b)\eta - \alpha(\eta)b$ . Then, we have

 $[p((a+b)[\eta_1,\eta_2] - \alpha([\eta_1,\eta_2])b)[\eta_1,\eta_2], [\omega_1,\omega_2]] = 0 \text{ for all } \eta_1,\eta_2,\omega_1,\omega_2 \in I$ 

**Subcase 1**: When  $\alpha$  is an identity map, then the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.3.

**Subcase 2**: When  $\alpha$  is inner, then there is  $q \in \mathcal{Q} - C$ , such that  $\alpha(\eta) = q\eta q^{-1}$  for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{Q}$ , then the conclusion follows from Lemma 4.1.

**Subcase 3**: When  $\alpha$  is outer, then the conclusion follows from Lemma 4.2.

**Case 2**: When d is outer, then we get

$$[p(a[\eta_1, \eta_2] + d([\eta_1, \eta_2])[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$$

for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathscr{Q}$ . This implies that

$$[p(a[\eta_1,\eta_2] + d(\eta_1)\eta_2 + \alpha(\eta_1)d(\eta_2) - d(\eta_2)\eta_1 - \alpha(\eta_2)d(\eta_1))[\eta_1,\eta_2], [\omega_1,\omega_2]] = 0$$

for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathscr{Q}$ .

Here d is not inner, by applying Fact 2.3,  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies

$$[p(a[\eta_1,\eta_2] + t_1\eta_2 + \alpha(\eta_1)t_2 - t_2\eta_1 - \alpha(\eta_2)t_1)[\eta_1,\eta_2], [\omega_1,\omega_2]] = 0$$

In particular,  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ , we get  $[pa[\eta_1, \eta_2]^2, [\omega_1, \omega_2]] = 0$ , then again by Lemma 3.2, the given conclusion follows.

Acknowledgement. The authors are greatly indebted to the referee for his/her constructive comments and suggestions, which improved the quality of the paper.

# References

- C. Abdioğlu and T. K. Lee, A basic functional identity with application to jordan σbiderivations, comm. Algebra, 45(4)(2017), 1741–1756
- [2] K. I. Beidar and M. Brešar, Extended Jacobson density theorem for rings with automorphisms and derivations, Israel J. Math., 122(2001), 317–346.
- J. Bergen, I. N. Herstein and J. W. Kerr, *Lie ideals and derivations of prime rings*, J. Algebra, **71**(1981), 259–267.
- [4] C. M. Chang and T. K. Lee, Annihilators of power values of derivations in prime rings, Comm. Algebra, 26(7)(1998), 2091–2113.
- [5] C. L. Chuang, GPIs having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 103(3)(1988), 723–728.
- [6] C. L. Chuang, Differential identities with automorphism and anti-automorphisms II, J. Algebra, 160(1993), 292–335.
- [7] C. L. Chuang and T. K. Lee, *Identities with a single skew derivation*, J. Algebra, 288(1)(2005), 59–77.
- [8] B. Dhara and V. De Filippis, Notes on generalized derivation on Lie ideals in prime rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 46(3)(2009), 599–605.
- Y. Du and Y. Wang, A result on generalized derivations in prime rings, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 42(1)(2013), 81–85.
- [10] N. Jacobson, Structure of rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 37, (1964).
- C. Lanski and S. Montgomery, Lie structure of prime ring of characteristic 2, Pacific J. Math., 42(1)(1972), 117–136.
- [12] W. S. Martindale III, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, J. Algebra, 12(1969), 576–584.
- [13] R. K. Sharma and B. Dhara, An annihilator condition on prime rings with derivations, Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci., 21(1)(2005), 71–80.
- [14] Y. Wang, Power-centralizing automorphisms of Lie ideals in prime rings, Comm. Algebra, 34(2)(2006), 609–615.

331