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#### Abstract

We surveyed to assess the impact of the mood states and sentiments resulting from forest experience on the school life satisfaction of Korean boarding middle school students ( $\mathrm{n}=90$ ). The average mood state score was 2.32 on a scale of $1-5$, with the subscale of vigor scoring the highest (3.19). The average sentiment score was 3.08 , with the subscale of subjective happiness scoring the highest (3.08). The average school life satisfaction score was 3.66 , with the subscale of interpersonal relationships scoring the highest (3.91). The mood states resulting from forest experience explained $39.6 \%$ of the variance in school life satisfaction, and the regression model showed a significant fit ( $\mathrm{F}=9.077, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Similarly, sentiments resulting from forest experience explained $47.4 \%$ of school life satisfaction, with the regression model showing a significant fit ( $\mathrm{F}=10.569, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). It can be concluded that forest experience positively impacts the school life satisfaction of Korean boarding middle school students.
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## Introduction

People's perception of forests has changed significantly over the past few decades. Before the 1960s, forests were primarily viewed as spaces that served as sources of firewood, food, and timber; and contributed to the protection of ecology by performing the functions of soil erosion prevention, landslide mitigation, and protection against heavy rains and floods. However, in the 1960s, the term "urban forestry" was introduced from the United States to Europe, shifting the focus to forests in and around cities (Konijnendijk 2003; Konijnendijk et al. 2006). Bell (1997) emphasized the importance of locating open spaces near residential areas to encourage their use for recreational activities.

Similarly, Bonnes et al. (2004) -in a study on Rome-identified accessibility, location, and distance as critical factors influencing the utilization of urban and peri-urban areas. In Korea, forests have become highly favored for relaxation, recreation, healing, and education. The demand for utilizing forests for these purposes continues to grow with the increasing national income, leisure time, and the prioritization of quality of life.
Forests serve as ecological learning spaces where various organisms coexist, offering opportunities to explore biodiversity. They also contribute to people's well-being by providing sensory stimulation and acting as healing spaces for stress relief (National Institute of Forest Science 2013). In particular, forest have been demonstrated to positively affect ado-
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lescents who require emotional and behavioral support. Forest experiences have been found to reduce stress and enhance self-esteem in children attending local childcare centers (Kim et al. 2015). They also aid in reducing depression and improving social development in children from low-income families (Cho et al. 2011), promoting character development in elementary school students (Kim and Choi 2018), and assisting the management of behavior in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (Hong et al. 2016). Previous studies on changes in perception of forests, environmental awareness, development of environmental sensitivity, and changes in environmental attitudes and pro-environmental behaviors support the finding that forest experiences positively influence character development, respect for life, and environmental awareness and sensitivity among adolescents (Kim et al. 2015). A previous study measured physiological parameters before and after forest experiences among urban middle school students. It provided evidence of stress reduction and prevention of problem behaviors such as school violence and antisocial behavior resulting from excessive stress after the forest experience (Kwon et al. 2014). Forest experience has also been associated with increased school life satisfaction, decreased negative thinking, and addressing problematic behaviors like aggression as well as feelings of depression and anxiety (Kim and Lee 2006; Son et al. 2013; Eom et al. 2015; Kim and Koo 2019). However, existing research on forest experience primarily focuses on urban students. Despite evidence indicating emotional instability and anxiety related to collective living among boarding school students (Lee 2017), as well as reported challenges associated with maladaptation in boarding school life (Lee and Cho 2018), there has so far been a lack of research investigating the effects of forest experience on boarding school students in terms of dormitory and school life satisfaction.

This study aimed to examine the influence of forest experience on the mood states and sentiments of boarding middle school students. The primary objective was to gather fundamental data that could improv peer relationships, career counseling, life guidance, and overall satisfaction with school life.

## Materials and Methods

## Participants

The general characteristics of the study participants are presented in Table 1. A total of 90 students were recruited in the Gyeongnam region of Korea. Of these, 35 students ( $38.9 \%$ ) were in the 7 th grade, 27 students ( $30.0 \%$ ) were in the 8 th grade, and 28 students ( $31.1 \%$ ) were in the 9 th grade. The gender distribution was balanced, with 45 male participants ( $50.0 \%$ ) and 45 female participants ( $50.0 \%$ ).

## Survey period

The survey was conducted using pre-prepared questionnaires from September 19 to October 21, 2022. A total of 100 questionnaires were distributed during this period to collect data.

## Survey method

The survey was conducted between 6 pm and 8 pm after completing their daily routine activities to minimize disruption to students' school life. On weekdays, students were surveyed according to their grade level during their free time. Care was taken to avoid large gatherings of students due to the risk of COVID-19 infection. The researcher explained the purpose and significance of the study to the counseling teachers in the selected school's counseling room. Subsequently, the questionnaires were distributed to the students, who were given approximately 20 minutes to complete the survey. The collected questionnaires were used as the basis for data analysis, and frequencies ( n ) and percentages (\%) of general characteristics of the study participants were calculated.

Table 1. General characteristics of participants

| Group |  |  | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year at school | Year 1 | 35 | 38.9 |
|  | Year 2 | 27 | 30.0 |
|  | Year 3 | 28 | 31.1 |
| Total | Male (M) | 45 | 50.0 |
|  | Female (F) | 45 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 90 | 100.0 |

## Analysis items

## Mood states resulting from forest experience

To measure the mood states of boarding middle school students following a forest experience, we used the Korean version of the Profile of Mood States-Brief (K-POMS-B), which was adapted by Kim et al. (2003) from the original POMS (McNair and Lorr 1964). The POMS was initially developed by McNair and Lorr in 1964 to meet the clinical need for a quick and easy assessment of the transient affective states of patients. It has been widely used to evaluate mood states and affect in various contexts. The K-POMS-B consists of 30 items rated on a 5 -point Likert scale. It comprises six mood subscales: tension, depression, anger, vigor, fatigue, and confusion. The scores from each subscale are combined to calculate the Total Mood Disturbance (TMD).
In this study, the internal consistency of the K-POMS-B was assessed using Cronbach's $\alpha$ coefficient. The TMD showed a high level of internal consistency, with a Cronbach's $\alpha$ coefficient of 0.930 . At the subscale level, Cronbach's $\alpha$ was 0.846 for tension, 0.787 for anger, 0.809 for depression, 0.847 for fatigue, 0.682 for confusion, and 0.818 for vigor. All of these values exceeded the threshold of 0.6 which indicates satisfactory internal consistency of the measurement data (Table 2).

## Sentiments resulting from forest experience

Sentiments resulting from forest experience were measured using a forest experience sentiment scale based on Kang (2020)'s study on perceived stress, attention restoration theory, subjective vitality, and subjective happiness. The scale consists of seven subscales: perceived stress, per-

Table 2. Content and reliability of the profile of mood states

| Sub-category | Item no. | Total number <br> of items | Cronbach's $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tension | $1,6,12,16,20$ | 5 | 0.864 |
| Anger | $2,9,14,25,28$ | 5 | 0.787 |
| Depression | $7,11,15,17,21$ | 5 | 0.809 |
| Fatigue | $3,13,19,22,23$ | 5 | 0.847 |
| Confusion | $5,18,24,26,29$ | 5 | 0.682 |
| Vigour | $4,8,10,27,30$ | 5 | 0.818 |
| Total | $1-30$ | 30 | 0.930 |

ceived restoration environment, physical health, emotional stability, attention restoration, subjective vitality, and subjective happiness.

In the present study, the overall Cronbach's $\alpha$ coefficient for sentiments resulting from forest experience was 0.949 , indicating high internal consistency. At the subscale level, Cronbach's $\alpha$ was 0.859 for perceived stress, 0.853 for perceived restoration environment, 0.809 for physical health, 0.842 for emotional stability, 0.916 for attention restoration, 0.889 for subjective vitality, and 0.848 for subjective happiness, indicating high internal consistency for all items (Table 3).

## School life satisfaction

School life satisfaction was measured utilizing Kim (2009)'s School Satisfaction Scale, which consists of six subscales: overall school life, interpersonal relationships, classes and learning activities, educational environment, school rules and special activities, and social support. Each item is rated on a 5 -point Likert scale ( $1=$ Not at all, $5=$ Very much), where higher scores indicate higher levels of school life satisfaction.

In this study, the overall Cronbach's $\alpha$ coefficient for school satisfaction was 0.945 , indicating high internal consistency. At the subscale level, Cronbach's $\alpha$ was 0.826 for overall school life, 0.791 for interpersonal relationships, 0.799 for classes and learning activities, 0.732 for the educational environment, 0.805 for school rules and special activities, and 0.852 for social support, indicating high in-

Table 3. Content and reliability of the forest experience sentiment

| Sub-category | Item no. | Total number of items | Cronbach's $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perceived stress | PS1-PS7 | 7 | 0.859 |
| Perceived restorativeness | EP1-EP5 | 5 | 0.853 |
| Physical health | PR1-PR5 | 5 | 0.809 |
| Emotional stability | MR1-MR6 | 6 | 0.842 |
| Attention restoration | ATR1-ATR5 | 5 | 0.916 |
| Subjective vitality | VR1-VR6 | 6 | 0.889 |
| Subjective well-being | HAP1-HAP8 | 8 | 0.848 |
| Total |  | 42 | 0.949 |

ternal consistency for all items (Table 4).

## Research hypotheses

The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of mood states and sentiments resulting from forest experiences on school life satisfaction. To achieve these objectives, the following research hypotheses were formulated (Fig. 1).

## Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using the statistical software SPSS 28.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to examine the overall mood states, sentiments, and school life satisfaction as changed through forest experience. Multiple regression analyses examined the effects of mood states and sentiments resulting from forest experience on school life satisfaction.

Table 4. Content and reliability of the school life satisfaction

| Sub-category | Item no. | Total <br> number <br> of items | Cronbach's $\alpha$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall school life $1,2,3,6$ 4 0.826 <br> Interpersonal <br> relationships $4,5,20,25$ 4 0.791 <br> Academic and class <br> activities $8,9,10,11,12$ 5 0.799 <br> Educational <br> environment <br> School rules and <br> special activities $13,14,15,21$ 4 0.732 <br> Social support <br> Total $7,22,23,24$ 4 0.805$\quad 1-25$ | 25 | 0.852 |  |



Fig. 1. Research hypothesis. H1, Boarding middle school students' moods resulting from forest experience will significantly affect school life satisfaction; H2, Boarding middle school students' sentiments resulting from forest experience will significantly affect school life satisfaction.

## Results and Discussion

## Mood states

## Mood states resulting from forest experience

Mood states resulting from forest experience were assessed on a 5 -point Likert scale ( $1=$ not at all, $5=$ very much), where higher scores indicate higher levels of corresponding mood state. The overall mean score for mood states was 2.32 . Among the subscales, vigor had the highest mean score of 3.19 , followed by confusion (2.50), fatigue (2.46), depression (2.18), tension (2.12), and anger (1.85) (Table 5).

## Sentiments resulting from forest experience

Sentiments resulting from forest experience were assessed on a 5 -point Likert scale ( $1=$ not at all, $5=$ very much), where higher scores indicate higher levels of corresponding sentiment. The overall mean score was 3.08 . Among the subscales, the highest mean score was achieved by subjective happiness (3.08), followed by subjective vitality (3.07), attention restoration (3.03), physical health (3.02), perceived restoration environment (2.95), emotional

Table 5. Overall level of the profile of mood states

| Category | N | M | SD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tension | 90 | 2.12 | 0.89 |
| Anger | 90 | 1.85 | 0.72 |
| Depression | 90 | 2.18 | 0.85 |
| Fatigue | 90 | 2.46 | 1.00 |
| Confusion | 90 | 2.50 | 0.83 |
| Vigour | 90 | 3.19 | 0.92 |
| Average | 90 | 2.32 | 0.67 |

Table 6. Overall level of the forest experience sentiment

| Category | N | M | SD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perceived stress | 90 | 2.57 | 0.86 |
| Perceived restorativeness | 90 | 2.95 | 0.90 |
| Physical health | 90 | 3.02 | 0.83 |
| Emotional stability | 90 | 2.89 | 0.86 |
| Attention restoration | 90 | 3.03 | 1.00 |
| Subjective vitality | 90 | 3.07 | 0.91 |
| Subjective well-being | 90 | 3.08 | 0.80 |
| Average | 90 | 3.08 | 0.65 |

stability (2.89), and perceived stress (2.57) (Table 6).

## School life satisfaction

School life satisfaction was assessed on a 5 -point Likert scale ( $1=$ not at all, $5=$ very much $)$, with higher scores indicating higher satisfaction levels satisfaction with the corresponding aspects of school life. The overall mean score was 3.66 , with the highest mean score achieved by interpersonal relationships (3.91), followed by social support (3.74), overall school life (3.69), school rules and special activities (3.69), classes and learning activities (3.51), and educational environment (3.44) (Table 7).

## Results of hypothesis testing

Effects of mood states resulting from forest experience on school life satisfaction
Multiple regression analysis was performed to investigate the impact of middle school students' mood states obtained through forest experience on their school life satisfaction. The results are outlined in Table 8. Before analysis, independent variables were checked for multicollinearity. Variance inflation factor (VIF) values ranged from 1.265 to 3.489 , suggesting an absence of problematic multicollinearity among variables. A Durbin-Watson test for autocorrelation further showed values from 1.725 to 2.005 , with values close to 2 indicating a lack of correlations between the residuals.

The explanatory power of middle school students' mood states resulting from forest experience for overall satisfaction with school life was calculated at $43.7 \%$. Among the subscales of the profile of mood states, anger ( $\beta=$ $-0.417, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) had a significant negative impact, while vigor $(\beta=0.320, p<0.01)$ had a significant positive effect

Table 7. Overall level of the school life satisfaction

| Category | N | M | SD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Overall school life | 90 | 3.69 | 0.89 |
| Interpersonal relationships | 90 | 3.91 | 0.78 |
| Academic and class activities | 90 | 3.51 | 0.79 |
| Educational environment | 90 | 3.44 | 0.77 |
| School rules and special activities | 90 | 3.69 | 0.86 |
| Social support | 90 | 3.74 | 0.85 |
| Average | 90 | 3.66 | 0.69 |

on overall satisfaction with school life. The regression model for this variable was substantial ( $\mathrm{F}=10.738, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), as was the case for all other mood state variables.

Explanatory power for satisfaction with interpersonal relationships was $19.2 \%$ ( $\mathrm{F}=3.282$, $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). None of the subscales of the profile of mood states had a significant impact on interpersonal relationship satisfaction.
Explanatory power for satisfaction with classes and learning activities was $41.8 \%$ ( $\mathrm{F}=9.926, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Among the subscales of the profile of mood states, vigor ( $\beta=0.372$, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) had a significant positive impact on satisfaction with classes and learning activities.

Explanatory power for satisfaction with the educational environment was $27.6 \%$ ( $\mathrm{F}=5.262, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Among the subscales of the profile of mood states, fatigue ( $\beta=-0.383$, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) had significantly impacted satisfaction with the educational environment.

Explanatory power for satisfaction with the school rules and special activities was $32.7 \% ~(~ F=6.707, ~ p<0.001)$. Among the subscales of the profile of mood states, fatigue ( $\beta=-0.376, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) had a significant negative. In impact, ( $\beta=0.277, p<0.01$ ) had significantly impacted satisfaction with school rules and special activities.

Explanatory power for satisfaction with social support was $15.9 \%$ ( $\mathrm{F}=2.614, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). None of the subscales of the mood states profile significantly impacted satisfaction with social support.

Finally, the explanatory power for school life satisfaction was $39.6 \%$ ( $\mathrm{F}=9.077, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Among the subscales of the profile of mood states, anger $(\beta=-0.313, p<0.05)$ had a significant negative impact, while vigor $(\beta=0.297, p<0.01)$ had a significant positive effect on school life satisfaction.

## Effects of sentiments resulting from forest experience on school life satisfaction

VIF values for the sentiment variables ranged from 1.298 to 5.435 , suggesting an absence of problematic multicollinearity among variables. A Durbin-Watson test for autocorrelation further showed values from 1.706 to 2.170 , with values close to 2 indicating a lack of correlations between residuals.

The explanatory power of middle school students' sentiments resulting from forest experience for their overall satisfaction with school life was calculated at $47.4 \%$. Among
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Table 8. Effects of mood state through forest experience on school life satisfaction

| Dependent variable | Independent variable | B | SE | $\beta$ | t | p | Statistical value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall school life | (k) | 4.097 | 0.391 |  | 10.488 | 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.437 \\ & \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.396 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=10.738, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.832 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Tension | 0.164 | 0.135 | 0.163 | 1.221 | 0.226 |  |
|  | Anger | -0.516 | 0.157 | -0.417 | -3.284** | 0.001 |  |
|  | Depression | -0.178 | 0.150 | -0.170 | -1.189 | 0.238 |  |
|  | Fatigue | 0.015 | 0.132 | 0.017 | 0.118 | 0.907 |  |
|  | Confusion | -0.177 | 0.166 | -0.165 | -1.071 | 0.287 |  |
|  | Vigour | 0.311 | 0.090 | 0.320 | 3.455** | 0.001 |  |
| Inter-personal relation-ships | (k) | 4.310 | 0.411 |  | 10.500 | 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.192, \\ & \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.133, \\ & \mathrm{~F}=3.282, \mathrm{p}=0.006, \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.829 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Tension | 0.015 | 0.141 | 0.017 | 0.104 | 0.917 |  |
|  | Anger | -0.277 | 0.165 | -0.255 | -1.678 | 0.097 |  |
|  | Depression | -0.046 | 0.157 | -0.050 | -0.294 | 0.769 |  |
|  | Fatigue | -0.053 | 0.139 | -0.068 | -0.382 | 0.703 |  |
|  | Confusion | -0.061 | 0.174 | -0.064 | -0.349 | 0.728 |  |
|  | Vigour | 0.144 | 0.094 | 0.169 | 1.525 | 0.131 |  |
| Academic and class activities | (k) | 3.639 | 0.350 |  | 10.395 | 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.418 \\ & \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.376, \\ & \mathrm{~F}=9.926, \mathrm{p}=0.001, \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.978 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Tension | 0.032 | 0.121 | 0.037 | 0.269 | 0.789 |  |
|  | Anger | -0.270 | 0.141 | -0.248 | -1.919 | 0.058 |  |
|  | Depression | -0.015 | 0.134 | -0.016 | -0.109 | 0.913 |  |
|  | Fatigue | -0.114 | 0.118 | -0.145 | -0.962 | 0.339 |  |
|  | Confusion | -0.159 | 0.149 | -0.167 | -1.069 | 0.288 |  |
|  | Vigour | 0.318 | 0.081 | 0.372 | $3.948^{* * *}$ | 0.001 |  |
| Educational environment | (k) | 4.121 | 0.380 |  | 10.832 | 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.276 \\ & \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.223 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=5.262, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=2.005 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Tension | 0.048 | 0.131 | 0.055 | 0.364 | 0.716 |  |
|  | Anger | -0.251 | 0.153 | -0.237 | -1.643 | 0.104 |  |
|  | Depression | 0.051 | 0.146 | 0.056 | 0.347 | 0.729 |  |
|  | Fatigue | -0.293 | 0.128 | -0.383 | -2.286* | 0.025 |  |
|  | Confusion | 0.000 | 0.161 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.998 |  |
|  | Vigour | 0.092 | 0.088 | 0.110 | 1.050 | 0.297 |  |
| School rules and special activities | (k) | 3.901 | 0.410 |  | 9.511 | 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}=0.327, \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}=0.278 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=6.707, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.917 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Tension | 0.038 | 0.141 | 0.040 | 0.272 | 0.787 |  |
|  | Anger | -0.208 | 0.165 | -0.175 | -1.260 | 0.211 |  |
|  | Depression | 0.173 | 0.157 | 0.172 | 1.100 | 0.275 |  |
|  | Fatigue | -0.322 | 0.138 | -0.376 | -2.326* | 0.022 |  |
|  | Confusion | -0.124 | 0.174 | -0.120 | -0.713 | 0.478 |  |
|  | Vigour | 0.258 | 0.094 | 0.277 | $2.734^{* *}$ | 0.008 |  |
| Social support | (k) | 3.876 | 0.456 |  | 8.501 | 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}=0.159, \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}=0.098 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=2.614, \mathrm{p}=0.023 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.725 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Tension | -0.165 | 0.157 | -0.171 | -1.048 | 0.298 |  |
|  | Anger | -0.273 | 0.183 | -0.231 | -1.489 | 0.140 |  |
|  | Depression | 0.115 | 0.175 | 0.115 | 0.657 | 0.513 |  |
|  | Fatigue | -0.020 | 0.154 | -0.024 | -0.131 | 0.896 |  |
|  | Confusion | -0.027 | 0.193 | -0.026 | -0.138 | 0.890 |  |
|  | Vigour | 0.184 | 0.105 | 0.198 | 1.753 | 0.083 |  |
| SLS | (k) | 3.977 | 0.312 |  | 12.756 | 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}=0.396, \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}=0.353 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=9.077, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.796 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Tension | 0.023 | 0.107 | 0.029 | 0.210 | 0.834 |  |
|  | Anger | -0.298 | 0.125 | -0.313 | -2.377* | 0.020 |  |
|  | Depression | 0.015 | 0.120 | 0.019 | 0.128 | 0.898 |  |
|  | Fatigue | -0.130 | 0.105 | -0.190 | -1.240 | 0.219 |  |
|  | Confusion | -0.094 | 0.132 | -0.113 | -0.710 | 0.479 |  |
|  | Vigour | 0.222 | 0.072 | 0.297 | $3.091^{* *}$ | 0.003 |  |

[^0]the subscales of the sentiment scale, perceived stress had a significant negative impact ( $\beta=-0.292, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). In contrast physical health $(\beta=0.267, \mathrm{p}<0.05)$ and subjective happiness ( $\beta=0.267, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) had a significant positive impact on the overall satisfaction with school life. The re-
gression model for this variable was substantial ( $\mathrm{F}=$ $10.569, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), and this was also the case for all other sentiment variables.

The explanatory power for satisfaction with interpersonal relationships was $45.8 \%(\mathrm{~F}=9.881, \mathrm{p}<0.001)$. Among the

Table 9. Effects of sentiment through forest experience on school life satisfaction

| Dependent variable | Independent variable | B | SE | $\beta$ | t | p | Statistical value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall school life | (k) | 2.375 | 0.530 |  | 4.484 | 0.000 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.474 \\ & \quad \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.429 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=10.569, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.706 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Perceived stress | -0.304 | 0.095 | -0.292 | -3.196** | 0.002 |  |
|  | Perceived restorativeness | 0.030 | 0.130 | 0.031 | 0.233 | 0.817 |  |
|  | Physical health | 0.285 | 0.130 | 0.267 | 2.191* | 0.031 |  |
|  | Emotional stability | 0.158 | 0.132 | 0.152 | 1.194 | 0.236 |  |
|  | Attention restoration | -0.164 | 0.167 | -0.183 | -0.981 | 0.329 |  |
|  | Subjective vitality | 0.087 | 0.173 | 0.089 | 0.501 | 0.618 |  |
|  | Subjective well-being | 0.297 | 0.116 | 0.267 | 2.558* | 0.012 |  |
| Inter-personal relation-ships | (k) | 2.494 | 0.472 |  | 5.285 | 0.000 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.458 \\ & \quad \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.411 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=9.881, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.896 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Perceived stress | -0.189 | 0.085 | -0.207 | -2.233* | 0.028 |  |
|  | Perceived restorativeness | -0.034 | 0.115 | -0.040 | -0.298 | 0.766 |  |
|  | Physical health | 0.451 | 0.116 | 0.481 | 3.887*** | 0.000 |  |
|  | Emotional stability | 0.157 | 0.118 | 0.171 | 1.327 | 0.188 |  |
|  | Attention restoration | -0.175 | 0.149 | -0.222 | -1.173 | 0.244 |  |
|  | Subjective vitality | 0.044 | 0.154 | 0.051 | 0.286 | 0.776 |  |
|  | Subjective well-being | 0.187 | 0.103 | 0.192 | 1.811 | 0.074 |  |
| Academic and class activities | (k) | 2.171 | 0.468 |  | 4.642 | 0.000 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.472 \\ & \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.427 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=10.465, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=2.170 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Perceived stress | -0.224 | 0.084 | -0.244 | -2.665** | 0.009 |  |
|  | Perceived restorativeness | 0.065 | 0.114 | 0.075 | 0.567 | 0.572 |  |
|  | Physical health | 0.178 | 0.115 | 0.189 | 1.552 | 0.125 |  |
|  | Emotional stability | 0.117 | 0.117 | 0.127 | 0.998 | 0.321 |  |
|  | Attention restoration | -0.181 | 0.148 | -0.229 | -1.226 | 0.224 |  |
|  | Subjective vitality | 0.333 | 0.153 | 0.386 | 2.176* | 0.032 |  |
|  | Subjective well-being | 0.121 | 0.102 | 0.123 | 1.178 | 0.242 |  |
| Educational environment | (k) | 2.277 | 0.488 |  | 4.662 | 0.000 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.393 \\ & \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.342 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=7.596, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.951 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Perceived stress | -0.218 | 0.088 | -0.243 | -2.484* | 0.015 |  |
|  | Perceived restorativeness | 0.156 | 0.119 | 0.184 | 1.302 | 0.197 |  |
|  | Physical health | 0.171 | 0.120 | 0.186 | 1.422 | 0.159 |  |
|  | Emotional stability | 0.070 | 0.122 | 0.079 | 0.575 | 0.567 |  |
|  | Attention restoration | -0.133 | 0.154 | -0.173 | -0.862 | 0.391 |  |
|  | Subjective vitality | 0.017 | 0.160 | 0.020 | 0.105 | 0.917 |  |
|  | Subjective well-being | 0.290 | 0.107 | 0.303 | 2.708** | 0.008 |  |
| School rules and special activities | (k) | 2.821 | 0.506 |  | 5.570 | 0.000 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.479 \\ & \quad \text { Adjusted } \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.434 \\ & \mathrm{~F}=10.749, \mathrm{p}=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.921 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Perceived stress | -0.355 | 0.091 | -0.355 | $-3.904^{* * *}$ | 0.000 |  |
|  | Perceived restorativeness | -0.006 | 0.124 | -0.007 | -0.051 | 0.960 |  |
|  | Physical health | 0.435 | 0.124 | 0.423 | $3.491^{* *}$ | 0.001 |  |
|  | Emotional stability | -0.081 | 0.127 | -0.081 | -0.643 | 0.522 |  |
|  | Attention restoration | -0.029 | 0.160 | -0.034 | -0.181 | 0.857 |  |
|  | Subjective vitality | 0.129 | 0.166 | 0.137 | 0.777 | 0.439 |  |
|  | Subjective well-being | 0.135 | 0.111 | 0.126 | 1.215 | 0.228 |  |

Lee et al.

Table 9. Continued

| Dependent <br> variable | Independent variable | B | SE | $\beta$ | t | p | Statistical value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social support | (k) | 2.373 | 0.520 |  | 4.566 | 0.000 | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.445$, |
|  | Perceived stress | -0.189 | 0.093 | -0.190 | $-2.022^{*}$ | 0.046 | Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.398$, |
|  | Perceived restorativeness | -0.181 | 0.127 | -0.192 | -1.420 | 0.159 | $\mathrm{~F}=9.392, \mathrm{p}=0.001$, |
|  | Physical health | 0.521 | 0.128 | 0.510 | $4.074^{* * *}$ | 0.000 | $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.895$ |
|  | Emotional stability | -0.046 | 0.130 | -0.046 | -0.355 | 0.724 |  |
|  | Attention restoration | -0.359 | 0.164 | -0.419 | $-2.187^{*}$ | 0.032 |  |
|  | Subjective vitality | 0.458 | 0.170 | 0.490 | $2.695^{* *}$ | 0.009 |  |
|  | Subjective well-being | 0.203 | 0.114 | 0.191 | 1.781 | 0.079 | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.606$, |
|  | (k) | 2.409 | 0.353 |  | 6.817 | 0.000 | $\mathrm{Adjusted} \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.572$, |
|  | Perceived stress | -0.245 | 0.063 | -0.306 | $-3.870^{* * *}$ | 0.000 | $\mathrm{~F}=18.017, \mathrm{p}=0.001$, |
|  | Perceived restorativeness | 0.007 | 0.086 | 0.010 | 0.084 | 0.933 | $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}=1.960$ |
|  | Physical health | 0.334 | 0.087 | 0.405 | $3.840^{* * *}$ | 0.000 |  |
|  | Emotional stability | 0.065 | 0.088 | 0.080 | 0.731 | 0.467 |  |
|  | Attention restoration | -0.174 | 0.112 | -0.252 | -1.557 | 0.123 |  |
|  | Subjective vitality | 0.184 | 0.116 | 0.244 | 1.593 | 0.115 |  |
|  | Subjective well-being | 0.202 | 0.077 | 0.235 | $2.609^{*}$ | 0.011 |  |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$.
subscales of the sentiment scale, perceived stress ( $\beta=$ $-0.207, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) had a significant negative impact, while physical health $(\beta=0.481, p<0.001)$ had a significant positive effect on satisfaction with interpersonal relationships.

The explanatory power for satisfaction with classes and learning activities was $47.2 \%(\mathrm{~F}=10.465, \mathrm{p}<0.001)$. Among the subscales of the sentiment scale, perceived stress ( $\beta=-0.244, p<0.01$ ) had a significant negative impact. In contrast, subjective vitality ( $\beta=0.386, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) significantly impacted satisfaction with classes and learning activities.

The explanatory power for satisfaction with the educational environment was $39.3 \%$ ( $\mathrm{F}=7.596, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Among the subscales of the sentiment scale, perceived stress ( $\beta=$ $0.243, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) had a significant negative impact. In contrast, subjective happiness ( $\beta=0.303, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) significantly impacted satisfaction with the educational environment.

The explanatory power for satisfaction with school rules and special activities was $47.9 \% ~(~ F ~=~ 10.749, ~ p<0.001) . ~$ Among the subscales of the sentiment scale, perceived stress ( $\beta=-0.355, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) had a significant negative impact. In contrast, physical health ( $\beta=0.423, p<0.01$ ) significantly impacted satisfaction with school rules and special activities.
The explanatory power for satisfaction with social sup-
port was $44.5 \% ~(~ F=9.392, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Among the subscales of the sentiment scale, perceived stress $(\beta=-0.190$, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) and attention restoration ( $\beta=-0.419, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) had a significant negative impact. In contrast, physical health ( $\beta=0.510, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and subjective vitality ( $\beta=$ $0490, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) had a significant positive effect on satisfaction with social support.
Finally, the explanatory power for school life satisfaction was $60.6 \%(\mathrm{~F}=18.017, \mathrm{p}<0.001)$. Among the subscales of the sentiment scale, perceived stress ( $\beta=-0.306, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) had a significant negative impact. In contrast, physical health ( $\beta=0.405, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and subjective happiness ( $\beta=$ $0.235, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) had a significant positive effect on school life satisfaction (Table 9).

## Conclusions

This study examined the effects of mood states and sentiments resulting from forest experience on peer relationships, career counseling, life guidance, and school life satisfaction among Korean boarding middle school students. Students' mood states resulting from forest experience were assessed using a 5 -point Likert scale ranging from "not at all" (1) to "very much" (5), with higher scores indicating
higher levels of the corresponding mood states. The overall score was 2.32 , and among the subscales, vigor had the highest score (3.19), followed by confusion (2.50), fatigue (2.46), depression (2.18), tension (2.12), and anger (1.85). Based on prior research findings on the effects of forest and urban landscapes on human emotions (Eom 2016) and on a report indicating that humans perceive $87 \%$ of external stimuli through vision, $7 \%$ through hearing, $3.5 \%$ through smell, $1.5 \%$ through touch, and $1 \%$ through taste (Correy 1983), it can be inferred that forest experience has a positive impact on vigor through the sensory perception of the entire body.

Similarly, students' sentiments resulting from forest experience were assessed using the same 5 -point Likert scale. The overall score was 3.08 ; among the subscales, subjective happiness (3.08) scored the highest. This aligns with a previous study (Kwon et al. 2014) that compared pre- and post-intervention measurements of physiological parameters and found that forest experience reduced stress and could help prevent antisocial behaviors such as school violence due to excessive stress. These findings suggest that higher sentiments resulting from forest experience may actively reduce stress, thus preventing school violence and improving school life satisfaction.

Finally, school life satisfaction was also assessed on the same scale. The overall mean score was 3.66 , among the subscales, interpersonal relationships (3.91) scored the highest. This implies that forest experience positive impact interpersonal relationships and social skills in students, consistent with the results of a previous study (Jeong et al. 2021) that highlighted the transformative effects of forest education on middle school students' social skills. These results strongly suggest that forest experience contributes to enhanced school-life satisfaction.
Students' mood states resulting from forest experience correlate significantly with overall satisfaction with school life ( $\mathrm{F}=10.738, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), explaining $43.7 \%$ of the variation. Among the subscales of the profile of mood states, anger ( $\beta$ $=-0.417, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) had a significant negative impact, while vigor $(\beta=0.320, p<0.01)$ had a significant positive effect on overall satisfaction with school life. Similarly, sentiments resulting from forest experience were significantly correlated with overall satisfaction with school life ( $\mathrm{F}=10.569$, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), explaining $47.4 \%$ of the variation. Among the
subscales of the sentiment scale, perceived stress had a significant negative impact ( $\beta=-0.292, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). In contrast, physical health ( $\beta=0.267, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) and subjective happiness ( $\beta=0.267, \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) had a significant positive impact on the overall satisfaction with school life. These findings align with research conducted by Park et al. (2010), which emphasized the broader societal implications of forest expe-rience-based education and its significant influence on individuals' overall quality of life. They also underscore the detrimental effects of negative mood states among middle school students on their school life satisfaction and overall quality of life, as well as the positive impact of high levels of vigor in their mood states on enhancing school life satisfaction and quality of life.

The study was subject to some limitations. First, the limited sample size and focus on boarding middle school students in the Gyeongnam region of Korea restrict the diversity and generalizability of the findings. Extrapolation of the results to wider groups should be performed with caution. Future research should include a broader range of adolescent groups, such as high school students and out-of-school youth, to enhance the representativeness and applicability of the findings.

Second, using only mood states and sentiments resulting from forest experience provides a limited understanding of overall school life satisfaction. Noteworthily, the results of the variables in this study are not intended to differentiate between positive and negative aspects of mood states and sentiments resulting from forest experience or their direct impact on school life satisfaction, but rather to serve as data for personal growth and development.

Third, future research should utilize diverse approaches, including qualitative research, to understand the dynamics within learning environments, which our study did not address. Comparing the characteristics of mood states between teachers and middle school students may provide deeper insights for guiding students toward healthy physical, mental, and social development.
Fourth, due to the large number of survey items, some students experienced fatigue. This issue can be addressed by allocating more time for completing the survey or by dividing the survey into separate sections.

## References

Bell S. 1997. Design for outdoor recreation. Taylor \& Francis, London.
Bonnes M, Carrus G, Bonaiuto M, Fornara F, Passafaro P. 2004. Inhabitants' environmental perceptions in the city of Rome within the framework for urban biosphere reserves of the UNESCO programme on man and biosphere. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1023: 175-186.
Cho YM, Shin WS, Yeoun PS, Lee HE. 2011. The influence of forest experience program on children from low income families, sociality and depression. J Korean Inst For Recreat 15: 69-75.
Correy A. 1983. Visual perception and scenic assessment in Australia. In: IFLA yearbook 1983/84 (Schmid AS, ed). IFLA Secretariat, Versailles, pp 181-189.
Eom MS, Ha SY, Lee YH. 2015. Effect of forest experience on female high school students' attitudes toward forest, environmental sensitivity, and state-trait anxiety - focusing on 2015 Green Camp participants -. J Korean Inst For Recreat 19: 45-52.
Eom PD. 2016. Effect of forestscape and cityscape on human emotion. Doctoral dissertation. Sangmyung University, Seoul, Korea. (in Korean with English abstract)
Hong SH, Shin CS, Kim JY, Jo YS, Bang MR. 2016. Effects on the ADHD children's behavior and sociality by forest activities: a pilot study. J Korean Soc People Plants Environ 19: 139-147.
Jeong SH, Lee GY, Park JS, An KW. 2021. The effect of forest education program on changes in sociality and attitudes toward forests of middle school students. J Agric Life Sci 55: 23-30.
Kang CH. 2020. Research on the influence of perceived stress of urban forest recreation participants on subjective happiness based on the attention restorative theory -. Doctoral dissertation. Sehan University, Yeongam, Korea. (in Korean with English abstract)
Kim EJ, Lee SI, Jeong DU, Shin MS, Yoon IY. 2003. Standardization and reliability and validity of the Korean edition of Profile of Mood States (K-POMS). Sleep Med Psychophysiol 10: 39-51.
Kim HR, Koo CD. 2019. The influence of urban forest and school forest experience activities on attitude toward forest, psychological well-being and stress of high school student. Korean J

Environ Ecol 33: 341-353
Kim JH, Lee HR. 2006. The effects of organized camp group counseling on children's school adjustment through forest experiences. Korea J Couns 7: 849-864.
Kim JY. 2009. A study on the development and validation of the school life satisfaction scale for high school students. Doctoral dissertation. Wonkwang University, Iksan, Korea. (in Korean with English abstract)
Kim S, Choi J. 2018. Effects of forest experience activity on the attitude toward forest and personality of primary school students. J For Environ Sci 34: 490-496.
Kim SA, Joung D, Yeom D, Kim G, Park BJ. 2015. The effects of forest activities on attitudes toward forest, stress, self-esteem and mental health of children in community child centers. J Korean Inst For Recreat 19: 51-58.
Konijnendijk CC, Ricard RM, Kenney A, Randrup TB. 2006. Defining urban forestry - a comparative perspective of North America and Europe. Urban For Urban Green 4: 93-103.
Konijnendijk CC. 2003. A decade of urban forestry in Europe. For Policy Econ 5: 173-186.
Kwon CW, Lee J, Kim GW, Joung DW, Choi YH, Lim HJ, Park BJ. 2014. The urban forest visits effects on the physiological responses of the human body - targeting the middle school students in city living area -. In: Joint Conference on Forest Science; Seoul, Korea; Apr 17, 2014. pp 358.
Lee HJ. 2017. A study on the opinions of teachers and parents about boarding middle schools' operation. J Learn Cent Curric Instr 17: 423-443.
Lee YM, Cho HI. 2018. Adjustment process of the students in boarding public high school: a grounded theory approach. J Educ Innov Res 28: 189-212.
Mcnair DM, Lorr M. 1964. An analysis of mood in neurotics. J Abnorm Psychol 69: 620-627.
National Institute of Forest Science. 2013. Forest, growing up human. National Institute of Forest Science, Seoul.
Park MH, Oh CH, Park CY. 2010. Forest and human life. Korea National Open University Press, Seoul.
Son JW, Ha SY, Kim JJ. 2013. A study on the relationship between attitudes toward forest and aggression in young people. J Korean Soc For Sci 102: 74-81.


[^0]:    * $\mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$.

