ON THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR OF BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

SUNBEN CHIU, PINGZHI YUAN, AND TAO ZHOU

ABSTRACT. Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer, we denote the smallest integer b such that $\gcd \{\binom{n}{k} : b < k < n - b\} > 1$ as b(n). For any prime p, we denote the highest exponent α such that $p^{\alpha} \mid n$ as $v_p(n)$. In this paper, we partially answer a question asked by Hong in 2016. For a composite number n and a prime number p with $p \mid n$, let $n = a_m p^m + r$, $0 \le r < p^m$, $0 < a_m < p$. Then we have

$$v_p\left(\gcd\left\{\binom{n}{k}: b(n) < k < n - b(n), \ (n,k) > 1\right\}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & a_m = 1 \text{ and } r = b(n), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

1. Introduction

Let *n* and *k* be nonnegative integers. The binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$ is defined by $\binom{n}{k} := \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ if $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $\binom{n}{k} := 0$ otherwise. For any finite set $S = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ of integers, we denote the greatest common divisor of all the elements of *S* by (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) or gcd *S*. Since the problem of the greatest common divisor of binomial coefficients was first studied by Ram [14] in 1909, many mathematicians have made contributions to this topic. Ram proved that

$$gcd\left\{\binom{n}{k}: 0 < k < n\right\} = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } n = p^m \text{ is a power of a prime } p, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In 1985, Joris, Oestreicher and Steinig [5] gave an explicit formula for gcd $\binom{n}{k}$: $r \leq k \leq s$ for any $0 \leq r \leq s \leq n$, but it is too complicated to be stated here.

Let p be a prime and n be a positive integer. We denote the highest exponent α such that $p^{\alpha} \mid n$ as $v_p(n)$, and $v_p(n)$ is called the p-adic valuation of n. We denote the sum of the digits of n in the p-adic as $\sigma_p(n)$. Mendelsohn [12] proved that $\gcd\left\{\binom{2n}{2k-1}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\} = 2^{1+v_2(n)}$. In 1972, Albree [1] generalized the result of Mendelsohn by showing that if p is a prime, then

O2023Korean Mathematical Society

Received March 2, 2022; Revised December 22, 2022; Accepted April 21, 2023.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05A10, 11A05; Secondary 11D88, 11N05, 11A41.

Key words and phrases. Binomial coefficient, greatest common divisor, *p*-adic valuation. Supported by National Science Foundation of China (No. 12171163).

 $\gcd\left\{\binom{pn}{k}: 1 \leq k \leq pn, \ p \nmid k\right\} = p^{1+v_p(n)}$. McTague proved in [10] that

$$v_p\left(\gcd\left\{\binom{2n}{2k}: 0 < k < n\right\}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 2n = p^i + p^j \text{ for some } 0 \leqslant i \leqslant j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and showed in [11] that

$$v_p\left(\gcd\left\{\binom{n}{qk}: 0 < k < \frac{n}{q}\right\}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma_p(n) \leqslant q, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any integers n with 0 < q < n and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

For $n \ge 2$, we denote by b(n) the smallest integer b such that $gcd\left\{\binom{n}{k}: b < k < n-b\right\} > 1$. In 2004, Granville [15] showed that $b(n) = n - p^m$, where p^m is the largest prime power not greater than n, and Soulé [15] proved that $b(n) \le \frac{n}{4}$.

In 2016, Hong [4] proved that

$$\gcd\left\{\binom{mn}{k}: 1 \leqslant k \leqslant mn, \ (m,k) = 1\right\} = m \prod_{\text{prime } p \mid (m,n)} p^{v_p(n)}$$

In addition, Hong asked the following interesting questions in 2016. And one of the formulas, F_n , was resolved by Xiao, Yuan and Lin [16] in 2022: Let p^m be the largest prime power not greater than n. Then $F_n = p$. In fact, in 2004, Kaplan and Levy [6] already gave an explicit formula of F_n .

Problem (Hong [4]). Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer. Find the explicit formula for

$$F_n := \gcd\left\{ \binom{n}{k} : b(n) < k < n - b(n) \right\},$$
$$G_n := \gcd\left\{ \binom{n}{k} : b(n) < k < n - b(n), \ (n,k) = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$H_n := \gcd\left\{ \binom{n}{k} : b(n) < k < n - b(n), \ (n,k) > 1 \right\},$$

respectively.

In 2001, Baker, Harman and Pintz [3] proved that there exists a prime number p in $[n - n^{0.525}, n]$ when n is large enough. Although the number of prime powers in a given interval must be no less than the number of primes, Panaitopol [13] showed us in the same year that the distributions of primes and prime powers are of the same order, i.e., $\pi(n) \sim \pi^*(n)$, where $\pi(n)$ is the number of primes not greater than n, and $\pi^*(n)$ is the number of prime powers not greater than n. Hence $b(n) \ll n^{0.525}$.

The main work of the present paper is to give partial conclusions related to G_n and H_n . We give an explicit formula for $v_p(G_n)$ when $p \nmid n$ and $v_p(H_n)$ when $p \mid n$. The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \ge 2$ and $n \ne 6$ be a positive integer, p be a prime with p < n and $p \nmid n$. Put $n = a_m p^m + r$, $0 < r < p^m$, $0 < a_m < p$. We have

$$v_p(G_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & a_m = 1 \text{ and } r = b(n), \\ 0, & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

if one of the following two conditions holds.

(1) $b(n) \leq \sqrt{n};$

(2) n is large enough.

Theorem 1.2. Let n be a composite number, p be a prime with $p \mid n$. Put $n = a_m p^m + r$, $0 \leq r < p^m$, $0 < a_m < p$. We have

$$v_p(H_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & a_m = 1 \text{ and } r = b(n), \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we repeat some relevant lemmas from references without proofs, which are needed in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, thus making our exposition self-contained.

Lemma 2.1 (Kummer [9, P116]). For any integers $0 \le k \le n$ and any prime $p, v_p\binom{n}{k}$ is equal to the number of carries when adding k to n - k in base p. Equivalently, $v_p\binom{n}{k}$ is also equal to the number of borrows when subtracting k from n in base p.

Lemma 2.2 (Soulé [15, Equations (5) and (6)]). For any positive integer $n \ge 2$, we have $b(n) \le \frac{n}{4}$ and $b(n) \ll n^{0.525}$.

Lemma 2.3 (Koblitz [7, Exercise 1.2.14]). Let n and k be integers with $0 \le k \le n$, p be a prime. Then

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = \frac{\sigma_p(k) + \sigma_p(n-k) - \sigma_p(n)}{p-1}.$$

Lemma 2.4 (Xiao, Yuan and Lin [16, Lemma 2.3]). Let n > 2 and a be positive integers with $a < \frac{n}{2}$, p be a prime and $b(n,p) := n - p^{\lfloor \log_p n \rfloor}$. If $0 \leq b(n,p) \leq a$, then $\sigma_p(k) + \sigma_p(n-k) \geq p + \sigma_p(b(n,p))$ for every positive integers k with a < k < n - a.

Lemma 2.5 (The equivalent forms of the Prime Number Theorem, [2, Theorem 4.4]). Let x be a real number. The first Chebyshev function is given by

$$\vartheta(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p \text{ is prime}}} \ln p.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\vartheta(x)}{x} = 1.$$

The following lemma is simple but necessary.

Lemma 2.6. Let $n \ge 2$ and $n \ne 6$ be a positive integer. Then there exists an integer k such that b(n) < k < n - b(n) and (n, k) = 1.

Proof. For n = 2 or 4, we have b(2) = b(4) = 0, take k = 1, then b(n) < k < 1n - b(n) and (n, k) = 1.

If n > 2 and $n \neq 4$, 6, by Lemma 2.2, it suffices to find an integer k such that $\frac{n}{4} < k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and (n, k) = 1. We have

- For $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, take $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$, then (n, k) = 1 and $\frac{n}{4} < \frac{n-1}{2} < \frac{n}{2}$. For $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \neq 6$, take $k = \frac{n}{2} 2$, then (n, k) = 1 and $\frac{n}{4} < \frac{n}{2} - 2 < \frac{n}{2}.$ • For $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n \neq 4$, take $k = \frac{n}{2} - 1$, then (n, k) = 1 and
- $\frac{n}{4} < \frac{n}{2} 1 < \frac{n}{2}.$

This completes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Although $b(n) \ll n^{0.525}$, Panaitopol [13] showed that we cannot compress the upper bound of b(n) lower because $\pi(n) \sim \pi^*(n)$. We have verified with the help of a computer that $b(n) < \sqrt{n}$ holds for $2 \leq n < 10^{11}$. Next we give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(1). We have $n = a_m p^m + r$, $0 < a_m < p$, $0 < r < p^m$ and (n, p) = 1. We divide the proof into three cases.

CASE 1. $a_m > 1$. Let $k = p^m$. Then $k < \frac{n}{2}$ and (n, k) = 1. Since $n < p^{m+1}$, we have $k > n^{\frac{m}{m+1}} \ge n^{1/2} \ge b(n)$ and

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{a_m p^m + r}{p^m} = 0$$

by Kummer's Theorem. Hence $v_p(G_n) = 0$.

CASE 2.
$$a_m = 1$$
 and $r > b(n)$. Let $k = r$. Then $k < \frac{n}{2}$ and $(n, k) = 1$. Now

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p^m + r}{r} = 0$$

and $v_p(G_n) = 0$ again.

CASE 3. $a_m = 1$ and r = b(n). Then $n = p^m + b(n)$. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(G_n) &= \min\left\{ v_p\binom{n}{k} : b(n) < k < n - b(n), \ (n,k) = 1 \right\} \\ &= \min\left\{ \frac{\sigma_p(k) + \sigma_p(n-k) - \sigma_p(n)}{p-1} : b(n) < k < n - b(n), \ (n,k) = 1 \right\} \\ &\geqslant \frac{p + \sigma_p(b(n)) - \sigma_p(n)}{p-1} = \frac{p-1}{p-1} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to find a positive integer k such that b(n) < k < n - b(n), (n, k) = 1 and $v_p \binom{n}{k} = 1$. We divide the process into three subcases.

SUBCASE 3.1. $m \ge 3$. Since $n < p^{m+1}$, we have $p^{m-1} > n^{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} \ge n^{2/4} \ge b(n)$. Take $k = p^{m-1}$. Then we have

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p^m + b(n)}{p^{m-1}} = 1.$$

Therefore $v_p(G_n) = 1$ in this subcase.

SUBCASE 3.2. m = 2. Then $n = p^2 + r$. Since $r = b(n) \leq \sqrt{n} = \sqrt{p^2 + r}$, we obtain $r \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{4p^2 + 1}) . Notice that <math>r \neq p$, hence r < p. Take k = p. Then we have

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p^2 + b(n)}{p} = 1$$

and $v_p(G_n) = 1$.

SUBCASE 3.3. m = 1. Then n = p + r, 0 < r < p. By Lemma 2.6, there exists an integer k with b(n) = r < k < p = n - b(n) such that (n, k) = 1 except for n = 6. Hence

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p+r}{k} = 1$$

 \square

and $v_p(G_n) = 1$ again.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(2). Let $n = a_m p^m + r$, $0 < a_m < p$, $0 < r < p^m$. We divide the proof into four cases.

CASE 1. $a_m > 1$ and $m \ge 2$. Let $k = p^m$. Then (n, k) = 1 and $k < \frac{n}{2}$. Since $n < p^{m+1}$, we have $k > n^{\frac{m}{m+1}} \ge n^{2/3} > n^{0.525} \gg b(n)$ and

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{a_m p^m + r}{p^m} = 0$$

Hence $v_p(G_n) = 0$.

CASE 2. $a_m > 1$ and m = 1. Let n = ap + r, 1 < a < p, 0 < r < p. We divide this cases into two subcases.

SUBCASE 2.1. $a \leq p^{0.475} - 1$. Then $p \geq [(a+1)p]^{0.525} > n^{0.525} \gg b(n)$. Take k = p. Then we have (n, k) = 1 and $b(n) < k < \frac{n}{2}$. Now

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{ap+r}{p} = 0$$

and $v_p(G_n) = 0$.

SUBCASE 2.2. $a > p^{0.475} - 1$. Since $b(n) \ll n^{0.525} < p^{1.05}$, we have $n - b(n) > (p^{0.475} - 1)p - b(n) \gg p^{1.475} - p^{1.05} - p$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$\prod_{\substack{q\leqslant x\\ q \text{ is prime}}} q \sim \exp(x),$$

we have,

$$\prod_{p^{0.05} < q \le p^{0.475} - p^{0.05} - 1} q = \prod_{q \le p^{0.475} - p^{0.05} - 1} q / \prod_{q \le p^{0.05}} q$$
$$\sim \exp\left(p^{0.475} - 2p^{0.05} - 1\right)$$
$$\gg p^2 > n.$$

It follows that there exists a prime q with (q, n) = 1 and $b(n) \ll p^{1.05} < qp \leq p^{1.475} - p^{1.05} - p \ll n - b(n)$. Take k = qp. Then we have

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{ap+r}{qp} = 0$$

and $v_p(G_n) = 0$ again.

CASE 3. $a_m = 1$ and r > b(n). Let k = r. Then $r < p^m$ and (n, k) = 1. Now

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p^m + r}{r} = 0,$$

and $v_p(G_n) = 0$ again.

CASE 4. $a_m = 1$ and r = b(n). Then $n = p^m + b(n)$, $b(n) < p^m$ and $v_p(G_n) \ge 1$ by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. We divide this cases into two subcases. SUBCASE 4.1. $m \ge 3$. Take $k = p^{m-1}$. Then $n < 2p^m$,

$$k > \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \ge \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2/3} \gg n^{0.525} \gg b(n).$$

Since

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p^m + b(n)}{p^{m-1}} =$$

by Kummer's Theorem, we have $v_p(G_n) = 1$.

SUBCASE 4.2. m = 2. $n = p^2 + b(n)$. Since $b(n) \ll n^{0.525}$, $p = \sqrt{n - b(n)} \sim \sqrt{n}$, we have

1

$$\prod_{n^{0.025} < q < p} q = \prod_{q \le p-1} q / \prod_{q \le n^{0.025}} q \sim \exp\left(n^{0.5} - n^{0.025} - 1\right) \gg n.$$

It follows that there exist a prime q with (q, n) = 1 and $n^{0.025} < q < p$. Take k = qp. Then we have

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p^2 + b(n)}{qp} = 1.$$

SUBCASE 4.3. m = 1. Then there exists an integer k with b(n) = r < k < p = n - b(n) such that (n, k) = 1 by Lemma 2.6. Hence

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p+r}{k} = 1$$

and $v_p(G_n) = 1$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $n = a_m p^m + r$, $0 < a_m < p$, $0 \leq r < p^m$. We first consider the case when $m \ge 2$. We divide the proof into three cases.

CASE 1. $a_m > 1$. Let $s = \lfloor \frac{a_m}{2} \rfloor \ge 1$. Then $2s \le a_m \le 2s + 1$, and hence $2sp^m \le n < (2s+2)p^m$. Take $k = sp^m$. Then $\frac{n}{2} \ge k = sp^m > \frac{sn}{2s+2} \ge \frac{n}{4} \ge b(n)$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{a_m p^m + r}{s p^m} = 0$$

and $v_p(H_n) = 0$.

CASE 2. $a_m = 1$ and r > b(n). Let k = r. Then $k < \frac{n}{2}$ and $(n, k) \ge p$. Now

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p^m + r}{r} = 0,$$

and $v_p(H_n) = 0$ again.

CASE 3. $a_m = 1$ and r = b(n). Then $v_p(H_n) \ge 1$ by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. Since $n = p^m + b(n) \le p^m + \frac{n}{4}$, we have $\frac{n}{4} \le \frac{p^m}{3}$. Take $k = (p-1)p^{m-1}$. Then $b(n) \le \frac{p^m}{3} < k < p^m = n - b(n)$. Now

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{p^m + b(n)}{(p-1)p^{m-1}} = 1$$

and $v_p(H_n) = 1$.

Next, we consider the case when m = 1. Let n = ap + r, 0 < a < p, $0 \leq r < p$. Since $p \mid r$, we have r = 0 and n = ap. If a = 1, then n = p is a prime, there is no integer k with b(n) < k < n - b(n) and (n, k) > 1. If a > 2, then $\frac{a}{4} + 1 < \frac{3}{4}a$, thus there exists an integer s such that $\frac{a}{4} < s < \frac{3}{4}a$. If a = 2, we can take s = 1. Let k = sp. Then $b(n) \leq \frac{n}{4} < k < \frac{3}{4}n \leq n - b(n)$. We have

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\binom{ap}{sp} = 0,$$

and $v_p(H_n) = 0$.

This completes the proof.

5. Examples and remarks

In this section, we will point out the difficulties of $v_p(G_n)$ when $p \mid n$ and $v_p(H_n)$ when $p \nmid n$.

For the prime $p \mid n$, if b(n) = 0, since (n, k) = 1, then

$$v_p\binom{n}{k} = v_p\left(\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right) = v_p(n) + v_p\binom{n-1}{k-1} \ge v_p(n).$$

Notice that $v_p\binom{n}{1} = v_p(n)$, we have $v_p(G_n) = v_p(n)$.

If b(n) > 0, we have b(n-1) = b(n) - 1. Because

$$v_p(G_n) = v_p(n) + \min\left\{v_p\binom{n-1}{k-1} : b(n) < k < n-b(n), \ (n,k) = 1\right\},$$

let n' = n - 1, k' = k - 1, and then

$$\min\left\{ v_p \binom{n-1}{k-1} : b(n) < k < n-b(n), \ (n,k) = 1 \right\}$$

=
$$\min\left\{ v_p \binom{n'}{k'} : b(n') < k' < n'-b(n')-1, \ (n'+1,k'+1) = 1 \right\}$$

=
$$\min\left\{ v_p \binom{n'}{k'} : b(n') < k' < n'-b(n'), \ (n'+1,k'+1) = 1 \right\}.$$

Hence, it is necessary to find the explicit formula for

(1)
$$\gcd\left\{\binom{n}{k} : b(n) < k < n - b(n), \ (n+1,k+1) = 1\right\}.$$

Although the format of Equation (1) is similar to G_n , it is much more complicated than G_n . And Lemma 2.6 pointing out the coprime integer probably won't help in this case.

Example 5.1. It is easy to check that $v_3(G_{18}) = v_3\binom{18}{7} = 2$ and $v_2(G_{18}) = v_2\binom{18}{5} = 3$, where $7 = \frac{18}{2} - 2$ is coprime to 18 by Lemma 2.6 but $v_2\binom{18}{7} = 4$.

That means the minimum value of $v_2\binom{18}{k}$ is obtained at k = 5, not at k = 7.

For the prime $p \nmid n, v_p(H_n)$ is not easy to determine. The following proposition indicates that $v_2(H_n)$ is determined by the minimum prime factor of n when n is of the form $n = 2^a + 1$.

Proposition 5.2. Let a be a positive integer and $n = 2^{a} + 1$ be a composite. Then $v_2(H_n) = \lfloor \log_2 p_0 \rfloor + 1$, where p_0 is the minimum prime factor of n.

Proof. Let $p_0 = 2^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + 2^{\alpha_s}, \alpha_1 > \cdots > \alpha_s = 0, s > 1$. For any integer k with b(n) = 1 < k < n - 1 = n - b(n) and (n, k) > 1, let $k = 2^{e}k'$, where $e \ge 0$ and k' be an odd. Hence there exists a prime $p \mid n$ such that $p \mid k'$. Suppose that $k' = 2^{\beta_1} + \dots + 2^{\beta_r}, \ \beta_1 > \dots > \beta_r = 0$, thus $\beta_1 = \lfloor \log_2 k' \rfloor \ge \lfloor \log_2 p \rfloor \ge$ $\lfloor \log_2 p_0 \rfloor = \alpha_1$. We divide the proof into two cases. CASE 1. k is even, i.e., $e \ge 1$. Let $k_0 = 2^{a-\alpha_1-1}p_0 = 2^{a-1} + \dots + 2^{a-1+\alpha_s-\alpha_1}$.

Then

$$v_2\binom{n}{k_0} = v_2\binom{2^a}{2^{a-1} + \dots + 2^{a-1+\alpha_s - \alpha_1}} = a - (a - 1 + \alpha_s - \alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + 1.$$

Since $e + \beta_1 \leq a - 1$, we have

$$v_2\binom{n}{k} = v_2\binom{2^a + 1}{2^{\beta_1 + e} + \dots + 2^{\beta_r + e}} = a - e \ge \beta_1 + 1 \ge \alpha_1 + 1.$$

CASE 2. k is odd, i.e., e = 0. Now n - k is even and then $v_2 \binom{n}{k} = v_2 \binom{n}{n-k} \ge$ $\alpha_1 + 1$ by CASE 1.

Therefore, $v_2(H_n) = \alpha_1 + 1 = \lfloor \log_2 p_0 \rfloor + 1$. This completes the proof. \Box

Specially, let $a = 2^t$, i.e., n is a Fermat number. Although we know the prime factors of n are of the form $d \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{t+2}}$ [8, p. 59], the specific form of the minimum prime factor of n has not yet been determined. Hence we just obtain $v_2(H_n) \ge t+3$.

In addition, even if the degree of p is 1, we still cannot effectively determine $v_p(H_n)$.

Example 5.3. Let p be a prime and n = 2p+1 be a composite. Then $v_p\binom{n}{k} = 1$ for all k satisfying (n, k) > 1.

Example 5.4. Let p > 5 be a prime, n = 6p+5 be a composite. By Kummer's Theorem, we have $v_p\binom{n}{k} = 0$ if and only if k = ap + r, $0 \le a \le 6$, $0 \le r \le 5$ with 0 < k < n. A trivial verification shows that (n, k) = 1, 7, 13 or 19 for all $k = ap + r, 0 \le a \le 6, 0 \le r \le 5$ with 0 < k < n. Hence

$$v_p(H_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (n, 7 \times 13 \times 19) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } (n, 7 \times 13 \times 19) > 1. \end{cases}$$

In fact, if $(n, 7 \times 13 \times 19) = 1$, then (n, k) = 1 for all k = ap + r, $0 \leq a \leq 6$, $0 \leq r \leq 5$ with 0 < k < n. Thus $v_p(H_n) = 1$. If one of 7, 13 and 19 divides n, let k = p + 2, p + 3 and p + 4, respectively. Thus we have (n, k) > 1 and $v_p(H_n) = 0$.

References

- [1] J. Albree, The gcd of certain binomial coefficients, Math. Mag. 45 (1972), 259-261. https://doi.org/10.2307/2688616
- T. M. Apostol, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1976. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-5579-4
- [3] R. C. Baker, G. Harman, and J. Pintz, The difference between consecutive primes. II, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 83 (2001), no. 3, 532-562. https://doi.org/10.1112/ plms/83.3.532
- S. Hong, The greatest common divisor of certain binomial coefficients, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 354 (2016), no. 8, 756-761. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2016. 06.001
- H. Joris, C. Oestreicher, and J. Steinig, The greatest common divisor of certain sets of binomial coefficients, J. Number Theory 21 (1985), no. 1, 101–119. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0022-314X(85)90013-7
- [6] G. Kaplan and D. Levy, GCD of truncated rows in Pascal's triangle, Integers 4 (2004), A14, 20 pp.
- [7] N. I. Koblitz, *p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 58, Springer, New York, 1984. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-1-4612-1112-9
- [8] M. Křížek, F. Luca, and L. Somer, 17 lectures on Fermat numbers, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 9, Springer, New York, 2001. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21850-2
- [9] E. E. Kummer, Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 44 (1852), 93-146. https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1852.44.93

- [10] C. McTague, The Cayley plane and string bordism, Geom. Topology 18 (2014), no. 4, 2045-2078. https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2014.18.2045
- [11] C. McTague, On the greatest common divisor of binomial coefficients, Amer. Math. Monthly 124 (2017), no. 4, 353-356, https://doi.org/10.4169/amer.math.monthly. 124.4.353
- [12] N. S. Mendelsohn, Divisors of binomial coefficients, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), no. 2, 201–202. https://doi.org/10.2307/2317643
- [13] L. Panaitopol, Some of the properties of the sequence of powers of prime numbers, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 31 (2001), no. 4, 1407–1415. https://doi.org/10.1216/rmjm/ 1021249445
- [14] B. Ram, Common Factors of $\frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!}$, (m = 1, 2, ..., n-1), J. Indian Math. Club **1** (1909), 39–43.
- [15] C. Soulé, Secant varieties and successive minima, J. Algebraic Geom. 13 (2004), no. 2, 323-341. https://doi.org/10.1090/S1056-3911-03-00351-5
- [16] J. Xiao, P. Yuan, and X. Lin, The Greatest Common Divisor of Certain Set of Binomial Coefficients, Math. Theory Appl. 42 (2022), no. 1, 85–91.

SUNBEN CHIU SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY GUANGZHOU 510631, P. R. CHINA *Email address*: zcb@m.scnu.edu.cn

PINGZHI YUAN SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY GUANGZHOU 510631, P. R. CHINA *Email address*: yuanpz@scnu.edu.cn

TAO ZHOU SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY GUANGZHOU 510631, P. R. CHINA Email address: taozhou.siu@m.scnu.edu.cn