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Abstract. In 2017, Nikiforov proposed the $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of a graph $G$. This novel matrix is defined as

$$
A_{\alpha}(G)=\alpha D(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G), \alpha \in[0,1]
$$

where $D(G)$ and $A(G)$ are the degree diagonal matrix and adjacency matrix of $G$, respectively. Recently, Zhai, Xue and Liu [39] considered the Brualdi-Hoffman-type problem for $Q$-spectra of graphs with given matching number. As a continuance of it, in this contribution we consider the Brualdi-Hoffman-type problem for $A_{\alpha}$-spectra of graphs with given matching number. We identify the graphs with given size and matching number having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The number $|E(G)|$ is called the size of $G$ and is denoted by $m(G)$. In this paper, all the graphs considered are simple and undirected. Unless otherwise stated, we follow the traditional notation and terminology; see $[1,3]$.

For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N_{G}(v)$ be the neighborhood of $v$ in $G$. Denote by $d_{G}(v):=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$ the degree of $v$ in $G$. Here, as elsewhere, we drop the index referring to the underlying graph if the reference is clear. The number $\Delta(G):=\max \{d(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$ is the maximum degree of $G$. For a graph $G$ with a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$.

For $V_{1} \subseteq V(G), E_{1} \subseteq E(G)$, let $G-V_{1}, G-E_{1}$ be the graphs formed from $G$ by deleting the vertices in $V_{1}$ and their incident edges, the edges in $E_{1}$, respectively. For convenience, denote $G-\{v\}$ and $G-\{u v\}$ by $G-v$ and $G-u v$, respectively. Similarly, $G+u v$ is obtained from $G$ by adding the edge

[^0]$u v \notin E(G)$. For two graphs $G$ and $H$, we define $G \cup H$ to be their disjoint union. In addition, we use $k G$ to denote the disjoint union of $k$ copies of $G$.

Given a graph $G$ with $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$, its adjacency matrix $A(G)$ is an $n \times n$ 0-1 matrix whose $(i, j)$-entry is 1 if and only if $v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)$. Let $D(G)=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the vertex degrees in $G$. The matrix $Q(G)=D(G)+A(G)$ is the well-known signless Laplacian matrix of $G$.

In 2017, Nikiforov [25] introduced the $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of a graph $G$, which is a linear convex combination of $D(G)$ and $A(G)$, i.e.,

$$
A_{\alpha}(G)=\alpha D(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G), \quad \alpha \in[0,1]
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(G)=A_{0}(G), \quad Q(G)=2 A_{\frac{1}{2}}(G) \quad \text { and } \quad D(G)=A_{1}(G) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ are called the $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues of $G$, and the maximum modulus among them, denoted by $\lambda(G)$, is called the $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of $G$.

The silent rise of research on $A_{\alpha}$-spectra attracts more and more researchers' attention. One's study on $A_{\alpha}$-spectra gradually becomes a mainstream issue in spectral graph theory. It is interesting, important and challenging. Liu, Das and Shu [23] presented several upper and lower bounds on the $k^{t h}$ largest eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}$-matrix and characterized the extremal graphs corresponding to some of these obtained bounds. For more results on bounds of $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues, one may be referred to $[7,8,15,17,27,31,32,40]$. Cardoso, Pastén, and Rojo [5] studied the multiplicity of $\alpha$ as an eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ of graphs with pendant vertices. This problem was extended to the signed graph by Belardo, Brunetti, and Ciampella [2]. Wang, Fang, Geng, and Tian [30] considered the multiplicity of an arbitrary $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalue of a connected graph. For more results along this line, we refer the readers to $[20,35,37]$. There is a rich study on the relation between the $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues of a (di)graph with various parameters; see [14] for $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius and the size of $G$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1,[26]$ for $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius and diameter, $[9,13]$ for $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius, size, and diameter, [36] for $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius and clique number, [21] for $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius and number of cut vertices, $[33,34]$ for $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius and parameters of digraphs.

The spectrum, $\operatorname{Spec}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the set of eigenvalues together with their multiplicities of the corresponding matrix, say $M$, associated with $G$. Two graphs are called $M$-cospectral if they share the same $M$-spectrum. A graph $G$ is said to be determined by the spectrum ( $D S$ for short), if any cospectral graph of $G$ is isomorphic to $G$. A graph $G$ is said to be determined by the generalized $M$-spectrum ( $D G M S$ for short), if any graph $H$ satisfying $\operatorname{Spec}(G)=\operatorname{Spec}(H)$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{G})=\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{H})$, is isomorphic to $G$, where $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{G}$ denote the complement of $H$ and $G$, respectively. In fact, it is tough to determine whether a graph is $D S$ (resp. $D G M S$ ) in general. Lin, Liu, Xue [22] showed that lollipop graph is determined by its $A_{\alpha}$-spectrum for $0<\alpha<1$ and the friendship
graph is determined by its $A_{\alpha}$-spectrum for $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1$. Li and Sun [16] gave a simple arithmetic condition for an $\alpha$-controllable graph $G$ being $D G A_{\alpha} S$ for $0 \leqslant \alpha<1$. Li and Wang [19] gave a simple criterion for an almost $\alpha$-controllable graph $G$ being $D G A_{\alpha} S$ for $0 \leqslant \alpha<1$.

In 1985, Brualdi and Hoffman proposed the following extremal spectral problem:

Problem 1 (Brualdi-Hoffman Problem). How to determine the graphs of size $m$ having the largest $A_{0}$-spectral radius?

This problem was studied by Brualdi and Hoffman [4], Friedland [11], Rowlinson [28] and Stanley [29]. Surprisingly if one adds some more constraints, for example among graphs of given size and order, the Brualdi-Hoffman problem becomes much more difficult. In fact, for any positive integers $m$ and $n$, the Brualdi-Hoffman problem for graphs of order $n$ and size $m$ is still open. We refer the reader to the recent article [18] and in the references cited therein for the advances on this open problem. It is still interesting to consider the Brualdi-Hoffman problem under some other constraints.

Matching theory is fundamental in graph theory, and it has many important applications in theoretical chemistry and combinatorial optimization (see [24]). A subset $S$ of $E(G)$ is called a matching if any two members of $S$ are not adjacent in $G$. The matching number $\mu(G)$ is the size of a maximum matching in $G$. A vertex is saturated by a matching $M$ if it is incident with an edge of $M$. The matching number of a graph has a close relationship with spectral parameters. Feng, Yu, and Zhang [10] identified the graph with given matching number having the largest $A_{0}$-spectral radius. Yu [38] characterized the graph with given matching number having the largest $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$-spectral radius of graphs. Chen and Huang [6] determined the $n$-vertex extremal graphs with given matching number having maximum $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius for $0 \leqslant \alpha<1$. Lin, Huang, and Xue [21] characterized the $n$-vertex trees with given matching number having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius for $0 \leqslant \alpha<1$. Very recently, Zhai, Xue and Liu [39] identified the graph with given size and matching number having the largest $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$-spectral radius.

Motivated directly from $[6,21,39]$, we consider the following Brualdi-Hoffman problem with the additional matching constraint.

Problem 2. How can we determine the graphs with given size and matching number having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius for $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ ?

In order to formulate our main result, we need to introduce some notation. Let $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\mu}$ be the set of graphs of size $m$ and matching number $\mu$, and let $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ be the set of graphs with size $m$ and matching number at least $\mu$. We use $F_{r, s, t}$ to denote the firefly graph of size $m$, which is obtained from $r$ triangles, $s$ pendant paths of length 1 and $t$ pendant paths of length 2 by sharing a common vertex; see Figure 1.


Figure 1. The firefly graph $F_{r, s, t}$ with some labeled vertices, where $3 r+2 t+s=m$.

Note that, among $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{1}$, it is straightforward to determine the graphs having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius. On the other hand, $A_{1}(G)=D(G)$. When $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, Problem 2 is solved in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius, where $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $F_{r, s, t}(s \geqslant 1)$ with possibly some isolated edges and isolated vertices, and the matching number of $G$ is $\mu$. Moreover,
(i) if $m \geqslant 3 \mu-1$, then $r=\mu-1$, $s=m-3 \mu+3$ and $t=0$;
(ii) if $m \leqslant 3 \mu-2$ and $m-\mu$ is even, then $r=\frac{m-\mu}{2}, s=1$ and $t=0$;
(iii) if $m \leqslant 3 \mu-2$ and $m-\mu$ is odd, then $r=\frac{m-\mu-1}{2}$ and $s=t=1$.

The proof techniques in the paper for our main result follow the idea of Zhai, Xue and Liu [39]. Together with some new ideas we make the proofs work. The remaining part of our paper is organized as follows: In the next section we recall some important known results. In Section 3, we give some structure lemmas. In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In the last section, we give some brief comments on our contribution and propose some further research questions.

## 2. Some preliminaries

In this section, we provide some known lemmas that will be used in the subsequent sections.

Let $B$ be a real matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by $V=$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Assume that $B$ can be written as

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{11} & \cdots & B_{1 k} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
B_{k 1} & \cdots & B_{k k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

according to partition $V=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$, wherein $B_{i j}$ denotes the submatrix (block) of $B$ formed by the rows in $V_{i}$ and the columns in $V_{j}$. Let $c_{i j}$ denote the average row sum of $B_{i j}$. Then the matrix $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ is called the quotient
matrix of $B$. If the row sum of each block $B_{i j}$ is a constant, then the partition is called equitable and $C$ is called the equitable quotient matrix of $B$.
Lemma 2.1 ([3]). Let $B$ be a nonnegative matrix and $C$ be the equitable quotient matrix of $B$. Then $\rho(C)=\rho(B)$, where $\rho(C)$ and $\rho(B)$ are the spectral radii of $C$ and $B$, respectively.

Lemma 2.2 ([12]). If $B$ is a nonnegative matrix, then there is a nonnegative nonzero vector $\mathbf{u}$ such that $B \mathbf{u}=\rho(B) \mathbf{u}$, where $\rho(B)$ is the spectral radius of $B$.

Since $A_{\alpha}$-matrix is a nonnegative matrix for $\alpha \in[0,1]$, there exists a nonnegative nonzero unit vector $\mathbf{x}$ such that $A_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{x}=\lambda(G) \mathbf{x}$. We call $\mathbf{x}$ the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ for $\alpha \in[0,1]$. For convenience, let $x_{v}$ denote the coordinate of $\mathbf{x}$ such that $x_{v}$ corresponds to the vertex $v \in V(G)$. By a direct calculation, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(G) x_{v}=\alpha d(v) x_{v}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{u v \in E(G)} x_{u} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in V(G)$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
Lemma 2.3 ([25]). Let $\mathbf{x}$ be the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ with $\alpha \in[0,1)$.
(i) If $G$ is connected, then $\mathbf{x}$ is positive.
(ii) If $H$ is any proper subgraph of a connected graph $G$, then $\lambda(G)>\lambda(H)$.

Assume that $H$ is a connected graph with $|V(H)| \geqslant 3$ and let $G \cong H \cup$ $a K_{2} \cup b K_{1}(a, b \geqslant 0)$. Then $\lambda(G)=\lambda(H)$ and $\lambda(G) \mathbf{x}=A_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{x}=$ $\left(\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{H} ^{T}, 0,0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}$, where $\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{H}$ is the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(H)$. Since $H$ is connected, $\left.\mathbf{x}\right|_{H}$ is a positive vector by Lemma 2.3 and we get the next corollary.
Corollary 2.4. Let $H$ be a connected graph with $|V(H)| \geqslant 3$ and let $G \cong$ $H \cup a K_{2} \cup b K_{1}$. If $A_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{x}=\lambda(G) \mathbf{x}(0 \leqslant \alpha<1)$, then $x_{v}>0$ for all $v \in V(H)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([14]). For two graphs $G$ and $H$ with the same order, assume that the principal eigenvectors of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ and $A_{\alpha}(H)$ are $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, respectively. Then

$$
\mathbf{x}^{T}\left(A_{\alpha}(G)\right) \mathbf{y}=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u} y_{u}+x_{v} y_{v}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(x_{u} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{u}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}(\lambda(H)-\lambda(G))=\mathbf{x}^{T}\left(A_{\alpha}(H)-A_{\alpha}(G)\right) \mathbf{y}
$$

Lemma 2.6 ([25]). Let $G$ be a graph with the maximum degree $\Delta \geqslant 1$. If $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, then $\lambda(G) \geqslant \alpha \Delta+(1-\alpha)^{2} / \alpha$.

If $\Delta \geqslant 3$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, then we have $\frac{[(\Delta+1) \alpha-2](2 \alpha-1)}{2 \alpha} \geqslant 0$, i.e., $\alpha \Delta+$ $\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\alpha} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}(\Delta+1)$. Then we get the next lemma.

Lemma 2.7. Let $G$ be a graph with the maximum degree $\Delta \geqslant 3$. If $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, then $\lambda(G) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}(\Delta+1)$.

## 3. Some key lemmas

In this section, we give some structure lemmas. The first one describes the effect of a graph transformation on the $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a graph and let $\mathbf{x}$ be the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ for $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Assume that $u_{1} u_{2} \in E(G), v_{1} v_{2} \notin E(G)$ and $x_{u_{1}} \geqslant x_{u_{2}}, x_{v_{1}} \geqslant$ $x_{v_{2}}$. If $x_{v_{1}}>0$ and $x_{v_{i}} \geqslant x_{u_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$, then $\lambda\left(G-u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right)>\lambda(G)$.

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathbf{x}$ is nonnegative. Note that $x_{v_{i}} \geqslant x_{u_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$. Hence, we obtain $x_{v_{i}}^{2} \geqslant x_{u_{i}}^{2}$ and $x_{v_{1}} x_{v_{2}} \geqslant x_{u_{1}} x_{u_{2}}$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}$. Then, for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, by Rayleigh quotient, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\lambda(G) \geqslant & \mathbf{x}^{T}\left(A_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-A_{\alpha}(G)\right) \mathbf{x} \\
= & \sum_{u v \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u}^{2}+x_{v}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u} x_{v}\right] \\
& -\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u}^{2}+x_{v}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u} x_{v}\right] \\
= & \alpha\left(x_{v_{1}}^{2}+x_{v_{2}}^{2}-x_{u_{1}}^{2}-x_{u_{2}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{1}} x_{v_{2}}-x_{u_{1}} x_{u_{2}}\right) \\
\geqslant & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \lambda(G)$.
Suppose that $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda(G)$. Then $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{x}^{T} A_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{x}$, and so $\mathbf{x}$ is also the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \neq\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, we have $v_{1} \notin$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ or $v_{2} \notin\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $v_{2} \notin\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. Then $d_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{2}\right)=d_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)+1$. By $x_{v_{1}}>0$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right) x_{v_{2}} & =\alpha d_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{2}\right) x_{v_{2}}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{w \in N_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{2}\right)} x_{w} \\
& =\alpha d_{G}\left(v_{2}\right) x_{v_{2}}+\alpha x_{v_{2}}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{w \in N_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)} x_{w}+(1-\alpha) x_{v_{1}} \\
& >\alpha d_{G}\left(v_{2}\right) x_{v_{2}}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{w \in N_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)} x_{w} \\
& =\lambda(G) x_{v_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda(G)$. Thereby, $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$.
This completes the proof.
Next we define a special matching for a graph $G$. Then we describe the relation between this matching and the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$.

Definition 3.1. Let $G$ be a graph and let $\mathbf{x}$ be the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$. A maximum matching $M^{*}:=\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{2} v_{2}, \ldots, u_{\mu(G)} v_{\mu(G)}\right\}$ of $G$ is said to be extremal (with respect to $\mathbf{x}$ ) if
$\sum_{u_{i} v_{i} \in M^{*}}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u_{i}}^{2}+x_{v_{i}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u_{i}} x_{v_{i}}\right]=\max _{M} \sum_{u v \in M}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u}^{2}+x_{v}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u} x_{v}\right]$,
where $M$ takes over all the maximum matchings of $G$.
The next lemma establishes a relation between the principle eigenvector and an extremal matching.

Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a graph among $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius, $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Let $M^{*}$ be an extremal matching of $G$ and let $V^{*}$ denote the set of vertices saturated by $M^{*}$. Then $x_{w} \leqslant \min _{v \in V^{*}} x_{v}$ for any vertex $w \in V(G) \backslash V^{*}$.

Proof. Assume that $u_{1} v_{1} \in M^{*}$ satisfying $x_{u_{1}}=\min _{v \in V^{*}} x_{v}$. Then it suffices to show that $x_{w} \leqslant x_{u_{1}}$ for all vertices $w$ in $V(G) \backslash V^{*}$. In what follows, we prove it by contradiction. Suppose that $x_{w}>x_{u_{1}}$.

If $w v_{1} \in E(G)$, then for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we have
$\sum_{u_{i} v_{i} \in M^{*}}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u_{i}}^{2}+x_{v_{i}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u_{i}} x_{v_{i}}\right]<\sum_{\substack{u v \in\left(M^{*} \backslash\left\{u_{1} v_{1}\right\}\right) \\ \cup\left\{w v_{1}\right\}}}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u}^{2}+x_{v}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u} x_{v}\right]$,
which contradicts the definition of $M^{*}$.
If $w v_{1} \notin E(G)$, then let $G^{\prime}=G-u_{1} v_{1}+w v_{1}$. Obviously, $G^{\prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. Bearing in mind that the principal eigenvector $\mathbf{x}$ is nonnegative, we have $x_{w}>x_{u_{1}} \geqslant 0$. By Lemma 3.1 we get $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$, which contradicts the maximality of $\lambda(G)$.

This completes the proof.
Recall the following definition, which orders the edges in an extremal matching of $G$.

Definition 3.2 ([39]). Assume that $M^{*}$ is an extremal matching. Then one may arrange the edges of $M^{*}$ with respect to $\mathbf{x}$ as $u_{1} v_{1}, u_{2} v_{2}, \ldots, u_{\mu(G)} v_{\mu(G)}$ such that the followings hold:
(i) For $i=1, \ldots, \mu(G), x_{v_{i}} \geqslant x_{u_{i}}$;
(ii) For $i=1, \ldots, \mu(G)-1, x_{v_{i}} \geqslant x_{v_{i+1}}$, and $x_{u_{i}} \geqslant x_{u_{i+1}}$ if $x_{v_{i}}=x_{v_{i+1}}$.

The upper ordering of the edges in $M^{*}$ is said to be proper with respect to $\mathbf{x}$.
In what follows, we always assume that the order of the edges in $M^{*}$ is proper with respect to the principal eigenvector $\mathbf{x}$ of $A_{\alpha}(G)$. By Definition 3.2 and Lemma 3.2, we have $x_{v_{1}}=\max _{v \in V(G)} x_{v}$. Since $\mathbf{x}(\neq \mathbf{0})$ is nonnegative, one has $x_{v_{1}}>0$.

The following lemma describes the local structure of the graph in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius.

Lemma 3.3. Let $G$ be a graph among $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius, where $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Assume that $\mathbf{x}$ is the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ and $M^{*}$ is an extremal matching of $G$ whose edges are ordered properly with respect to $\mathbf{x}$. For $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant \mu(G)$, we have $x_{u_{i}} \geqslant x_{v_{j}}$ if and only if $G\left[\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}, u_{j}, v_{j}\right\}\right] \cong 2 K_{2}$ or $K_{4}$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ For $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant \mu(G)$, assume that $x_{u_{i}} \geqslant x_{v_{j}}$ and let $H=$ $G\left[\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}, u_{j}, v_{j}\right\}\right]$. Suppose $H \not \equiv 2 K_{2}$. Then $E(H) \backslash\left\{u_{i} v_{i}, u_{j} v_{j}\right\} \neq \emptyset$ and there exists an edge $u v \in E(H) \backslash\left\{u_{i} v_{i}, u_{j} v_{j}\right\}$. If $x_{u}=0$, then from (2), we have $x_{w}=$ 0 for all $w \in N_{G}(u)$. Therefore, $v_{1} \notin N_{G}(u)$ by $x_{v_{1}}>0$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-u v+u v_{1}$. Clearly, $M^{*} \subseteq E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and so $G^{\prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. By $x_{v_{1}} \geqslant x_{v}$ and Lemma 3.1, we get $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. So, $x_{u}>0$.

By (2), we have

$$
\lambda(G) x_{w}=\alpha d_{G}(w) x_{w}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{w^{\prime} \in N_{G}(w)} x_{w^{\prime}} \geqslant(1-\alpha) x_{u}>0
$$

for all $w \in N_{G}(u)$, and so $x_{w}>0$.
Suppose that $v_{i} v_{j} \notin E(H)$. As $u v \in E(H) \backslash\left\{u_{i} v_{i}, u_{j} v_{j}\right\}$, we have $u v=$ $u_{i} v_{j}, u_{i} u_{j}$ or $v_{i} u_{j}$. Let $G^{\prime \prime}=G-u v+v_{i} v_{j}$. Then $G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant}$. From Definition 3.2, we have $x_{v_{i}} \geqslant x_{u_{i}}, x_{v_{j}} \geqslant x_{u_{j}}$. Then $\lambda\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$ (based on Lemma 3.1). Therefore, $v_{i} v_{j} \in E(H)$ and $H$ is connected. By $x_{u}>0$, we have $x_{w}>0$ for all $w \in V(H)$.

We first consider that $u_{i} u_{j} \notin E(H)$. Due to $\left(M^{*}(G) \backslash\left\{u_{i} v_{i}, u_{j} v_{j}\right\}\right) \cup$ $\left\{u_{i} u_{j}, v_{i} v_{j}\right\}$ is a matching of $G-v_{j} u_{j}+u_{i} u_{j}$, then $\mu\left(G-v_{j} u_{j}+u_{i} u_{j}\right) \geqslant \mu(G)$. By $x_{u_{i}} \geqslant x_{v_{j}}$ and Lemma 3.1, we have $\lambda\left(G-v_{j} u_{j}+u_{i} u_{j}\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. Hence, $u_{i} u_{j} \in E(H)$.

Now we consider $u_{i} v_{j}$ or $v_{i} u_{j} \notin E(H)$. In this subcase, we have $\mu\left(G-u_{i} u_{j}+\right.$ $\left.u_{i} v_{j}\right) \geqslant \mu(G)$ and $\mu\left(G-u_{i} u_{j}+v_{i} u_{j}\right) \geqslant \mu(G)$. By $x_{v_{j}} \geqslant x_{u_{j}}, x_{v_{i}} \geqslant x_{u_{i}}$ and Lemma 3.1, we have $\lambda\left(G-u_{i} u_{j}+u_{i} v_{j}\right)>\lambda(G)$ and $\lambda\left(G-u_{i} u_{j}+v_{i} u_{j}\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. Hence, $u_{i} v_{j}, v_{i} u_{j} \in E(H)$. It follows that $H \cong K_{4}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Conversely, assume that $H \cong 2 K_{2}$ or $K_{4}$ and suppose $x_{u_{i}}<x_{v_{j}}$. If $H \cong 2 K_{2}$, let $G^{\prime \prime \prime}=G-u_{i} v_{i}-u_{j} v_{j}+u_{i} u_{j}+v_{i} v_{j}$. Then $\mu\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \geqslant \mu(G)$, and so $G^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. Moreover, for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-\lambda(G) \geqslant & \mathbf{x}^{T}\left(A_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-A_{\alpha}(G)\right) \mathbf{x} \\
= & {\left[\alpha\left(x_{u_{i}}^{2}+x_{u_{j}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u_{i}} x_{u_{j}}+\alpha\left(x_{v_{i}}^{2}+x_{v_{j}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{v_{i}} x_{v_{j}}\right] } \\
& -\left[\alpha\left(x_{u_{i}}^{2}+x_{v_{i}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u_{i}} x_{v_{i}}+\alpha\left(x_{v_{j}}^{2}+x_{u_{j}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{v_{j}} x_{u_{j}}\right] \\
= & 2(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{i}}\right)\left(x_{v_{i}}-x_{u_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Definition 3.2, we have $x_{v_{i}} \geqslant x_{v_{j}} \geqslant x_{u_{j}}$. If $x_{v_{i}}=x_{u_{j}}$, then $x_{v_{i}}=x_{v_{j}}$. By Definition 3.2, we have $x_{v_{i}} \geqslant x_{u_{i}} \geqslant x_{u_{j}}$. Then $x_{v_{i}}=x_{u_{j}}=x_{u_{i}}$. Together with $x_{v_{j}}=x_{v_{i}}$, we have $x_{v_{j}}=x_{u_{i}}$, a contradiction to $x_{u_{i}}<x_{v_{j}}$. Thus, $x_{v_{i}}>x_{u_{j}}$. Together with $x_{u_{i}}<x_{v_{j}}$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-\lambda(G) \geqslant 2(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{i}}\right)\left(x_{v_{i}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)>0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction. So, $x_{u_{i}} \geqslant x_{v_{j}}$.
If $H \cong K_{4}$, let $M=\left(M^{*} \backslash\left\{u_{i} v_{i}, u_{j} v_{j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{u_{i} u_{j}, v_{i} v_{j}\right\}$. Then $M$ is a maximum matching of $G$. However, from the above discussion and $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(x_{u_{i}}^{2}+x_{u_{j}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u_{i}} x_{u_{j}}+\alpha\left(x_{v_{i}}^{2}+x_{v_{j}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{v_{i}} x_{v_{j}} \\
> & \alpha\left(x_{u_{i}}^{2}+x_{v_{i}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{u_{i}} x_{v_{i}}+\alpha\left(x_{v_{j}}^{2}+x_{u_{j}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha) x_{v_{j}} x_{u_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts that $M^{*}$ is extremal. So, $x_{u_{i}} \geqslant x_{v_{j}}$.
Let $M^{*}$ and $V^{*}$ be the same as those defined in Lemma 3.2. Since $x_{v_{1}}=$ $\max _{v \in V(G)} x_{v}$, we have a partition $E(G)=E_{1}(G) \cup E_{2}(G)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(G)=M^{*} \cup\left\{v_{1} v \mid v \in N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)\right\}, E_{2}(G)=E(G) \backslash E_{1}(G) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma also describes the local structure of the graph in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius.

Lemma 3.4. Let $G$ be a graph among $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius and let $\mathbf{x}$ be the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$, where $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. If $E_{2}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then $H_{1} \subseteq G$ or $H_{2} \subseteq G$, where $H_{1}=K_{4}-e$, $e$ is an edge of $K_{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is the graph obtained by attaching one pendant edge to each vertex of a cycle $C_{3}$. Furthermore, for each edge uv in $E(G) \backslash\left(M^{*} \cup E\left(H_{i}\right)\right)(i=1,2)$, one has $v_{1}$ is incident with $u v$, or $\{u, v\} \subseteq N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and Definition 3.2, we have $x_{v_{1}}=\max _{v \in V(G)} x_{v}>0$ and $x_{v_{2}}=\max _{w \in V(G) \backslash\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}\right\}} x_{w}$. Let $u v \in E_{2}(G)$. Then $u, v \neq v_{1}$. If $u_{1}$ is incident with the edge $u v$, then without loss of generality assume that $u=u_{1}$. Hence, $v \neq u_{1}$ and so $x_{v} \leqslant \max _{w \in V(G) \backslash\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}\right\}} x_{w}=x_{v_{2}}$. If $u_{1}$ is not incident with the edge $u v$, then we get $x_{u}, x_{v} \leqslant \max _{w \in V(G) \backslash\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}\right\}} x_{w}=x_{v_{2}} \leqslant x_{v_{1}}$. Suppose that $v_{1} v_{2} \notin E(G)$. By $x_{v_{1}}>0$ and Lemma 3.1, we have $\lambda\left(G-u v+v_{1} v_{2}\right)>\lambda(G)$. Since $G-u v+v_{1} v_{2} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$, we get a contradiction to the maximality of $\lambda(G)$. Hence, $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(G)$ and $G\left[\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}\right] \not \equiv 2 K_{2}$.

We first consider $x_{v_{2}} \leqslant x_{u_{1}}$. Then by Lemma 3.3, we have $G\left[\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}\right]$ $\cong K_{4}$. So, $H_{1} \subseteq G$.

Next we consider $x_{v_{2}}>x_{u_{1}} \geqslant 0$. Then $x_{v_{2}}=\max _{w \in V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}} x_{w}$ and $\max \left\{x_{u_{1}}, x_{v_{3}}\right\}=\max _{w \in V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, u_{2}\right\}} x_{w}$. Note that $u v \in E_{2}(G)$. Hence, $u, v \neq v_{1}$ and $\left|\{u, v\} \cap\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}\right| \leqslant 1$. If $\left|\{u, v\} \cap\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}\right|=1$, set $u \in\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}$. We get $x_{u} \leqslant x_{v_{2}}, x_{v} \leqslant \max _{w \in V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, u_{2}\right\}} x_{w}=\max \left\{x_{u_{1}}, x_{v_{3}}\right\}$. If $\mid\{u, v\} \cap$ $\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\} \mid=0$, then $x_{u}, x_{v} \leqslant \max _{w \in V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, u_{2}\right\}} x_{w}=\max \left\{x_{u_{1}}, x_{v_{3}}\right\} \leqslant x_{v_{2}}$.

If $\max \left\{x_{u_{1}}, x_{v_{3}}\right\}=x_{u_{1}}$, we may obtain $v_{2} u_{1} \in E(G)$ and $u_{2} v_{1} \in E(G)$. In fact, if $v_{2} u_{1} \notin E(G)$, then $G-u v+v_{2} u_{1} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\lambda\left(G-u v+v_{2} u_{1}\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. If $u_{2} v_{1} \notin E(G)$, then $\mu\left(G-u_{2} v_{2}+\right.$ $\left.u_{2} v_{1}\right) \geqslant \mu(G)$ and $\lambda\left(G-u_{2} v_{2}+u_{2} v_{1}\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, one obtains $H_{1} \subseteq G$.

If $\max \left\{x_{u_{1}}, x_{v_{3}}\right\}=x_{v_{3}}$, by a similar discussion as above, we have $v_{2} v_{3} \in$ $E(G)$ and $v_{1} v_{3} \in E(G)$, and so $H_{2} \subseteq G$.

Now we prove the second part of our result. Suppose that $v_{1}$ is not incident with $u v$ and $\{u, v\} \nsubseteq N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Then, without loss of generality, assume that $v$ is not in $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Thus, $\mu\left(G-u v+v_{1} v\right) \geqslant \mu(G)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\lambda\left(G-u v+v_{1} v\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. Hence, either $u v$ is an edge incident to $v_{1}$ or $\{u, v\} \subseteq N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$.

Our last lemma in what follows describes the global structure of the graphs among $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radii, $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius, where $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Then $G \cong F_{r, s, t}(s \geqslant 1)$ with possibly some isolated edges and isolated vertices, where $F_{r, s, t}$ is depicted in Figure 1.

Proof. Let x be the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$. Let $M^{*}$ be an extremal matching of $G$ with respect to $\mathbf{x}$. Assume that $v_{1} u_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mu(G)} u_{\mu(G)}$ are all the edges in $M^{*}$ with the proper order. By Lemma 3.2, we have $x_{v_{1}}=$ $\max _{v \in V^{*}} x_{v}=\max _{v \in V(G)} x_{v}$. Then in view of (4), we may let $E_{i}=E_{i}(G)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. If $E_{2}=\emptyset$, then our result follows immediately. So we suppose $E_{2} \neq \emptyset$ in what follows. We proceed by considering the following two possible cases.

Case 1. $m(G) \geqslant \mu(G)+5$.
In this case, we consider two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, which are defined as

$$
G_{1}=G \cup\left|E_{2}\right| K_{1}, \quad G_{2}=G-E_{2}+\left\{v_{1} w_{i}\left|i=1, \ldots,\left|E_{2}\right|\right\} .\right.
$$

Let $\mathbf{x}_{1}=\left(\mathbf{x}^{T}, 0,0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}$, where the number of extended zero-components is $\left|E_{2}\right|$. Evidently, $\lambda\left(G_{1}\right)=\lambda(G)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ is the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Recall that $m(G) \geqslant \mu(G)+5$. Hence, $\left|E(G) \backslash M^{*}\right| \geqslant 5$. Since $u_{1} v_{1} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$ and all the edges of $E(G) \backslash M^{*}$ are incident to $v_{1}$ in $G_{2}$, we have $d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 6$. By Lemma 2.7, we have $\lambda\left(G_{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{7}{2}$ for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

Let $\mathbf{y}$ be the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}\left(G_{2}\right)$ with coordinate $y_{v}$ corresponding to $v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{y}\left(\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\lambda\left(G_{1}\right)\right) \\
= & \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T}\left(A_{\alpha}\left(G_{2}\right)-A_{\alpha}\left(G_{1}\right)\right) \mathbf{y} \\
= & \sum_{u v \in E\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u} y_{u}+x_{v} y_{v}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(x_{u} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{u}\right)\right] \\
& -\sum_{u v \in E\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u} y_{u}+x_{v} y_{v}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(x_{u} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{u}\right)\right] \\
= & \sum_{i=1, \ldots,\left|E_{2}\right|}\left[\alpha\left(x_{v_{1}} y_{v_{1}}+x_{w_{i}} y_{w_{i}}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{1}} y_{w_{i}}+x_{w_{i}} y_{v_{1}}\right)\right] \\
& -\sum_{u v \in E_{2}}\left[\alpha\left(x_{u} y_{u}+x_{v} y_{v}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(x_{u} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{u}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{w_{i}}=0, x_{u} \leqslant x_{v_{1}}, x_{v} \leqslant x_{v_{1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $u v \in E_{2}$. Note that each $w_{i}$ is a pendant vertex of $G_{2}$. Hence, $\lambda\left(G_{2}\right) y_{w_{i}}=\alpha y_{w_{i}}+(1-\alpha) y_{v_{1}}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{w_{i}}=\frac{(1-\alpha) y_{v_{1}}}{\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\alpha} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots,\left|E_{2}\right|$. Notice that $v_{1}$ belongs to the unique connected component $H$ of $G_{2}$ other than an isolated vertex or an isolated edge and $|V(H)| \geqslant 7$ (since $\left.d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 6\right)$. Hence, we have $y_{v_{1}}>0$ by Corollary 2.4.

On the other hand, we have $d_{G_{2}}(v) \leqslant 2$ for all $v \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Let $y_{v^{*}}=$ $\max _{v \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}} y_{v}$. Then

$$
\lambda\left(G_{2}\right) y_{v^{*}}=\alpha d_{G_{2}}\left(v^{*}\right) y_{v^{*}}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{v \in N_{G_{2}}\left(v^{*}\right)} y_{v} \leqslant 2 \alpha y_{v^{*}}+(1-\alpha)\left(y_{v^{*}}+y_{v_{1}}\right)
$$

and so $y_{v^{*}} \leqslant \frac{(1-\alpha) y_{v_{1}}}{\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-1-\alpha}$. For all $u v \in E_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{u} \leqslant y_{v^{*}} \leqslant \frac{(1-\alpha) y_{v_{1}}}{\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-1-\alpha}, y_{v} \leqslant y_{v^{*}} \leqslant \frac{(1-\alpha) y_{v_{1}}}{\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-1-\alpha} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5), (6), (7) and (8) gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{y}\left[\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\lambda\left(G_{1}\right)\right] \geqslant & {\left[\alpha\left(x_{v_{1}} y_{v_{1}}+0\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{1}} \frac{(1-\alpha) y_{v_{1}}}{\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\alpha}+0\right)\right]\left|E_{2}\right| } \\
& -\left[\alpha\left(x_{v_{1}} y_{v^{*}}+x_{v_{1}} y_{v^{*}}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{1}} y_{v^{*}}+x_{v_{1}} y_{v^{*}}\right)\right]\left|E_{2}\right| \\
\geqslant & \left(\alpha+\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\alpha}\right)\left|E_{2}\right| x_{v_{1}} y_{v_{1}}-\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-1-\alpha}\left|E_{2}\right| x_{v_{1}} y_{v_{1}} \\
9) & \frac{\alpha \lambda^{2}\left(G_{2}\right)-\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha+1\right) \lambda\left(G_{2}\right)+3 \alpha-1}{\left(\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\alpha\right)\left(\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\alpha-1\right)}\left|E_{2}\right| x_{v_{1}} y_{v_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $E_{2} \neq \emptyset, x_{v_{1}}=\max _{v \in V(G)} x_{v}>0$ and $y_{v_{1}}>0$, we get $\left|E_{2}\right| x_{v_{1}} y_{v_{1}}>0$.
Since $\lambda\left(G_{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{7}{2}$, we have $\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\alpha>0$ and $\lambda\left(G_{2}\right)-\alpha-1>0$ for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. By the definition of $G_{2}$, we know that the size of $G_{2}$ is equal to that of $G$ and $\mu\left(G_{2}\right)=\mu(G)$. Therefore, $G_{2} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. Based on the maximality of $\lambda(G)$, we have $\lambda\left(G_{2}\right) \leqslant \lambda(G)=\lambda\left(G_{1}\right)$. Together with (9), we obtain $\alpha \lambda^{2}\left(G_{2}\right)-$ $\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha+1\right) \lambda\left(G_{2}\right)+3 \alpha-1 \leqslant 0$. By some calculations, for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, one has $\frac{\alpha^{2}+\alpha+1}{2 \alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}+1\right) \leqslant \frac{7}{4}, \frac{1}{2 \alpha} \sqrt{\alpha^{4}+2 \alpha^{3}-9 \alpha^{2}+6 \alpha+1} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{33}}{4}$ and $2 \alpha \geqslant 1$.
So we obtain

$$
\lambda\left(G_{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha+1\right)+\sqrt{\alpha^{4}+2 \alpha^{3}-9 \alpha^{2}+6 \alpha+1}}{2 \alpha} \leqslant \frac{7+\sqrt{33}}{4}<\frac{7}{2}
$$

which contradicts $\lambda\left(G_{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{7}{2}$.
Case 2. $m(G) \leqslant \mu(G)+4$. In this case, let $\Psi_{G}(\lambda)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of $A_{\alpha}(G)$. Note that $E_{2} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $H_{1} \subseteq G$ or
$H_{2} \subseteq G$. Hence, $m(G) \geqslant \mu(G)+3$. We proceed by considering the following two possible subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $m(G)=\mu(G)+3$. In this subcase, $G$ is isomorphic to either $H_{1}$ or $H_{2}$ with possibly some isolated edges and isolated vertices. Hence, $\lambda(G)=\lambda\left(H_{1}\right)$ or $\lambda(G)=\lambda\left(H_{2}\right)$ holds. Consider the equitable quotient matrix of $A_{\alpha}\left(H_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$, we get $\lambda\left(H_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(4 \alpha+1+\sqrt{16 \alpha^{2}-32 \alpha+17}\right)$ and $\lambda\left(H_{2}\right)=\alpha+1+\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+2}$. Let $f(\alpha)=\lambda\left(H_{2}\right)-\lambda\left(H_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
f(\alpha)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-2 \alpha+1+2 \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+2}-\sqrt{16 \alpha^{2}-32 \alpha+17}\right)
$$

Clearly, $f(\alpha)$ is a real function in $\alpha$. By some calculations, we get

$$
f^{\prime}(\alpha)=-\frac{(\alpha-1)\left(8 l_{1}(\alpha)-2 l_{2}(\alpha)\right)+l_{1}(\alpha) l_{2}(\alpha)}{l_{1}(\alpha) l_{2}(\alpha)}, f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=-\frac{8 l_{1}^{3}(\alpha)-8 l_{2}^{3}(\alpha)}{l_{1}^{3}(\alpha) l_{2}^{3}(\alpha)}
$$

where $l_{1}(\alpha)=2 \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+2}$ and $l_{2}(\alpha)=\sqrt{16 \alpha^{2}-32 \alpha+17}$. As $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, we have

$$
l_{1}(\alpha)>0, l_{2}(\alpha)>0, l_{1}^{2}(\alpha)-l_{2}^{2}(\alpha)=-3(2 \alpha-3)(2 \alpha-1) \geqslant 0 .
$$

Then, $l_{1}(\alpha)-l_{2}(\alpha) \geqslant 0$, and so $f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \leqslant 0$. This shows that $f^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is a monotonically decreasing function in $\alpha$ for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. On the other hand, $f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{5}(3 \sqrt{5}-5)>0$ and $f^{\prime}(1)=-1<0$. Hence, for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$,

$$
f(\alpha) \geqslant \min \left\{f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), f(1)\right\}=0 .
$$

Thereby, $\lambda\left(H_{2}\right) \geqslant \lambda\left(H_{1}\right)$.
Now we consider a graph $G^{\prime}$ which is a disjoint union of $F_{1,2,0}$ and $\mu-2$ isolated edges, i.e., $G^{\prime} \cong F_{1,2,0} \cup(\mu-2) K_{2}$. We proceed by showing that $G^{\prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ and $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$.

In fact, $\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\mu(G)$ and $m\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right)+3=m(G)$. Hence, $G^{\prime}$ is in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. Additionally, it is easy to see that $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda\left(F_{1,2,0}\right)$. By a direct calculation, we have
$\Psi_{F_{1,2,0}}(x)=(x-\alpha)(x-3 \alpha+1)\left[x^{3}-(6 \alpha+1) x^{2}+\left(5 \alpha^{2}+13 \alpha-4\right) x-10 \alpha^{2}+2\right]$.
Hence, for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{F_{1,2,0}}\left(\alpha+1+\sqrt{\frac{5}{4}}\right)= & -\frac{1}{8}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{5}{4}}\right)\left(2-2 \alpha+\sqrt{\frac{5}{4}}\right)\left((16+16 \sqrt{5}) \alpha^{2}\right. \\
& -(2+20 \sqrt{5}) \alpha+7 \sqrt{5}-4)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda\left(F_{1,2,0}\right)>\alpha+1+\sqrt{\frac{5}{4}} \geqslant \alpha+1+\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+2}=\lambda\left(H_{2}\right) \geqslant \lambda(G)
$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. $m(G)=\mu(G)+4$. Consider the graph $G^{\prime \prime}=F_{1,3,0} \cup(\mu-2) K_{2}$. Evidently, $\mu\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu(G)$ and $m\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)+4=m(G)$. Hence, $G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. Since $K_{1,5}$ is a proper subgraph of $F_{1,3,0}$, we have, for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(G) \geqslant \lambda\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lambda\left(F_{1,3,0}\right)>\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)=3 \alpha+\sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5} \geqslant 3 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $K_{4} \subseteq G$, then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$ with possibly some isolated edges and isolated vertices. However, $\lambda(G)=\lambda\left(K_{4}\right)=3$, which contradicts that $\lambda(G)>3$. Thus, $K_{4} \nsubseteq G$. Note that $E_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, by Lemma 3.4, one has $H_{1} \subseteq G$ or $H_{2} \subseteq G$.

We proceed by considering the following two subcases to prove that $G \cong$ $H_{3} \cup a K_{2} \cup b K_{1}$ or $G \cong H_{4} \cup a K_{2} \cup b K_{1}$, where $H_{3}$ is obtained from $H_{1}$ by adding one pendant edge to a 3 degree vertex of $H_{1}$, and $H_{4}$ is obtained from $H_{2}$ by adding an edge to connect two vertices of distance 2 in $H_{2}$.

- $H_{1} \subseteq G$. Consider an edge $u v \in E(G) \backslash\left(E\left(H_{1}\right) \cup M^{*}\right)$. By the proof of Lemma 3.4 and $K_{4} \nsubseteq G$, we get $G\left[v_{1}, u_{1}, v_{2}, u_{2}\right] \cong H_{1}$ and $u_{1} u_{2} \notin E(G)$. So, $u v \neq u_{1} u_{2}$. Then we have $\{u, v\} \nsubseteq N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. By Lemma 3.4, the edge $u v$ is incident to $v_{1}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $u=v_{1}$. Suppose that $v$ is saturated by an edge, say $u_{j} v_{j}$, in $M^{*}$ for some $j \geqslant 3$. Let $H=$ $G\left[\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, u_{j}, v_{j}\right\}\right]$. Since $v_{1} v \in E(H) \backslash\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{j} v_{j}\right\}$, we have $H \nsupseteq 2 K_{2}$. Note that $K_{4} \nsubseteq G$. Hence, we get $H \nsubseteq K_{4}$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $x_{u_{1}}<x_{v_{j}}$, and so $x_{v_{j}}>0$. Together with Lemma 3.1, one obtains $\lambda\left(G-v_{2} u_{1}+v_{2} v_{j}\right)>\lambda(G)$. Note that $G-v_{2} u_{1}+v_{2} v_{j} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. Hence we obtain a contradiction. Thus $v \notin V^{*}$ and $v_{1} v$ is a pendant edge. Therefore, $G$ is isomorphic to $H_{3}$ with possibly some isolated edges and isolated vertices.
- $H_{2} \subseteq G$. By the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have $v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{3} \in E\left(H_{2}\right)$. Consider an edge $u v \in E(G) \backslash\left(E\left(H_{2}\right) \cup M^{*}\right)$. Then $u, v \in V^{*}$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, assume that $v \notin V^{*}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $x_{v} \leqslant x_{u_{2}}$. Together with $x_{u} \leqslant x_{v_{1}}$ and Lemma 3.1, we have $\lambda(G-u v+$ $\left.v_{1} u_{2}\right)>\lambda(G)$. As $G-u v+v_{1} u_{2}$ is in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$, we obtain a contradiction. If $u$ is saturated by an edge, say $u_{j} v_{j}$, in $M^{*}$ for some $j \geqslant 4$, then we get $\{u, v\} \nsubseteq N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. By Lemma 3.4, the edge $u v$ is incident to $v_{1}$ and so $v=v_{1}$. Therefore, $G\left[\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}, u_{j}, v_{j}\right\}\right] \cong 2 K_{2}$. By Lemma 3.3, we get $x_{u_{2}} \geqslant x_{u}$. By Lemma 3.1, one has $\lambda\left(G-u v_{1}+u_{2} v_{1}\right)>\lambda(G)$. Together with $G-u v_{1}+u_{2} v_{1} \in$ $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$, we obtain a contradiction. So, $u \in\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$. Similarly, we have $v \in\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$. Since $v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{3} \in E\left(H_{2}\right)$, we have $u v \notin\left\{v_{i} v_{j} \mid 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 3\right\}$. Recall that either $u v$ is incident to $v_{1}$ or $\{u, v\} \subseteq$ $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$, we get $u v \notin\left\{u_{i} u_{j} \mid 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 3\right\}$. It follows that $u \in\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ and $v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$. Thus, $G$ is isomorphic to $H_{4}$ with possibly some isolated edges and isolated vertices.

Clearly, $H_{3} \subseteq H_{4}$, and so $\lambda\left(H_{3}\right)<\lambda\left(H_{4}\right)$. By calculations, the characteristic polynomial of $H_{4}$ is

$$
\Psi_{H_{4}}(x)=x^{6}-14 \alpha x^{5}+l_{3}(\alpha) x^{4}+l_{4}(\alpha) x^{3}+l_{5}(\alpha) x^{2}+l_{6}(\alpha) x+l_{7}(\alpha),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{3}(\alpha)=71 \alpha^{2}+14 \alpha-7, \\
& l_{4}(\alpha)=-\left(158 \alpha^{3}+128 \alpha^{2}-70 \alpha+4\right), \\
& l_{5}(\alpha)=149 \alpha^{4}+366 \alpha^{3}-186 \alpha^{2}-6 \alpha+6, \\
& l_{6}(\alpha)=-\left(48 \alpha^{5}+366 \alpha^{4}-108 \alpha^{3}-92 \alpha^{2}+34 \alpha-2\right), \\
& l_{7}(\alpha)=112 \alpha^{5}+12 \alpha^{4}-70 \alpha^{3}+15 \alpha^{2}+4 \alpha-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x \geqslant \lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)=3 \alpha+\sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}$, we show that the $i^{\text {th }}$ derivative function $\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(i)}(x)$ of $\Psi_{H_{4}}(x)$ is positive, $i=1, \ldots, 5$. In fact, by an elementary calculation, one may deduce that

$$
\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(5)}(x)=240(3 x-7 \alpha) \geqslant 240\left(9 \alpha+3 \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}-7 \alpha\right)>0 .
$$

This shows that the fourth derivative function $\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(4)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function in $x$ for $x \geqslant \lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)$. Therefore,
$\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(4)}(x) \geqslant \Psi_{H_{4}}^{(4)}\left(\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)\right)=24\left(20 \alpha \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}+131 \alpha^{2}-136 \alpha+68\right)>0$.
This implies that the third derivative function $\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(3)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function in $x$ for $x \geqslant \lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(3)}(x) & \geqslant \Psi_{H_{4}}^{(3)}\left(\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)\right) \\
& =12\left(\left(82 \alpha^{2}-72 \alpha+36\right) \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}+\left(167 \alpha^{2}-180 \alpha+93\right) \alpha-2\right) \\
& >12\left(\left(82 \alpha^{2}-72 \alpha+36\right) \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}-2\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain the second derivative function $\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(2)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function in $x$ for $x \geqslant \lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(2)}(x) \geqslant & \Psi_{H_{4}}^{(2)}\left(\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)\right) \\
= & 2\left(\left(\left(282 \alpha^{2}-280 \alpha+158\right) \alpha-12\right) \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(995 \alpha^{2}-1734 \alpha+1578\right) \alpha-702\right) \alpha+171\right) \\
> & 2(-12 \sqrt{5}-114+171)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the derivative function $\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(1)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function in $x$ for $x \geqslant \lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)$. Recall $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{H_{4}}^{(1)}(x) \geqslant & \Psi_{H_{4}}^{(1)}\left(\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)\right) \\
= & 2\left(\left(\left(\left(167 \alpha^{2}-238 \alpha+190\right) \alpha-62\right) \alpha+11\right) \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(\left(477 \alpha^{2}-927 \alpha+979\right) \alpha-570\right) \alpha+206\right) \alpha-29\right) \\
> & 2\left(\left(105 \alpha^{2}-62 \alpha+11\right) \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}+\left(528 \alpha^{2}-570 \alpha+206\right) \alpha-29\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
>2(6 \sqrt{2}+52 \alpha-29)>0
$$

Therefore, we obtain that $\Psi_{H_{4}}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function in $x$ for $x \geqslant \lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{H_{4}}(x) \geqslant \Psi_{H_{4}}\left(\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)\right)=2 l_{8}(\alpha) \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}+l_{9}(\alpha) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{8}(\alpha)=63 \alpha^{5}-107 \alpha^{4}+115 \alpha^{3}-74 \alpha^{2}+36 \alpha-9 \\
& l_{9}(\alpha)=378 \alpha^{6}-820 \alpha^{5}+927 \alpha^{4}-524 \alpha^{3}+116 \alpha^{2}+40 \alpha-21 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, both $l_{8}(\alpha)$ and $l_{9}(\alpha)$ are real functions in $\alpha$. By some calculations and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, we obtain
(12) $l_{8}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\left(\left(315 \alpha^{2}-428 \alpha+345\right) \alpha-148\right) \alpha+36>199 \alpha^{2}-148 \alpha+36>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{9}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha)=24\left[\left(1890 \alpha^{2}-2050 \alpha+927\right) \alpha-131\right]>371 \alpha-131>0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, (12) gives us $l_{8}(\alpha) \geqslant l_{8}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)>0$, whereas (13) gives us $l_{9}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \geqslant l_{9}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)>0$. Consequently, $l_{9}^{\prime}(\alpha) \geqslant l_{9}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)>0$ by $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Thus, $l_{9}(\alpha) \geqslant l_{9}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)>0$ from $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Hence, in view of (11), one has $\Psi_{H_{4}}(x) \geqslant$ $\Psi_{H_{4}}\left(\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)\right)>0$. So,

$$
\lambda(G) \leqslant \lambda\left(H_{4}\right)<3 \alpha+\sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}
$$

which contradicts (10). This completes the proof.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1, which identifies the graphs in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius for $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $G$ is in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant}(\mu \geqslant 2)$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be the principal eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ for $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. From Lemma $3.5, G \cong F_{r, s, t}(s \geqslant 1)$ (see Figure 1) with $b$ isolated edges and some isolated vertices. Let $\Delta(G)=d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and let $u_{1} v_{1}$ be a pendant edge. Then $\mu(G)=r+t+b+1$. We proceed by showing three claims, as follows.

Claim 1. $x_{v_{1}}=\max _{v \in V(G)} x_{v}$ and $x_{v_{1}}>0$.
Proof of Claim 1. Note that $u_{1} v_{1}$ is a pendant edge. If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \leqslant 2$, then $F_{r, s, t}$ is a path of length at most three. Clearly, $x_{v_{1}}=\max _{v \in V(G)} x_{v}$. If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 3$, one has $\lambda(G) \geqslant 2$ by Lemma 2.7. Moreover, for any vertex $v \in V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}$, $d_{G}(v) \leqslant 2$. Let $x_{v_{0}}=\max _{v \in V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}} x_{v}$. Then

$$
\lambda(G) x_{v_{0}}=\alpha d_{G}\left(v_{0}\right) x_{v_{0}}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{v \in N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)} x_{v} \leqslant 2 \alpha x_{v_{0}}+(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{0}}+x_{v_{1}}\right) .
$$

By $\lambda(G) \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, we have $x_{v_{0}} \leqslant \frac{(1-\alpha) x_{v_{1}}}{\lambda(G)-1-\alpha} \leqslant x_{v_{1}}$. Therefore, $x_{v_{1}}=$ $\max _{v \in V(G)} x_{v}$. Since $\mathbf{x}$ is a nonnegative nonzero vector, we get $x_{v_{1}}>0$.

Claim 2. $t \leqslant 1$. Furthermore, if $s \geqslant 2$, then $t=0$ and $b=0$.
Proof of Claim 2. Suppose that $t \geqslant 2$. Then let $v_{1} v_{i} u_{i}$ and $v_{1} v_{j} u_{j}$ be two pendant paths of length 2 . Consider a new graph $G^{\prime}=G-u_{i} v_{i}-u_{j} v_{j}+u_{i} u_{j}+$ $v_{i} v_{j}$. Clearly, $\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\mu(G)$. So, $G^{\prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. By a similar discussion as that of (3), one has

$$
\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\lambda(G) \geqslant 2(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{i}}\right)\left(x_{v_{i}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)
$$

in which $x_{v_{i}}=x_{v_{j}}, x_{u_{i}}=x_{u_{j}}$. By

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(G) x_{u_{j}}=\alpha x_{u_{j}}+(1-\alpha) x_{v_{j}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
x_{u_{i}}=x_{u_{j}}=\frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda(G)-\alpha} x_{v_{j}}<x_{v_{j}}=x_{v_{i}}
$$

for $\lambda(G) \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. So, $x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{i}}>0, x_{v_{i}}-x_{u_{j}}>0$. We have $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. Hence, $t \leqslant 1$.

Next, we consider the case of $s \geqslant 2$. Suppose $t=1$. Then let $v_{1} v_{j} u_{j}$ be a pendant path of length 2 . Similar to (14), by Claim 1, we have

$$
\frac{\lambda(G)-\alpha}{1-\alpha} x_{u_{1}}=x_{v_{1}} \geqslant x_{v_{j}}=\frac{\lambda(G)-\alpha}{1-\alpha} x_{u_{j}} .
$$

So, $x_{u_{1}} \geqslant x_{u_{j}}$ and $x_{u_{1}}=\frac{(1-\alpha) x_{v_{1}}}{\lambda(G)-\alpha}>0$. By Lemma 3.1, one has $\lambda\left(G-v_{j} u_{j}+\right.$ $\left.v_{j} u_{1}\right)>\lambda(G)$. Clearly, $\mu\left(G-v_{j} u_{j}+v_{j} u_{1}\right)=\mu(G)$. Hence, $G-v_{j} u_{j}+v_{j} u_{1} \in$ $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. We get a contradiction to the maximality of $\lambda(G)$. Thereby, $t=0$.

Suppose that $b \geqslant 1$ and let $v_{i} u_{i}$ be an isolated edge. Then

$$
x_{u_{1}}=\frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda(G)-\alpha} x_{v_{1}} \geqslant \frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda(G)-\alpha} x_{v_{i}}=x_{u_{i}} .
$$

By Lemma 3.1, we obtain $\lambda\left(G-v_{i} u_{i}+v_{i} u_{1}\right)>\lambda(G)$. From $\mu\left(G-v_{i} u_{i}+v_{i} u_{1}\right)=$ $\mu(G)$, we have $G-v_{i} u_{i}+v_{i} u_{1} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. Then we get a contradiction. So, $b=0$. The claim holds.

Note that $G$ is in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. Hence, $\mu(G) \geqslant \mu$. In fact, we have the following claim.

Claim 3. $\mu(G)=\mu$.
Proof of Claim 3. Suppose that $\mu(G) \geqslant \mu+1$. It suffices to show that there exists some graph $G^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ such that $\lambda\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$. If $t \geqslant 1$, let $G^{\prime \prime}=$ $G-u v+v_{1} v$, where $v_{1} u v$ is a pendant path of length 2 and $v$ is a pendant vertex. Then $\mu\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu(G)-1 \geqslant \mu$. So, $G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. By $x_{u} \leqslant x_{v_{1}}$ and Lemma 3.1, we get $\lambda\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. Hence, $t=0$.

If $b \geqslant 1$, let $G^{\prime \prime}=G-u v+v_{1} v$, where $u v$ is an isolated edge. Then $\mu\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu(G)-1 \geqslant \mu$. That is, $G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. By a similar discussion as above, $\lambda\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)>\lambda(G)$, a contradiction. We get $b=0$.

By $t=b=0$, we have $\mu(G)=r+1$. Since $\mu(G) \geqslant \mu+1$ and $\mu \geqslant 2$, we obtain $r \geqslant 2$. Assume that $\left\{v_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}$ induces a triangle in $G$. Let $G^{\prime \prime}=$
$G-u_{2} v_{2}+v_{1} w$, where $w$ is an isolated vertex. Then $\mu\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu(G)-1 \geqslant \mu$ and so $G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$. By a simple calculation, $x_{u_{2}}=x_{v_{2}}=\frac{(1-\alpha) x_{v_{1}}}{\lambda(G)-1-\alpha}$ and $x_{w}=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)-\lambda(G) & \geqslant \mathbf{x}^{T}\left(A_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)-A_{\alpha}(G)\right) \mathbf{x} \\
& =\alpha\left(x_{v_{1}}^{2}+x_{w}^{2}-x_{v_{2}}^{2}-x_{u_{2}}^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha)\left(x_{v_{1}} x_{w}-x_{v_{2}} x_{u_{2}}\right) \\
& =\alpha x_{v_{1}}^{2}-2 x_{v_{2}}^{2}=\left(\alpha-\frac{2(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(\lambda(G)-1-\alpha)^{2}}\right) x_{v_{1}}^{2} \\
& =\frac{\alpha \lambda(G)^{2}-2 \alpha(\alpha+1) \lambda(G)+\alpha^{3}+5 \alpha-2}{(\lambda(G)-1-\alpha)^{2}} x_{v_{1}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall $r \geq 2$, we have $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 5$. Therefore, $K_{1,5}$ is a proper subgraph of $G$.
Consequently, by Lemma 2.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(G)>\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)=3 \alpha+\sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \lambda(G)-1-\alpha>0$. By the maximality of $\lambda(G)$, we have

$$
\alpha \lambda(G)^{2}-2 \alpha(\alpha+1) \lambda(G)+\alpha^{3}+5 \alpha-2 \leqslant 0
$$

Thereby, $\lambda(G) \leqslant(1+\alpha)+(1-\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$. Note that $2 \alpha>0,(1-\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}}>0$.
Recall $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Then,

$$
(2 \alpha)^{2}-\left((1-\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right)^{2}=\frac{2\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)(2 \alpha-1)}{a} \geqslant 0 .
$$

Hence, we get $2 \alpha-(1-\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \geqslant 0$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)-\lambda(G) & \geqslant 3 \alpha+\sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}-(1+\alpha)-(1-\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \\
& =\sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}-1+\left(2 \alpha-(1-\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right) \\
& \geqslant \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-10 \alpha+5}-1 \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\lambda\left(K_{1,5}\right)>\lambda(G)$. That contradicts (15). Hence, $\mu(G)=\mu$.

By Claim 3, we obtain that $G$ is also in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius, where $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Then $\mu=1+r+t+b$. We complete our proof by considering the following two possible cases.

Case 1. $m \geqslant 3 \mu-1$. By $m=3 r+s+2 t+b$ and $\mu=1+r+t+b$, we have

$$
t+2 b+2-s \leqslant 0
$$

So, $s \geqslant 2$. By Claim 2, we have $t=b=0$. Then $r=\mu-1$. Hence, $s=m-3 r=m-3 \mu+3$ and we get (i).

Case 2. $m \leqslant 3 \mu-2$. By $m=3 r+s+2 t+b$ and $\mu=1+r+t+b$, we have

$$
t+2 b+1-s \geqslant 0
$$

Suppose that $s \geqslant 2$. By Claim 2, we have $t=b=0$. Then $t+2 b+1-s=$ $1-s<0$, a contradiction. Hence, $s=1$ and so $m-\mu=t+2 r$. By Claim 2 , we obtain $t \in\{0,1\}$. If $m-\mu$ is odd, we get $t$ is odd, i.e., $t=1$. Then $r=\frac{m-\mu-1}{2}$ and $b=\frac{-m+3 \mu-3}{2}$. If $m-\mu$ is even, we get $t=0$ and so $r=\frac{m-\mu}{2}$, $b=\frac{-m+3 \mu-2}{2}$. Therefore, (ii) and (iii) hold.

## 5. Concluding remarks

In this paper we characterized the graphs among $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha^{-}}$ spectral radius. In fact, the matching number of the corresponding extremal graph is $\mu$. Hence, the following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.
Corollary 5.1. Let $G$ be in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\mu}$ having the largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius, where $\mu \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $F_{r, s, t}(s \geqslant 1)$ with possibly some isolated edges and isolated vertices. Moreover,
(i) if $m \geqslant 3 \mu-1$, then $r=\mu-1, s=m-3 \mu+3$ and $t=0$;
(ii) if $m \leqslant 3 \mu-2$ and $m-\mu$ is even, then $r=\frac{m-\mu}{2}, s=1$ and $t=0$;
(iii) if $m \leqslant 3 \mu-2$ and $m-\mu$ is odd, then $r=\frac{m-\mu-1}{2}$ and $s=t=1$.

In view of (1), the signless Laplacian matrix $Q$ is equal to $2 A_{\frac{1}{2}}(G)$. Hence, the following result is also a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 5.2. Let $G$ be in $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}(\mu \geqslant 2)$ having the largest $Q$-spectral radius. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $F_{r, s, t}(s \geqslant 1)$ with possibly some isolated edges and isolated vertices, and the matching number of $G$ is $\mu$. Moreover,
(i) if $m \geqslant 3 \mu-1$, then $r=\mu-1, s=m-3 \mu+3$ and $t=0$;
(ii) if $m \leqslant 3 \mu-2$ and $m-\mu$ is even, then $r=\frac{m-\mu}{2}, s=1$ and $t=0$;
(iii) if $m \leqslant 3 \mu-2$ and $m-\mu$ is odd, then $r=\frac{m-\mu-1}{2}$ and $s=t=1$.

By Corollary 5.2, we may deduce the main result [39, Theorem 1.1], which characterizes the graphs among $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\mu}(\mu \geqslant 2)$ having the largest $Q$-spectral radius.

In fact, it is also interesting to determine the graphs among $\mathscr{G}_{m}^{\geqslant \mu}(\mu \geqslant 2)$ having the second largest $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius. We will do it in the near future.

## References

[1] R. B. Bapat, Graphs and Matrices, Universitext, Springer, London, 2010. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-84882-981-7
[2] F. Belardo, M. Brunetti, and A. Ciampella, On the multiplicity of $\alpha$ as an $A_{\alpha}(\Gamma)-$ eigenvalue of signed graphs with pendant vertices, Discrete Math. 342 (2019), no. 8, 2223-2233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2019.04.024
[3] A. E. Brouwer and W. H. Haemers, Spectra of Graphs, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-1939-6
[4] R. A. Brualdi and A. J. Hoffman, On the spectral radius of ( 0,1 )-matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 65 (1985), 133-146. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(85) 90092-8
[5] D. M. Cardoso, G. Pastén, and O. L. Rojo, On the multiplicity of $\alpha$ as an eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ of graphs with pendant vertices, Linear Algebra Appl. 552 (2018), 52-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.04.013
[6] Q. Chen and Q. Huang, The maximal $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of graphs with given matching number, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70 (2022), no. 20, 5193-5206. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/03081087.2021.1910120
[7] Y. Y. Chen, D. Li, and J. Meng, On the second largest $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 580 (2019), 343-358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2019.06.027
[8] Y. Y. Chen, D. Li, Z. Wang, and J. Meng, $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of the second power of a graph, Appl. Math. Comput. 359 (2019), 418-425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc. 2019.04.077
[9] Z. Feng and W. Wei, On the $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of graphs with given size and diameter, Linear Algebra Appl. 650 (2022), 132-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2022.06. 006
[10] L. H. Feng, G. Yu, and X. D. Zhang, Spectral radius of graphs with given matching number, Linear Algebra Appl. 422 (2007), no. 1, 133-138. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.laa.2006.09.014
[11] S. Friedland, The maximal eigenvalue of 0-1 matrices with prescribed number of ones, Linear Algebra Appl. 69 (1985), 33-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795 (85) 90068-0
[12] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511810817
[13] P. Huang, J. X. Li, and W. C. Shiu, Maximizing the $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of graphs with given size and diameter, Linear Algebra Appl. 651 (2022), 116-130. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.laa.2022.06.020
[14] D. Li and R. Qin, The $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of graphs with a prescribed number of edges for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$, Linear Algebra Appl. 628 (2021), 29-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. laa. 2021.06 .015
[15] S. Li and W. Sun, Some spectral inequalities for connected bipartite graphs with maximum $A_{\alpha}$-index, Discrete Appl. Math. 287 (2020), 97-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.dam.2020.08.004
[16] S. Li and W. Sun, An arithmetic criterion for graphs being determined by their generalized $A_{\alpha}$-spectra, Discrete Math. 344 (2021), no. 8, Paper No. 112469, 16 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2021.112469
[17] S. Li and W. Sun, Some bounds on the $A_{\alpha}$-index of connected graphs with fixed order and size, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70 (2022), no. 20, 5859-5878. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/03081087.2021.1932710
[18] S. Li, B. Tam, Y. Yu, and Q. Zhao, Connected graphs of fixed order and size with maximal $A_{\alpha}$-index: the one-dominating-vertex case, Linear Algebra Appl. 662 (2023), 110-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2023.01.001
[19] S. Li and J. Wang, On the generalized $A_{\alpha}$-spectral characterizations of almost $\alpha$ controllable graphs, Discrete Math. 345 (2022), no. 8, Paper No. 112913, 17 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2022.112913
[20] S. Li and W. Wei, The multiplicity of an $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalue: a unified approach for mixed graphs and complex unit gain graphs, Discrete Math. 343 (2020), no. 8, 111916, 19 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2020.111916
[21] H. Lin, X. Huang, and J. Xue, A note on the $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 557 (2018), 430-437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.08.008
[22] H. Lin, X. G. Liu, and J. Xue, Graphs determined by their $A_{\alpha}$-spectra, Discrete Math. 342 (2019), no. 2, 441-450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2018.10.006
[23] S. Liu, K. C. Das, and J. Shu, On the eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of graphs, Discrete Math. 343 (2020), no. 8, 111917, 12 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2020.111917
[24] L. Lovász and M. D. Plummer, Matching Theory, corrected reprint of the 1986 original, AMS Chelsea Publ., Providence, RI, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1090/chel/367
[25] V. Nikiforov, Merging the $A$ - and $Q$-spectral theories, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 11 (2017), no. 1, 81-107. https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM1701081N
[26] V. Nikiforov and O. L. Rojo, On the $\alpha$-index of graphs with pendent paths, Linear Algebra Appl. 550 (2018), 87-104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.03.036
[27] S. Pirzada, Two upper bounds on the $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of a connected graph, Commun. Comb. Optim. 7 (2022), no. 1, 53-57. https://doi.org/10.22049/CCO.2021.27061.1187
[28] P. Rowlinson, On the maximal index of graphs with a prescribed number of edges, Linear Algebra Appl. 110 (1988), 43-53. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(83) 90131-3
[29] R. P. Stanley, A bound on the spectral radius of graphs with e edges, Linear Algebra Appl. 87 (1987), 267-269. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(87)90172-8
[30] L. Wang, X. Fang, X. Geng, and F. Tian, On the multiplicity of an arbitrary $A_{\alpha}$ eigenvalue of a connected graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 589 (2020), 28-38. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2019.12.021
[31] C. X. Wang and T. She, A bound for the $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of a connected graph after vertex deletion, RAIRO Oper. Res. 56 (2022), no. 5, 3635-3642. https://doi.org/10. 1051/ro/2022176
[32] J. Wang, J. Wang, X. Liu, and F. Belardo, Graphs whose $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius does not exceed 2, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 40 (2020), no. 2, 677-690. https://doi.org/ 10.7151/dmgt. 2288
[33] W. Xi, W. So, and L. Wang, On the $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius of digraphs with given parameters, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70 (2022), no. 12, 2248-2263. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03081087.2020 .1793879
[34] W. Xi and L. Wang, The $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius and maximum outdegree of irregular digraphs, Discrete Optim. 38 (2020), 100592, 13 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disopt. 2020.100592
[35] F. Xu, D. Wong, and F. Tian, On the multiplicity of $\alpha$ as an eigenvalue of the $A_{\alpha}$ matrix of a graph in terms of the number of pendant vertices, Linear Algebra Appl. 594 (2020), 193-204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2020.02.025
[36] J. Xue, H. Lin, S. Liu, and J. Shu, On the $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 550 (2018), 105-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.03.038
[37] J. Xue, R. Liu, G. Yu, and J. Shu, The multiplicity of $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues of graphs, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 36 (2020), 645-657. https://doi.org/10.13001/ela.2020.4999
[38] G. Yu, On the maximal signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with given matching number, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 84 (2008), no. 9, 163-166. https://doi. org/10.3792/pjaa. 84.163
[39] M. Zhai, J. Xue, and R. Liu, An extremal problem on $Q$-spectral radii of graphs with given size and matching number, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70 (2022), no. 20, 53345345. https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2021.1915231
[40] P. Zhang and X. D. Zhang, Lower bounds for the $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$-spectral radius of uniform hypergraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 631 (2021), 308-327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa. 2021.08.021

## Xingyu Lei

Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics
Central China Normal University
Wuhan 430079, P. R. China
Email address: xyleiyuki@aliyun.com

Shuchao Li
Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics
Central China Normal University
Wuhan 430079, P. R. China
Email address: lscmath@ccnu.edu.cn
Jianfeng Wang
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Shandong University of Technology
Zibo 255049, P. R. China
Email address: jfwang@sdut.edu.cn


[^0]:    Received May 15, 2022; Revised December 17, 2022; Accepted April 21, 2023.
    2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C50.
    Key words and phrases. $A_{\alpha}$-matrix, $A_{\alpha}$-spectral radius, size, matching number. This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12171190, 11671164, 11971274).

