

SEMISIMPLE INJECTIVE COMODULE

AMR AMIN* AND NASR ZEYADA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define the concept of a semisimple injective comodule. We show that it is a generalization of some known comodules, and we give a useful characterization of this notion. Finally, we obtain a new characterization of simple semiartinian coring using semisimple injective comodules.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 16T15, 16D50.

Key words and phrases : Injective modules, semisimple comodules.

1. Introduction

This paper's objectives are to introduce and investigate the notion of semisimple injective comodule.

All the modules in this study are unitary S -modules, and S is a commutative associative ring with identity. For a right S -module M , we designate the socle of M by $\text{soc}(M)$. I. Amin, M. Yousif, and N. Zeyada [1] created *soc*-injective and strongly *soc*-injective modules; is *soc*- N -injective for any two modules M and N if each S -homomorphism $f: \text{soc}(N) \rightarrow M$ extends to N . If the right S -module S_S is *soc*-injective, a ring S is said to be right (self-) *soc*-injective. If M is *soc*- N -injective for every module N , then M is strongly *soc*-injective.

A *coalgebra* over a ring S is an S -module T with linear maps

$$\Delta : T \rightarrow T \otimes_S T, \quad \varepsilon : T \rightarrow S,$$

called *coproduct* and *em counit*, respectively, with the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & T & \rightarrow & T \otimes_S T \\ \Delta \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \Delta \\ T \rightarrow T \otimes_S T & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & T \rightarrow T \otimes_S T & \rightarrow & T \otimes_S T, \end{array}$$

Received November 5, 2022. Revised February 20, 2023. Accepted March 21, 2023.

*Corresponding author.

© 2023 KSCAM.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & T \rightarrow T \otimes_S T \\
 \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 T \rightarrow T \otimes_S T & \longrightarrow & T.
 \end{array}$$

An S-module M is a *right T-comodule* if there exists an S-linear map inducing commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\rho^M} & M \otimes_S C \\
 \rho^M \downarrow & & \downarrow I \Delta \\
 M \otimes_S C & \xrightarrow{\rho^M I} & M \otimes_S C \otimes_S C,
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\rho^M} & M \otimes_S C. \\
 = \searrow & & \downarrow I \\
 & & M
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\rho^M} & M \rightarrow T \otimes_S T \\
 \rho^M \downarrow & & \downarrow I \Delta \\
 M \rightarrow T \otimes_S T & \xrightarrow{\rho^M I} & M \rightarrow T \otimes_S T \rightarrow T \otimes_S T
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\rho^M} & M \rightarrow T \otimes_S T. \\
 = \searrow & & \downarrow I \\
 & & M
 \end{array}$$

Given right T-comodules M and N, a *T-comodule morphism* is an S-linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ with a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\
 \rho^M \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho^M \\
 M \rightarrow T \otimes_S T & \xrightarrow{fI} & N \rightarrow T \otimes_S T
 \end{array}$$

The category of right T -comodules, which we designate by M^T , is made up of right T -comodules and comodule homomorphisms.

In the additive category of M^T , coproducts and cokernels are present. However, kernels are not always guaranteed to exist. Monomorphisms don't have to be injective maps, though. If every T -monomorphism $U \rightarrow N$ is a contraction, a right T -comodule N is referred to as semisimple in the M^T , and if all of these monomorphisms are isomorphisms, N is referred to as simple. Give D its own category. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in D is referred to as a coretraction if $g \in \text{Mor}(B, A)$ exists and $fg = \text{id}_A$.

Direct sums and cokernels are features of the category \mathbf{M}^T , while T is a sub-generator. If T is a flat S -module, \mathbf{M}^T is a Grothendieck category. The forgetful functor $(\hat{a}')R : \mathbf{M}^T \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^S$ is directly adjacent to the functor $\hat{a}' \otimes_S T : \mathbf{M}_S \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^T$. Any monomorphism $f : K \rightarrow L$ of S -modules is a monomorphism in \mathbf{M}^T as is $f \otimes I_T : K \otimes_S T \rightarrow L \otimes_S T$. The product of the $M_\lambda \otimes_S T$ in \mathbf{M}^T is $(\Pi_\Lambda M_\lambda) \otimes_S T$ for any family of S -modules $\{M_\lambda\}_\Lambda$. Since \mathbf{M}^T is a Grothendieck category if ${}_S T$ is flat, we can define exact sequences in \mathbf{M}^T and describe them. In \mathbf{M}^T , hom-tensor relationships. If X is any S -module, then the following

S -linear map exists for any $M \in \mathbf{M}^T$,

$$\varphi : Hom^T(M, X \otimes_S T) \rightarrow Hom_S(M, X), f \mapsto (I_X \otimes \varepsilon) \circ f,$$

is bijective, with inverse map $h \mapsto (h \otimes I_T) \circ \rho^M$. Also for any $M, N \in \mathbf{M}^T$, the S -linear map

$$\psi : Hom^T(X \otimes_R M, N) \rightarrow Hom_S(X, Hom^T(M, N)), g \mapsto [x \mapsto g(x \otimes \hat{a}')],$$

is bijective, with inverse map $h \mapsto [x \otimes m \mapsto h(x)(m)]$.

Coproducts in \mathbf{M}^T . Let $\{M_\lambda, \varrho_\lambda^M\}_\Lambda$ be a family of T -comodules. Put $M = \bigoplus_\Lambda M_\lambda$, the coproduct in M_S , $i_\lambda : M_\lambda \rightarrow M$ the canonical inclusions, and consider the linear maps M_λ

$$\varrho_\lambda^M : M_\lambda \rightarrow M_\lambda \otimes_S T \subseteq M \otimes_S T$$

Note that $M_\lambda \otimes_S T \subseteq M \otimes_S T$ is a pure submodule because the inclusions i_λ are S -splitting. The properties of coproducts of S -modules lead to a special coaction, $\varrho^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_S T$, which is coassociative and counital since all the M are, and which transforms M into a T -comodule for which the $i_\lambda : M_\lambda \rightarrow M$ are T -morphisms with the following universal property:

Let $\{f_\lambda : M_\lambda \rightarrow N\}_\Lambda$ be a family of morphisms in M^T . For each of the distinct T -morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ that exist, the following diagram of C -morphisms commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{i_\lambda} & M \\ & \searrow f_\lambda & \downarrow f \\ & & N \end{array}$$

The direct limit of direct families of T -comodules is generated from the direct limit in MR , just like the direct limit of the coproduct. Both constructions are particular examples of a general remark made in 38.25 regarding the colimits of F -coalgebras.

For all of the undefined terms used in this study, we mention [2], [3], and [8].

2. Main results

For any right S -module L define the map

$$\alpha_{L,K} : N \otimes K \rightarrow Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^*, L), n \otimes k \mapsto [f \mapsto nf(k)]$$

K satisfies the α -condition provided $\alpha_{T,K}$ is injective for all right S -modules N . M satisfies the α -condition if and only if M is locally projective in \mathbf{M}^S . If a coring T satisfies the left α -condition, then \mathbf{M}^T is an abelian category. In the following we may consider T satisfies the left α -condition.

- Definition 2.1.** (1) A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{M}^T is called a monomorphism if for $g, h \in Mor_T(D, A)$, $fg = fh$ implies $g = h$.
 (2) A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{M}^T is called a coretraction if there exists $g \in Mor_T(B, A)$ with $gf = I_A$.

- (3) An T -comodule M is called semisimple if any monomorphism $f : N \rightarrow M$ is a coretraction.

Proposition 2.2. [3, 4.13(2)] *Let C be a left S -module. Then for $L \in \mathbf{M}^T$ the following are equivalent:*

- (1) L is semisimple;
- (2) every subcomodule of L is a direct summand;
- (3) L is a sum of simple subcomodules;
- (4) L is a direct sum of simple subcomodules.

Lemma 2.3. *Let L be a T -comodule. If L is simple R -module, then L is simple T -comodule.*

Proof. Suppose that L has a subcomodule K , so K is a submodule of L and $K=0$ or $K=L$. Therefore L has no subcomodules and L is simple comodule. \square

Let M_i be a T -comodule for all $i \in I$. If M_i is simple S -module for every $i \in I$, then $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is semisimple S -module. By above Lemma M is semisimple T -comodule.

Lemma 2.4. *If F_S is a free module and ${}_S N$ is a semisimple module then $F_S N$ is semisimple F -comodule.*

Proof. For a ring S with unit we have $RN = N$ and $\mu_S : R_S N \mapsto N$ is an S -isomorphism. Since the tensor product commutes with direct sums (see 12.4), we obtain, for every free right S -module $F_R \cong R_R^{(\wedge)}$, \wedge an index set, a Z -isomorphism $F_S N \cong N^{(\wedge)}$. \square

Definition 2.5. An object $M \in \mathbf{M}^T$ is relative semisimple injective in \mathbf{M}^T if, for any T -comodule morphism $i : N \rightarrow L$ (with N is a semisimple in \mathbf{M}^T) that is an S -module coretraction, and for every morphism $f : N \rightarrow M$ in \mathbf{M}^T , there exists a right T -comodule morphism $g : L \rightarrow M$ such that $g \circ i = f$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let Q be a semisimple right T -comodule. Then the following are equivalent,*

- (1) Q is relative semisimple injective;
- (2) every T -comodule map $i : Q \rightarrow L$ that is a coretraction in \mathbf{M}_S is a coretraction in \mathbf{M}^T .
- (3) the coaction $\varrho : Q \rightarrow Q \otimes_S T$ is a coretraction in \mathbf{M}^T

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) If Q is relative semisimple injective, take $N = Q$ and $f = I_Q$ to obtain the assertion.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since $\varrho^Q : Q \rightarrow Q \otimes_S T$ is a right T -comodule map that is a coretraction in \mathbf{M}_S , so its a coretraction \mathbf{M}^T .

(3) \rightarrow (1) See [3, 3.18]. \square

Definition 2.7. An object $Q \in \mathbf{M}^T$ is semisimple injective in \mathbf{M}^T if, for any monomorphism $M \rightarrow N$ in \mathbf{M}^T where M is a semisimple comodule, the canonical map $Hom^T(N, Q) \rightarrow Hom^T(M, Q)$ is surjective.

Example 2.8. Any injective comodule is a semisimple injective comodule.

Proposition 2.9. Let N be a T -comodule and $\{L_i : i \in I\}$ a family of T -comodules. Then the product $\prod_{i \in I} L_i$ is semisimple N -injective if and only if L_i is semisimple N -injective $\forall i \in I$.

Proof. Routine. □

Proposition 2.10. Let L be a comodule.

- (1) Let $\{L_i : i \in I\}$ a family of comodules in \mathbf{M}^T . Then the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} L_i$ is semisimple injective if and only if L_i is semisimple injective for all $i \in I$.
- (2) Let T and N be two comodules in \mathbf{M}^T with $T \cong N$. If T is semisimple injective, then N is semisimple injective.
- (3) Let T and N be two comodules in \mathbf{M}^T with N is a direct summand of T . If T is semisimple injective, then N is semisimple injective.

Theorem 2.11. For a left S -module T , the following statements are true:

- (1) If every semisimple T -comodule is a semisimple module and $X \in \mathbf{M}_S$ is strongly soc-injective in \mathbf{M}_S , then $X \otimes_S T$ is semisimple injective in \mathbf{M}^T .
- (2) If ${}_S F$ is free left S -module and N is semisimple injective in \mathbf{M}^T , then $Hom^T(F, N)$ is soc-injective in \mathbf{M}_S .

Proof. (1) From hom-tensor relations in M^T we have φ_1 , and φ_2 isomorphisms . There exist α surjective homomorphism from X is strongly soc-injective in \mathbf{M}_S and we can define f as a surjective homomorphism by using φ_1 , φ_2 , and α .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Hom^T(N, X \otimes_S T) & \xrightarrow[\text{surjective}]{f} & Hom^T(M, X \otimes_S T) \\ \varphi_1 \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \varphi_2 \\ Hom_S(N, X) & \xrightarrow[\text{surjective}]{\alpha} & Hom_S(M, X) \end{array}$$

(2) From hom-tensor relations in M^T we have φ_1 , and φ_2 isomorphisms . There exist α surjective homomorphism from N is semisimple injective in \mathbf{M}^T and we can defined f is surjective homomorphism by using φ_1 , φ_2 , and α .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Hom_S(L, hom^T(L, N)) & \xrightarrow[\text{surjective}]{f} & Hom_S(K, hom^T(L, N)) \\ \cong \uparrow \varphi_1 & & \uparrow \varphi_2 \cong \\ Hom^T(L \otimes_S L, N) & \xrightarrow[\text{surjective}]{\alpha} & Hom^T(K \otimes_S L, N) \end{array}$$

□

Note that T satisfies the left α -condition, so the category M^T is a Grothendieck category and every comodule in M^C has an injective hull.

Proposition 2.12. *Let N be a comodule in M^T . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) N is semisimple injective comodule.
- (2) N is semisimple $E(N)$ -injective comodule.
- (3) $N = E \oplus T$, where E is an injective comodule and T has no semisimple subcomodules.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): If N has no semisimple subcomodule, we are done. Assume that N has a nonzero semisimple subcomodule and K is the sum of all simple subcomodule of $E(N)$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\text{inc.}} & E(N) \\
 & & \downarrow & \swarrow \sigma & \\
 & & N & &
 \end{array}$$

where K is the sum of all simple subcomodule in $E(N)$ and i is the inclusion map. Since N is semisimple injective. So, there exists an T -morphism $\sigma : E(K) \rightarrow N$; which extends i . Since $E(K)$ is the injective envelope of K , σ is an embedding of $E(K)$ in N . If we write $E = \sigma(E(K))$, then $N = E \oplus T$ for some submodule T of M . Clearly, E is injective and T has no nonzero semisimple subcomodule.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): This is clear since comodules have no semisimple subcomodule are semisimple injective and finite direct sums of semisimple injective comodules are semisimple injective. □

Definition 2.13. A coring is said to be simple if it does not contain non-trivial sub-bicomodules.

Definition 2.14. The coring T is said to be left semiartinian if it is semiartinian as an object in ${}^T M$, namely, every factor comodule of T contains a (nonzero) simple sub Comodule.

Theorem 2.15. *Let T be an S -coring where ${}_S T$ and T_R are projective modules. The statements that follow are equivalent:*

- (1) T is a simple left semi-artinian coring;
- (2) T is a simple coring and has a simple left subcomodule;
- (3) T is simple and each semisimple injective comodule in T is injective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let Q be an injective comodule that is semisimple. Q is then equal to $E \oplus T$ according to Proposition 2.12, where E is an injective comodule and T lacks a simple subcomodule. Therefore, assuming Q is an injective comodule and $T = 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Assume that M has no simple subcomodules and N is a submodule of M , so $N \oplus M$ is injective comodule. Then N is injective comodule and its a summand of M . Thus M is a semisimple which is a contradiction. \square

Conflicts of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability Not applicable

Acknowledgments : The author would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research at Umm Al-Qura University for supporting this work by Grant Code: (43405002).

REFERENCES

1. I. Amin, M. Yousif, N. Zeyada, *Soc-injective rings and modules*, Communication in Algebra **33** (2005), 4229-4250.
2. F.W. Anderson, K.R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
3. T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 309, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
4. Lihong Dong, Shuan Xue, Xiaohui Zhang, *The Factorization for a Class of Hom-Coalgebras*, Advances in Mathematical Physics **2017** (2017), 14 pages. Article ID 4653172
5. J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Separable functors in corings*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences **30** (2002), 23 pages. Article ID 627071
6. Hans-E. Porst, *On Corings and Comodules*, Archivum Mathematicum **42** (2006), 419-425.
7. FU Xue-rong and YAO Hai-lou, *Indecomposable Injective Comodules Over Morita-Takeuchi Context Coalgebras*, Journal of Beijing University of Technology **41** (2015), 1430-1436.
8. R. Wisbauer, *Semiperfect coalgebras over rings*, in Algebras and Combinatorics, ICA97, Hong Kong, inequality problems, J. Appl. Math. and Computing **8** (2002), 123-245.

Amr Amin received M.Sc. from Ain Shams University and Ph.D. from Ain Shams University. He is currently an assistant professor at Umm Al-Qura University 2013. His research interests are ring and module theory and algebraic number theory.

Umm Al-Qura University, University college, Department of Mathematics, Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

Beni-Suef University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Egypt.

e-mail: akgadelrab@uqu.edu.sa

Nasr Zeyada received M.Sc. from Cairo University and Ph.D. from Cairo University. He is currently an associate professor at University of Jeddah since 2013. His research interests are ring and module theory, Algebraic graph theory and algebraic number theory.

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Jeddah, Jeddah 23218, Saudi Arabia.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza 12613, Egypt.
e-mail: [nzejada@uj.edu.sa](mailto:nzeyada@uj.edu.sa)