

THE p -PART OF DIVISOR CLASS NUMBERS FOR CYCLOTOMIC FUNCTION FIELDS

DAISUKE SHIOMI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we construct explicitly an infinite family of primes P with $h_P^\pm \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{\deg P}}$, where h_P^\pm are the plus and minus parts of the divisor class number of the P -th cyclotomic function field over $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$. By using this result and Dirichlet's theorem, we give a condition of $A, M \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ such that there are infinitely many primes P satisfying with $h_P^\pm \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$ and $P \equiv A \pmod{M}$.

1. Introduction

Let p be prime. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with $q = p^r$ elements. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ be the rational function field over \mathbb{F}_q , and let $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be the associated polynomial ring. We denote by \mathbb{P} the set of all monic irreducible polynomials in \mathbb{A} . For a monic polynomial $N \in \mathbb{A}$, let K_N, K_N^+ be the N -th cyclotomic function field, and its maximal real subfield, respectively. Let h_N (resp. h_N^+) be the divisor class number of K_N (resp. K_N^+), and $h_N^- = h_N/h_N^+$.

For a positive integer n , we consider the infinity of the set of primes

$$H^\pm(n) = \{P \in \mathbb{P} \mid h_P^\pm \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}.$$

Goss [3] found Kummer's criterion for function fields, and proved that $\#H^-(p) = \infty$ when $q = p \geq 3$. Feng [1] extended Goss's results and showed that $\#H^\pm(p) = \infty$ for a general q . Yaouanc [11] used elliptic curves over finite fields to prove that $\#H^-(q) = \infty$. He also showed that there exist infinitely many primes $P \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $h(\mathcal{O}_P^+) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, where $h(\mathcal{O}_P^+)$ is the ideal class number for K_P^+ . This result implies $\#H^+(q) = \infty$ because $h_P^\pm \equiv 0 \pmod{h(\mathcal{O}_P^+)}$. More recently, Lee and Lee [6] gave a lower bound on the p -rank of the divisor class group for K_P^+ , and proved that $\#H^+(p^{p(p-1)}) = \infty$ when $q = p$.

Received September 9, 2022; Revised December 15, 2022; Accepted February 7, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11R29, 11R60.

Key words and phrases. Class numbers, cyclotomic function fields.

Our first goal of this paper is to construct explicitly an infinite family of primes $P \in \mathbb{P}$ with

$$(1) \quad h_P^\pm \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{\deg P}}$$

(see Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.5). As a corollary of this result, we have

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \#H^\pm(p^e) = \infty & \text{if } q \neq 2, \\ \#H^+(p^e) = \infty & \text{if } q = 2 \end{cases}$$

for any positive integer e .

Secondly, we prove a much stronger form of (2). For $A, M \in \mathbb{A}$ and a positive integer n , we define

$$H^\pm(A, M, n) = \{P \in \mathbb{P} \mid P \equiv A \pmod{M}, h_P^\pm \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}.$$

Then we have:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A, M \in \mathbb{A}$ with*

$$\deg M \geq 1, \quad \gcd(M, T^p - T) = \gcd(M, A) = 1.$$

We further assume that there exists a polynomial $B \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $A \equiv B(T^p - T) \pmod{M}$. Then, for any positive integer e , we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \#H^\pm(A, M, p^e) = \infty & \text{if } q \neq 2, \\ \#H^+(A, M, p^e) = \infty & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, based on the idea of Lee-Lee [6], we give lower bounds on the p -parts of divisor class numbers for cyclotomic function fields. In Section 3, we use these lower bounds to construct an infinite family of primes $P \in \mathbb{P}$ satisfying with (1). By using this result and Dirichlet’s theorem, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Lower bounds of divisor class numbers

For a positive integer n , the n th Goss-Bernoulli number is defined by

$$B_n(T) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^\infty s_i(n) & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}, \\ \sum_{i=0}^\infty -is_i(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}. \end{cases}$$

Here,

$$s_i(n) = \sum_{A \in \mathbb{A}(i)} A^n,$$

where $\mathbb{A}(i)$ is the set of all monic polynomials in \mathbb{A} of degree i . We put

$$l(n) = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{d-1},$$

where $a_0 + a_1q + \cdots + a_{d-1}q^{d-1}$ is the q -adic expansion of n .

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [2] Proposition 2.11). *If $i > l(n)/(q - 1)$, then $s_i(n) = 0$. In particular, $B_n(T)$ is a polynomial in \mathbb{A} .*

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [2] Lemma 6.1). *If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$, then we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i(n) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.3.

- (1) *If $n = (q-1) + q^e$ ($e = 1, 2, \dots$), then $B_n(T) = 1 - (T^{q^e} - T)$.*
- (2) *If $n = (q-1) + (q-1)q^e$ ($e = 1, 2, \dots$), then $B_n(T) = 1 - (T^{q^e} - T)^{q-1}$.*

Proof. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$B_n(T) = \begin{cases} 1 + s_1(n) & \text{if } q > 2 \text{ and } n = (q-1) + q^e, \\ -s_1(n) - 2s_2(n) = 2 + s_1(n) & \text{if } n = (q-1) + (q-1)q^e. \end{cases}$$

By Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 in [5], we have

$$s_1(n) = \begin{cases} -(T^{q^e} - T) & \text{if } q > 2 \text{ and } n = (q-1) + q^e, \\ -1 - (T^{q^e} - T)^{q-1} & \text{if } n = (q-1) + (q-1)q^e. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the result follows. □

Let $P \in \mathbb{P}$ be a prime of degree d . We denote by C_P (resp. C_P^+) the p -primary part of the divisor class group of degree 0 for K_P (resp. K_P^+). Let

$$\varphi : C_P^+ \rightarrow C_P \quad ([D] \mapsto [i_{K_P/K_P^+}(D)])$$

be the conorm map, and put $C_P^-(p) = \text{coker } \varphi$ (cf. Chapter 3 in [9]).

Lemma 2.4. *The map φ is injective. In particular, the order of $C_P^-(p)$ is equal to the p -part of h_P^- .*

Proof. Suppose that $[D] \in \ker \varphi$. Then we have $i_{K_P/K_P^+}(D) = (\alpha)_{K_P}$ for some $\alpha \in (K_P)^\times$. Fix a generator σ of the Galois group for K_P/K_P^+ . Then we see that $(\alpha^\sigma)_{K_P} = (\alpha)_{K_P}$. Hence $\alpha^{\sigma^{-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and so $\alpha^{q-1} \in (K_P^+)^\times$. We thus get $[D] = [0]$ because $\gcd(q-1, p) = 1$. □

Let W be the ring of Witt vectors of $\mathbb{A}/P\mathbb{A}$, and \mathfrak{m} be its maximal ideal. Let $\omega : (\mathbb{A}/P\mathbb{A})^\times \rightarrow W$ be the Teichmüller character such that $\omega(x) \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any $x \in (\mathbb{A}/P\mathbb{A})^\times$. Then we have the decomposition into isotypical components according to characters of $(\mathbb{A}/P\mathbb{A})^\times$:

$$C_P \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{q^d-2} C_P(\omega^n)$$

(Similarly for C_P^\pm). It is easy to check that

$$C_P^+(\omega^n) \simeq C_P(\omega^n) \quad \text{and} \quad C_P^-(\omega^n) = \{0\} \quad \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1},$$

and

$$C_P^+(\omega^n) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_P^-(\omega^n) \simeq C_P(\omega^n) \quad \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(4) \quad C_P^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{n=1 \\ q-1 \mid n}}^{q^d-2} C_P(\omega^n),$$

$$(5) \quad C_P^- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{n=1 \\ q-1 \nmid n}}^{q^d-2} C_P(\omega^n).$$

Goss and Sinnott [4] proved that

$$(6) \quad C_P(\omega^{q^d-1-n}) \neq \{0\} \iff B_n(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{P}$$

for $1 \leq n < q^d - 1$ (see Theorem 5.3.8 in [10]). By (4)-(6), we have the following lower bounds on p -ranks:

$$\text{rank}_p(C_P^+) \geq l_P^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank}_p(C_P^-) \geq l_P^-,$$

where

$$l_P^+ = \#\{1 \leq n \leq q^d - 2 \mid n \equiv 0 \pmod{q - 1}, B_n(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{P}\},$$

$$l_P^- = \#\{1 \leq n \leq q^d - 2 \mid n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q - 1}, B_n(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{P}\}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(7) \quad h_P^+ \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{l_P^+}} \quad \text{and} \quad h_P^- \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{l_P^-}}.$$

3. Proofs of main results

For a positive integer d , we define

$$\mathbb{T}_d := \{F \in \mathbb{P} \mid \deg F = d, \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(a_{d-1,F}) = -1\},$$

where $a_{i,F}$ is the coefficient of degree i in F , and Tr_E is the trace from E to \mathbb{F}_p for a finite extension E/\mathbb{F}_p .

Lemma 3.1. $\mathbb{T}_d \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. It is clear if $d = 1$ or $(q, d) = (2, 2)$. So we may assume either $d \geq 2, q \geq 3$ or $d \geq 3, q = 2$. For $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we set

$$\mathbb{T}_d(u) := \{F \in \mathbb{P} \mid \deg F = d, \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(a_{d-1,F}) = u\}.$$

By Theorem 3.25 in [7], we have

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_p} \#\mathbb{T}_d(u) = \#\{F \in \mathbb{P} \mid \deg F = d\} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k \mid d} \mu(k) q^{\frac{d}{k}},$$

where μ is the Möbius function. This implies that

$$d \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_p} \#\mathbb{T}_d(u) \geq q^d - 2q^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} + 1,$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to x . We see that

$$d\#\mathbb{T}_d(0) \leq \#\{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \mid \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}}(\alpha) = 0\} = \frac{q^d}{p},$$

and $\#\mathbb{T}_d(u) = \#\mathbb{T}_d(u \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$. Hence we have

$$(8) \quad d(p-1)\#\mathbb{T}_d \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)q^d - 2q^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} + 1.$$

From the assumption of (q, d) , the right-side of (8) is positive. We thus get $\mathbb{T}_d \neq \phi$. \square

For a positive integer d , we define

$$I(d) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \mid \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}}(\alpha) = 1\}.$$

Then we have:

Lemma 3.2.

- (1) If $\alpha \in I(d)$, then $T^p - T - \alpha$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[T]$.
- (2) $T^{q^d} - T - 1 = \prod_{\alpha \in I(d)}(T^p - T - \alpha)$.

Proof. See Corollary 3.79 and Theorem 3.80 in [7]. \square

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $F \in \mathbb{T}_d$. Then the polynomial $P = F(T^p - T)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree dp , and the following holds:

- (1) $h_P^- \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{dp}}$ if $q \neq 2$.
- (2) $h_P^+ \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{q^{dp}} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ 0 \pmod{q^d} & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } d \geq 2. \end{cases}$

Proof. Let $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ be a root of P , and $\alpha = \beta^p - \beta$. Since $F(\alpha) = 0$ and $F \in \mathbb{T}_d$, we have $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$, and

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}}(\alpha) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(-a_{d-1,F}) = 1.$$

Hence $\alpha \in I(d)$. By Lemma 3.2(1), we have $[\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(\beta) : \mathbb{F}_{q^d}] = p$, and so $[\mathbb{F}_q(\beta) : \mathbb{F}_q] = dp$. This implies that P is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree dp .

We next prove the assertion (1). Put $n = (q-1) + q^d$. From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$1 \leq n < q^{dp} - 1, \quad n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}, \quad B_n(T) = 1 - (T^{q^d} - T).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2(2) that β is a root of $B_n(T)$. Hence we obtain $B_n(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{P}$. Suppose that $1 \leq n_1 < q^{dp} - 1$ satisfies with $n_1 \equiv np^e \pmod{q^{dp} - 1}$ for some integer $e \geq 0$. Since $A^{n_1} \equiv A^{np^e} \pmod{P}$ for any $A \in \mathbb{A}$, we have $B_{n_1}(T) \equiv B_n(T)^{p^e} \equiv 0 \pmod{P}$. We thus get

$$(9) \quad l_P^- \geq \#\{R(p^e n) \mid e = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} = dpr,$$

where $R(x)$ is the remainder of x divided by $q^{dp} - 1$ (note $q = p^r$). By (7) and (9), we have $h_P^- \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{dp}}$.

Finally, we prove the assertion (2). Putting $n = (q - 1) + (q - 1)q^d$, then

$$1 \leq n < q^{dp} - 1, \quad n \equiv 0 \pmod{q - 1}, \quad B_n(T) = 1 - (T^{q^d} - 1)^{q-1}.$$

By a similar discussion as above, we have $B_n(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{P}$, and

$$l_P^+ \geq \#\{R(p^e n) \mid e = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \begin{cases} dpr & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ dr & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

This leads the assertion (2). □

Example 3.4. Suppose that $q = 3$ and $F = T - 1 \in \mathbb{T}_1$. By Theorem 3.3, the polynomial $P = F(T^3 - T) = T^3 - T - 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_3[T]$, and $h_{\bar{P}} \equiv h_P^+ \equiv 0 \pmod{3^3}$. In fact, we find that $h_{\bar{P}} = 2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$ and $h_P^+ = 3^9$ by PARI/GP computation.

The next result follows immediately from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.5. *For any integer $d \geq 1$ ($d \geq 2$ if $p = 2$), there exists a prime $P \in \mathbb{P}$ of degree dp such that*

$$\begin{cases} h_{\bar{P}}^{\pm} \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{dp}} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ h_{\bar{P}}^{\pm} \equiv 0 \pmod{q^d} & \text{if } q > 2 \text{ and } p = 2, \\ h_{\bar{P}}^{\pm} \equiv 0 \pmod{q^d} & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for any positive integer e , we have

$$\begin{cases} \#H^{\pm}(p^e) = \infty & \text{if } q \neq 2, \\ \#H^+(p^e) = \infty & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following form of Dirichlet’s theorem.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that $A, M \in \mathbb{A}$ are relatively prime and $\deg M \geq 1$. For a positive integer d , we set*

$$\mathbb{P}_d(A, M) = \{P \in \mathbb{P} \mid P \equiv A \pmod{M}, \deg P = d\}.$$

Then

$$\#\mathbb{P}_d(A, M) = \frac{1}{\Phi(M)} \frac{q^d}{d} + O\left(\frac{q^{\frac{d}{2}}}{d}\right),$$

where $\Phi(M)$ is the order of the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{A}/M\mathbb{A}$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.8 in [8]. □

Now we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Since $\gcd(T^p - T, M) = 1$, we can choose $S \in \mathbb{A}$ and a positive integer n_0 such that

$$(T^p - T)S \equiv 1 \pmod{M}, \quad (T^p - T)^{n_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{M}.$$

We set

$$A_1(T) = B(T^{n_0-1}), \quad M_1(T) = \frac{T^{n_0} - 1}{\gcd(A_1^{n_0}, T^{n_0} - 1)}.$$

It is easy to check that A_1 and M_1 satisfy

$$A \equiv A_1(S(T)) \pmod{M}, \quad M_1(S(T)) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}, \quad \gcd(M_1, A_1) = 1.$$

Since $\gcd(M_1, T) = 1$, we can choose $A_2 \in \mathbb{A}$ such that

$$A_2 \equiv A_1 \pmod{M_1}, \quad A_2 \equiv 1 - aT \pmod{T^2},$$

where a is an element of \mathbb{F}_q with $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(a) = 1$. Fix a positive integer $d_0 \geq 2$. By Proposition 3.6, there exists a prime $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ of degree d satisfying with

$$d \equiv 0 \pmod{n_0}, \quad d \geq \max\{d_0, e\}, \quad P_1 \equiv A_2 \pmod{M_1}.$$

Putting $P_2(T) = T^d P_1(1/T)$, then $P_2 \in \mathbb{T}_d$ because $P_1 \equiv 1 - aT \pmod{T^2}$. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that the polynomial $P = P_2(T^p - T)$ is irreducible in \mathbb{A} , and we have

$$\begin{cases} h_P^+ \equiv h_P^- \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e} & \text{if } q \neq 2, \\ h_P^+ \equiv 0 \pmod{2^e} & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$P \equiv (T^p - T)^d P_1(S(T)) \equiv A_1(S(T)) \equiv A \pmod{M},$$

and $\deg P = dp \geq d_0$. Hence we have Theorem 1.1. □

Example 3.7. We consider the case $q = 3$, $M = T^3 + T + 2$, and $A = T$. If $B = T + 2$, then $B(T^3 - T) \equiv A \pmod{M}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, we have $\#H^\pm(A, M, 3^e) = \infty$ for any positive integer e .

From now on, we focus on the case that M is irreducible.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $M \in \mathbb{P}$ and $A \in \mathbb{A}$ with*

$$\deg M \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad \gcd(A, M) = 1.$$

Then, for any positive integer e , we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \#H^\pm(A, M, p^e) = \infty & \text{if } q \neq 2, \\ \#H^+(A, M, p^e) = \infty & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

To prove Theorem 3.8, we first prove the next lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *For $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $d_0 \geq 1$, there exists a prime $F \in \mathbb{T}_d$ such that $F(0) = a$ and $d \geq d_0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, there exists a prime $P \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$d := \deg P \geq d_0, \quad d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad P \equiv a \pmod{T^q - T}.$$

Choose $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $d \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(z) = -1 - \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(a_{d-1, P})$, and put $F(T) = P(T + z)$. Noting that

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(a_{d-1, F}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(dz + a_{d-1, P}) = -1,$$

we have $F \in \mathbb{T}_d$. Furthermore, we have $F(0) = P(z) = a$ because $P \equiv a \pmod{T^q - T}$. \square

Now we prove Theorem 3.8.

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Assume that $\gcd(M, T^p - T) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{A}/M\mathbb{A}$ be the residue field of M , and let $\alpha = T^p - T \pmod M \in \mathcal{R}$. Since $\mathcal{R}/\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ is an Artin-Schreier extension, we have $[\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)] = 1$ or p . From $\deg M \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$, we must have $[\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)] = 1$. It follows that there exists $B \in \mathbb{A}$ with $A \equiv B(T^p - T) \pmod M$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, the equality (10) holds.

We next consider the case $\gcd(M, T^p - T) \neq 1$. Since M is irreducible, we have that $M = T - a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Fix an integer $d_0 \geq \max\{2, e\}$. By Lemma 3.9, there exists a prime $F \in \mathbb{T}_d$ such that $F(0) = A(a)$ and $d \geq d_0$. From Theorem 3.3, the polynomial $P = F(T^p - T)$ is irreducible in \mathbb{A} , and

$$\begin{cases} h_P^+ \equiv h_P^- \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e} & \text{if } q \neq 2, \\ h_P^+ \equiv 0 \pmod{2^e} & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we have $\deg P = dp \geq d_0$ and $P \equiv A \pmod M$. Hence we obtain the equality (10). \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] K. Q. Feng, *A note on irregular prime polynomials in cyclotomic function field theory*, J. Number Theory **22** (1986), no. 2, 240–245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X\(86\)90071-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X(86)90071-5)
- [2] E.-U. Gekeler, *On power sums of polynomials over finite fields*, J. Number Theory **30** (1988), no. 1, 11–26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X\(88\)90023-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X(88)90023-6)
- [3] D. M. Goss, *Kummer and Herbrand criterion in the theory of function fields*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), no. 2, 377–384. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.dmj/1077315235>
- [4] D. M. Goss and W. M. Sinnott, *Class-groups of function fields*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), no. 2, 507–516. <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-85-05225-1>
- [5] H. L. Lee, *Power sums of polynomials in a Galois field*, Duke Math. J. **10** (1943), 277–292. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.dmj/1077471940>
- [6] J. Lee and Y. Lee, *Infinite families of irregular primes in cyclotomic function fields*, J. Number Theory **207** (2020), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2018.09.008>
- [7] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 20, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [8] M. I. Rosen, *Number Theory in Function Fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 210, Springer, New York, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-6046-0>
- [9] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 254, Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [10] D. S. Thakur, *Function Field Arithmetic*, World Sci. Publishing, Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812562388>
- [11] E. Le Yaouanc, *On real quadratic function fields*, J. Number Theory **123** (2007), no. 1, 27–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2006.05.018>

DAISUKE SHIOMI
DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY
KOJIRAKAWA-MACHI 1-4-12
YAMAGATA 990-8560, JAPAN
Email address: shiomi@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp