

## Editorial



# Machine Learning for Predicting Atrial Fibrillation Recurrence After Cardioversion: A Modest Leap Forward

**Junbeom Park** , MD, PhD

Department of Cardiology, Ewha Womans University Medical Center, Ewha Womans University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

► See the article “Machine Learning Prediction for the Recurrence After Electrical Cardioversion of Patients With Persistent Atrial Fibrillation” in volume 53 on page 677.

## OPEN ACCESS

**Received:** Jul 14, 2023

**Accepted:** Jul 18, 2023

**Published online:** Aug 14, 2023

### Correspondence to

**Junbeom Park, MD, PhD**

Department of Cardiology, Ewha Womans University Medical Center, Ewha Womans University College of Medicine, 260, Gonghang-daero, Gangseo-gu, Seoul 07804, Korea.

Email: neweriser@naver.com

**Copyright** © 2023. The Korean Society of Cardiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### ORCID iDs

Junbeom Park   
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2192-9401>

### Funding

This work was supported by the Korea Medical Device Development Fund grant funded by the Korea government (the Ministry of Science and ICT, the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy, the Ministry of Health & Welfare, the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety) (project number: 9991006899, RS-2020-KD000234).

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a common cardiac arrhythmia that poses significant challenges in terms of management and treatment.<sup>1,2)</sup> Electrical cardioversion (ECV) is a widely used procedure to restore normal heart rhythm in patients with persistent AF.<sup>3,4)</sup> However, the recurrence of AF after ECV remains a persistent issue, and predicting which patients are at higher risk for recurrence has been a subject of ongoing research. In this context, a recent study aimed to evaluate the power of machine learning to predict AF recurrence after ECV, using clinical features and electrocardiogram (ECG) data. While the study provides valuable insights into the potential of machine learning in this domain, it is important to critically analyze its findings and consider the implications for future research and clinical practice.<sup>5)</sup>

The study<sup>6)</sup> involved analyzing data from a cohort of 718 patients who underwent successful ECV for persistent AF. Machine learning algorithms, particularly XGBoost, were employed to predict AF recurrence within one month after ECV. Both clinical features and ECG data were used as inputs for the models. The performance of the machine learning model was evaluated using 10-fold cross-validation and compared to the predictive ability of selected clinical features.

The results demonstrated that the machine learning model achieved modest performance in predicting AF recurrence. The areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROCs) were 0.57, 0.60, and 0.63 for models trained with clinical features alone, ECG data alone, and a combination of both, respectively. The final model showed a sensitivity of 84.7%, specificity of 28.2%, and an F1-score of 0.73. Interestingly, the duration of AF, which is an established clinical feature associated with recurrence, performed less effectively compared to the machine learning model.

While the study's findings are encouraging, several important considerations must be taken into account. First, the overall performance of the machine learning model, as indicated by the AUROCs, remains moderate. Although the model showed an improvement over individual clinical features, the predictive ability still falls short of being clinically impactful. The relatively low specificity suggests a high rate of false positives, potentially leading to

#### Conflict of Interest

The author has no financial conflicts of interest.

#### Data Sharing Statement

The data generated in this study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

The contents of the report are the author's own views and do not necessarily reflect the views of the *Korean Circulation Journal*.

unnecessary interventions or treatments. Therefore, caution should be exercised in relying solely on the model's predictions for clinical decision-making. Furthermore, the study attempted to enhance the model's performance by incorporating additional data from extended monitoring, such as 15-minute single-lead ECGs and photoplethysmography.<sup>7)</sup> However, this augmentation did not yield significant improvements. This raises questions about the relevance and significance of these additional data sources for predicting AF recurrence after ECV.<sup>8)</sup> The study's limitations should also be acknowledged. The reliance on a single-center dataset and the absence of external validation limit the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, the study did not explore the interpretability of the machine learning model, which is crucial for understanding the underlying factors contributing to AF recurrence and facilitating clinical decision-making. Despite these limitations, the study underscores the potential of machine learning in predicting AF recurrence after ECV. It highlights the importance of leveraging advanced computational techniques and integrating diverse data sources to enhance our understanding of complex clinical phenomena.<sup>9)10)</sup> However, further validation studies on larger, multicenter datasets are necessary to improve the generalizability and reliability of machine learning models in this context.

The study represents an important step towards utilizing machine learning to predict AF recurrence after ECV. Although the results indicate moderate predictive performance, it is crucial to interpret them with caution and acknowledge the limitations of the study. Future research should focus on refining the machine learning models, incorporating interpretability, and validating the findings on diverse patient populations. Ultimately, the integration of machine learning techniques with clinical expertise holds great promise in improving the management and outcomes of patients with AF.

## REFERENCES

1. Kuo L, Chan YH, Liao JN, Chen SA, Chao TF. Stroke and bleeding risk assessment in atrial fibrillation: where are we now? *Korean Circ J* 2021;51:668-80.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Kim D, Yang PS, Joung B. Optimal rhythm control strategy in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Korean Circ J* 2022;52:496-512.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Bamford P, Rogers J. Where's the bleed? A response to Piccini et al.'s: management of major bleeding events in patients treated with rivaroxaban vs. warfarin: results from the ROCKET AF trial. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:1567.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Sánchez-Somonte P, Gul EE, Verma A. The importance of arrhythmia burden for outcomes and management related to catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Korean Circ J* 2021;51:477-86.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Park J. Can artificial intelligence prediction algorithms exceed statistical predictions? *Korean Circ J* 2019;49:640-1.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Kwon S, Lee E, Ju H, et al. Machine learning prediction for the recurrence after electrical cardioversion of patients with persistent atrial fibrillation. *Korean Circ J* 2023;53:677-89.  
[CROSSREF](#)
7. Kwon S, Hong J, Choi EK, et al. Detection of atrial fibrillation using a ring-type wearable device (CardioTracker) and deep learning analysis of photoplethysmography signals: prospective observational proof-of-concept study. *J Med Internet Res* 2020;22:e16443.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Joo G, Song Y, Im H, Park J. Clinical implication of machine learning in predicting the occurrence of cardiovascular disease using big data (nationwide cohort data in Korea). *IEEE Access* 2020;8:157643-53.  
[CROSSREF](#)

9. Al'Aref SJ, Anchouche K, Singh G, et al. Clinical applications of machine learning in cardiovascular disease and its relevance to cardiac imaging. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:1975-86.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Park J, Lee C, Leshem E, et al. Early differentiation of long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation using the characteristics of fibrillatory waves in surface ECG multi-leads. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:2746.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)