Rapid Identification of Diaporthe citri by Gene Sequence Analysis

*Corresponding author

Tel: +82-64-754-3319 Fax: +82-64-725-2351 E-mail: ycjeun@jejunu.ac.kr ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9084-1393

Zar Zar Soe¹, Yong Ho Shin¹, Hyun Su Kang¹, Mi Jin Kim¹, and Yong Chull Jeun^{1,2*}

¹Sustainable Agriculture Research Institute, Jeju National University, Jeju 63243, Korea ²The Research Institute for Subtropical Agriculture and Biotechnology, Jeju National University, Jeju 63604, Korea

Citrus melanoses caused by *Diaporthe citri*, has been one of the serious diseases in many citrus orchards of Jeju Island. To protect melanose in citrus farms, a fast and exact diagnosis method is necessary. In this study, diseased leaves and dieback twigs were collected from a total of 49 farms within March to April in 2022. A total of 465 fungal isolates were obtained from a total of 358 isolated plant samples. Among these fungal isolates, 40 representatives of *D. citri* isolates which were isolated from 22 twigs and 18 leaves on 23 farms were found based on cultural characteristics on potato dextrose agar and conidial morphology. Additionally, the molecular assay was carried out and compared with those by morphological diagnosis. All isolates were identified as *D. citri* by analyzing the sequences at the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) rDNA region using primers of ITS1/ITS4 or at β -tubulin using primer Btdcitri-F/R. Therefore, based on the present study, where the results of morphological identification of conidial type were consistent with DNA sequence analysis of certain gene, choosing a suitable method for a fast diagnosis of citrus melanose was suggested.

Keywords: Diagnosis, Fungal disease, Identification, PCR

Introduction

Citrus cultivating area in Jeju has been exceeded from 10,000 ha in 1975 to 25,000 ha in 1996, but since 2009 it has been kept at about 20,000 ha because of the overproduction leading to lower prices (Ko, 2009). In 2021, amount of citrus production was 613,118 MT, area of farm household was 30,799 ha and the gross income from citrus production in South Korea was 1,027,131 million Korean Won (Report Name: Citrus Annual-USDA Foreign Agricultural Service; December 20, 2022) (Office of Agricultural Affairs, 2022).

More than 90 types of diseases have been reported in citrus fruits worldwide, and more than 35 diseases, including vi-

Research in Plant Disease eISSN 2233-9191 www.online-rpd.org ral diseases, have been reported in Korean citrus fruits (Hyun et al., 2002). These diseases may cause the loss of citrus production and result in reducing income of farms. Melanose causes reduced fruit quality and marketability by damaging the surface of the fruit without affecting the pulp quality, which is much higher than the damage caused by other diseases or pests in Korea. The average diseased fruit rate by citrus melanose on Jeju Island from 2003 to 2012 was about 8.5%, and the average incidence rate of melanose disease was 13.5%, which has a little different incidence every year (Hyun et al., 2013).

Citrus melanose is caused by *Diaporthe citri* Wolf (anamorph: *Phomopsis citri* Fawc.) which causes two distinct diseases in the citrus plant. The perfect stage of the fungus causes melanoses in citrus, disease characterized by superficial fruit and foliar lesions. The other is in the imperfect stage which causes *Phomopsis* stem-end rot, a post-harvest

© The Korean Society of Plant Pathology

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received January 30, 2023 Revised April 4, 2023 Accepted April 4, 2023

disease (Whiteside et al., 1988). *D. citri* produces the small black lesions raised pustules on the leaves, twigs, and fruits (Timmer et al., 1988) and produces inoculums in dead twigs as a saprophyte (Mondal et al., 2007). They have three types of spores, one is (α) spores (colorless, monospores, 5–9×2–4 µm in size, directly invade the host plant) and the second is beta (β) spores (colorless, a filamentous dandelion, 20– 30×0.7–1.5 µm in size, cannot directly invade the host plant because it does not germinate) (National Crop Pest Management System, South Korea, 2022). The last one is gamma (γ) spores (colorless, a multi-guttulata, fusiform to subcylindrical, 18–22×2.4–2.6 µm in size, an intermediate between α and β conidial types). These particular spore types have been used as a diagnosis tool (Chaisiri et al., 2020; Whiteside et al., 1988; Wolf, 1926).

The *Diaporthe* genus is frequently identified by using traditional molecular barcoding for fungal species discrimination based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer regions (ITS) (Castlebury, 2005; Marin-Felix et al., 2019; Santos and Phillips, 2009; Santos et al., 2010). Due to the fact that the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based technique showed outstanding specificity and sensitivity, it may be used to effectively detect *D. citri* in practice (Chaisiri et al., 2022). Most of the studies including Chaisiri et al. (2020) used molecular identification method with universal primer to detect the *D. citri* for several purposes such as identification and phylogenetic study.

Two methods of identification for citrus melanose caused by *D. citri* were morphological identification of conidial type and DNA sequence analysis of certain gene such as ITS or β -tubulin. If the *D. citri* which was identified by the morphological method was consistent with the molecular biological analysis, the detection work for *D. citri* identification by two methods would be skipped by using one method. Therefore, in this study, *D. citri* was isolated from twigs and leaves of citrus plants in Jeju Island. The DNA sequences in the ITS or β -tubulin of *D. citri*, which were identified by morphological observation, were analyzed to find out whether the *D. citri* results of morphological identification were consistent with the molecular identification method.

Materials and Methods

Collection of samples. Based on characteristic symptoms, fresh specimens of diseased leaves and dieback twigs

by *D. citri* were collected from 49 citrus orchards from four regions of the Northeastern, Southeastern, Northwestern, and Southwestern regions of Jeju Island, South Korea from March to April in 2022 (Fig. 1).

Isolation of pathogen. The *D. citri* was isolated from symptomatic leaves and dieback twigs showing melanose. The symptomatic tissues were cut into small pieces (5 mm×5 mm) including diseased and healthy tissues. The small pieces of plant tissues were soaked in 1% sodium hypochlorite solution (NaClO) for 30 sec, followed by 70% ethanol for 30 sec, then rinsed three times in sterile distilled water for 30 sec. The tissues were dried on sterile tissue paper and were cut as cross again on small pieces. These pieces were placed onto potato dextrose agar (PDA; Becton, Dickinson and Company, Claix, France) medium and incubated for 3–4 days at 28°C. Mycelium tips growing from the pieces of plant tissues were sub-cultured on fresh PDA medium for 7 days at 28°C.

Morphological identification. To achieve sporulation, the fungi were incubated with oatmeal agar medium (Becton, Dickinson and Company) under fluorescence light at 25°C for 4 weeks. The conidia formed on the oatmeal agar medium were observed using a light microscope (BX60, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The isolates containing α - and β -type form conidia (including γ -type in some cases), which are typical form of the melanose pathogen, were identified as *D. citri*.

Molecular identification. Representative D.citri isolates were grown in potato dextrose broth (PDB; Becton, Dickinson and Company) for genomic DNA extraction at 28°C for 7-10 days shaking at 120 rpm. Approximately 200 mg of fungal hyphae was scraped directly from PDB, and the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide method (Aamir et al., 2015) was followed with modifications. The hyphae were lyophilized in liquid nitrogen and ground with mortar and pestle. Two ml microcentrifuge tube containing ground powder and 700 µl of lysis buffer (100 mM Tris HCI [pH8.0], 50 mM EDTA, 3% sodium dodecyl sulfate) was homogenized with a WiseMix Multifuction Vortex Mixer (DAIHAN Scientific, Wonju, Korea) for 1 hr. This homogenized mixture was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant was transferred to a fresh 2 ml microcentrifuge tube. Then 2 µl of RNase A (10 mg/ml) was added and the whole solution was incubated

at 37°C for 30 min. Later, an equal volume of cleaning buffer (phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol=25:24:1) was added, homogenized, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. This step was repeated once. The upper aqueous layer was taken and transferred to a fresh 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. Then an equal volume of 100% ethanol was added and the DNA in this solution was precipitated at 20°C for 30 min, followed by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min. The pellet of DNA was washed with 70% ethanol and centrifuged again at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. Finally, the DNA pellets were air-dried overnight and dissolved in 1× TE buffer. DNA products were detected in 0.7% agarose electrophoresis gel and stained with 1 μ l dyne loading star to UV light visualization using a UVP trans illuminator (UV solo touch, Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany).

Amplification and PCR sequencing were obtained from an ITS with primer set of ITS1 (5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACC TGC-GG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') (White et al., 1990) or from β -tubulin with primer set of Btdcitri-F (5'-GACCCGACTCGACAATAACG-3') and Btdcitri-R (5'-ATTCACTCCTCGCCCTCAAG-3'). The specific primer set of Btdcitri was designed using Clone manager (v.9.0) and Bio Edit (v.7.2.5) software targets to β-tubulin gene (NCBI genomic sequence: NW_025064848.1) from whole genome sequence of D. citri (NCBI reference genome: ASM1459564v1). Furthermore, the amplification was performed in a 20 µl reaction containing 2 µl of DNA template, 2 µl (10 pmol) of each forward and reverse primer, 2 µl of 25 mM of MgCl, 2 μ l of 10 mM dNTPs mix, 2 μ l of 10 \times PCR buffer, 0.5 μ l (5 U/ μ l) of Taq DNA polymerase (iNtRON Biotechnology Inc., Seoul, Korea) and 9.5 µl of distilled water. Also, PCR was performed using a thermal cycler (Takara TP6000 Gradient, Takara Bio Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The parameters for PCR of ITS region were initial preheat at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles

of denaturation at 95°C held for 30 sec, annealing for 50 sec, at 55°C and extension at 72°C for 2 min, with a final extension stage at 72°C held for 5 min. For β-tubulin the initial preheat was at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C held for 30 sec, annealing for 30 sec, at 58.2°C and extension at 72°C for 2 min, with a final extension stage at 72°C held for 4 min. PCR products were also detected with the same method as DNA. The PCR products were purified with purification kit (PCR purification kit, Genesgen, Busan, Korea). Then nucleotide sequences of the products were analyzed at Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) with primers ITS and Btdcitri. The Bio Edit software was used to align the forward and reverse sequences obtained from the Macrogen results. Then the aligned sequences were compared with sequences in the GenBank database using the NCBI BLAST program (http:// blast.ncbi.nim.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Results

Isolation of *D. citri*. A total of 465 fungal isolates were obtained from a total of 358 isolated plant samples, which were collected from a total of 49 farms: 22 in the Southeastern region, 12 in the Northeastern region, 6 in the Southwestern region, and 9 in the Northwestern region (Table 1, Fig. 1). Among these fungal isolates, 40 representative isolates were selected as candidates of *D. citri* based on cultural characteristics of mycelium on PDA.

Morphological identification. The mycelium of the selected isolates was fan-shaped, white, and flat or effuse alternate to low convex. The conidial mass was initially hyaline to yellowish, becoming white to cream conidial droplets after 25–30 days in light at 25°C. Finally, two types of conidia were observed under light microscope, one is the α-type, which

Table 1. Regions of collected samples and farms, number of isolated plant samples, isolated fungi, *Diaporthe citri* infected farms and identified as *D. citri* in citrus orchards of Jeju Island

Regions of collected samples	Sample collected farms	No. of isolated plant samples	No. of isolated fungi	No. of <i>D. citri</i> infected farms	No. of identified as <i>D. citri</i>
Southeastern	22	196	226	12	20
Northeastern	12	103	191	7	16
Southwestern	6	27	25	1	1
Northwestern	9	32	23	3	3
Total	49	358	465	23	40



Fig. 1. Location of orchards where the diseased leaves and dieback of twigs by *Diaporthe citri* were collected in Jeju Island.

was hyaline, unicellular, and fusiform to ellipsoidal. The other is β -type, which was hyaline, unicellular, filiform, curved, and often strongly hooked (Fig. 2). The 40 selected isolates were identified as *D. citri* based on the observation of α - and β -type conidia.

Molecular identification. The molecular identification of the 40 representative isolates chosen based on the conidial morphology revealed that they were *D. citri*, *Diaporthe* sp., and *Phomopsis* sp. stains. The total DNA of the 40 strains in a 0.7% agarose gel was shown (Fig. 3). The ITS gene was amplified in size of 604 bp (Fig. 4) and β -tubulin was in 1,967 bp (date not shown). The blast search at NCBI indicated that all isolates held between 94.6% and 100% of the *D. citri*, *Diaporthe* sp., and *Phomopsis* sp. stains after sequencing by Macrogen and aligning by BioEdit software (Table 2) where the higher identity was chosen between ITS and β -tubulin analysis.

Discussion

Forty *D. citri* isolates, which were selected based on conidial morphology were in a line with the studies of Kwon et al. (2003), Gopal et al. (2014), and Chaisiri et al. (2020). The fan-shaped and white mycelium of *D. citri* on PDA in this study coincided with that of Timmer et al. (2004) study in which mycelium was typically fan-shaped and white in color. Moreover, the morphology of conidia was also similar to the study of Chaisiri et al. (2020) whereas conidial mass was initially hyaline to yellowish, becoming white to cream conidial droplets exuding from central ostioles after 25 days in light at 25°C. Finding α -, β -, and γ -types of *D. citri* conidia and its size, shape, and color has been reported in a number of studies (Chaisiri et al., 2020; Gopal et al., 2014; Kwon et al., 2003) which was observed also in this study (Fig. 2).

After confirmation of 40 representative *D. citri* isolates with morphological characteristics, the molecular identification with PCR assay also revealed that these were held between 94.6% and 100% of the *D. citri*, *Diaporthe* sp., and *Phomopsis* sp. stains by NCBI blast analysis. Like the present study, the identification based on ITS region sequence and β -tubulin sequence analysis showed that 38 representative isolates, which were selected based on morphological characteristics, from totally of 140 isolates of different tissues of citrus, i.e., leaves, fruits, and twigs belong to *Diaporthe* species (Chaisiri et al., 2020).

Since the past two decades, molecular identification through DNA barcoding of fungi has become an integrated and essential part of fungal ecology research which has provided new insights into the diversity and ecology of many different groups of fungi (Anderson and Cairney, 2004; Chase



Fig. 2. The morphology and cultural characteristics of *Diaporthe citri* isolate. (A) Fungal colony morphology after 4 days on potato dextrose agar medium. (B) Spore morphology after 25 days on OMA medium. Alpha- (arrow), beta- (double arrows) (C), and gamma- (triple arrows) (D) conidia. Scale bars=20 µm.



Fig. 3. Gel electrophoresis results of total DNA of forty isolates identified as *Diaporthe citri* by morphological diagnosis. 1 kb, ladder; SEB, "Southeastern Branch"; SEL, "Southeastern Leaf"; NEB, "Northeastern Branch"; NEL, "Northeastern Leaf"; SWB, "Southwestern Branch"; NWB, "Northwestern Branch". The number indicates farm-sample serial number.



Fig. 4. Molecular identification of the pathogen causing citrus melanose by *Diaporthe citri*. Gel electrophoresis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified internal transcript spacer (ITS) regions from the 40 isolates identified as *D. citri* by morphological diagnosis using the universal primers ITS1/ITS4. 1 kb, ladder; Con, *D. citri* 6 from the Plant Pathology Laboratory of Jeju National University; SEB, "Southeastern Branch"; SEL, "Southeastern Leaf"; SWB, "Southeastern Branch"; NWB, "Northwestern Branch". The number indicates farm-sample serial number. Arrows indicate 604 bp.

135

Table 2. Blast results of 40 isolates identified as *Diaporthe citri* by morphological diagnosis after sequencing by Macrogen service and aligning by BioEdit software

Name	Accession no.	Scientific name	Identity
SEB 1-1	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	98.2
SEL 1-2	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	94.9
SEB 1-3	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	99.2
SEL 2-1	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	93.9
SEL 2-2	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	96.9
SEB 3-1	MZ224574.1	Diaporthe citri	100
SEB 3-2	MZ224574.1	Diaporthe citri	98.2
NEB 4-1	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	99.8
NEB 4-2	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	99.6
NEB 4-3	MZ224574.1	Diaporthe citri	99.4
NEL 5-1	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	96.5
NEL 5-2	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	94.4
NEL 6-1	KC343053.1	Diaporthe citri	94.9
NEL 7-1	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	99.8
NEL 7-2	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	94.6
NEB 7-3	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	99.8
NEB 8-1	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	99.8
NEL 8-2	KC343053.1	Diaporthe citri	95.7
NEL 9-1	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	94.4
NEL 9-2	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	97.5
NEB 9-3	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	98.4
SEB 10-1	MZ224574.1	Diaporthe citri	99.2
SEL 10-2	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	99.0
SEB 11-1	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	99.5
SEL 11-2	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	99.8
SEL 11-3	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	94.6
NWB 13-1	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	99.7
SWB 15-1	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	99.7
NWB 16-1	MZ224574.1	Diaporthe citri	99.7
NWB 17-1	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	98.1
SEB 18-1	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	96.6
SEL 19-1	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	94.2
SEB 20-1	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	98.8
NEB 21-1	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	99.8
NEL 21-2	XM-043169662.1	Diaporthe citri	97.2
SEB 22-1	MK214464.1	Diaporthe citri	99.8
SEL 23-1	KC843325.1	Diaporthe citri	100
SEB 24-1	MN816402.1	Diaporthe citri	99.5
SEL 25-1	AB302249.1	Diaporthe citri	98.9
SEB 26-1	MN816398.1	Diaporthe citri	97.4

and Fay, 2009; Horton and Bruns, 2001; Seiffert, 2009). Studies by Freeman et al. (2009), Frohlich-Nowoisky et al. (2009), Lindahl et al. (2007), O'Brien et al. (2005), Pickles et al. (2010), and Zinger et al. (2009) have revealed that sequence-based analysis of environmental samples ('environmental barcoding') allowed the abundance and species richness of fungi to be determined at a high rate and more reliably than conventional biotic surveys. For the identification of single taxa and mixed environmental templates ("environmental DNA barcoding"), the ITS of nuclear DNA is the preferred DNA barcoding marker.

In this study, it was revealed that all fungal isolates producing α -, β -, and γ -types conidia were identified as *D. citri* by DNA barcoding. These results suggested that in the case of diagnosis for citrus melanose alternative methods, either morphological identification or molecular biological analysis may be possible in order to fast diagnosis.

Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Acknowledgments

This work was carried out with the support of 'Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science and Technology Development (PJ0169062023)' funded by Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

References

- Aamir, S., Sutar, S., Singh, S. K. and Baghela, A. 2015. A rapid and efficient method of fungal genomic DNA extraction, suitable for PCR based molecular methods. *Plant Pathol. Quar.* 5: 74-81.
- Anderson, I. C. and Cairney, J. W. G. 2004. Diversity and ecology of soil fungal communities: increased understanding through the application of molecular techniques. *Environ. Microbiol.* 6: 769-779.
- Castlebury, L. 2005. The *Diaporthe vaccinii* complex of fruit pathogens. *Inoculum* 56: 12.
- Chaisiri, C., Liu, X.-Y., Lin, Y., Li, J.-B., Xiong, B. and Luo, C.-X. 2020. Phylogenetic analysis and development of molecular tool for detection of *Diaporthe citri* causing melanose disease of citrus. *Plants* 9: 329.
- Chaisiri, C., Liu, X., Lin, Y. and Luo, C. 2022. Diaporthe citri: a fungal

pathogen causing melanose disease. Plants 11: 1600.

- Chase, M. W. and Fay, M. F. 2009. Barcoding of plants and fungi. Science 325: 682-683.
- Freeman, K. R., Martin, A. P., Karki, D., Lynch, R. C., Mitter, M. S., Meyer, A. F. et al. 2009. Evidence that chytrids dominate fungal communities in high-elevation soils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106: 18315-18320.
- Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Pickergill, D. A., Després, V. R. and Pöschl, U. 2009. High diversity of fungi in air particulate matter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106: 12814-12819.
- Gopal, K., Lakshmi, L. M., Sarada, G., Nagalakshmi, T., Sankar, T. G., Gopi, V. et al. 2014. Citrus melanose (*Diaporthe citri* Wolf): a review. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 3: 113-124.
- Horton, T. R. and Bruns, T. D. 2001. The molecular revolution in ectomycorrhizal ecology: peeking into the black box. *Mol. Ecol.* 10: 1855-1871.
- Hyun, J. W., Kim, D. W., Lee, S. C. and Kim, K. S. 2002. Citrus diseases. In: Compendium of Citrus Diseases and Pests, eds. by Rural Development Administration and Jeju Citrus Agricultural Grower's Cooperative, pp. 14-92. National Jeju Agricultural Experiment Station, RDA, Korea and Jeju Citrus Agricultural Grower's Cooperative, Jeju, Korea. (In Korean)
- Hyun, J.-W., Yi, P.-H., Hwang, R.-Y. and Moon, K.-H. 2013. Aspect of incidence of the major citrus diseases recently. *Res. Plant Dis.* 19: 102-107. (In Korean)
- Ko, B. S. 2009. History and real state of citrus and request of reducing produce. Citrus Gard 197: 2-7.
- Kwon, H.-M., Nam, K.-W., Kim, K.-S., Kim, D.-H., Lee, S.-C. and Hyeon, J.-W. 2003. Characterization of the causal fugus of citrus melanose, *Diaporthe citri* isolated from blighted twigs of citrus in Jeju. *Res. Plant Dis.* 9: 153-158.
- Lindahl, B. D., Ihrmark, K., Boberg, J., Trumbore, S. E., Högberg, P., Stenlid, J. et al. 2007. Spatial separation of litter decomposition and mycorrhizal nitrogen uptake in a boreal forest. *New Phytol*. 173:611-620.
- Marin-Felix, Y., Hernández-Restrepo, M., Wingfield, M. J., Akulov, A., Carnegie, A. J., Cheewangkoon, R. et al. 2019. Genera of phytopathogenic fungi: GOPHY 2. *Stud. Mycol.* 92: 47-133.
- Mondal, S. N., Vicent, A., Reis, R. F. and Timmer, L. W. 2007. Saprophytic colonization of citrus twigs by *Diaporthe citri* and factors affecting pycnidial production and conidial survival. *Plant Dis.*

91:387-392.

- National Crop Pest Management System, South Korea. 2022. URL [20 December 2022].
- O'Brien, H. E., Parrent, J. L., Jackson, J. A., Moncalvo, J.-M. and Vilgalys, R. 2005. Fungal community analysis by large-scale sequencing of environmental samples. *Appl. Environ Microbiol.* 71: 5544-5550.
- Office of Agricultural Affairs. 2022. South Korea: citrus annual. URL https://www.fas.usda.gov/data/south-korea-citrus-annual-6 [20 December 2022].
- Pickles, B. J., Genney, D. R., Potts, J. M., Lennon, J. J., Anderson, I. C. and Alexander, I. J. 2010. Spatial and temporal ecology of Scots pine ectomycorrhizas. *New Phytol.* 186: 755-768.
- Santos, J. M., Correia, V. G. and Phillips, A. J. L. 2010. Primers for mating-type diagnosis in *Diaporthe* and *Phomopsis*: their use in teleomorph induction *in vitro* and biological species definition. *Fungal Biol.* 114: 255-270.
- Santos, J. M. and Phillips, A. J. L. 2009. Resolving the complex of *Diaporthe (Phomopsis)* species occurring on *Foeniculum vulgare* in Portugal. *Fungal Divers.* 34: 111-125.
- Seifert, K. A. 2009. Progress towards DNA barcoding of fungi. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 9(Suppl 1): 83-89.
- Timmer, L. W., Garnsey, S. M. and Graham, J. H. 1988. Compendium of Citrus Diseases. APS, St. Paul, MN, USA. 80 pp.
- Timmer, L.W., Mondal, S. N., Peres, N. A. R. and Bhatia, A. 2004. Fungal diseases of fruit and foliage of citrus trees. In: Diseases of Fruits and Vegetables, ed. by S. A. M. H. Naqvi, Vol. 1, pp. 247-290. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. and Taylor, J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications, eds. by M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Sninsky and T. J. White, pp. 315-322. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA.
- Whiteside, J. O., Garnsey, S. M. and Timmer, L. W. 1988. Compendium of Citrus Disease. APS Press, St. Paul, MN, USA. 80 pp.
- Wolf, F. A. 1926. The perfect stage of the fungus which causes melanose of citrus. *J. Agric. Res.* 33: 621-625.
- Zinger, L., Coissac, E., Choler, P. and Geremia, R. A. 2009. Assessment of microbial communities by graph partitioning in a study of soil fungi in two alpine meadows. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75: 5863-5870.