

Original Article



Occupational stress changes and new-onset depression among male Korean manufacturing workers

Jiho Kim ¹, Hwan-Cheol Kim ^{1,2*}, Minsun Kim ¹, Seong-Cheol Yang ^{1,2}, Shin-Goo Park ^{1,2}, Jong-Han Leem ^{1,2}, and Dong-Wook Lee ¹

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Inha University Hospital, Incheon, Korea

²Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, School of Medicine, Inha University, Incheon, Korea



Received: Apr 4, 2023

Revised: Jun 28, 2023

Accepted: Jul 10, 2023

Published online: Aug 17, 2023

*Correspondence:

Hwan-Cheol Kim

Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Inha University Hospital, School of Medicine, Inha University, 27 Inhang-ro, Jung-gu, Incheon 22332, Korea.
Email: carpediem@inha.ac.kr

Copyright © 2023 Korean Society of Occupational & Environmental Medicine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Jiho Kim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0510-2092>

Hwan-Cheol Kim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3635-1297>

Minsun Kim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3174-6783>

Seong-Cheol Yang

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1378-1681>

Shin-Goo Park

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1544-4486>

Jong-Han Leem

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3292-6492>

Dong-Wook Lee

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4023-3269>

ABSTRACT

Background: Studies on the association between occupational stress and depression have been frequently reported. However, the cross-sectional designs of studies limited insight into causal associations. In this study, we investigated the longitudinal association between occupational stress and new-onset depression among employees in a single manufacturing plant.

Methods: The annual health checkup data of employees at a manufacturing plant in Korea were collected. A total of 1,837 male employees without depression who completed a health checkup during two consecutive years were included. Occupational stress was measured using a short form of the Korea Occupational Stress Scale (KOSS-SF), and depression was assessed using a Patient Health Questionnaire-2. The association between occupational stress change over the two years and newly developed depression was investigated using two logistic regression models.

Results: Across all sub-factors of KOSS-SF, employees who reported increased occupational stress had a higher risk of new-onset depression. Newly developed depression was significantly associated with job demand (odds ratio [OR]: 4.34; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.37–7.96), job insecurity (OR: 3.21; 95% CI: 1.89–5.48), occupational climate (OR: 3.18; 95% CI: 1.91–5.31), lack of reward (OR: 2.28; 95% CI: 1.26–4.12), interpersonal conflict (OR: 2.14; 95% CI: 1.18–3.86), insufficient job control (OR: 1.93; 95% CI: 1.05–3.56), and the organizational system (OR: 1.84; 95% CI: 1.01–3.36).

Conclusions: For every sub-factor of the KOSS-SF, occupational stress increase and persistent high stress were associated with the risk of developing new-onset depression. Among the seven sub-factors, job demand had the most significant effect. Our results show that occupational stress should be managed to promote employee mental healthcare.

Keywords: Occupational stress; KOSS; Depression

BACKGROUND

Stress at work, or occupational stress, is an abstract concept that includes all types of work-associated stress which was defined as described by Karasek¹ who developed the first systematical model in 1979. Furthermore, the relationship between occupational stress and worker health is a topic that has been studied for decades.²

Abbreviations

CI: confidence interval; IRB: Institutional Review Board; KOSS: Korea Occupational Stress Scale; KOSS-SF: a short form of the Korea Occupational Stress Scale; OR: odds ratio; PHQ-2: Patient Health Questionnaire-2.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Kim J, Kim HC; Data curation: Kim HC, Kim J, Yang SC; Formal analysis: Kim J; Investigation: Kim J, Kim M, Yang SC; Supervision: Kim HC, Leem JH, Lee DW; Writing - original draft: Kim J; Writing - review & editing: Kim HC, Park SG, Lee DW.

Depression is one of the most common psychiatric disorders and a leading cause of absence from work in many countries.³ And among working-age adults, depression causes reductions in work productivity and represents an indirect economic burden at the national level.⁴ Much evidence has been presented that severe occupational stress negatively affects worker physical and mental health, and studies conducted in Korea have produced similar results.⁵⁻⁷

Work environments are stressful in Korea, which is ranked seventh among OECD countries for low work-life balance.⁸ To analyze occupational stress, cultural and national characteristics should be considered, and various studies have addressed domestic conditions since the development of the Korean Occupational Stress Scale (KOSS).⁹ In a large-scale study of Korean employees with a range of occupations, poor occupational climate and insufficient social support were identified as risk factors for depression, and in a study conducted on Korean nurses, job insecurity and lack of reward were found to be closely related to depressive symptoms.^{10,11} However, no prospective cohort study on occupational stress and depression has been published, and relatively few longitudinal studies have been attempted.¹²

In this study, we investigated the longitudinal relationship between occupational stress and depression using consecutive annual health checkup data of male employees at a manufacturing plant by analyzing the longitudinal effects of occupational stress sub-factors on newly developed depression.

METHODS**Study population**

In this study, we used the health checkup data of employees at a manufacturing plant in Korea. A total of 1988 individuals completed health checkups without missing data in 2018 and 2019. After excluding 27 females, 1961 male workers were selected for the study. Since we focused on new-onset depression, 124 employees who had depression (based on Patient Health Questionnaire-2 [PHQ-2]) in the baseline year were excluded, and thus the analysis was conducted on the remaining 1837.

Occupational stress (short form of KOSS [KOSS-SF])

KOSS-SF was used to measure occupational stress.⁷ The survey questionnaire consisted of 24 self-reported items, which were assessed using a 4-point scale and categorized into 7 sub-factors; job demands, insufficient job control, interpersonal conflict, job insecurity, organizational system, lack of reward, and occupational climate. Each sub-factor was scored using a 100-point scale, where higher numbers indicated higher stress levels.

$$\text{Converted Score} = \frac{(\text{Actual Score} - \text{Number of Questions}) \times 100}{(\text{Maximum Score} - \text{Number of Questions})}$$

Depression measures (PHQ-2)

The PHQ-2 is a tool developed in 1999 for screening depression and consists of two items selected from nine PHQ-9 items, namely, how often workers have experienced 'Little interest or fun at work' or 'Feeling depressed or hopeless' during the previous 2 weeks. Responses were 'Not at all,' 'Several days,' 'More than half the days,' and 'Nearly every day' and were rated using a 0-3 scale; thus, total scores ranged from 0-6. Optimum screening cut-off values are debatable, but most studies have used 2 or 3 points.¹³ A cut-off of 2 points provides higher

sensitivity and is more commonly used, but we used a cut-off of 3 points to define depression because of its higher specificity. 'New-onset depression' was defined as no depression in the baseline year but depression in the following year.

General characteristics and health behaviors

Previous studies have established that ages, gender, religion, education, income, and marital status are risk factors for depression.¹⁴ Due to limitations of the health checkup data used, insufficient information was available. We used information included basically in the health checkup such as ages, smoking status, and alcohol consumption as covariates. Also, we added physical activity because it was recently claimed to affect depression significantly.¹⁵ The cut-off value for physical activities was set at 2.5 hours per week in accordance with WHO 2020 guidelines.¹⁶

Statistical analysis

The analysis was performed using R for Windows (version 4.2.0 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Pearson's χ^2 test was used to determine which general characteristics affected new-onset depression, and multiple logistic regression analysis was used to assess the risks posed by these characteristics on new-onset depression after adjusting for potential confounders. It has been proposed that the odds ratio (OR) of a sample provides a good estimate of population relative risk when the outcome is uncommon, typically when the prevalence is under 10%.¹⁷

In the first regression model, we classified the scores of the 7 sub-factors of KOSS-SF into 'decreased', 'even', and 'increased' by comparing scores for the first and second years. The odds ratios of decreases and increases were calculated with respect to the even group. In the second model (the modified model), study subjects were re-divided into four high and low occupational stress groups, namely, Low \rightarrow Low, Low \rightarrow High, High \rightarrow Low, or High \rightarrow High groups, using median scores in the baseline and following year. We calculated and applied the median scores of these study subjects for each sub-factor in order to reflect the specificity of this group (descriptive statistics and median scores are presented in **Table 1**). We then calculated ORs of new-onset depression for all sub-factors using the Low \rightarrow Low group as a reference. The null hypothesis of no difference was rejected when p -values were < 0.05 .

Ethics statement

The present study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Inha University Hospital (IRB No. 2023-04-006).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the study group in 2018 and 2019

Sub-factor	2018				2019			
	Mean	Median	Range	IQR	Mean	Median	Range	IQR
Total	48.5	48.4	84.5	11.5	49.0	48.4	89.3	11.9
Job demand	42.8	41.7	100.0	16.7	43.0	41.7	100.0	16.7
Insufficient job control	61.0	66.7	91.7	16.7	60.8	66.7	100.0	16.7
Interpersonal conflict	41.0	33.4	100.0	11.1	41.2	33.4	100.0	11.1
Job insecurity	62.1	66.7	100.0	16.7	63.3	66.7	100.0	16.7
Organizational system	48.4	50.0	100.0	25.0	48.4	41.7	100.0	25.0
Lack of reward	51.6	55.6	100.0	33.3	52.8	55.6	100.0	22.2
Occupational climate	32.6	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.4	33.3	100.0	8.3

IQR: interquartile range.

RESULTS

Relations between general characteristics and new-onset depression

Table 2 presents the general characteristics of participants based on 2018 health checkup data. Of the 1,837 participants, 98 (5.3%) experienced new-onset depression. The p -values of relationships were calculated using the χ^2 test for; age, smoking, drinking, and physical activity. Physical activity had a significant effect on new-onset depression, indicating that those who exercised rarely had a higher incidence (7.5%) of new-onset depression than those that exercise adequately (4.4%). Furthermore, analysis of occupational stress total scores showed that employees in the high occupational stress group had a significantly higher incidence of new-onset depression than those in the low occupational stress group (7.5% vs. 3.2%, respectively).

Longitudinal association between occupational stress and new-onset depression

Table 3 shows that the risk of new-onset depression was positively associated with occupational stress scores. For each of the 7 sub-factors, no significant result was obtained for an occupational stress decrease. However, for all increases, ORs were greater than 1, and for job demand (OR: 2.46; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.50–4.01), interpersonal conflict (OR: 2.16; 95% CI: 1.35–3.45), organizational system (OR: 1.83; 95% CI: 1.10–3.06), and occupational climate (OR: 2.42; 95% CI: 1.48–3.95) results were significant.

Table 4 shows the results obtained using the second regression model, in which occupational stress changes were compared by dividing scores into low and high categories based on median scores for 2018 and 2019. This modified model provided more significant and consistent results than the first model. For all 7 sub-factors, the ORs of the Low → High and High → High groups were greater than 1, and statistically significant. Sub-factor analysis showed job demand had the highest OR (OR: 4.34; 95% CI: 2.37–7.96), followed by job

Table 2. General characteristics and incidences of new-onset depression among the study subjects

Variables	Total	New-onset depression	p -value ^a
Sex			
Male	1,837	98 (5.3)	
Age			0.821
20–29	70	4 (5.7)	
30–39	485	28 (5.8)	
40–49	527	24 (4.6)	
50–59	755	42 (5.6)	
Smoke			0.137
Non-smoker ^b	1,106	52 (4.7)	
Current smoker	731	46 (6.3)	
Alcohol			0.877
No	367	367 (4.6)	
Yes	1,470	1,470 (5.5)	
Physical activities ^c			0.001
Sometimes to always	1,288	57 (4.4)	
Rare or never	549	41 (7.5)	
Occupational stress ^d			< 0.001
Low	932	30 (3.2)	
High	905	68 (7.5)	

Values are presented as number (%).

^aThe χ^2 test was used to investigate relationships between general characteristics and new-onset depression.

^bNon-smoker includes ex-smokers.

^cThe cut-off value was set at 2.5 hours per week.

^dTotal KOSS-SF scores ($\frac{\text{sum of converted scores across all 7 sub-factors}}{7}$) were divided into low and high median scores.

Table 3. ORs of new-onset depression by occupational stress change

Sub-factor	Occupational stress change	No. (%)	OR ^a	95% CI
Total ^b	Decreased	838 (45.6)	1.64	0.22–12.38
	Even	42 (2.3)	1.00	
	Increased	957 (52.1)	3.32	0.45–24.63
Job demand	Decreased	577 (31.4)	0.93	0.51–1.70
	Even	662 (36.0)	1.00	
	Increased	598 (32.6)	2.46	1.50–4.01
Insufficient job control	Decreased	566 (30.8)	0.55	0.31–0.98
	Even	714 (38.9)	1.00	
	Increased	557 (30.3)	1.26	0.80–1.98
Interpersonal conflict	Decreased	447 (24.3)	1.31	0.77–2.24
	Even	921 (50.1)	1.00	
	Increased	469 (25.5)	2.16	1.35–3.45
Job insecurity	Decreased	491 (26.7)	1.38	0.83–2.30
	Even	796 (43.3)	1.00	
	Increased	550 (29.9)	1.57	0.96–2.55
Organizational system	Decreased	632 (34.4)	1.08	0.62–1.90
	Even	563 (30.6)	1.00	
	Increased	642 (34.9)	1.83	1.10–3.06
Lack of reward	Decreased	504 (27.4)	0.56	0.32–0.98
	Even	697 (37.9)	1.00	
	Increased	636 (34.6)	0.94	0.60–1.48
Occupational climate	Decreased	513 (27.9)	1.25	0.70–2.23
	Even	736 (40.1)	1.00	
	Increased	588 (32.0)	2.42	1.48–3.95

^aOR were adjusted for age, smoking, alcohol, and physical activity.

^bTotal KOSS-SF scores ($\frac{\text{sum of converted scores across all 7 sub-factors}}{7}$) were divided into low and high median scores.

insecurity (OR: 3.21; 95% CI: 1.89–5.48), occupational climate (OR: 3.18; 95% CI: 1.91–5.31), lack of reward (OR: 2.28; 95% CI: 1.26–4.12), interpersonal conflict (OR: 2.14; 95% CI: 1.18–3.86), insufficient job control (OR: 1.93; 95% CI: 1.05–3.56) and organizational system (OR: 1.84; 95% CI: 1.01–3.36). In addition, we found that for 6 sub-factors, except job insecurity, the ORs of the High → High group were consistently higher than those of the Low → High group, and again, job demand had the highest OR (OR: 5.86; 95% CI: 3.47–9.89) followed by occupational climate (OR: 3.88; 95% CI: 2.26–6.67), lack of reward (OR: 3.57; 95% CI: 2.19–5.84), organizational system (OR: 2.58; 95% CI: 1.58–4.23), interpersonal conflict (OR: 2.40; 95% CI: 1.45–3.98), and insufficient job control (OR: 2.25; 95% CI: 1.17–4.31).

DISCUSSION

This study analyzed the longitudinal effects of occupational stress changes on the incidence of new-onset depression. We found that increased stresses due to job demands, interpersonal conflict, organizational system, and occupational climate were risk factors for new-onset depression. In addition, continued high occupational stress for all sub-factors significantly affected the incidence of new-onset depression.

In the first analysis, we examined the effects of general characteristics suggested in previous studies on new-onset depression. Within our study group, no significant differences were attributed to age, smoking, or drinking, but those that did not exercise regularly had a significantly higher incidence of new-onset depression.^{14,18–20} Also, regarding occupational stress, those with higher total stress scores had a higher incidence of new-onset depression, which concurs with previous domestic studies on employees with different occupations.^{10,21,22}

Table 4. ORs of new-onset depression as determined by the modified model

Sub-factor	Occupational stress change ^a	No. (%)	OR ^b	95% CI
Total ^c	Low → Low	688 (37.5)	1.00	
	Low → High	244 (13.3)	3.92	1.87–8.21
	High → Low	231 (12.6)	2.33	1.01–5.40
	High → High	674 (36.7)	4.91	2.66–9.07
Job demand	Low → Low	984 (53.6)	1.00	
	Low → High	228 (12.4)	4.34	2.37–7.96
	High → Low	231 (12.6)	1.32	0.56–3.11
	High → High	394 (21.4)	5.86	3.47–9.89
Insufficient job control	Low → Low	1,398 (76.1)	1.00	
	Low → High	154 (8.4)	1.93	1.05–3.56
	High → Low	165 (9.0)	0.90	0.40–2.00
	High → High	120 (6.5)	2.25	1.17–4.31
Interpersonal conflict	Low → Low	828 (45.1)	1.00	
	Low → High	246 (15.0)	2.14	1.18–3.86
	High → Low	265 (14.4)	1.17	0.58–2.39
	High → High	468 (25.5)	2.40	1.45–3.98
Job insecurity	Low → Low	1,287 (70.1)	1.00	
	Low → High	209 (11.4)	3.21	1.89–5.48
	High → Low	148 (8.1)	1.58	0.75–3.30
	High → High	193 (10.5)	2.90	1.66–5.08
Organizational system	Low → Low	956 (52.0)	1.00	
	Low → High	267 (14.5)	1.84	1.01–3.36
	High → Low	247 (13.4)	1.51	0.79–2.91
	High → High	367 (20.0)	2.58	1.58–4.23
Lack of reward	Low → Low	1,044 (56.8)	1.00	
	Low → High	261 (14.2)	2.28	1.26–4.12
	High → Low	203 (11.1)	1.52	0.74–3.13
	High → High	329 (17.9)	3.57	2.19–5.84
Occupational climate	Low → Low	1,124 (61.2)	1.00	
	Low → High	280 (15.2)	3.18	1.91–5.31
	High → Low	223 (12.1)	1.24	0.59–2.61
	High → High	210 (11.4)	3.88	2.26–6.67

^aOccupational stress scores at baseline and one year later were divided into low and high scores about median scores.

^bORs were adjusted for age, smoking, alcohol, and physical activity.

^cTotal KOSS-SF scores ($\frac{\text{sum of converted scores across all 7 sub-factors}}{7}$) were divided into low and high median scores.

Logistic regression analysis showed that changes in some sub-factors of occupational stress over the year between surveys affected the incidence of new-onset depression. For an occupational stress decrease, only one of the 7 sub-factors (insufficient job control) had a significantly low OR for new-onset depression versus an even stress score. On the other hand, for an occupational stress increase, ORs were significantly higher for the four sub-factors; job demand, interpersonal conflict, organizational system, and occupational climate, which concurs with the results of previous longitudinal studies.^{23,24} This suggests that an increase in occupational stress has a greater effect than a decrease on the development of depression.

A modified model was designed for more detailed analysis based on median group numbers. Occupational stress change was reclassified into 4 groups by dividing stress scores into low and high values at baseline and the following year. The results obtained showed stronger correlations than the first model. For all sub-factors, the OR of depression in the Low → High group was greater than 1 and statistically significant, indicating that the Low → High group contained individuals whose occupational stress increased to a greater extent.

Another notable result was the higher incidence of new-onset depression observed in the High → High group. The ORs of all sub-factors were significant and even higher than in

the Low → High group, except for job insecurity. This suggests that even if a change in occupational stress is insignificant, those who continuously experience high stress are at greater risk of developing depression. Conversely, it was suggested in a previous study, which used a similar model, that the risk of new-onset depression is slightly higher when job strain, as assessed using Karasek's Job Content Questionnaire, increases from a low to a high level than when job strain persists at a high level.²⁵ These conflicting results were probably caused by the use of different occupational stress measurement methods and follow-up periods.

In both models, the sub-factor with the highest risk of new-onset depression was job demand. This factor measures job strain and includes time pressure, responsibility, job overload, and job versatility. As defined by Karasek,¹ job demand has been consistently considered an important contributor to occupational stress. In a longitudinal study conducted on 3,735 Canadian workers, the risk of new-onset depression was investigated over 2 years using components of Karasek's Job-Content Questionnaire. Job demand was found to increase the risk of new-onset depression significantly, whereas an increase in job control had no significant effect.²⁶ This suggests that our finding that job demand was the most significant sub-factor concurs with the findings of similar studies conducted in Western countries.

On the other hand, the “occupational climate” factor, which had the second highest OR, is believed to reflect Korean culture, which commonly features lack of a rational communication system and groupism (dining out as a group or drinking together). In addition, a cross-sectional study conducted in Korea on the relationship between depressive symptoms and occupational stress found that the occupational climate was the greatest risk factor of depression among male employees and the second highest among female employees.²¹ We believe that if management pays attention to this finding, improvements can be achieved in workplace atmosphere that would positively influence employee mental health.

In addition, the “Lack of rewards” factor had an impressively high OR. First proposed by Siegrist²⁷ in 1996, the effort-reward imbalance model emphasizes that individual reward significantly affects health. A study conducted among workers from a single press company showed that low rewards were associated with a higher risk of depressive symptoms among male than female workers.²⁸ This gender-associated difference can be interpreted in terms of the male role as the main contributor to family income. A similar tendency was observed in the present study, which was conducted on male workers.

The strength of this study is that it was performed using the data of almost 2,000 employees in the same plant. Normally, survey response rates of longitudinal studies are poor, but the use of data from mandatory annual health checkups in the present study resulted in a high response rate. In addition, we analyzed the effects of various sub-factors of occupational stress as defined by KOSS-SF, which reflects domestic workplace environments.

Nevertheless, the study has several limitations. First, the PHQ-2 contains fewer questions than other evaluation tools, which suggests it is less accurate. Furthermore, self-reporting bias cannot be excluded because psychiatrist-based diagnoses were not considered. Second, we did not consider changes in control variables such as smoking or alcohol use, over the one-year study period. Also, due to health checkup data limitations, we were unable to access information on other previously identified risk factors such as education level, marriage status, medical history, working hours, or work functions, which may have affected our results. Third, participants in this study worked at a single manufacturing plant and were

possibly exposed to similar occupational stresses, which introduces the risk of confirmation bias if our findings are applied to other groups. Finally, a one-year follow-up may have been too short to observe the development of new-onset depression. Further longitudinal studies are required to determine the long-term impacts of occupational stress.

CONCLUSIONS

We investigated how changes in occupational stress affect the development of new-onset depression among employees. Our results suggest that occupational stress and a persistently high level of occupational stress increase the risk of depression. Therefore, we stress the importance of managing occupational stress to promote the mental health of employees.

REFERENCES

1. Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: implications for job redesign. *Adm Sci Q* 1979;24(2):285-308.
[CROSSREF](#)
2. House JS, McMichael AJ, Wells JA, Kaplan BH, Landerman LR. Occupational stress and health among factory workers. *J Health Soc Behav* 1979;20(2):139-60.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Wedegaertner F, Arnhold-Kerri S, Sittaro NA, Bleich S, Geyer S, Lee WE. Depression- and anxiety-related sick leave and the risk of permanent disability and mortality in the working population in Germany: a cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 2013;13(1):145.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Wang PS, Simon G, Kessler RC. The economic burden of depression and the cost-effectiveness of treatment. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2003;12(1):22-33.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Chang SJ, Cha BS, Koh SB, Kang MG, Koh SR, Park JK. Association between job characteristics and psychosocial distress of industrial workers. *Korean J Prev Med* 1997;30:129-44.
6. Kang MG, Koh SB, Cha BS, Park JK, Baik SK, Chang SJ. Job stress and cardiovascular risk factors in male workers. *Prev Med* 2005;40(5):583-8.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Chang SJ, Koh SB, Kang MG, Cha BS, Park JK, Hyun SJ, et al. Developing an occupational stress scale for Korean employees. *J Prev Med Public Health* 2005;38(1):25-37.
[PUBMED](#)
8. OECD. *How's Life? 2020: Measuring Well-being*. 5th ed. Paris, France: OECD Publishing; 2020.
9. Chang SJ, Koh SB, Kang D, Kim SA, Kang MG, Lee CG, et al. Developing an occupational stress scale for Korean employees. *Korean J Occup Environ Med* 2005;17(4):297-317.
[CROSSREF](#)
10. Cho JJ, Kim JY, Chang SJ, Fiedler N, Koh SB, Crabtree BF, et al. Occupational stress and depression in Korean employees. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2008;82(1):47-57.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
11. Yoon SL, Kim JH. Job-related stress, emotional labor, and depressive symptoms among Korean nurses. *J Nurs Scholarsh* 2013;45(2):169-76.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Kim HD, Park SG. Employment status change and new-onset depressive symptoms in permanent waged workers. *Saf Health Work* 2021;12(1):108-13.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
13. Jo M, Koo HY, Cho IY, Lee Y, Yoon S, Yang Y, et al. Usefulness of the patient health questionnaire-2 in screening for depression. *Korean J Fam Pract* 2019;9(4):336-40.
[CROSSREF](#)
14. Akhtar-Danesh N, Landeen J. Relation between depression and sociodemographic factors. *Int J Ment Health Syst* 2007;1(1):4.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

15. Dinas PC, Koutedakis Y, Flouris AD. Effects of exercise and physical activity on depression. *Ir J Med Sci* 2011;180(2):319-25.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
16. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med* 2020;54(24):1451-62.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
17. Sedgwick P. Relative risks versus odds ratios. *BMJ* 2014;348:g1407.
[CROSSREF](#)
18. Fluharty M, Taylor AE, Grabski M, Munafò MR. The association of cigarette smoking with depression and anxiety: a systematic review. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2017;19(1):3-13.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
19. Graham K, Massak A, Demers A, Rehm J. Does the association between alcohol consumption and depression depend on how they are measured? *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2007;31(1):78-88.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
20. Ströhle A. Physical activity, exercise, depression and anxiety disorders. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* 2009;116(6):777-84.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
21. Park SG, Min KB, Chang SJ, Kim HC, Min JY. Job stress and depressive symptoms among Korean employees: the effects of culture on work. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2009;82(3):397-405.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
22. Lee SW, Kim KS, Kim TG, Ryu HW, Lee MY, Won YL, et al. The relationship between job stress and depressive symptoms in migrant workers in Kyung-gi Province in Korea. *Korean J Occup Environ Med* 2009;21(1):76-86.
[CROSSREF](#)
23. Stansfeld SA, Shipley MJ, Head J, Fuhrer R. Repeated job strain and the risk of depression: longitudinal analyses from the Whitehall II study. *Am J Public Health* 2012;102(12):2360-6.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
24. Wang J, Patten SB, Currie S, Sareen J, Schmitz N. A population-based longitudinal study on work environmental factors and the risk of major depressive disorder. *Am J Epidemiol* 2012;176(1):52-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
25. Wang J, Schmitz N, Dewa C, Stansfeld S. Changes in perceived job strain and the risk of major depression: results from a population-based longitudinal study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;169(9):1085-91.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
26. Smith PM, Bielecky A. The impact of changes in job strain and its components on the risk of depression. *Am J Public Health* 2012;102(2):352-8.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
27. Siegrist J. Adverse health effects of high-effort/low-reward conditions. *J Occup Health Psychol* 1996;1(1):27-41.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
28. Niedhammer I, Chastang JF, David S, Barouhiet L, Barrandon G. Psychosocial work environment and mental health: job-strain and effort-reward imbalance models in a context of major organizational changes. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 2006;12(2):111-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)