

Original Article



Combined effect of work from home and work during nonwork time on sleep disturbance

Jiyoung Lim (1) 1, Hyundong Lee (1) 1, Jae Bum Park (1) 1,2, Kyung-Jong Lee (1) 1,2, Inchul Jeong (1) 1,2, and Jaehyuk Jung (1) 1,2*

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Ajou University Hospital, Suwon, Korea ²Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Korea



Received: Jan 16, 2023 1st Revised: Mar 31, 2023 2nd Revised: May 27, 2023 3rd Revised: Jun 26, 2023 Accepted: Jun 28, 2023 Published online: Jul 31, 2023

*Correspondence:

Jaehyuk Jung, MD

Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Ajou University School of Medicine, 164 World cup-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon 16499, Republic of

Email: 109449@aumc.ac.kr

Copyright © 2023 Korean Society of Occupational & Environmental Medicine This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly

ORCID iDs

Jiyoung Lim 📵

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4302-8006

Hyundong Lee 🕞

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5025-8622

Jae Bum Park 📵

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5959-0477

Kyung-Jong Lee iD

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3874-8191

Inchul Jeong 📵

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8619-5034

Jaehyuk Jung 📵

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8697-9189

ABSTRACT

Background: Owing to the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, being exposed to work from home and work during nonwork time simultaneously can lead to sleep disturbance; however, their combined effect is unclear. We aimed to investigate the combined effect of work from home and work during nonwork time on sleep disturbance.

Methods: This study used data from the Sixth Korean Working Condition Survey and included 27,473 paid workers. Logistic regression analysis was conducted to investigate the relationship between work from home, work during nonwork time, and sleep disturbance according to sex. We re-classified participants into 4 groups based on their working from home (No/Yes) and working during nonwork time (No/Yes). The relative excess risk due to interaction was calculated to examine the effect of exposure to both telecommuting and non-regular work hours on sleep disturbance.

Results: Workers exposed to work from home and work during nonwork time had significantly higher risks of sleep disturbance for all, men, and women workers (OR [95% CI]: 1.71 [1.46–2.02], 1.79 [1.43–2.23], and 1.64 [1.29–2.08] for work from home and 3.04 [2.70–3.42], 3.61 [3.09–4.22], and 2.41 [2.01–2.90] for work during nonwork time, respectively). Compared to those who were not exposed to both factors, when workers had both job factors, the ORs (95% CI) of sleep disturbance for all, men, and women were 3.93 (2.80–5.53), 5.08 (3.21–8.03), and 2.91 (1.74–4.87), respectively. The relative excess risk due to interaction of work from home and work during nonwork time was not significant for sleep disturbance. **Conclusions:** Work from home and work during nonwork time were each associated with sleep disturbance, but the interaction between the two factors on sleep disturbance was not observed in both men and women.

Keywords: Telework; Remote working; Flexible workplace; Insomnia

BACKGROUND

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, which began in early 2020, has deeply affected daily life. Social distancing was implemented by governments to prevent the spread of this infectious disease, and telecommuting was recommended to reduce human-to-human contact in the working environment. In the United States and Europe, where strong closure



Abbreviations

CI: 95% confidence interval; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; KWCS: Korean Working Conditions Survey; MISS: Minimal Insomnia Symptom Scale; OR: odds ratio; RERI: relative excess risk due to interaction.

Competing interests

Inchul Jeong has been a member of the editorial board of the Annals of Occupational and Environmental Medicine since 2020. He was not involved in the review process. Otherwise, no potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Lim J, Lee H, Jung J; Data curation: Lim J, Lee H; Formal analysis: Lim J, Jeong I; Investigation: Lim J, Jung J; Methodology: Jung J; Supervision: Park JB, Lee KJ, Jeong I, Jung J. measures were implemented, 50% and 37% of all employed people worked from home,^{1,2} respectively, and telecommuting was activated in a short period of time in Korea. The number of telecommuters in 2019 was approximately 9.5 million, which accounted for 0.5% of the total number of employees; however, it was approximately 1.14 million (5.4% of the total employed) in 2021 during the COVID-19 pandemic, indicating an increase by more than 10 times.^{3,4}

Several studies have investigated the effects of telecommuting on workers. The positive effects were improvements in work flexibility and autonomy, and an improvement in productivity because of shortening of commuting time and working during that period instead.^{5,6} Conversely, some studies have shown that working was difficult because of worsening presentism, lack of support from colleagues, and lack of equipment.^{7,8} Telecommuting weakens the boundaries of time and space, which traditionally distinguished work from nonwork hours. These boundaries can make it difficult for workers to escape from work anytime, anywhere, and work outside of work hours. 10 This is called extended availability for work¹¹ or unregulated availability, ¹² which erases the distinction between work and free time, making it possible to work continuously.¹³ Consequently, workers' ability to recover from work decreases, 14 leading to role overload, anxiety, job satisfaction, and decreased performance. 15 Working from home could affect circadian rhythms. Changes in sleep/wake patterns and reduced exposure to light could disrupt circadian rhythms, mainly due to lack of sleep and increased sedentary lifestyle.16 Work during nonwork time is associated with sleep disturbance owing to an increase in the total number of working hours, 17 long hours of screen time, sedentarism and blurring boundaries of work and nonwork. 18

Sleep plays an important role in physiological and psychological functions and quality of life. ¹⁹ Inadequate sleep is associated with cardiovascular disease, obesity, ²⁰ and an increase in depression. ²¹ Sleep disturbance reduces productivity and increase accident risk, absenteeism, and turnover in workers. ²² As such, workers' sleep disturbance is a particularly important factor in health as well as accident prevention.

Prevention of sleep disturbance in workers is an important issue; therefore, work from home and work during nonwork time should be considered important factors. Although some studies have shown that workers who work from home have longer sleep durations than workers who do not, ²³ another study found that sleep durations are shorter in those who telecommute. ²⁴ However, studies on the combined effect of the two factors are scarce. Therefore, the effects of work from home and work during nonwork time on sleep disturbance and the combined effect of the two were investigated according to sex.

METHODS

Study population

A total of 50,538 wage workers who participated in the 6th Korean Working Conditions Survey (KWCS) in 2020 were screened for inclusion. Self-employed workers, unpaid family workers, and soldiers were excluded. Additionally, shift workers were excluded to remove any effect that shift working may have had on sleep disturbance. Participants with missing variable data (did not respond) and those who responded as "other," "don't know/no answer," and "reject" were also excluded. Finally, 23,908 people were selected for this study.



Main variables

Work from home

Regarding the question, "During the last 12 months (or since you have started your job), how often have you worked in any of the following locations?", for the option of "your own home," those who answered "always," "most of the time," "sometimes," and "rarely" were classified under "work from home." Those who responded as "never" were classified under "no work from home."

Work during nonwork time

Work during nonwork time was assessed using the question, "Over the last 12 months (or since you started your job), how often have you worked in your free time to meet work demands?" Those who answered "daily," "several times a week," and "several times a month" were classified under "work during nonwork time." Those who answered "less often" or "never" were classified under "no work during nonwork time."

Sleep disturbance

Sleep disturbance was assessed using the Minimal Insomnia Symptom Scale (MISS).²⁵ The question, "Over the last 12 months (or since you started your job), how often did you have any of the following sleep-related problems?" was asked to measure sleep disturbance. The three problems included "difficulty falling asleep," "waking up repeatedly during sleep," and "waking up feeling exhausted and fatigued." These responses were assigned 0–5 points in each response: "daily" (4 points), "several times a week" (3 points), "several times a month" (2 points), "less often" (1 point), and "never" (0 point). By summing the scores, a score of 6 or more was classified as having sleep disturbance, and a score of 5 or less was classified as no sleep disturbance.

Covariates

General characteristics included sex, age (< 30, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, and \ge 60 years), education level (middle school or less, high school graduate, and college or above), and health problems. Health problems were assessed using the question: "Do you have an illness or health problem which has lasted, or is expected to last, for more than 6 months?" with two response options: yes and no.

Occupational characteristics included occupation, employment status, weekly working hours, size of workplace, income, and job stress. Occupations were classified based on nine occupations from the Korean Standard Classification of Occupations. Managers, experts and related workers, and office workers were classified as white collar; service workers and sales workers, pink collar; and, skilled workers in agriculture and fisheries, technicians, equipment/machine operators, and assembly workers and simple laborers, blue collar. Employment status was grouped into regular and temporary/part-time. Weekly working hours were classified as \leq 40, 41–52, and \geq 53 hours. Number of employees was divided into < 50, 50–299, and \geq 300. Income was grouped into 10,000 Korean won/month units and was classified as < 200, 200–300, 300–399, and \geq 400. Job stress was estimated using the question: "Do you experience stress in your work?" The participants who responded "always," "most of the time," and "sometimes" were classified as "high" and "rarely," respectively; "never" was classified as "low."



Statistical analysis

The final data analysis was performed by applying the weights presented in the dataset under the KWCS data usage manual. The χ^2 test was used to assess the distribution of sleep disturbance according to the worker's general and occupational characteristics.

Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to obtain the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to investigate the relationship between work from home or work during nonwork time and sleep disturbance. We performed a sex-stratified analysis because there may be sex differences in the effects of work from home or work during nonwork time on the risk of sleep disturbance.

Work from home can be perceived to lead to work during nonwork time as this practice erases the boundary between work and free time. However, the result of Pearson correlation analysis showed a correlation coefficient of 0.09, indicating a low correlation between the two.

Finally, to assess the combined effect of the 2 exposure factors, the 2 variables were grouped and re-classified into 4 groups: "work from home (no)—work during nonwork time (no)," "work from home (yes)—work during nonwork time (no)," "work from home (no)—work during nonwork time (yes)," and "work from home (yes)—work during nonwork time (yes)." Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate the ORs and 95% CIs. For identifying the potential additive effect of work from home and work during nonwork time, the relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI) and statistical significance were estimated. ERI is used to investigate the presence of a synergistic effect of the two exposures. Synergistic interaction is suggested in case of RERI greater than 0.27

The *p*-values less than 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Ajou University Hospital (IRB No. 2022-0911-001).

RESULTS

The general characteristics of the study participants are presented in **Table 1**. After weighing, 27,473 participants were included in the study, with 15,523 (56.5%) men and 11,950 (43.5%) women. The percentage of workers who worked from home was the highest among those in their 30s. The rate of telecommuting was higher in the group with collegiate education or above, full-time workers, white collar workers, those working 40–52 hours per week, in workplace sizes of 250 or more, those with a monthly income of \geq 4 million won, and those with high job stress. General and occupational distribution of work during nonwork time was the same as the tendency of telecommuting, except that the proportion of workers who worked during nonwork time was the highest in the \geq 53 working hours group.

The distribution of sleep disturbance according to general characteristics, work-from-home, and work-during-nonwork time is presented in **Table 2**. The sleep disturbance was significantly higher in the higher the age, the lower the educational level, the group with



Table 1. Characteristics of the study population by work from home and work during nonwork time

Characteristics	Total (n = 27,473)		ork from home			uring nonwork time	
		No (n = 25,767) No. (%)	Yes (n = 1,706)	p-value	No (n = 24,692) No. (%)	Yes (n = 2,781) No. (%)	p-value
	No. (%)		No. (%)				
Sex				0.0873			0.0027
Men	15,523 (56.5)	14,525 (93.6)	998 (6.4)		13,877 (89.4)	1,645 (10.6)	
Women	11,950 (43.5)	11,242 (94.1)	708 (5.9)		10,815 (90.5)	1,135 (9.5)	
Age				< 0.0001			< 0.0001
< 30	4,446 (16.2)	4,239 (95.3)	208 (4.7)		4,048 (91.0)	398 (9.0)	
30-39	6,322 (23.0)	5,794 (91.6)	527 (8.3)		5,508 (87.1)	814 (12.9)	
40-49	7,036 (25.6)	6,519 (92.7)	516 (7.3)		6,240 (88.7)	796 (11.3)	
50-59	6,046 (22.0)	5,713 (94.5)	332 (5.5)		5,510 (91.1)	536 (8.9)	
≥ 60	3,623 (13.2)	3,502 (96.7)	122 (3.4)		3,386 (93.5)	237 (6.5)	
Education level				< 0.0001			< 0.0001
Middle school or less	2,312 (8.4)	2,257 (97.6)	55 (2.4)		2,183 (94.4)	129 (5.6)	
High school	8,456 (30.8)	8,184 (96.8)	272 (3.2)		7,776 (92.0)	680 (8.0)	
College or above	16,705 (60.8)	15,326 (91.7)	1,379 (8.3)		14,733 (88.2)	1,971 (11.8)	
Health problem	,		, ,	0.0814			< 0.0001
Yes	2,103 (7.7)	1,953 (92.9)	149 (7.1)		1,761 (83.7)	341 (16.2)	
No	25,370 (92.3)	23,814 (93.9)	1,557 (6.1)		22,931 (90.4)	2,439 (9.6)	
Employment Status	., ()	(()	,	< 0.0001	, ,	, (,	< 0.0001
Regular	22,191 (80.8)	20,666 (93.1)	1,525 (6.9)		19,837 (89.4)	2,354 (10.6)	
Temporary/part-time	5,282 (19.2)	5,101 (96.6)	181 (3.4)		4,855 (91.9)	426 (8.1)	
Occupation	-,()	5,222 (20.0)	(,,	< 0.0001	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	()	< 0.0001
White collar	14,060 (51.2)	12,739 (90.6)	1,291 (9.2)		12,291 (87.4)	1,739 (12.4)	
Pink collar	4,330 (15.8)	4,143 (95.7)	187 (4.3)		3,917 (90.5)	412 (9.5)	
Blue collar	9,113 (33.2)	8,886 (97.5)	227 (2.5)		8,484 (93.1)	629 (6.9)	
Working hours/week	0,220 (0012)	0,000 (0710)	227 (210)	< 0.0001	0, 10 1 (0012)	020 (0.0)	< 0.0001
< 40	5,154 (18.8)	4,919 (95.4)	235 (4.6)	. 0.0001	4,760 (92.4)	394 (7.6)	
40-52	20,199 (73.5)	18,843 (93.3)	1,355 (6.7)		18,191 (90.1)	2,008 (9.9)	
≥ 53	2,120 (7.7)	2,005 (94.6)	115 (5.4)		1,741 (82.1)	379 (17.9)	
No. of employees	2,120 (7.7)	2,000 (0 1.0)	110 (0.1)	< 0.0001	1,7 11 (02.11)	070 (17.0)	< 0.0001
< 50	17,853 (65.0)	17,010 (95.3)	843 (4.7)	(0.0001	16,157 (90.5)	1,695 (9.5)	(0.0001
50-299	5,065 (18.4)	4,693 (92.7)	372 (7.3)		4,561 (90.0)	504 (10.0)	
≥ 300	4,556 (16.6)	4,064 (89.2)	491 (10.8)		3,974 (87.2)	581 (12.8)	
Income (10,000 won/month)	4,000 (10.0)	4,004 (03.2)	451 (10.0)	< 0.0001	3,37+(07.2)	301 (12.0)	< 0.0001
< 200	7,332 (26.7)	7,046 (96.1)	285 (3.9)	₹0.0001	6,813 (92.9)	519 (7.1)	(0.0001
200-300	8,852 (32.2)	8,412 (95.0)	440 (5.0)		7,979 (90.1)	873 (9.9)	
300-400	6,158 (22.4)	5,752 (93.4)	407 (6.6)		5,452 (88.5)	706 (11.5)	
≥ 400	, ,	, ,	. ,		, ,	, ,	
	5,131 (18.7)	4,557 (88.8)	574 (11.2)	< 0.0001	4,448 (86.7)	683 (13.3)	< 0.0001
Job stress	01 402 (77 0)	10.016 (02.1)	1 400 (7.0)	₹ 0.0001	10.030 (00.0)	0.264/11.0	₹ 0.0001
High	21,403 (77.9)	19,916 (93.1)	1,488 (7.0)		19,039 (89.0)	2,364 (11.0)	
Low	6,070 (22.1)	5,852 (96.4)	218 (3.6)		5,653 (93.1)	417 (6.9)	

All numbers reflect weighted frequencies rounded to the nearest whole number.

health problems, non-regular workers, the group with high job stress, and the group that work-from-home or work-during-nonwork-time (p < 0.0001).

Logistic regression analysis results for work from home, work during nonwork time, and sleep disturbance are presented in **Table 3**. When compared with the non-telecommuting group, the OR of sleep disturbance in the telecommuting group were 1.71 (95% CI: 1.46–2.02) in both sexes. For men workers, the OR of sleep disturbance was 1.79 (95% CI: 1.43–2.23), and 1.64 (95% CI: 1.29–2.08) for women workers. When compared with the work-during-nonwork-time group, the ORs of sleep disturbance in the work-during-nonwork-time group were 3.04 (95% CI: 2.70–3.42) in both sexes. For men workers, the OR of sleep disturbance was 3.61 (95% CI: 3.09–4.22), and 2.41 (95% CI: 2.01–2.90) for women workers.

Table 2. Characteristics of the study population by sleep disturbance

Characteristics	Sle	eep disturbance	
	No (n = 25,393)	Yes (n = 2,080)	<i>p</i> -value
	No. (%)	No. (%)	- '
Sex			< 0.0001
Men	14,495 (93.4)	1,028 (6.6)	
Women	10,898 (91.2)	1,052 (8.8)	
Age			< 0.0001
< 30	4,249 (95.6)	198 (4.5)	
30-39	5,884 (93.1)	438 (6.9)	
40-49	6,511 (92.5)	525 (7.5)	
50-59	5,537 (91.6)	509 (8.4)	
≥ 60	3,213 (88.7)	410 (11.3)	
Education level	, ()	,	< 0.0001
Middle school or less	1,968 (85.1)	345 (14.9)	
High school	7,847 (92.8)	609 (7.2)	
College or above	15,579 (93.3)	1,126 (6.7)	
Health problem		_,(,	< 0.0001
Yes	1,511 (71.9)	592 (28.1)	
No	23,882 (94.1)	1,488 (5.9)	
Employment status	20,002 (0 1.1)	1, 100 (0.0)	< 0.0001
Regular	20,633 (93.0)	1,558 (7.0)	(0.0001
Temporary/part-time	4,761 (90.1)	521 (9.9)	
Occupation	4,701 (30.1)	321 (3.3)	< 0.0001
White collar	13,025 (92.8)	1,006 (7.2)	₹0.0001
Pink collar	4,034 (93.2)	296 (6.8)	
Blue collar	8,334 (91.5)	` '	
Working hours/week	0,334 (31.3)	778 (8.5)	< 0.0001
< 40	4,610 (89.5)	544 (10.6)	₹0.0001
40-52	, ,		
40-32 ≥ 53	18,893 (93.5)	1,306 (6.5)	
	1,890 (89.2)	230 (10.9)	0.2359
No. of employees	10 525 (00 0)	1 217 (7 4)	0.2359
< 50	16,535 (92.6)	1,317 (7.4)	
50-299	4,670 (92.2)	395 (7.8)	
≥ 300	4,188 (91.9)	367 (8.1)	0.0001
Income (10,000 won/month)	()	2=2 (2 2)	< 0.0001
< 200	6,658 (90.8)	673 (9.2)	
200-300	8,199 (92.6)	653 (7.4)	
300-400	5,764 (93.6)	394 (6.4)	
≥400	4,772 (93.0)	359 (7.0)	
Job stress			< 0.0001
High	19,686 (92.0)	1,717 (8.0)	
Low	5,707 (94.0)	363 (6.0)	
Work from home			< 0.0001
No	23,894 (92.7)	1,873 (7.3)	
Yes	1,499 (87.9)	206 (12.1)	
Work during nonwork time			< 0.0001
No	23,114 (93.6)	1,578 (6.4)	
Yes	2,279 (82.0)	502 (18.0)	

All numbers reflect weighted frequencies rounded to the nearest whole number.

Table 3. Adjusted ORs for sleep disturbance by work from home and work during nonwork time stratified by sex

		-	•
Variables	Sleep disturbance		
	All	Men	Women
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Work from home (Ref.: No)			
Yes	1.71 (1.46-2.02)	1.79 (1.43-2.23)	1.64 (1.29-2.08)
Work during nonwork time (Ref.: No)			
Yes	3.04 (2.70-3.42)	3.61 (3.09-4.22)	2.41 (2.01-2.90)

Adjusted for sex, age, education level, health problem, employment status, income, occupation, number of employees, working hours/week, job stress.

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Table 4. Combined effect of work from home and work during nonwork time on sleep disturbance stratified by sex

Variables	Work during r	RERI (95% CI)	
	No	Yes	
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	•
All workers			0.34 (-0.75 to 1.43)
Work from home			
No	1.00 (Ref.)	3.02 (2.66 to 3.43)	
Yes	1.57 (1.28 to 1.93)	3.93 (2.80 to 5.53)	
Men workers			0.86 (-0.96 to 2.68)
Work from home			
No	1.00 (Ref.)	3.59 (3.03 to 4.24)	
Yes	1.63 (1.23 to 2.17)	5.08 (3.21 to 8.03)	
Women workers			-0.01 (-1.29 to 1.26)
Work from home			
No	1.00 (Ref.)	2.41 (1.98 to 2.93)	
Yes	1.52 (1.13 to 2.04)	2.91 (1.74 to 4.87)	

Adjusted for age, education level, health problem, employment status, income, occupation, number of employees, working hours/week, job stress.

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; RERI: relative excess risk due to interaction.

The analysis of the four reclassified groups (each with a unique variation of the two combined exposure factors) that examined the combined effects of work from home and work during nonwork time is presented in **Table 4**. Compared with the reference group, the concurrently exposed group showed a high increase in the OR, i.e., 3.93 times (95% CI: 2.80–5.53) in both sexes, 5.08 times (95% CI: 3.21–8.03) in men workers and 2.91 times (95% CI: 1.74–4.87) in women workers compared to the group that was exposed to only one risk factor.

The RERI for both sexes was 0.34 (95% CI: -0.75, 1.43), 0.86 (95% CI: -0.96, 2.68) for male, and -0.01 (95% CI: -1.29, 1.26) for female. The RERI results provided evidence of a positive additive interaction between work from home and work during nonwork time in male workers but it was not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

This study investigated the relationship between telecommuting and work during nonwork time and its influence on sleep disturbance among domestic wage workers using data from the 6th KWCS. White collar, better educated, higher paid, and larger staffed workplace workers showed higher rates of telecommuting, corroborating previous findings. Among work-from-home and work-during-nonwork-time workers, the risks of sleep disturbance measurement were high in both male and female. Even though we could not identify the synergistic effect of 2 factors, men's sleep disturbance was much greater than women's when work from home and work during nonwork time simultaneously exposed.

There are several possible explanations for the findings regarding the association between work from home and sleep disturbance. The first is an increase in work-family interference. Working from home blurs the boundaries between work and home and increases work-family interference because workers attempt to manage multiple things simultaneously.²⁹ An increase in work-family interference is associated with sleep disturbance.³⁰ The work-home interference in the group who worked from home was approximately twice that of the group that did not work from home (**Supplementary Table 1**). Lack of separation between the individual and family of workers increases night working hours and stress, which can lead to sleep cycle dysregulation and impaired sleep quality.³¹



The second is the effect of social isolation. Telecommuting results in a lack of social interaction and can lead to emotional isolation and increased stress because of physical disconnection and the lack of social support from colleagues.³² Loneliness is an unpleasant feeling that arises when a sense of belonging is not felt,³³ and it can interfere with efficient sleep, reduce sleep quality and sleep duration, and worsen daytime sleepiness.³⁴ Social isolation owing to telecommuting can be associated with worsened sleep quality.³⁵

In addition, working from home can increase working hours, ³⁶ which appears to be a combined effect related to both the employers and the workers who telecommute. Employers expect teleworkers to use their free time, and this expectation alone can increase workers' sleep disturbances. ³⁷ Workers tend to work longer than the set working hours to compensate for their absence in the organization while working from home. ³⁸ Telecommuters deem themselves at a disadvantage in the performance review and development process; therefore, they spend more time working, ³⁹ and working in addition to regular working hours increases the total working hours. ^{18,40} According to several studies, increase in working hours has an effect on sleep disturbance. ¹⁷

Alternatively, several studies have shown that telecommuting has a positive effect on sleep. Owing to the ability to wake up late, sleep duration can be prolonged. Sleep disturbance tend to decline in people with late chronotype. ^{23,41} As sleep pattern, bedtime, wake-up time, and individual chronotype data were not available for this study, their potentially positive effects on telecommuting could not be identified.

Regarding the study results on work during nonwork time and sleep disturbance, psychological separation from work during off-hours is associated with workers' well-being, ⁴² and psychological separation from work during leisure time is more likely to be associated with sleep quality rather than continuous thinking about work-related issues. Psychological separation is deemed beneficial and less tiring. ⁴³ However, it is difficult to completely free oneself from work if work orders are received via email or phone, particularly during nonworking hours. Working after working hours is a job demand that causes emotional exhaustion and fatigue and can cause sleep disturbance. ^{44,45} Analysis of the participant data from this study revealed that, during free time, work–family interference was approximately 5 times higher in the working group than that in the nonworking group (**Supplementary Table 2**). Work–family conflict can lead to sleep disturbance and impaired sleep quality. ³¹

A synergy effect was not seen in this study on sleep disturbance of concurrent exposure of work from home and work during nonwork time. Nevertheless, when exposed to both factors simultaneously, men's sleep disturbance was greater than women's. In previous studies, the health outcomes of telecommuting could be worsen in women, but the gender effects are controversial. AGAT Since this study was conducted at a time when people were forced to work form home due to pandemic, direct comparison with research before COVID-19 would be difficult. This is because the spontaneity of telecommuting could affect outcomes. In Korean society, women traditionally have higher demands on household chores than men. However, while being forced to work from home under COVID-19, the responsibility of taking care of the family has increased due to closure of children's school or care facilities of elderly. When working from home, fathers may experience increased stress and pain, decreased happiness while mothers may experience increased happiness. Also, male telecommuters may experience higher work-family conflict than females when work-family integration was requested. In this study, we could not identify how long men and women



work during nonwork time, but men prioritized their work, considered paid work as their main area, and identify with themselves, ⁵¹ so it could be expected that men would spend more energy on work during nonwork time than women. As the overall prevalence of sleep disturbance was higher in women, a greater impact of telecommuting could be observed in men. In this study, we could identify the difference in the prevalence of sleep disturbance by sex whether they work from home or work during nonwork time. (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4).

An important finding in this study is that telecommuting itself could affect sleep disturbance. Previous studies have shown that working form home could increase working hours and affect sleep disturbance. ¹⁸ However, by separating the effects of telecommuting and overtime work on sleep disturbance, this study showed that sleep disturbance is related to work from home alone, not as a result of increased working hours.

This study has several limitations. First, a causal relationship could not be demonstrated because the KWCS (the data source) is a cross-sectional study. Second, the variables were limited to the existing questionnaire items. We could not determine the duration or the spontaneity of telecommuting, and we could not identify lifestyle habits such as drinking, smoking, caffeine intake, body mass index, and past history that could affect sleep disturbance. However, steps were taken to minimize the potential impact on overall health by adjusting for health problems. Third, as the KWCS is a self-report questionnaire, data are subjective by nature, and the clinical diagnosis of sleep disturbance was not available. However, the question regarding sleep disturbance is commonly used as a brief measure of sleeping difficulties.²⁵

This study is impactful in that it used the 6th and most recent KWCS that represented Korean workers. In addition, no previous studies explored the combined effect of telecommuting and work during nonwork time on sleep disturbance with such a large sample population as that of the KWCS.

CONCLUSIONS

This study suggests that work from home and work during nonwork time are each associated with sleep disturbance, and the effect could be greater in men. As telecommuting has become a new standard for work, it is necessary to protect workers who telecommute. Additionally, there is a need for a system or policy to prevent workers from working overtime.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Table 1

Adjusted OR for work-family interference by work from home

Click here to view

Supplementary Table 2

Adjusted OR for work-family interference by work during nonwork time

Click here to view



Supplementary Table 3

Prevalence of sleep disturbance by work from home and work during nonwork time stratified by sex

Click here to view

Supplementary Table 4

Combined prevalence of sleep disturbance by work from home and work during nonwork time stratified by sex

Click here to view

REFERENCES

- Eurofound. Living, working and COVID-19, COVID-19 series. https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/publications/ report/2020/living-working-and-covid-19. Updated November 6, 2020. Accessed November 7, 2022.
- Brynjolfsson E, Horton JJ, Ozimek A, Rock D, Sharma G, TuYe HY. COVID-19 and remote work: an early look at US data. Natl Bur Econ Res 2020;2020:27344.
- Statistics Korea. August 2019 economic active population survey results of an additional survey by type of work [Korean]. http://kostat.go.kr/portal/korea/kor_nw/1/1/index.board?bmode=read&bSeq=&aSeq= 378317&pageNo=1&rowNum=10&navCount=10&currPg=&searchInfo=&sTarget=title&sTxt=. Updated October 29, 2019. Accessed November 7, 2022.
- Statistics Korea. August 2021 economic active population survey results of an additional survey by type of work [Korean]. http://kostat.go.kr/portal/korea/kor_nw/1/1/index.board?bmode=read&aSeq=414714. Updated October 26, 2021. Accessed November 7, 2022.
- McNall LA, Masuda AD, Nicklin JM. Flexible work arrangements, job satisfaction, and turnover intentions: the mediating role of work-to-family enrichment. J Psychol 2010;144(1):61-81.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 6. Seva RR, Tejero LM, Fadrilan-Camacho VF. Barriers and facilitators of productivity while working from home during pandemic. J Occup Health 2021;63(1):e12242.

PUBMED I CROSSREF

 Mann S, Holdsworth L. The psychological impact of teleworking: stress, emotions and health. New Technol Work Employ 2003;18(3):196-211.

CROSSREF

8. Sardeshmukh SR, Sharma D, Golden TD. Impact of telework on exhaustion and job engagement: a job demands and job resources model. New Technol Work Employ 2012;27(3):193-207.

9. Richardson K, Benbunan-Fich R. Examining the antecedents of work connectivity behavior during non-work time. Inf Organ 2011;21(3):142-60.

CROSSREF

- Mellner C, Kecklund G, Kompier M, Sariaslan A, Aronsson G. Boundaryless work, psychological detachment and sleep: does working 'anytime-anywhere' equal employees are 'always on'? In: Leede JD, editor. New Ways of Working Practices. Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited; 2016, 29-47.
- 11. Dettmers J, Bamberg E, Seffzek K. Characteristics of extended availability for work: the role of demands and resources. Int J Stress Manag 2016;23(3):276-97.

CROSSREF

- Pangert B, Pauls N, Schüpbach H. Consequences of permanent availability on life-domain-balance and health. https://www.baua.de/EN/Service/Publications/Report/Gd76.html. Updated 2016. Accessed November 7, 2022.
- 13. Von Bergen CW, Bressler MS. Work, non-work boundaries and the right to disconnect. J Appl Bus Econ 2019;21(2):51-69.
- 14. Mohd Fauzi MF, Mohd Yusoff H, Muhamad Robat R, Mat Saruan NA, Ismail KI, Mohd Haris AF. Doctors' mental health in the midst of COVID-19 pandemic: the roles of work demands and recovery experiences. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17(19):7340.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF



15. Lutz S, Schneider FM, Vorderer P. On the downside of mobile communication: an experimental study about the influence of setting-inconsistent pressure on employees' emotional well-being. Comput Human Behav 2020;105:106216.

CROSSREF

 Baquerizo-Sedano L, Chaquila JA, Aguilar L, Ordovás JM, González-Muniesa P, Garaulet M. Anti-COVID-19 measures threaten our healthy body weight: Changes in sleep and external synchronizers of circadian clocks during confinement. Clin Nutr 2022;41(12):2988-95.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

 Afonso P, Fonseca M, Pires JF. Impact of working hours on sleep and mental health. Occup Med (Lond) 2017;67(5):377-82.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Xiao Y, Becerik-Gerber B, Lucas G, Roll SC. Impacts of working from home during COVID-19 pandemic on physical and mental well-being of office workstation users. J Occup Environ Med 2021;63(3):181-90.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Zielinski MR, McKenna JT, McCarley RW. Functions and mechanisms of sleep. AIMS Neurosci 2016;3(1):67-104.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 20. Patel SR, Malhotra A, White DP, Gottlieb DJ, Hu FB. Association between reduced sleep and weight gain in women. Am J Epidemiol 2006;164(10):947-54.
 - PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 21. Germain A, Kupfer DJ. Circadian rhythm disturbances in depression. Hum Psychopharmacol 2008;23(7):571-85.
 - PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 22. Daley M, Morin CM, LeBlanc M, Grégoire JP, Savard J, Baillargeon L. Insomnia and its relationship to health-care utilization, work absenteeism, productivity and accidents. Sleep Med 2009;10(4):427-38.
- 23. Conroy DA, Hadler NL, Cho E, Moreira A, MacKenzie C, Swanson LM, et al. The effects of COVID-19 stayat-home order on sleep, health, and working patterns: a survey study of US health care workers. J Clin Sleep Med 2021;17(2):185-91.
 - PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ekpanyaskul C, Padungtod C. Occupational health problems and lifestyle changes among novice working-from-home workers amid the COVID-19 pandemic. Saf Health Work 2021;12(3):384-9.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 25. Broman JE, Smedje H, Mallon L, Hetta J. The Minimal Insomnia Symptom Scale (MISS): a brief measure of sleeping difficulties. Ups J Med Sci 2008;113(2):131-42.
 - PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Knol MJ, VanderWeele TJ. Recommendations for presenting analyses of effect modification and interaction. Int J Epidemiol 2012;41(2):514-20.
 - PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 27. VanderWeele TJ. Sufficient cause interactions and statistical interactions. Epidemiology 2009;20(1):6-13.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Felstead A, Henseke G. Assessing the growth of remote working and its consequences for effort, wellbeing and work-life balance. New Technol Work Employ 2017;32(3):195-212.
- van der Lippe T, Lippényi Z. Beyond formal access: organizational context, working from home, and work–family conflict of men and women in European workplaces. Soc Indic Res 2020;151(2):383-402.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 30. Silva-Costa A, Toivanen S, Rotenberg L, Viana MC, da Fonseca MJM, Griep RH. Impact of work-family conflict on sleep complaints: results from the Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil). Front Public Health 2021;9:649974.
 - PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 31. Rohwer E, Kordsmeyer AC, Harth V, Mache S. Boundarylessness and sleep quality among virtual team members a pilot study from Germany. J Occup Med Toxicol 2020;15(1):30.
- 32. Vander Elst T, Verhoogen R, Sercu M, Van den Broeck A, Baillien E, Godderis L. Not extent of telecommuting, but job characteristics as proximal predictors of work-related well-being. J Occup Environ Med 2017;59(10):e180-6.
 - PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Mellor D, Stokes M, Firth L, Hayashi Y, Cummins R. Need for belonging, relationship satisfaction, loneliness, and life satisfaction. Pers Individ Dif 2008;45(3):213-8.

 CROSSREF



- Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. Aging and loneliness: downhill quickly? Curr Dir Psychol Sci 2007;16(4):187-91.

 CROSSREF
- 35. Aanes MM, Hetland J, Pallesen S, Mittelmark MB. Does loneliness mediate the stress-sleep quality relation? The Hordaland Health Study. Int Psychogeriatr 2011;23(6):994-1002.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 36. Ojala S, Pyöriä P. Mobile knowledge workers and traditional mobile workers: Assessing the prevalence of multi-locational work in Europe. Acta Sociol 2018;61(4):402-18.
- 37. Knardahl S, Christensen JO. Working at home and expectations of being available: effects on perceived work environment, turnover intentions, and health. Scand J Work Environ Health 2022;48(2):99-108.
- 38. Hill EJ, Ferris M, Märtinson V. Does it matter where you work? A comparison of how three work venues (traditional office, virtual office, and home office) influence aspects of work and personal/family life. J Vocat Behav 2003:63(2):220-41.
- 39. Kelliher C, Anderson D. For better or for worse? An analysis of how flexible working practices influence employees' perceptions of job quality. Int J Hum Resour Manage 2008;19(3):419-31.
- 40. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work (EU-OSHA). Telework and health risks in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic: evidence from the field and policy implications. https://osha.europa.eu/en/publications/telework-and-health-risks-context-covid-19-pandemic-evidence-field-and-policy-implications. Updated October 22, 2021. Accessed November 7, 2022.
- 41. Salfi F, D'Atri A, Amicucci G, Viselli L, Gorgoni M, Scarpelli S, et al. The fall of vulnerability to sleep disturbances in evening chronotypes when working from home and its implications for depression. Sci Rep 2022;12(1):12249.
 - PUBMED | CROSSREF

CROSSREE

- 42. Lee S, Zhou ZE, Xie J, Guo H. Work-related use of information and communication technologies after hours and employee fatigue: the exacerbating effect of affective commitment. J Manag Psychol 2021;36(6):477-90.
- 43. Sonnentag S, Bayer UV. Switching off mentally: predictors and consequences of psychological detachment from work during off-job time. J Occup Health Psychol 2005;10(4):393-414.
- 44. Ragsdale JM, Hoover CS. Cell phones during nonwork time: a source of job demands and resources. Comput Human Behav 2016;57:54-60.
 - CROSSREF

CROSSREF

- 45. Wu J, Wang N, Mei W, Liu L. Technology-induced job anxiety during non-work time: examining conditional effect of techno-invasion on job anxiety. Int J Netw Virtual Organ 2020;22(2):162-82.
- 46. Song Y, Gao J. Does telework stress employees out? A study on working at home and subjective well-being for wage/salary workers. J Happiness Stud 2020;21(7):2649-68.
- 47. Kazekami S; Sachiko Kazekami. Mechanisms to improve labor productivity by performing telework. Telecomm Policy 2020;44(2):101868.
 - CROSSRE
- 48. Kaluza AJ, van Dick R. Telework at times of a pandemic: The role of voluntariness in the perception of disadvantages of telework. Curr Psycho. Forthcoming 2022.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Salfi F, Lauriola M, Amicucci G, Corigliano D, Viselli L, Tempesta D, et al. Gender-related time course of sleep disturbances and psychological symptoms during the COVID-19 lockdown: a longitudinal study on the Italian population. Neurobiol Stress 2020;13:100259.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 50. Eddleston KA, Mulki J. Toward understanding remote workers' management of work–family boundaries: the complexity of workplace embeddedness. Group Organ Manage 2020;42(3):346-87.
- Gerstel N, Clawson D. Class advantage and the gender divide: flexibility on the job and at home. Am J Sociol 2014;120(2):395-431.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF