

Original Article



Effect of Education on Discriminability of Montreal Cognitive Assessment Compared to Mini-Mental State Examination

Haeyoon Kim ,^{1,2} Seonyeong Yang ,¹ Jaesel Park ,^{1,3} Byeong Chae Kim ,² Kyung-Ho Yu ,³ Yeonwook Kang ¹

¹Department of Psychology, College of Social Sciences, Hallym University, Chuncheon, Korea

²Department of Neurology, Choennam National University Hospital, Gwangju, Korea

³Department of Neurology, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Anyang, Korea



Received: Apr 3, 2023

Accepted: Apr 26, 2023

Published online: Apr 30, 2023

Correspondence to

Yeonwook Kang

Department of Psychology, College of Social Sciences, Hallym University, 1 Hallymdaehak-gil, Chuncheon 24252, Korea.

Email: ykang@hallym.ac.kr

© 2023 Korean Dementia Association

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Haeyoon Kim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1817-8654>

Seonyeong Yang

<https://orcid.org/0009-0002-4482-8427>

Jaesel Park

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7160-3456>

Byeong Chae Kim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6827-6730>

Kyung-Ho Yu

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8997-5626>

Yeonwook Kang

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4426-9127>

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

ABSTRACT

Background and Purpose: The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) has been known as a screening test for detecting mild cognitive impairment (MCI) better than Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). However, in previous domestic studies, no significant difference was found in the discriminability between MoCA and MMSE. Researchers have suggested that this might be because older Koreans are less educated than older Westerners. This study was conducted to examine the effect of education on the discriminability of MoCA compared to the MMSE.

Methods: Participants were 123 cognitively normal elderly, 118 with vascular MCI, 108 with amnesic MCI, 121 with vascular dementia, and 113 with dementia of the Alzheimer's type. The Korean-MoCA (K-MoCA) and Korean-MMSE (K-MMSE) were administered. Multiple regression analyses and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were performed.

Results: In all participants, education significantly affected both K-MoCA and K-MMSE scores along with age. The effect of education was re-examined by subgroup analysis after dividing subjects according to the level of education. Effect of education on K-MoCA and K-MMSE was only shown in the group with <9 years of education. ROC curve analyses revealed that the discriminability of K-MoCA to differentiate between vascular MCI and normal elderly was significantly higher than that of K-MMSE. When re-examining subgroups divided by education level, however, this higher discriminability of K-MoCA disappeared in the group with <9 years of education.

Conclusions: These results indicate no difference in discriminating cognitive deficits between K-MoCA and K-MMSE in Korean elderly with <9 years of education.

Keywords: MoCA; MMSE; Discriminability; Education; MCI

INTRODUCTION

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)¹ was developed as a screening test for mild cognitive impairment (MCI).² It is one of the representative screening tests used in clinical

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Kang Y; Formal analysis: Kim H; Data curation: Kim H, Yang S, Park J, Kang Y; Funding acquisition: Kang Y; Methodology: Kim H, Yang S, Kang Y; Project administration: Kang Y; Writing - original draft: Kim H; Writing - review & editing: Kim H, Kang Y, Kim BC, Yu KH.

settings. It includes more subtests for assessing frontal and executive function than the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE).^{3,4} Many studies have reported that the MoCA is superior to the MMSE in detecting MCI⁵⁻⁹ and vascular cognitive impairment.^{10,11}

A domestic study¹² also reported that the MoCA was more useful than MMSE in discriminating between normal elderly and amnesic MCI. However, another domestic study¹³ did not find a significant difference in discriminating vascular MCI from normal elderly individuals between the MoCA and MMSE. The researchers suggested that this was because the level of education of the elderly in Korea was lower than that of the elderly who participated in foreign studies. In other words, since the MoCA includes difficult items that elderly individuals with low educational attainment cannot perform well, it can be predicted that if the MoCA is conducted for elderly people with low educational attainment, it will not be different from the discriminability of the MMSE, which consists of relatively easy items. Indeed, studies conducted in Western countries using the MoCA and MMSE have shown that participants have an average of 14–15 years of education,^{9,14,15} while studies conducted in Korea show an average education level of 5–8 years.^{12,13,16-18} According to data from the Ministry of Health and Welfare, only 17.2% of older Koreans in 2008 and 34% in 2020 have had a high school or higher education.¹⁹ Although the number of highly educated elderly is increasing in Korea, it is clear that administering MoCA for the elderly with low education in the dementia field has far more cases in Korea than in Western countries.

Therefore, this study aimed to confirm the effect of education on MoCA compared to MMSE in Korean elderly, many of whom had low education. This study also aimed to compare differences in discriminability between MoCA and MMSE according to education level in more detail.

METHODS**Participants**

A total of 583 subjects were analyzed (271 males and 312 females), with an average age of 74.19±8.71 years and 8.37±4.48 years of education. There were 123 subjects who were considered cognitively normal (CN), 118 with vascular mild cognitive impairment (VaMCI), 108 with amnesic mild cognitive impairment (amMCI), 121 with vascular dementia (VaD), and 113 with dementia of the Alzheimer's type (DAT). Participants of the CN group who fulfilled Christensen's health screening criteria²⁰ were recruited through community outreach. The VaMCI and VaD groups included patients with cognitive impairment due to vascular disease based on the diagnostic criteria of the American Heart Association-American Stroke Association.²¹ Petersen's criteria were used for amMCI. The clinical diagnosis of DAT was based on the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroup.²² All patients underwent a clinical interview with a neurologist, a neurological examination, brain imaging, and neuropsychological tests. The final diagnosis was made by a neurologist. The MCI groups (VaMCI and amMCI) included patients with a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR)²³ score of 0 or 0.5 (MCI/suspicion of dementia) and the dementia group had a CDR score of 1.0 or 2.0.

Measures

The Korean-MoCA (K-MoCA)¹³ and Korean-MMSE (K-MMSE)²⁴ were administered to all participants with an interval of at least 2 hours between the two tests. The total score was 30 points for each of the 2 tests. For MCI and dementia groups, a comprehensive

neuropsychological assessment (Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery, 2nd Edition; SNSB-II)²⁵ and the short version of Geriatric Depression Scale (SGDS)²⁶ were administered. Caregivers of the patient groups also completed the Korean-Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (K-IADL).²⁷

Statistical analysis

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to examine differences in demographics and other variables among groups, followed by Bonferroni’s *post hoc* comparison test. Pearson’s χ^2 test was used to examine differences in sex composition among groups. In addition, multiple regression analysis was conducted to confirm effects of demographic variables on K-MoCA and K-MMSE scores. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to examine the discriminability of K-MoCA and K-MMSE while controlling for effects of age and education level as covariates. In addition, AUCs of MoCA and MMSE scores were compared using Hanley and McNeil’s method.²⁸ SPSS 25.0 was used for ANOVA, Pearson’s χ^2 , and multiple regression analysis and the SAS program (SAS ver. 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for ROC analysis.

Ethics statement

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital (HIRB-2019-03-011-001).

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics and SGDS, K-IADL, and CDR scores of participants are shown in **Table 1**. No significant difference was found in the sex ratio or education level among groups. There was no difference in age among CN, amMCI, and VaD groups. However, the VaMCI group was younger than others, with the DAT group being the oldest. A comparison of differences in depression (SGDS), level of impairment in daily living (K-IADL), and severity of dementia (CDR-Global Score/Sum of Boxes [GS/SB]) among patient groups except CN showed that the VaD group scored higher SGDS than others. The K-IADL and CDR-GS/SB scores were significantly higher in dementia groups (VaD and DAT) than in MCI groups (VaMCI and amMCI).

Table 1. Demographical characteristics, SGDS, CDR, K-MoCA, and K-MMSE of participants

Variables	CN ^a (n=123)	VaMCI ^b (n=118)	amMCI ^c (n=108)	VaD ^d (n=121)	DAT ^e (n=113)	F or χ^2	Post hoc (Bonferroni)
Age (yr)	74.15±7.31	70.08±9.57	73.80±8.56	74.44±8.73	78.63±7.14	15.34***	b<a=c=d<e
Sex (M/F)	55/68	62/56	46/62	61/60	47/66	$\chi^2=4.39$	ns
Education (yr)	8.59±3.26	8.64±4.48	8.67±4.78	7.44±4.73	8.58±4.98	1.68	ns
SGDS	NA	5.09±4.08	4.96±3.99	7.27±4.68	4.65±4.18	9.44***	b=c=e<d
K-IADL	NA	0.11±0.12	0.18±0.12	0.98±0.53	0.90±0.48	179.97***	b=c<d=e
CDR-GS	NA	0.48±0.11	0.50±0.05	0.93±0.17	0.92±0.19	362.94***	b=c<d=e
CDR-SB	NA	1.49±0.99	2.01±1.08	5.24±1.55	5.44±1.60	281.76***	b=c<d=e
K-MoCA	21.00±3.98	19.59±5.21	19.23±4.85	13.60±4.93	13.90±4.50	75.81***	a>b=c>d=e
K-MMSE	26.07±2.59	25.29±3.38	24.22±3.50	20.47±4.47	20.67±3.30	70.98***	a=b>d, a>c>e, b=c>d=e

SGDS: short form of the Geriatric Depression Scale, CDR: Clinical Dementia Rating, K-MoCA: Korean Montreal Cognitive Assessment, K-MMSE: Korean-Mini Mental State Examination, CN: cognitively normal, VaMCI: vascular mild cognitive impairment, amMCI: amnesic mild cognitive impairment, VaD: vascular dementia, DAT: dementia of Alzheimer’s type, K-IADL: Korean-Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; CDR-GS: Clinical Dementia Rating-Global Score; CDR-SB: Clinical Dementia Rating-Sum of Boxes, NA: not applicable, ns: not significant.

***p<0.001.

Effect of Education on MoCA

Table 2. Results of multiple regression analysis of age, education, and sex for predicting K-MoCA and K-MMSE test scores

Group	K-MoCA or K-MMSE	Variables	F	B	SE	β	t
Total	K-MoCA	Age	100.80***	-0.19	0.02	-0.30	-8.57***
		Education		0.56	0.05	0.44	12.15***
		Sex		-0.22	0.41	-0.02	-0.55
	K-MMSE	Age	58.33***	-0.10	0.02	-0.20	-5.48***
		Education		0.38	0.04	0.41	10.30***
		Sex		0.21	0.33	0.03	0.63
<9 years of education	K-MoCA	Age	29.94***	-0.18	0.04	-0.25	-4.77***
		Education		0.73	0.11	0.37	6.87***
		Sex		-1.18	0.58	-0.11	-2.01
	K-MMSE	Age	18.40***	-0.11	0.03	-0.17	-3.11*
		Education		0.59	0.10	0.35	6.17***
		Sex		-0.28	0.52	-0.03	-0.54
≥9 years of education	K-MoCA	Age	14.59***	-0.18	0.03	-0.36	-6.54***
		Education		0.15	0.10	0.08	1.47
		Sex		0.40	0.54	0.04	0.74
	K-MMSE	Age	6.01*	-0.08	0.02	-0.23	-4.01***
		Education		-0.04	0.07	-0.03	-0.54
		Sex		0.43	0.38	0.07	1.14

K-MoCA: Korean-Montreal Cognitive Assessment, K-MMSE: Korean-Mini Mental State Examination, SE: standard error.
* $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table 2 presents results of multiple regression analysis of age, education, and sex for predicting K-MoCA and K-MMSE test scores. For all participants, results showed that the regression function was statistically significant for K-MoCA ($F [3,579]=100.80, p < 0.001$) and K-MMSE ($F [3,579]=58.33, p < 0.001$) scores. A detailed analysis of B coefficients of regression functions showed that education level was the best predictor of the K-MoCA score ($B=0.56, t [1,579]=12.15, p < 0.001$) and the K-MMSE score ($B=0.38, t [1,579]=10.30, p < 0.001$). Age was also found to have a significant effect on K-MoCA ($B=-0.19, t [1,579]=-8.57, p < 0.001$) and K-MMSE ($B=-0.10, t [1,579]=-5.48, p < 0.001$) scores. However, sex did not significantly predict K-MoCA and K-MMSE scores.

To examine the effect of education level on K-MoCA and K-MMSE in more detail, the total group was divided into two groups (<6 years of education vs. ≥6 years of education and <9 years of education vs. ≥9 years of education). Multiple regression analysis was conducted again for each group. As with the total group, regression functions for both <6 years and ≥6 years groups were statistically significant for education level and age in K-MoCA and K-MMSE. However, when the total group was divided into a group with <9 years of education and a group with ≥9 years of education, the two groups showed different results. In the <9 years group, as with the total group, education level was more predictive of K-MoCA ($B=0.73, t [1,277]=6.87, p < 0.001$) and K-MMSE ($B=0.59, t [1,277]=6.17, p < 0.001$) scores than age (K-MoCA: $B=-0.18, t [1,277]=-4.77, p < 0.001$ and K-MMSE: $B=-0.11, t [1,277]=-3.11, p < 0.05$), but sex did not significantly predict K-MoCA or K-MMSE scores. However, in the ≥9 years group, age had a significant effect on K-MoCA ($B=-0.18, t [1,298]=-6.54, p < 0.001$) and K-MMSE ($B=-0.08, t [1,298]=-4.01, p < 0.001$) scores, but education level no longer had a significant effect on either test. Sex did not have a significant effect on K-MoCA or K-MMSE score either.

ROC curve analysis of all participants revealed that both K-MoCA and K-MMSE detected CN from MCI groups (VaMCI and amMCI), CN from dementia groups (VaD and DAT), VaMCI from the VaD group, and amMCI from the DAT group with good ($0.8 \leq AUC < 0.9$) or excellent ($0.9 \leq AUC < 1.0$) levels.²⁹ In addition, the AUC for the K-MoCA was significantly larger than that for the K-MMSE in differentiating VaMCI from CN ($0.88 [95\% \text{ CI: } 0.83-0.92]$ vs. 0.85

Table 3. Discriminative values (AUC scores) of K-MoCA and K-MMSE in group comparison

Group	K-MoCA			K-MMSE			K-MoCA vs. K-MMSE	
	AUC	95% CI		AUC	95% CI		χ^2	p-value
		LL	UL		LL	UL		
Total								
CN vs. VaMCI	0.88	0.83	0.92	0.85	0.80	0.90	5.79	0.02
CN vs. amMCI	0.88	0.83	0.92	0.89	0.85	0.93	3.08	0.08
CN vs. VaD	0.96	0.94	0.98	0.95	0.92	0.97	2.64	0.10
CN vs. DAT	0.97	0.95	0.98	0.97	0.96	0.99	0.62	0.43
VaMCI vs. VaD	0.90	0.86	0.94	0.90	0.86	0.94	0.003	0.95
amMCI vs. DAT	0.92	0.89	0.95	0.90	0.86	0.94	2.29	0.13
<9 years of education								
CN vs. VaMCI	0.93	0.88	0.98	0.92	0.87	0.97	0.51	0.47
CN vs. amMCI	0.91	0.85	0.96	0.91	0.85	0.96	0.00	0.96
CN vs. VaD	0.96	0.93	0.99	0.96	0.93	0.99	0.01	0.91
CN vs. DAT	0.97	0.94	1.00	0.97	0.94	0.99	0.04	0.85
VaMCI vs. VaD	0.89	0.84	0.95	0.91	0.86	0.96	0.66	0.42
amMCI vs. DAT	0.92	0.87	0.97	0.91	0.86	0.96	0.42	0.52
≥9 years of education								
CN vs. VaMCI	0.92	0.88	0.96	0.89	0.84	0.94	4.54	0.03
CN vs. amMCI	0.94	0.90	0.98	0.95	0.91	0.98	0.78	0.38
CN vs. VaD	0.98	0.95	1.00	0.98	0.95	1.00	0.07	0.79
CN vs. DAT	0.99	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.23	0.27
VaMCI vs. VaD	0.99	0.98	1.00	0.98	0.95	1.00	2.34	0.13
amMCI vs. DAT	0.98	0.97	1.00	0.96	0.92	0.99	3.61	0.06

AUC: area under the curve, K-MoCA: Korean-Montreal Cognitive Assessment, K-MMSE: Korean-Mini Mental State Examination, CI: confidence interval, LL: lower limit, UL: upper limit, CN: cognitively normal, VaMCI: vascular mild cognitive impairment, amMCI: amnesic mild cognitive impairment, VaD: vascular dementia, DAT: dementia of Alzheimer's type.

[95% CI: 0.80–0.90], $\chi^2=5.79$, $p=0.02$]. However, there was no difference in differentiating amMCI from CN, VaD from CN, DAT from CN, VaMCI from VaD, or amMCI from DAT.

To investigate the effect of education on the discriminability of the 2 tests in more detail, based on results of the regression analysis, ROC analysis was conducted again for the group with <9 years of education and the group with ≥9 years of education, respectively. In both groups, K-MoCA (AUC: 0.89–0.99) and K-MMSE (AUC: 0.89–1.00) discriminated CN from MCI groups (VaMCI and amMCI), CN from dementia groups (VaD and DAT), and MCI groups (VaMCI and amMCI) from dementia groups (VaD and DAT) with an excellent level. Like results of the total group, in the <9 years group, the K-MoCA differentiated VaMCI from CN better than K-MMSE (0.92 [95% CI: 0.88–0.96] vs. 0.89 [95% CI: 0.84–0.94], $\chi^2=4.54$, $p=0.03$]. However, in the ≥9 years group, the K-MoCA and the K-MMSE did not show any significant differences in discriminability (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This study was conducted to investigate effect of educational level on the MoCA and to find out in detail the difference in the discriminability of MoCA according to education level by comparing it with the MMSE. Results showed that in the total group, the higher the level of education and the younger the age, the higher the K-MoCA score. The effect of education level on the MoCA test score was greater than that of age. These results are consistent with those of previous studies^{8,30} showing that years of education has a more significant effect on MoCA scores than age. As a result of dividing the entire group based on 6 years of elementary school education or 9 years of middle school education, in consideration of the Korean education system, it was found that the effect of education on MoCA was significant only

in the <9 years group but not in the ≥9 years group, whereas the effect of educational level was significant in both <6 years and ≥6 years groups. In addition, in the ≥9 years group, educational level did not have a significant effect on the MMSE score. These results indicate that the effect of education on MoCA and MMSE scores might disappear for test subjects with education beyond middle school graduation. This is a new finding that has not been found by previous studies reporting that education level affects MoCA and MMSE scores.^{8,13,24,30,31}

ROC analysis results showed that, regardless of education level, the K-MoCA screened MCI (VaMCI and amMCI) and dementia groups (VaD and DAT) from the CN and discriminated VaMCI from VaD as well as amMCI from DAT sensitively. Results of this study also demonstrated that the discriminability of the K-MoCA was higher than that of the K-MMSE in distinguishing VaMCI from CN. These results suggest that the K-MoCA is more useful than K-MMSE in screening for vascular cognitive impairment. However, it is worth noting that the superiority of MoCA over the MMSE appeared only in the group with ≥9 years of education. It was not maintained in the group with <9 years of education, which accounted for the majority of the elderly in Korea. The MoCA contains more subtests related to executive functions than the MMSE.^{10,32,33} The executive function is significantly related to educational level³⁴⁻³⁷ and the neuropsychological characteristic of VaMCI is an executive dysfunction.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Therefore, it can be explained that the MoCA is superior to the MMSE in screening for VaMCI, in which the executive dysfunction is characteristically observed. In addition, the superiority of MoCA appears differently depending on the level of education. Since the original MoCA was validated for individuals with an average education level of approximately 13 years,¹ Julayanont et al.⁴¹ have suggested that the performance of MoCA for people with low education or literacy could be underestimated. Considering that compulsory education in Korea is applied up to middle school and that many of the elderly visiting hospitals have <9 years of education, clinicians in Korea should not overlook the effect of a patient's education level on her/his MoCA score.

This study was significant in that it confirmed the discriminability of K-MoCA and compared the K-MMSE's discriminability according to education level in various patient group such as VaMCI, amMCI, VaD, and DAT. It was a novel finding that MoCA and MMSE scores were affected by education level in the group with <9 years of education but not in the ≥9 years group and that the MoCA better differentiated VaMCI and CN than the MMSE in the group with ≥9 years of education but not in the group with <9 years of education. Unlike previous studies,^{6,7,12,18,42} the current study found that the K-MoCA did not show a significant difference from the K-MMSE in discriminating amnesic MCI from CN in any age groups. The reason for this has not been clarified yet. Future studies are needed to find the answer by examining the effect of education on each cognitive domain in more detail using MoCA index scores.

REFERENCES

1. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:695-699.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med* 2004;256:183-194.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189-198.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

4. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, White T, Messer MA. MMSE-2 User's Manual. Lutz: Psychological Assessment Resources, 2010.
5. Ciesielska N, Sokołowski R, Mazur E, Podhorecka M, Polak-Szabela A, Kędziora-Kornatowska K. Is the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) test better suited than the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) detection among people aged over 60? Meta-analysis. *Psychiatr Pol* 2016;50:1039-1052.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Fujiwara Y, Suzuki H, Yasunaga M, Sugiyama M, Ijuin M, Sakuma N, et al. Brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment in older Japanese: validation of the Japanese version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2010;10:225-232.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Lu J, Li D, Li F, Zhou A, Wang F, Zuo X, et al. Montreal cognitive assessment in detecting cognitive impairment in Chinese elderly individuals: a population-based study. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2011;24:184-190.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Pinto TC, Machado L, Bulgacov TM, Rodrigues-Júnior AL, Costa ML, Ximenes RC, et al. Is the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) screening superior to the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) in the detection of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's Disease (AD) in the elderly? *Int Psychogeriatr* 2019;31:491-504.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
9. Roalf DR, Moberg PJ, Xie SX, Wolk DA, Moelter ST, Arnold SE. Comparative accuracies of two common screening instruments for classification of Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment, and healthy aging. *Alzheimers Dement* 2013;9:529-537.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Pendlebury ST, Cuthbertson FC, Welch SJ, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM. Underestimation of cognitive impairment by Mini-Mental State Examination versus the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in patients with transient ischemic attack and stroke: a population-based study. *Stroke* 2010;41:1290-1293.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
11. Wu Y, Wang M, Ren M, Xu W. The effects of educational background on Montreal Cognitive Assessment screening for vascular cognitive impairment, no dementia, caused by ischemic stroke. *J Clin Neurosci* 2013;20:1406-1410.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Lee JY, Lee DW, Cho SJ, Na DL, Jeon HJ, Kim SK, et al. Brief screening for mild cognitive impairment in elderly outpatient clinic: validation of the Korean version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2008;21:104-110.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
13. Kang YW, Park JS, Yu KH, Lee BC, et al. A reliability, validity, and normative study of the Korean-Montreal Cognitive Assessment (K-MoCA) as an instrument for screening of vascular cognitive impairment (VCI). *Korean J Clin Psychol* 2009;28:549-562.
[CROSSREF](#)
14. Horton DK, Hynan LS, Lacritz LH, Rossetti HC, Weiner MF, Cullum CM. An abbreviated Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) for dementia screening. *Clin Neuropsychol* 2015;29:413-425.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
15. Malek-Ahmadi M, Powell JJ, Belden CM, O'Connor K, Evans L, Coon DW, et al. Age- and education-adjusted normative data for the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) in older adults age 70-99. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn* 2015;22:755-761.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
16. Kim JI, Sunwoo MK, Sohn YH, Lee PH, Hong JY. The MMSE and MoCA for screening cognitive impairment in less educated patients with Parkinson's disease. *J Mov Disord* 2016;9:152-159.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
17. Kim H, Yu KH, Lee BC, Kim BC, Kang Y. Validity of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) index scores: a comparison with the cognitive domain scores of the Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery (SNSB). *Dement Neurocogn Disord* 2021;20:28-37.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
18. Kwak HS, Kim SH. The normative study of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Korea (MoCA-K) as instrument for screening of mild cognitive impairment (MCI). *J Korean Soc Integr Med* 2021;9:37-45.
[CROSSREF](#)
19. Ministry of Health and Welfare. The Korean elderly survey [Internet]. Sejong: Ministry of Health and Welfare; 2020 [updated 2021 Jul 16; cited 2022 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://www.narastat.kr/metascv/index.do?confmNo=117071>.

20. Christensen KJ, Multhaup KS, Nordstrom S, Voss K. A cognitive battery for dementia: development and measurement characteristics. *Psychol Assess* 1991;3:168-174.
[CROSSREF](#)
21. Gorelick PB, Scuteri A, Black SE, Decarli C, Greenberg SM, Iadecola C, et al. Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2011;42:2672-2713.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
22. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, Hyman BT, Jack CR Jr, Kawas CH, et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011;7:263-269.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
23. Morris JC. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology* 1993;43:2412-2414.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
24. Kang Y. A normative study of the Korean-Mini Mental State Examination (K-MMSE) in the elderly. *Korean J Psychol Gen* 2006;25:1-12.
25. Kang Y, Jahng S, Na DL. Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery. Second Edition (SNSB-II): Professional Manual. Incheon: Human Brain Research and Consulting, 2012.
26. Cho MJ, Bae JN, Suh GH, Hahm BJ, Kim JK, Lee DW, et al. Validation of Geriatric Depression Scale, Korean Version (GDS) in the assessment of DSM-III-R major depression. *J Korean Neuropsychiatr Assoc* 1999;38:48-63.
27. Chin J, Park J, Yang SJ, Yeom J, Ahn Y, Baek MJ, et al. Re-standardization of the Korean-Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (K-IADL): clinical usefulness for various neurodegenerative diseases. *Dement Neurocogn Disord* 2018;17:11-22.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
28. Hanley JA, McNeil BJ. A method of comparing the areas under receiver operating characteristic curves derived from the same cases. *Radiology* 1983;148:839-843.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
29. Gorunescu F. *Data Mining: Concepts, Models and Techniques*. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media, 2011;319-328.
30. Freitas S, Simões MR, Alves L, Vicente M, Santana I. Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA): validation study for vascular dementia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2012;18:1031-1040.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
31. Matallana D, de Santacruz C, Cano C, Reyes P, Samper-Ternent R, Markides KS, et al. The relationship between education level and mini-mental state examination domains among older Mexican Americans. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2011;24:9-18.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
32. Wong A, Xiong YY, Kwan PW, Chan AY, Lam WW, Wang K, et al. The validity, reliability and clinical utility of the Hong Kong Montreal Cognitive Assessment (HK-MoCA) in patients with cerebral small vessel disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2009;28:81-87.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
33. Nazem S, Siderowf AD, Duda JE, Have TT, Colcher A, Horn SS, et al. Montreal cognitive assessment performance in patients with Parkinson's disease with "normal" global cognition according to mini-mental state examination score. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009;57:304-308.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
34. Ye BS, Seo SW, Cho H, Kim SY, Lee JS, Kim EJ, et al. Effects of education on the progression of early-versus late-stage mild cognitive impairment. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2013;25:597-606.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
35. Wachholz TB, Yassuda MS. The interpretation of proverbs by elderly with high, medium and low educational level: abstract reasoning as an aspect of executive functions. *Dement Neuropsychol* 2011;5:31-37.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
36. Campanholo KR, Boa IN, Hodroj FC, Guerra GR, Miotto EC, de Lucia MC. Impact of sociodemographic variables on executive functions. *Dement Neuropsychol* 2017;11:62-68.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
37. Cortés Pascual A, Moyano Muñoz N, Quílez Robres A. The relationship between executive functions and academic performance in primary education: review and meta-analysis. *Front Psychol* 2019;10:1582.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

38. De Jager CA, Hogervorst E, Combrinck M, Budge MM. Sensitivity and specificity of neuropsychological tests for mild cognitive impairment, vascular cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Psychol Med* 2003;33:1039-1050.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
39. Sachdev P, Kalaria R, O'Brien J, Skoog I, Alladi S, Black SE, et al. Diagnostic criteria for vascular cognitive disorders: a VASCOG statement. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2014;28:206-218.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
40. Sudo FK, Amado P, Alves GS, Laks J, Engelhardt E. A continuum of executive function deficits in early subcortical vascular cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dement Neuropsychol* 2017;11:371-380.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
41. Julayanont P, Tangwongchai S, Hemrungronj S, Tunvirachaisakul C, Phanthumchinda K, Hongswat J, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Basic: a screening tool for mild cognitive impairment in illiterate and low-educated elderly adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2015;63:2550-2554.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
42. Luis CA, Keegan AP, Mullan M. Cross validation of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in community dwelling older adults residing in the Southeastern US. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2009;24:197-201.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)