CLASSIFICATION OF SOLVABLE LIE ALGEBRAS WHOSE NON-TRIVIAL COADJOINT ORBITS OF SIMPLY CONNECTED LIE GROUPS ARE ALL OF CODIMENSION 2
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Abstract. We give a classification of real solvable Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits of corresponding to simply connected Lie groups are all of codimension 2. These Lie algebras belong to a well-known class, called the class of MD-algebras.

1. Introduction

The problem of the classification of Lie algebras (as well as Lie groups) has received much attention since the early 20th century. However, this is still an open problem. By Levi’s decomposition and the Cartan’s theorem, we know that the problem of classification of Lie algebras over any field of characteristic zero is reduced to the problem of classification of solvable ones. However, until now, there is no a complete classification of n dimensional solvable Lie algebras if n ≥ 7. And this classification problem seems to be impossible to solve, unless there is a suitable change on the definition of term “classification” or there is a completely new method to classify those Lie algebras [3].

As we know, the Lie algebra of a (simply connected) Lie group is commutative if and only if all of its coadjoint orbits are trivial (or of dimension 0). However, Lie groups which have a non-trivial coadjoint orbit are much more complicated. In 1980, while searching for the class of Lie groups whose C*-algebra can be characterized by BDF K-functions, Do Ngoc Diep proposed to study a class of Lie groups whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits have the same dimension [4]. He named this class as MD-class. Any Lie group which belongs to this class is called an MD-group and the Lie algebra of any MD-group is called an MD-algebra.

It can be said that Vuong Manh Son and Ho Huu Viet were the authors who faced the problem of classification MD-algebras (as well as MD-groups)
firstly. In 1984, they gave not only the classification of MD-groups whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of the same dimension as the group but also some important characteristics of this class. For example, they showed that any non-commutative MD-algebra is either 1-step solvable or 2-step solvable, i.e., the second derived algebra is commutative [17]. Afterward, from 1990, Vu A. Le and Hieu V. Ha (the authors of this paper) gave the classification (up to isomorphic) of some subclasses; including all MD-algebras of dimension 4 [19], all MD-algebras of dimension 5 [20, 22], all MD-algebras which have the first derived ideal of dimension 1 or codimension 1 [21].

Besides, a list of all simply connected Lie groups whose coadjoint orbits are of dimension up to 2 was given by D. Arnal et al. in 1995 [1]. In 2019, Michel Goze and Elisabeth Remm used Cartan class to give the classification of all Lie algebras that all non-trivial coadjoint orbits of corresponding Lie groups are of dimension 4 [5]. Remark that the Lie algebras classified in [1] and [5] are all MD-algebras in terms of Diep. Moreover, Goze and Remm also gave some characteristics of the class of MD-algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of codimension 1. Recently, in an earlier article [6], we have classified all real solvable Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of codimension 1. Now, we will give the complete classification of real solvable Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of codimension 2.

The paper is organized into 6 sections, including this introduction. In Section 2, we will recall some basic preliminary concepts, notations and properties which will be used throughout the paper. In Section 3 and Section 4, we will give the classification of 1-step solvable Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of codimension 2 [Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.7]. In Section 5, we will study the case of such 2-step solvable Lie algebras [Theorem 5.1], and complete the results in Sections 3 and 4. Tables containing a list of results are provided in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

We now introduce some key definitions, notations and terminologies. For more details, we refer the readers to [9].

• Throughout this paper, the underlying field is always the field \( \mathbb{R} \) of real numbers and \( n \) is an integer \( \geq 2 \) unless otherwise stated.

• For any Lie algebra \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( 0 < k \in \mathbb{N} \), the direct sum \( \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathbb{R}^k \) is called a trivial extension of \( \mathcal{G} \).

• A Lie algebra \((\mathcal{G}, [\cdot, \cdot])\) is said to be \( i \)-step solvable or solvable of degree \( i \) if its \( i \)-th derived algebra \( \mathcal{G}^i := [\mathcal{G}^{i-1}, \mathcal{G}^{i-1}] \) is commutative and non-trivial (i.e., \( \neq \{0\} \)), where \( \mathcal{G}^0 := \mathcal{G} \) and \( 0 < i \in \mathbb{N} \).

• An \( n \times n \) matrix whose \((i,j)\)-entry is \( a_{ij} \) will be written as \((a_{ij})_{n\times n}\). While the \((i,j)\)-entry of a matrix \( A \) will be denoted by \((A)_{ij} \). The transpose of \( A \) will be denoted by \( A^t \). For an endomorphism \( f \) on a vector space \( V \) of dimension \( n \), the matrix of \( f \) with respect to a
basis \( b := \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \) of \( V \) will be denoted by \([f]_b\). For short, if \( U := \langle x_k, \ldots, x_n \rangle \) is the subspace of \( V \) spanned by \( \{x_k, \ldots, x_n\} \) and if \( g : U \to U \) is a linear endomorphism on \( U \), then the notation \([g]_b\) will be used to denote the matrix of \( g \) with respect to the basis \( \{x_k, \ldots, x_n\} \) of \( U \).

- As usual, the dual space of \( V \) will be denoted by \( V^* \). It is well-known that if \( \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) is a basis of \( V \), then \( \{x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*\} \) is a basis of \( V^* \), where each \( x_i^* \) is defined by \( x_i^*(x_j) = \delta_{ij} \) (the Kronecker delta symbol) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \).

- For any \( x \in G \), we will denote by \( \text{ad}_x \) the adjoint action of \( x \) on \( G \), i.e., \( \text{ad}_x \) is the endomorphism on \( G \) defined by \( \text{ad}_x(y) = [x, y] \) for every \( y \in G \). By \( \text{ad}_1 \) and \( \text{ad}_2 \), we mean the restricted maps of \( \text{ad}_x \) on \( G^1 \) and \( G^2 \), respectively. Since \( G^1 \) and \( G^2 \) are ideals of \( G \), \( \text{ad}_1^* \) and \( \text{ad}_2^* \) will be treated as endomorphisms on \( G^1 \) and \( G^2 \), respectively.

- In this paper, we will use the symbol \( I \) to denote the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity matrix, and use \( J \) to denote the following \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

We shall denote by \( 0 \) the zero matrix of suitable size.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( G \) be a Lie group and let \( \mathcal{G} \) be its Lie algebra. If \( \text{Ad} : G \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}) \) denotes the adjoint representation of \( G \), then the action

\[
K : \ G \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}^*) \quad g \mapsto K_g
\]

declared by

\[
K_g(F)(x) = F(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})(x)) \quad \text{for \( F \in \mathcal{G}^* \), \( x \in \mathcal{G} \).}
\]

is called the coadjoint representation of \( G \) in \( \mathcal{G}^* \). Each orbit of the coadjoint representation of \( G \) is called a coadjoint orbit, or a \( K \)-orbit of \( G \).

For each \( F \in \mathcal{G}^* \), the coadjoint orbit for \( F \) is denoted by \( \Omega_F \), i.e.,

\[
\Omega_F = \{ K_g(F) : g \in G \}.
\]

The dimension of each coadjoint orbit is determined via the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2 ([9]).** Let \( F \) be any element in \( \mathcal{G}^* \). If \( \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) is a basis of \( \mathcal{G} \), then

\[
\dim \Omega_F = \text{rank} \left( F([x_i, x_j]) \right)_{n \times n}.
\]

**Remark 2.3.** The dimension of each \( K \)-orbit \( \Omega_F \) is always even for every \( F \in \mathcal{G}^* \). Moreover, \( \dim \Omega_F > 0 \) if and only if \( F|_{G^1} \neq 0 \).

As mentioned in previous section, this paper is concerned with Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of the same dimension.

**Definition 2.4 ([4, 17]).** An \( \textit{MD-group} \) is a finite-dimensional, simply connected and solvable Lie group whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of the same dimension. The Lie algebra of an MD-group is called an \( \textit{MD-algebra} \).
An MD-algebra $G$ is called an $MD_k(n)$-algebra if $\dim G = n$ and the same dimension of non-trivial coadjoint orbits is equal to $k$.

One of the most interesting characteristics on this class is about the degree of solvability which is proven by Son & Viet [17].

**Proposition 2.5 ([17]).** If $G$ is an MD-algebra, then the degree of solvability is at most 2, i.e., $G^3 = \{0\}$.

Therefore, the problem of classification of MD-algebras falls naturally into two parts: (1) the classification of 1-step solvable ones, and (2) the classification of 2-step solvable ones. However, if $G$ is a 2-step solvable MD-algebra, then $G/G^2$ is a 1-step solvable MD-algebra [6, Theorem 3.5]. Hence, we should firstly study some interesting properties of 1-step solvable MD-algebras.

**Proposition 2.6 ([6]).** Let $G$ be a 1-step solvable Lie algebra of dimension $n$ such that its non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of codimension $k$. If $\dim G^1 \geq n - k + 1$, then $G$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $L \oplus_{\rho} G^1$, where $L$ is a commutative sub-algebra of $G$ and $\rho$ is defined by

$$\rho : L \times G^1 \rightarrow G^1 \quad (x, y) \mapsto [x, y].$$

Moreover, if $G$ is 1-step solvable, then $[[x, y], z] = 0$ for every $x, y \in G$, $z \in G^1$. It follows immediately from the Jacobi identity that $\text{ad}^1_x \text{ad}^1_y = \text{ad}^1_y \text{ad}^1_x$ for every $x, y \in G$.

**Lemma 2.7.** If $G$ is 1-step solvable, then $\{\text{ad}^1_x : x \in G\}$ is a family of commuting endomorphisms.

It is well-known that an arbitrary set of commuting matrices over an algebraic closed field may be simultaneously brought to triangular form by a unitary similarity [12, 13]. A similar version for the case of the real field is given in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $S$ be a set of commuting real matrices of the same size. Then $S$ is block simultaneously triangularizable in which the maximal size of each block is 2. In other words, there is a non-singular real matrix $T$ so that

$$TST^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
*_{2 \times 2} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & *_{2 \times 2} & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
0 & & & & * \\
\end{bmatrix},$$

where each block $*_{2 \times 2}$ is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\
-b & a \end{bmatrix}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ($b$ is not necessary to be non-zero).
The following lemma is a straightforward but useful consequence of Propositions 2.6, 2.8 and Lemma 2.7.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 1-step solvable $\text{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebra such that $m := \dim \mathcal{G}^1$ is strictly greater than 2. Then there is a basis $b := \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ so that

- $\mathcal{G}^1 = \langle x_{n-m+1}, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ is commutative,
- $[x_i, x_j] = 0$ for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n - m$,
- The matrices $[\text{ad}_{x_{n-m+1}}^1]_b, [\text{ad}_{x_{n-m+2}}^1]_b, \ldots, [\text{ad}_{x_{n-m}}^1]_b$ are of the block triangular form in the sense of Proposition 2.8.

**Remark 2.10.** In the above lemma, we can choose $b$ so that the space $\mathcal{L}$ in the semi-direct sum $\mathcal{L} \oplus_{\rho} \mathcal{G}^1$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is spanned by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-m}\}$. If so, for each $F \in \mathcal{G}^*$,

$$
(F([x_i, x_j]))_{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P_F \\ -P_F^t & 0 \end{bmatrix},
$$

where $P_F$ is an $(n - m) \times m$ matrix which is defined by:

$$(P_F)_{ij} := F([x_i, x_{n-m+j}]).$$

By Proposition 2.2,

$$\dim \Omega_F = 2 \text{rank}(P_F) \quad \text{for every } F \in \mathcal{G}^*.$$

Finally, if $\mathcal{G}$ is an $\text{MD}_{n-1}(n)$-algebra, then $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^2$ is an $\text{MD}_{n-2}(n - \dim \mathcal{G}^2)$-algebra [6, Theorem 3.5]. Hence, we should recall here the classifications of $\text{MD}_{n-1}(n)$-algebras and $\text{MD}_{n}(n)$-algebras which are solved by Ha et al. [6] and Son & Viet [17], respectively.

**Proposition 2.11 ([6]).** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a real $\text{MD}_{n-1}(n)$-algebra with $n \geq 5$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the followings:

1. A trivial extension of $\text{aff}(\mathbb{C})$, namely $\mathbb{R} \oplus \text{aff}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\text{aff}(\mathbb{C}) := \langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \rangle$ is the complex affine algebra defined by
   $$[x_1, y_1] = y_1, \quad [x_1, y_2] = y_2, \quad [x_2, y_1] = -y_2, \quad [x_2, y_2] = y_1.$$
2. The real Heisenberg Lie algebra
   $$\mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} := \langle x_i, y_i, z : i = 1, \ldots, m \rangle, \quad (m \geq 2),$$
   with $[x_i, y_i] = z$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m$.
3. The Lie algebra
   $$\mathfrak{g}_{5,45} := \langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z \rangle,$$
   with
   $$[x_1, y_1] = y_1, \quad [x_1, y_2] = y_2, \quad [x_1, z] = 2z, \quad [x_2, y_1] = y_2, \quad [x_2, y_2] = -y_1, \quad [y_1, y_2] = z.$$

**Proposition 2.12 ([17]).** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a real $\text{MD}_{n}(n)$-algebra. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the following forms:

1. The real affine algebra $\text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) := \langle x, y \rangle$ with $[x, y] = y$.  

(2) The complex affine algebra \( \text{aff}(\mathbb{C}) \) defined in Proposition 2.11.

Remark 2.13. Note that the dimension of any coadjoint orbit is even [Remark 2.3], therefore if \( \mathcal{G} \) is an \( MD_{n-2}(n) \)-algebra, then \( n \) must be even. The case \( n = 2 \) is trivial. The case \( n = 4 \) is solved completely in [19]. Namely, up to an isomorphism, in the \( MD_2(4) \)-class there are 5 decomposable algebras and 8 indecomposable ones as follows:

1. The decomposable case:
   (i) \( \text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2 \).
   (ii) \( \mathfrak{s}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R} \), where \( \mathfrak{s}_3 \in \{ \mathfrak{t}_{3,1}, \mathfrak{t}_{3,2}, \mathfrak{t}_{3,3} \} \), i.e., \( \mathfrak{s}_3 \) is a non-commutative solvable Lie algebra of dimension 3 according to the notation of [16].

2. The indecomposable case: \( \mathfrak{n}_{4,1}, \mathfrak{n}_{4,2}, \mathfrak{n}_{4,3}, \mathfrak{n}_{4,4}, \mathfrak{n}_{4,5}, \mathfrak{n}_{4,6}, \mathfrak{n}_{4,7} \) according to the notation of [16].

Hence, to completely classify the \( MD_{n-2}(n) \)-class, we only have to consider the remaining case when \( n \geq 6 \). Therefore, unless otherwise stated, we make the assumption \( n \geq 6 \) from now on.

3. One-step solvable \( MD_{n-2}(n) \)-algebras with \( \dim \mathcal{G}^1 \geq 3 \)

According to Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.9, the classification of \( MD_{n-2}(n) \)-algebras falls naturally into three problems:

- The problem of classification those 1-step solvable algebras which have the derived algebra of dimension at least 3.
- The problem of classification of those 1-step solvable algebras which have the derived algebra of dimension at most 2.
- The problem of classification of those 2-step solvable algebras.

We will solve the first item in this section. The remaining items will be solved in the next sections.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a 1-step solvable \( MD_{n-2}(n) \)-algebra of dimension \( n \geq 6 \) and \( \dim \mathcal{G}^1 \geq 3 \). Then \( n = 6 \). Furthermore, if \( \mathcal{G} \) is indecomposable, then \( \mathcal{G} \) is isomorphic to one of the following families: \( \mathfrak{s}_{6,211}, \mathfrak{s}_{6,225}, \mathfrak{s}_{6,226}, \mathfrak{s}_{6,228} \) listed in [16]. These algebras are described in Table 4 at the end of the paper.

**Remark 3.2.** If \( \mathcal{G} \) is a decomposable \( MD_4(6) \)-algebra, then \( \mathcal{G} \) is a trivial extension of either an indecomposable \( MD_4(5) \)-algebra or an indecomposable \( MD_4(4) \)-algebra [6, Theorem 3.1]. These indecomposable MD-algebras are classified in [17, 20, 22]. Based on their classification, there are exactly one indecomposable \( MD_4(4) \)-algebra \( \text{aff}(\mathbb{C}) \) and exactly one indecomposable \( MD_4(5) \)-algebra \( \mathfrak{s}_{5,45} \) in Proposition 2.11. Hence, if \( \mathcal{G} \) is a decomposable \( MD_4(6) \)-algebra, then \( \mathcal{G} \) is either isomorphic to \( \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \text{aff}(\mathbb{C}) \) or isomorphic to \( \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{5,45} \).

\(^1\)Some algebras contained in families listed in [16] are not MD-algebras, we will give the details of Lie brackets of these Lie algebras (which are MD-algebras) in the final section.
Lemma 3.3. \( f, g \) be two commutative endomorphisms on \( \mathbb{R}^4 \), i.e., \( f \circ g = g \circ f \). Assume that the matrices of \( f \) and \( g \) with respect to a basis \( b \) are equal to
\[
[f]_b = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad [g]_b = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix},
\]
where \( A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \) are \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices. If either \( \det(B_1^2 + I) \neq 0 \) or \( \det(A_1 - I) \neq 0 \), then there is a basis \( b' \) of \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) so that
\[
[f]_{b'} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad [g]_{b'} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let’s denote the vectors in the basis \( b \) by \( \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\} \).

- If \( \det(B_1^2 + I) \neq 0 \), then we first claim that there are \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R} \) so that
\[
\begin{bmatrix} -\gamma & \alpha \\ -\delta & \beta \end{bmatrix} = B_2 + B_1 \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Indeed, the above system is equivalent to
\[
\begin{cases}
-\gamma &= (B_2)_{11} + B_1 \alpha \\
-\delta &= (B_2)_{12} + B_1 \gamma \\
\alpha &= (B_2)_{12} + B_1 \beta \\
\beta &= (B_2)_{22} - B_1 \gamma
\end{cases}
\]
or
\[
\begin{cases}
-\gamma &= (B_2)_{11} + B_1 \alpha \\
-\delta &= (B_2)_{21} - B_1 \beta \\
\alpha &= (B_2)_{12} + B_1 \beta \\
\beta &= (B_2)_{22} - B_1 \gamma
\end{cases}
\]

The existence of \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) follows from the non-singularity of \( B_1^2 + I \).

Let \( b' := \{y_1', y_2', y_3', y_4'\} \) be a basis of \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) defined by:
\[
\begin{aligned}
y_1' &= y_1, \\
y_2' &= y_2, \\
y_3' &= y_3 + \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2, \\
y_4' &= y_4 + \gamma y_1 + \delta y_2.
\end{aligned}
\]

Then the matrices of \( f \) and \( g \) with respect to \( b' \) are determined as
\[
[f]_{b'} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad [g]_{b'} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix}
\]
for some \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix \( A_2' \). Moreover,
\[
f \circ g = g \circ f \iff A_2' \times J = B_1 \times A_2' \iff
\begin{bmatrix}
(A_1')_{12} \\
(A_1')_{22}
\end{bmatrix} = B_1 \begin{bmatrix}
(A_2')_{11} \\
(A_2')_{21}
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
(A_2')_{11} \\
(A_2')_{22}
\end{bmatrix} = B_1 \begin{bmatrix}
(A_1')_{12} \\
(A_1')_{22}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Hence,
\[
\begin{cases}
- [A_2^1]_{12} = B_1 [A_2^1]_{11} \\
(A_2^1)_{22} = [A_2^1]_{21}, \\
(B_2^2 + I) [A_2^1]_{11} = 0 \\
(B_2^2 + I) [A_2^1]_{21} = 0,
\end{cases}
\]
which implies, from det\((B_2^2 + I) \neq 0\), that \(A_2^2 = 0\).

By the same manner as previous item, if det\((A_1 - I) \neq 0\), then there exist \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}\) so that
\[
(A_1 - I) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} = -A_2.
\]
Equivalently, the matrix of \(f\) with respect to the basis \(b' := \{y_1, y_2, y_3 + \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2, y_4 + \gamma y_1 + \delta y_2\}\) is equal to \([B_1 0 0\ldots 0]\). Once again, the commutation of \(f\) and \(g\) implies that the matrix of \(g\) with respect to \(b'\) is equal to \([B_0 0 0\ldots 0]\). This completes the proof of the lemma. \(\square\)

Now, we begin to prove Theorem 3.1. The proof falls into three parts. Firstly, we will prove that \(\dim \mathcal{G} = 6\), and \(\dim \mathcal{G}^1 \leq 4\). Secondly, we will prove that there is no an MD\((6)\)-algebra with \(\dim \mathcal{G}^1 = 3\). Thirdly, we will classify MD\((6)\)-algebras with \(\dim \mathcal{G}^1 = 4\).

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let’s denote by \(m\) the dimension of \(\mathcal{G}^1\) \((m \geq 3)\) and let \(b\) be a basis of \(\mathcal{G}\) which satisfies all conditions in Lemma 2.9. If so,
\[
P_{z_n^1} = \begin{bmatrix}
x_n^1([x_1, x_{n-m+1}]) & x_n^1([x_1, x_{n-m+2}]) & \cdots & x_n^1([x_1, x_n]) \\
x_n^1([x_2, x_{n-m+1}]) & x_n^1([x_2, x_{n-m+2}]) & \cdots & x_n^1([x_2, x_n]) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_n^1([x_{n-m}, x_{n-m+1}]) & x_n^1([x_{n-m}, x_{n-m+2})] & \cdots & x_n^1([x_{n-m}, x_n])
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Because the matrices \([\text{ad}_{x_i}^1]_b, \ldots, [\text{ad}_{x_n}^1]_b\) are of block triangular form in the sense of Proposition 2.8, they are of the form
\[
[\text{ad}_{x_i}^1]_b = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & \ldots & Y \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \ldots & \ddots & X \\
0 & \ldots & \ddots & Z
\end{bmatrix}_{m \times m},
\]
where \(Z\) is either of size 1 \(\times 1\) or of size 2 \(\times 2\). Therefore, for every \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - m\) and \(j = n - m + 1, \ldots, n - 2\), the Lie bracket \([x_i, x_j]\) is a linear combination of \(x_{n-m+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\). It follows that \(x_n^1([x_i, x_j]) = 0\) for every \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - m\) and \(j = n - m + 1, \ldots, n - 2\). In the other words, the first \((m - 2)\) columns of \(P_{z_n^1}\) are equal to zero. Hence,
\[
\text{rank}(P_{z_n^1}) \leq 2.
\]
By Remark 2.10, we obtain \(\dim \Omega_{z_n^1} \leq 4\). Since each non-trivial coadjoint orbit of \(\mathcal{G}\) is of dimension \(n - 2\), we get \(n - 2 \leq 4\), i.e., \(n \leq 6\). By the assumption, \(n \geq 6\). Therefore, \(n\) must be 6. In particular, \(m = \dim \mathcal{G}^1 < \dim \mathcal{G} = 6\).

Now, we will prove that \(m \leq 4\). Assume the contrary that \(m = 5\). Then all but the first row of \(P_{z_n^1}\) is zero. This turns out that \(\dim \Omega_{z_n^1} \leq 2\), a contradiction
to the fact that every non-trivial coadjoint orbit of an MD_{n-2}(n)-algebra is of dimension n - 2. Hence, 3 \leq m \leq 4.

However, if m = 3, then there is at least one block of size 1 in the triangular form of the matrices \{[ad_{x_i}^1]_b : i = 1, 2, 3\}. In the other words, we may assume that

\[ [ad_{x_1}^1]_b = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_2 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [ad_{x_2}^1]_b = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_2 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [ad_{x_3}^1]_b = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

for some \(a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}\). If so,

\[ P_{x_b}^\ast = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{bmatrix} \]

which must have rank 1, or \(\dim \Omega_{x_b} = 2\), a contradiction. Therefore, \(m = 4\).

Finally, let’s classify MD_4(6)-algebras. By rewriting

\[ [ad_{x_1}^1]_b = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad [ad_{x_2}^1]_b = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_3 \end{bmatrix}, \]

we have four possibilities for the \(2 \times 2\) matrices \(A_3, B_3\) as follows:

- \(A_3\) and \(B_3\) are both of triangular form, i.e., \((A_3)_{21} = (B_3)_{21} = 0\).
- \(A_3 = \lambda I_2\) and \(B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ -\zeta \mu \end{bmatrix}\) for some \(\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{R}\).
- \(A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ -\zeta \nu \end{bmatrix}\) and \(B_3 = \lambda I_2\) for some \(\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{R}\).
- \(A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ -\zeta \mu \end{bmatrix}\) and \(B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ -\zeta \nu \end{bmatrix}\) for some \(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(\eta \neq 0, \zeta \neq 0\).

Remark that the change of basis \(x_1 \rightarrow x_1 - \frac{\eta}{\zeta} x_2\) and the change of basis \(x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2\) bring, respectively, the fourth item and the third item to the second item. Hence, it is sufficient to consider only the two first possibilities. However, if \(A_3\) and \(B_3\) are both of triangular form, then

\[ x_6^\ast([x_i, x_j]) = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq 5, \]

and hence, \(\text{rank}(P_{x_b}^\ast) = 1\), or \(\dim \Omega_{x_b} = 2\), a contradiction again.

Therefore, it suffices to consider the second item only:

\[ A_3 = \lambda I\] and \[B_3 = \mu I + \zeta J \quad (\zeta \neq 0).\]

If so, by the same manner, we obviously obtain \(\lambda \neq 0\). Now, by the following change of basis:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 & \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1, \\
    x_2 & \rightarrow \frac{1}{\zeta} (x_2 - \mu x_1),
\end{align*}
\]

we may assume \(\lambda = 1, \mu = 0\) and \(\zeta = 1\).

Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume from beginning that

\[ [ad_{x_1}^1]_b = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad [ad_{x_2}^1]_b = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix}. \]

Similarly, we have two possibilities for the forms of \(A_1\) and \(B_1\) as follows:
\[ A_1 \text{ and } B_1 \text{ are both of triangular form, i.e., } (A_1)_{21} = (B_1)_{21} = 0. \]
\[ A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \eta \\ \mu & \zeta \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & \zeta \\ -\zeta & \mu \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \eta^2 + \zeta^2 \neq 0. \]

However, if \( A_1 \) and \( B_1 \) are both of triangular form, then \( \det(B_1^2 + I) \neq 0 \).

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that we may assume \( A_2 = B_2 = 0 \). If so, it is elementary to check that
\[
\begin{align*}
& x_1^4([x_1, x_5]) = x_2^4([x_1, x_6]) = x_3^4([x_2, x_3]) = x_4^4([x_2, x_4]) = 0, \\
& x_1^4([x_1, x_4]) = x_2^4([x_2, x_3]) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore,
\[ P_{x^4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

which has rank exactly 1. Hence, \( \dim \Omega_{x^4} = 2 \), a contradiction again.

In summary, we may assume that
\[ [\text{ad}_{x^2}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I + \eta J & A_2 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\text{ad}_{x^1}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} \mu I + \zeta J & B_2 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \eta^2 + \zeta^2 \neq 0. \]

Besides, it is elementary to check that
\[
\begin{align*}
& \det((\lambda I + \eta J - I) = 0 \iff (\lambda, \eta) = (1, 0), \\
& \det((\mu I + \zeta J)^2 + I) = 0 \iff (\mu, \zeta) = (0, \pm 1). 
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, in light of Lemma 3.3, we shall split the rest of the proof into two cases as followings:

1. **Case 1: \( A_1 = I \) and \( B_1 = \pm J \).** If so, by the following change of basis:
\[ x_4 \to -x_4 \text{ if necessary, we can assume that } B_1 = J. \]
In the other words,
\[ [\text{ad}_{x^2}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} I & A_2 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\text{ad}_{x^1}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} J & B_2 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix}. \]

By the following change of basis: \( x_5 \to x_5 + (B_2)_{12}x_3 + (B_2)_{22}x_4 \), we can assume \( (B_2)_{12} = (B_2)_{22} = 0 \). If so, the commutation of \( \text{ad}_{x^2} \) and \( \text{ad}_{x^1} \) implies that
\[ (A_2)_{11} = (A_2)_{22}, \quad (A_2)_{12} = -(A_2)_{21}. \]

In the other words, we can assume that
\[ [\text{ad}_{x^2}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \nu & \theta \\ 0 & 1 & -\theta & \nu \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\text{ad}_{x^1}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \chi & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \]

Let’s denote this Lie algebra by \( L(\nu, \theta, \chi, \omega) \). Then, via the following change of basis:
\[
\begin{align*}
x_3 & \to (\chi + \omega)x_3 - (\chi - \omega)x_4, \\
x_4 & \to (\chi - \omega)x_3 + (\chi + \omega)x_4, \\
x_5 & \to x_5 - x_6 + \chi x_3 + \omega x_4, \\
x_6 & \to x_5 + x_6,
\end{align*}
\]

if \( \chi^2 + \omega^2 \neq 0 \),
we easily see that
\begin{equation}
L(\nu, \theta, \chi, \omega) \cong L(\nu, \theta, 1, 0) \quad \text{(if } \chi^2 + \omega^2 \neq 0\text{)}.
\end{equation}
Remark that by basis changing: \( x_3 \to -x_3\) if necessary, we can assume that \( \nu \geq 0\).

Similarly, via the following change of basis:
\[
\begin{cases}
    x_3 \to \nu x_3 - \theta x_4, \\
    x_4 \to \theta x_3 + \nu x_4,
\end{cases}
\quad \text{(if } \nu^2 + \theta^2 \neq 0\text{)},
\]
we easily see that
\begin{equation}
L(\nu, \theta, 0, 0) \cong L(1, 0, 0, 0) \quad \text{(if } \nu^2 + \theta^2 \neq 0\text{)}.
\end{equation}

In summary, we conclude from the equations (3.1) and (3.2) that
\[
L(\nu, \theta, \chi, \omega) \cong \begin{cases}
    L(0, 0, 0, 0) & \text{if } \nu^2 + \theta^2 = \chi^2 + \omega^2 = 0, \\
    L(1, 0, 0, 0) & \text{if } \nu^2 + \theta^2 \neq 0, \text{ and } \chi^2 + \omega^2 = 0, \\
    L(\nu, \theta, 1, 0) & \text{(with } \nu \geq 0\text{) if } \chi^2 + \omega^2 \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Remark that \( L(1, 0, 0, 0) \) and \( L(\nu, \theta, 1, 0) \) (with \( \nu \geq 0\)) are, respectively, isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{s}_6,211 \) and \( \mathfrak{s}_6,225 \) listed in [16]. While \( L(0,0,0,0) \) belongs to the family \( \mathfrak{s}_6,226 \) listed in [16].

These algebras are described in Table 1.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Algebras & Non-trivial Lie brackets & Notes \\
\hline
\( \mathfrak{s}_6,211 \) & \( [\cdot,\cdot] \) & \( x_3 \) & \( x_4 \) & \( x_5 \) & \( x_6 \) & \\
& \( x_1 \) & \( x_3 \) & \( x_4 \) & \( x_5 + x_3 \) & \( x_6 + x_4 \) & \\
& \( x_2 \) & \( -x_4 \) & \( x_3 \) & \( -x_6 \) & \( x_5 \) & \\
\hline
\( \mathfrak{s}_6,225(\nu, \theta) \) & \( [\cdot,\cdot] \) & \( x_1 \) & \( x_3 \) & \( x_4 \) & \( x_5 + \nu x_3 - \theta x_4 \) & \( x_6 + [\theta x_3 + \nu x_4] \) & \( \nu \geq 0 \) \\
& \( x_2 \) & \( -x_4 \) & \( x_3 \) & \( -x_6 + x_3 \) & \( x_5 \) & \\
\hline
\( \mathfrak{s}_6,226(\lambda, \mu, \zeta) \) & \( [\cdot,\cdot] \) & \( x_1 \) & \( \lambda x_3 \) & \( \lambda x_4 \) & \( x_5 \) & \( x_6 \) & \( \lambda = \zeta = 1, \mu = 0 \) \\
& \( x_2 \) & \( \mu x_3 - \zeta x_4 \) & \( \zeta x_3 + \mu x_4 \) & \( -x_6 \) & \( x_5 \) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Indecomposable 1-step solvable MD\(_{n-2}(n)\)-algebras \( \mathcal{G} \) which have \( n \geq 6 \) and \( \dim \mathcal{G}^1 \geq 3 \) (Case 1).}
\end{table}

(2) Case 2. Either \( A_1 \neq I \) or \( B_1 \neq \pm J \). If so, we can assume that \( A_2 = B_2 = 0 \) [Lemma 3.3], or
\[
[\text{ad}_{x_1}]_b = \begin{bmatrix}
    \lambda I + \eta J & 0 \\
    0 & I
\end{bmatrix},
\quad [\text{ad}_{x_2}]_b = \begin{bmatrix}
    \mu I + \zeta J & 0 \\
    0 & J
\end{bmatrix}
\]
with \( \eta^2 + \zeta^2 \neq 0 \).

Let’s denote the corresponding Lie algebra as \( L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \). Then for any \( F = a_1 x_1^1 + \cdots + a_6 x_6^6 \in \mathcal{G}^* \), we have
\[
P_F = \begin{bmatrix}
    \lambda a_3 - \eta a_4 & \eta a_3 + \lambda a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\
    \mu a_3 - \zeta a_4 & \zeta a_3 + \mu a_4 & -a_6 & a_5
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Therefore, \( \text{rank}(P_F) = 2 \) for any \( F \in \mathcal{G}^* \) with \( F|_{G_1} \neq 0 \) if and only if \( \lambda \zeta - \mu \eta \neq 0 \). In the other words, \( L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \) is an MD\(_4\)(6)-algebra if and only if
\[
\lambda \zeta - \mu \eta \neq 0.
\]
Furthermore, by the following change of basis:
\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda \zeta - \mu \eta} (\zeta x_1 - \eta x_2), \\
x_2 &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda \zeta - \mu \eta} (-\mu x_1 + \lambda x_2), \\
x_3 &\leftrightarrow x_5, \\
x_4 &\leftrightarrow x_6,
\end{align*}
\]
we can see that
\[
L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \cong L(\frac{\zeta}{\lambda \zeta - \mu \eta}, \frac{-\eta}{\lambda \zeta - \mu \eta}, \frac{-\mu}{\lambda \zeta - \mu \eta}, \lambda).
\]
Similarly, by the following change of basis: \( x_4 \rightarrow -x_4 \), we get
\[
L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \cong L(\lambda, -\eta, \mu, -\zeta);
\]
and by the following change of basis:
\[
\begin{align*}
x_2 &\rightarrow -x_2, \\
x_4 &\rightarrow -x_4, \\
x_5 &\rightarrow x_6, \\
x_6 &\rightarrow x_5,
\end{align*}
\]
we get
\[
L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \cong L(\lambda, -\eta, -\mu, \zeta).
\]

- If \( \eta = 0 \), then it follows from the equation (3.3) that \( \lambda \zeta \neq 0 \). Hence, the equation (3.4) becomes
\[
L(\lambda, 0, \mu, \zeta) \cong L(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}, 0, \frac{-\mu}{\lambda \zeta}, \frac{1}{\zeta}).
\]

By combining the equations (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain
\[
L(\lambda, 0, \mu, \zeta) \cong L(\lambda', 0, \mu', \zeta'),
\]
where \( 0 < \zeta' \leq 1, \mu' \geq 0, \lambda' \neq 0 \); and if \( \zeta' = 1 \), then \( |\lambda'| \leq 1 \). This class of MD-algebras coincides with the family \( s_{6,226} \) in [16], except some non MD-algebras cases. Hence, we also use the notation \( s_{6,226} \) to denote this class.

- If \( \eta \neq 0 \), then by the same manner, we obtain
\[
L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \cong L(\lambda', \eta', \mu', \zeta'),
\]
where \( \lambda' \eta' - \mu' \zeta' > 0 \) and \( \mu' \geq 0 \). This class of MD-algebras coincides with the family \( s_{6,228} \) in [16], except some non MD-algebras cases. Hence, we also denote this class by \( s_{6,228} \).

In this case, these algebras are described in Table 2.

The proof is completed. \( \square \)
Table 2. Indecomposable 1-step solvable MD_{n-2}(n)-algebras G which have \( n \geq 6 \) and \( \dim G^1 \geq 3 \) (Case 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algebras</th>
<th>Non-trivial Lie brackets</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s_n, x_3 ( \lambda, \mu, \zeta ) )</td>
<td>[ \cdot ] [ x_1 ] [ x_4 ] [ x_5 ] [ x_6 ]</td>
<td>[ \lambda \neq 0, \mu \geq 0, 0 &lt; \zeta \leq 1 ] [ \text{if } \zeta = 1, \text{ then }</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_6, x_2 ( \lambda, \mu, \eta, \zeta ) )</td>
<td>[ \cdot ] [ x_1 ] [ x_3 ] [ x_4 ] [ x_5 ] [ x_6 ]</td>
<td>[ \lambda \eta - \mu \zeta &gt; 0, \mu \geq 0 ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. One-step solvable MD_{n-2}(n)-algebras which have low-dimensional derived algebras

In order to obtain a complete classification of 1-step solvable MD_{n-2}(n)-algebras, we need to solve the problem for \( \dim G^1 \leq 2 \). The classification of Lie algebras which have low-dimensional derived algebras has been studied by T. Janisse [7], C. Schöbel [15], Vu A. Le et al. [10], F. Levstein & A. L. Tiraboschi [11], and C. Bartolone et al. [2].

Proposition 4.1 ([7, 10, 15]). Let \( G \) be a real \( n \)-dimensional Lie algebra with \( n \geq 5 \).

- If \( \dim G^1 \leq 2 \), then \( G^1 \) is commutative.
- If \( \dim G^1 = 1 \), then \( G \) is an trivial extension of either \( \text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \) or \( \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} \) (\( n \geq 2m + 1, m \geq 1 \)).
- If \( \dim G^1 = 2 \) and \( G^1 \) is not completely contained in the centre \( C(G) \) of \( G \), then \( G \) is isomorphic to one of the following forms:
  (i) \( G_{0+2k} := \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{5+2k} \rangle \) (\( n = 5 + 2k, k \in \mathbb{N} \)) with \([x_3, x_4] = x_1 \) and 
  \[ [x_3, x_1] = [x_4, x_5] = \cdots = [x_{4+2k}, x_{5+2k}] = x_2. \]
  (ii) \( G_{0+2k, 1} := \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{6+2k} \rangle \) (\( n = 6 + 2k, k \in \mathbb{N} \)) with \([x_3, x_4] = x_1 \) and 
  \[ [x_3, x_4] = [x_5, x_6] = \cdots = [x_{5+2k}, x_{6+2k}] = x_2. \]
  (iii) \( G_{0+2k, 2} := \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{6+2k} \rangle \) (\( n = 6 + 2k, k \in \mathbb{N} \)) with \([x_3, x_4] = x_1 \) and 
  \[ [x_3, x_1] = [x_5, x_6] = \cdots = [x_{5+2k}, x_{6+2k}] = x_2. \]
- (iv) \( \text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} \) (\( m \geq 1 \)).
- (v) A trivial extension of one of Lie algebras listed above in (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv).
- (vi) A trivial extension of \( \text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \).
- (vii) A trivial extension of a Lie algebra \( H \) of dimension less than 5 such that \( \dim H^1 = 2 \) and \( H^1 \) is not contained in the centre of \( H \).
It is easy to see that \(G_{5+2k}, G_{6+2k,1}, G_{6+2k,2}, \text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{b}_{2m+1}\) and any trivial extension of \(\text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \text{aff}(\mathbb{R})\) listed above are not MD-algebras for every \(k\). For example, \(G_{5+2k}\) has a coadjoint orbit of dimension 2 and a coadjoint orbit of dimension \(4+2k\):

\[
\dim \Omega_{x_i^1} = 2, \quad \dim \Omega_{x_i^2} = 4 + 2k.
\]

**Corollary 4.2.** Let \(G\) be an MD\(_{n-2}(n)\)-algebra with \(n \geq 6\).

- If \(\dim G^1 = 1\), then \(G\) is isomorphic to \(\mathfrak{b}_{2m+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}\), where \(m = \frac{n-2}{2}\).
- If \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dim G^1 = 2 \\
G^1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G)
\end{array}\right\}\), then \(G\) is isomorphic to \(\text{aff}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2\).

Now, we will investigate the remaining case:

\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dim G^1 = 2, \\
G^1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G).
\end{array}\right\}
\]

Firstly, it is easy to check that \(G^1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G)\) if and only if \(G\) is 2-step nilpotent, i.e., \(G_2 := \left\{[G, G], G\right\}\) is trivial (a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra is also called a metabelian Lie algebra).

Because \(G\) is 2-step nilpotent with \(\dim G^1 = 2\), there is a basis \(\mathfrak{b} := \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\) of \(G\) such that \(G^1 = \langle x_{n-1}, x_n \rangle\) and \([x_i, x_{n-1}] = [x_i, x_n] = 0\) for all \(i\). Therefore, \(G\) determines a pair of \((n-2) \times (n-2)\) skew-symmetric matrices \((M, N)\) defined by

\[
(M)_{ij} := x_{n-1}([x_i, x_j]); (N)_{ij} := x_n([x_i, x_j]).
\]

Since \(\dim G^1 = 2\), \(M\) and \(N\) are linearly independent in the sense that there is no \((0, 0) \neq (\alpha, \beta)\) such that \(\alpha M + \beta N = \mathbf{0}\). The matrices \((M, N)\) are called the associated matrices of \(G\) with respect to the basis \(\mathfrak{b}\) (we also say that \(G\) is associated by the matrices \((M, N)\) with respect to \(\mathfrak{b}\)). Conversely, let \((M, N)\) be any pair of skew-symmetric matrices of size \((n-2) \times (n-2)\) which are linearly independent. Then we can define a Lie algebra \(G\) of dimension \(n\) as follows: \(G\) is spanned by a basis \(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\), and the Lie brackets are defined via that basis as follows:

\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
[x_i, x_{n-1}] = [x_i, x_n] = 0 \\
[x_i, x_j] = (M)_{ij} x_{n-1} + (N)_{ij} x_n \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n - 2.
\end{array}\right\}
\]

In 1999, F. Levstein & A. L. Tiraboschi [11] proved the correspondence between the isomorphism of two such 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with the (strict) congruence of vector spaces spanned by their associated matrices, as stated in the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.3 ([11]).** Let \(G\) and \(G'\) be two 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras which have \(\dim G^1 = \dim G'^1 = 2\). Suppose that \(G\) and \(G'\) are associated (with respect to some bases) with \((M, N)\) and \((M', N')\), respectively. Then \(G\) is isomorphic to \(G'\) if and only if there is a nonsingular matrix \(T\) so that

\[
T \cdot (M, N) \cdot T^t = (M', N').
\]
In particular, if the pencils $M - \rho N$ and $M' - \rho N'$ are strictly congruent, i.e., there is a nonsingular matrix $T$ (which does not depend on $\rho$) so that $T(M - \rho N)T^* = M' - \rho N'$, then their associated Lie algebras are isomorphic. Although the converse of the later statement is not true in general, the statement is still useful to classify Lie algebras in this paper. The classification (up to strict congruence) of pencils of complex/real matrices which are either symmetric or skew-symmetric was solved by R. C. Thompson [18] (the skew-symmetric case was classified in [14]). Because we are concerning with real skew-symmetric matrices, we will state his theorem for the case of pencils of real skew-symmetric matrices only.

Proposition 4.4 ([18, Theorem 2]). Let $A$ and $B$ be real skew-symmetric matrices. Then a simultaneous (real) congruence of $A$ and $B$ exists reducing $A - \rho B$ to a direct sum of types $m$, $\infty$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$, where

$$
m := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_e(\rho) \\ -L_e(\rho)^t & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \infty := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Delta_f - \rho \Lambda_f \\ -\Delta_f + \rho \Lambda_f & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$
\alpha := \begin{bmatrix} (a + \rho)\Delta_g - \Lambda_g \\ 0 \\ (a - \rho)\Delta_g + \Lambda_g \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_h(\rho) \\ -\Gamma_h(\rho) & 0 \end{bmatrix},
$$

with

$$
L_e(\rho) := \begin{bmatrix} \rho & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & -\rho & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & \rho \end{bmatrix}_{(e+1)\times e}, \quad \Delta_f := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{f \times f}, \quad \Lambda_f := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{f \times f},
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_g(\rho) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{g \times g}, \quad R := \begin{bmatrix} c & d - \rho \\ d - \rho & -c \end{bmatrix}, \quad S := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
$$

for some $a, c, d \in \mathbb{R} : c \neq 0$.

We can now return to the problem of classification of such 2-step nilpotent MD-algebras. According to Proposition 2.2, $\dim \Omega_F = \text{rank}(F([x_i, x_j]))_{n \times n}$ for every $0 \neq F := \lambda x_{n-1} + \mu x_n \in \mathcal{G}^*$. Hence, $\mathcal{G}$ is an MD$_k(n)$-algebra if and only if $\text{rank}(\lambda M + \mu N) = k$ for every $(0, 0) \neq (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, the type $\beta$ is the unique nonsingular type among the types $m, \infty, \alpha, \beta$ in the sense that every non-zero matrix of the type $\beta$ is nonsingular. This proves the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 2-step nilpotent MD$_{n-2}(n)$-algebra such that $\dim \mathcal{G}^1 = 2$. Then there is a basis $\mathfrak{b} := \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ so that $[x_i, x_{n-1}] = \ldots$
[\alpha_i, \alpha_n] = 0 \text{ for every } i \text{ and the associated pencil of } G \text{ with respect to } \beta \text{ is equal to a direct sum of matrices of the form } \beta \text{ defined in Proposition 4.4.}

**Corollary 4.6.** If $G$ is a 2-step nilpotent $MD_{n-2}(n)$-algebra which has $\dim G^1 = 2$, then $n - 2$ is divisible by 4.

**Proof.** It is straightforward from the fact that the type $\beta$ is of the size $(2g) \times (2g)$ where $2$ divides $g$. $\square$

Note that a proof of this corollary was given in Differential Geometry [8, Proposition 3].

Now, we will give illustrations for $n = 6$ and $n = 10$.

• Let $n = 6$. Then there is a basis $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_6\}$ of $G_6$ such that $G^1_6 = \langle x_5, x_6 \rangle$ and

\[
(x^*_5([x_i, x_j]))_{4 \times 4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & b & a \\ 0 & 0 & a & -b \\ -b & -a & 0 & 0 \\ -a & b & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (x^*_6([x_i, x_j]))_{4 \times 4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

for some non-zero $b \in \mathbb{R}$. By applying the change of basis:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_6 & \to ax_5 - x_6, \\
    x_5 & \to bx_5,
\end{align*}
\]

we can assume $a = 0$ and $b = 1$. This Lie algebra is isomorphic to $n_{6,3}$ listed in [16].

• Let $n = 10$. Then there is a basis $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{10}\}$ of $G$ such that $G^1 = \langle x_9, x_{10} \rangle$ and the associated pencil $M - \rho N := (x^*_5([x_i, x_j]))_{8 \times 8} - \rho(x^*_1([x_i, x_j]))_{8 \times 8}$ is either a direct sum of two $4 \times 4$ blocks of the type $\beta$ or just an $8 \times 8$ matrix of the type $\beta$. Hence, we have either

\[
M - \rho N = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & b_1 & a_1 - \rho \\
0 & 0 & a_1 - \rho & -b_1 \\
-b_1 & -a_1 + \rho & 0 & 0 \\
-a_1 + \rho & b_1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

or

\[
M - \rho N = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b_1 & a_1 - \rho \\
0 & 0 & a_1 - \rho & -b_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
M - \rho N = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b_1 & a_1 - \rho \\
0 & 0 & a_1 - \rho & -b_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

or

\[
M - \rho N = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b_1 & a_1 - \rho \\
0 & 0 & a_1 - \rho & -b_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
M - \rho N = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b_1 & a_1 - \rho \\
0 & 0 & a_1 - \rho & -b_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
for some non-zero $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following forms:

1. $G_{10,1}(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) := \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{10} \rangle$ with $[x_i, x_{10}] = 0$ for all $i$ and

   \[
   \begin{array}{ccccccc}
   x_1 & 0 & b_1 x_9 & a_1 x_9 - x_{10} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_2 & a_1 x_9 - x_{10} & -b_1 x_9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_5 & 0 & b_2 x_9 & a_2 x_9 - x_{10} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_6 & a_2 x_9 - x_{10} & -b_2 x_9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   \end{array}
   \]

   

   \((b_1 b_2 \neq 0)\)

   If so, by the change of basis:

   \[
   x_i \leftrightarrow x_{i+4} : i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\},
   \]

   we easily see that

   \[(4.1)\]

   \[
   G_{10,1}(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) \cong G_{10,1}(a_2, b_2, a_1, b_1).
   \]

   Similarly, by the following change of basis:

   \[
   \begin{align*}
   x_{10} &\rightarrow -a_1 x_9 + x_{10}, \\
   x_9 &\rightarrow b_1 x_9,
   \end{align*}
   \]

   we obtain

   \[(4.2)\]

   \[
   G_{10,1}(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) \cong G_{10,1}(0, 1, \frac{a_2 - a_1}{b_1}, \frac{b_2}{b_1}).
   \]

   We conclude from the isomorphism (4.1) that we always can assume $0 < |b_2| \leq |b_1|$, and from the isomorphism (4.2) that $a_1 = 0, b_1 = 1$, i.e.,

   \[
   G_{10,1}(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2) \cong G_{10,1}(0, 1, \mu, \lambda) \quad (0 < |\lambda| \leq 1).
   \]

2. $G_{10,2}(a_1, b_1) := \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{10} \rangle$ with $[x_i, x_{10}] = [x_i, x_{10}] = 0$ for all $i$ and

   \[
   \begin{array}{ccccccc}
   x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 x_9 & a_1 x_9 - x_{10} \\
   x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 x_9 - x_{10} & -b_1 x_9 \\
   x_3 & 0 & b_2 x_9 & a_1 x_9 - x_{10} & 0 & x_9 & 0 \\
   x_4 & a_1 x_9 - x_{10} & -b_1 x_9 & 0 & x_9 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   x_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
   \end{array}
   \]

   \((b_1 \neq 0)\)

   By the change of basis: $x_{10} \rightarrow a_1 x_9 - x_{10}$, we easily see that

   \[
   G_{10,2}(a_1, b_1) \cong G_{10,2}(0, \lambda) \quad (\lambda \neq 0).
   \]

In summary, we have proven the following theorem.
Theorem 4.7. Let $G$ be an MD$_{n-2}(n)$-algebra of dimension $n \geq 6$ with $\dim G^1 \leq 2$.

1. If $\dim G^1 = 1$, then $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \oplus h_{2m+1}$, where $2m = n - 2$. Note that this algebra is 2-step nilpotent.

2. If $\dim G^1 = 2$ and $G$ is not 2-step nilpotent, then $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \text{aff}(\mathbb{C})$.

3. If $\dim G^1 = 2$ and $G$ is 2-step nilpotent, then $n = 4k + 2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and the associated pencil of $G$ is a direct sum of type $\beta$. In particular,
   - If $n = 6$, then $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n}_{0,3}$ which is defined in [16] and described in Table 6.
   - If $n = 10$, then $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following families: $G_{10,1}(0,1,\mu,\lambda)$ ($0 < |\lambda| \leq 1$) and $G_{10,2}(0,\lambda)$ ($\lambda \neq 0$) defined in Table 6.

5. Two-step solvable MD$_{n-2}(n)$-algebras

Finally, to complete the classification of MD$_{n-2}(n)$-algebras, we only need to classify 2-step solvable MD$_{n-2}(n)$-algebras with $n \geq 6$. Surprising, such a Lie algebra is decomposable and has dimension exactly 6.

Theorem 5.1. Let $G$ be a 2-step solvable real Lie-algebra whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of codimension 2. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{5,45}$.

Proof. Recall that for every $x, y, z \in G$, we have:
$$[[x, y], z] = [x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]].$$

It follows that
$$\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y - \text{ad}_y \text{ad}_x = \text{ad}_{[x, y]}.$$

Hence, for every $x \in G^1$, we have
$$\text{trace}(\text{ad}_x) = \text{trace}(\text{ad}_1^x) = \text{trace}(\text{ad}_2^x) = 0.$$

According to Theorem 3.5 in [6], $1 \leq \dim G^2 \leq 2$. Therefore, we will divide the proof into two cases:

- **Case 1**: $\dim G^2 = 2$. If so, $H := G/G^2$ is a 1-step solvable Lie algebra whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of the same dimension as $H$ [6, Theorem 3.5]. In the other words, $H$ is an MD$_n(n)$-algebra. According to Proposition 2.12, $H$ is isomorphic to either $\text{aff}(\mathbb{R})$ or $\text{aff}(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\dim G \geq 6$, $H \cong \text{aff}(\mathbb{C})$. It implies the existence of a basis $b := \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2\}$ of $G$ such that:
$$G^1 = \langle y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \rangle, \quad G^2 = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle,$$
$$H = \langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \rangle \cong \text{aff}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where
$$[x_1, y_1] = y_1, \quad [x_1, y_2] = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad [x_2, y_1] = y_2, \quad [x_2, y_2] = -y_1.$$
Since $G^1$ and $G^2$ are both ideals of $G$, the Lie brackets in $G$ can be determined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$y_1$</th>
<th>$y_2$</th>
<th>$z_1$</th>
<th>$z_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2$</td>
<td>$y_1 + \lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2$</td>
<td>$y_2 + \lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$y_2 + \lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2$</td>
<td>$-y_1 + \lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_1 z_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_1 z_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since $G^2$ is commutative, we can obtain directly from the Jacobi identity that $\text{ad}_{y_1} \text{ad}_{y_2} = \text{ad}_{y_2} \text{ad}_{y_1}$. By Proposition 2.8, we can assume that $[\text{ad}_{y_1}]_b$ and $[\text{ad}_{y_2}]_b$ are both either of the diagonal form or of the form $aI + bJ$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$
either \begin{cases} [\text{ad}_{y_1}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}, \\ [\text{ad}_{y_2}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}, \end{cases} or \begin{cases} [\text{ad}_{y_1}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}, \\ [\text{ad}_{y_2}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{bmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, it follows from the equation (5.1) that

$$\text{trace}(\text{ad}_{y_1}^2) = \text{trace}(\text{ad}_{y_2}^2) = 0.$$ 

It turns out that

$$
either \begin{cases} [\text{ad}_{y_1}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{bmatrix}, \\ [\text{ad}_{y_2}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & -c \end{bmatrix}, \end{cases} or \begin{cases} [\text{ad}_{y_1}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ -b & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ [\text{ad}_{y_2}]_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & d \\ -d & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

In both cases, there is $(0, 0) \neq (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ so that $\lambda \text{ad}_{y_1}^2 + \mu \text{ad}_{y_2}^2 = 0$. Now, by applying the Jacobi identity to $(x_2, \lambda y_1 + \mu y_2, z)$ for any $z \in G^2$, we easily see that

$$0 = \text{ad}_{x_2}^2 \text{ad}_{y_1 + \mu y_2} - \text{ad}_{\lambda y_1 + \mu y_2} \text{ad}_{x_2}^2 = \text{ad}_{(x_2, \lambda y_1 + \mu y_2)}^2 = -\mu \text{ad}_{y_1}^2 + \lambda \text{ad}_{y_2}^2.$$ 

Therefore,

$$\lambda \text{ad}_{y_1}^2 + \mu \text{ad}_{y_2}^2 = -\mu \text{ad}_{y_1}^2 + \lambda \text{ad}_{y_2}^2 = 0.$$ 

This clearly forces $\text{ad}_{y_1}^2 = \text{ad}_{y_2}^2 = 0$, and consequently $G^2$ is spanned by $\{[y_1, y_2]\}$, a contradiction to dim $G^2 = 2$. Hence, this case is excluded.

**Case 2: dim $G^2 = 1$**. If so, $\mathcal{H} := G/G^2$ is a 1-step solvable Lie-algebra whose non-zero coadjoint orbits are of codimension 1. It follows from Proposition 2.11 that $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to one of the followings: $\mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}$, $\mathbb{R} \oplus \text{aff}(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}$, then dim $G^1 = 2$ and dim $G^2 = 1$. This is impossible because $G^1$ is nilpotent. Hence, $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \text{aff}(\mathbb{C})$.

Equivalently, we can fix a basis $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ of $G$ so that

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}^1 &= \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle, \\
\mathcal{G}^2 &= \langle z \rangle, \\
\mathcal{H} &= \langle y_3 \rangle \oplus \langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \rangle,
\end{align*}$$
where the Lie brackets in \( \mathcal{H} \) are the same as those in \( \mathbb{R} \oplus \text{aff}(\mathbb{C}) \), i.e.,

\[
[x_1, y_1] = y_1, \quad [x_1, y_2] = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad [x_2, y_1] = y_2, \quad [x_2, y_2] = -y_1.
\]

It implies that the Lie brackets in \( \mathcal{G} \) must have the form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( y_1 )</th>
<th>( y_2 )</th>
<th>( y_3 )</th>
<th>( z )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_1 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_2 z )</td>
<td>( y_1 + \lambda_2 z )</td>
<td>( y_2 + \lambda_3 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_4 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_5 z )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_2 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_3 z )</td>
<td>( -y_1 + \lambda_7 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_8 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_9 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{10} z )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_3 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_4 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{11} z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{12} z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{13} z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{14} z )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_4 z )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{15} z )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If so, it follows from the equation (5.1) that

\[ \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{14} = 0. \]

This means \([y_1, z] = [y_2, z] = 0\). Because \( \mathcal{G}' \neq \{0\} \), we must have \( \lambda_{10} \neq 0 \). By basis changing \( z \to \frac{1}{\lambda_{10}} z \), we may assume \( \lambda_{10} = 1 \).

Now, by checking the Jacobi identity to the following triples \((x_1, y_1, y_2); (x_2, y_1, y_2); (y_1, y_2, y_3); (x_1, x_2, y_3); (x_1, y_1, y_3); \) and \((x_1, y_2, y_3)\); we obtain

\[ \lambda_5 = 2, \quad \lambda_9 = \lambda_{15} = 2\lambda_8 + \lambda_1 \lambda_{15} = \lambda_{11} + \lambda_2 \lambda_{15} = \lambda_{13} + \lambda_3 \lambda_{15} = 0. \]

Hence,

\[ \lambda_5 = 2, \quad \lambda_9 = \lambda_{15} = \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{13} = \lambda_{14} = \lambda_{15} = 0. \]

By basis changing \( y_3 \to y_3 - \frac{1}{2} z \) if necessary, we get \( \mathcal{G} \) decomposable. In the other words, \( \mathcal{G} \) is isomorphic to a direct sum of \( \mathbb{R} \) with a Lie algebra \( \mathcal{G}' \). Since \( \mathcal{G} \) is 2-step solvable, so is \( \mathcal{G}' \). Furthermore, non-zero coadjoint orbits of \( \mathcal{G}' \) and \( \mathcal{G} \) have the same dimension [6, Theorem 3.1]. In the other words, \( \mathcal{G}' \) is a 2-step solvable MD-algebra whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of codimension 1. According to Proposition 2.11, \( \mathcal{G}' \) must be isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{s}_{5,45} \). Equivalently, \( \mathcal{G} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{5,45} \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

6. Concluding remarks

In summary, the paper has introduced the classification of \( \text{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-class with \( 2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N} \) as follows:

- There are 14 different \( \text{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-algebras (up to an isomorphism) of dimension \( n < 5 \) listed in Table 3.
- The subclass of all non-2-step nilpotent \( \text{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-algebras with \( n \geq 6 \) is also classified (up to an isomorphism) and listed in Table 4.
- Table 5 indicates that any decomposable 2-step nilpotent \( \text{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-algebra with \( n \geq 6 \) is always isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} \oplus \mathbb{R} \), where \( n = 2m+2, \) \( m \geq 2 \).
- The remaining subclass of all indecomposable 2-step nilpotent \( \text{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-algebras with \( n \geq 6 \) is classified by canonical forms of associated pencils of matrices, in which algebras of dimension \( n \leq 10 \) are listed in Table 6.
In the following tables, \( \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) is used to denote a basis of corresponding \( \mathfrak{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-algebra \( \mathcal{G} \).

### Table 3. List of all \( \mathfrak{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-algebras with \( n = 2, 4 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Algebra</th>
<th>Non-trivial Lie brackets</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{so}_4 )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{sp}_2 )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{sp}(1, 1), \mathfrak{so}(3) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{so}(5) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{sp}(3), \mathfrak{so}(6) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{sp}(2, 1) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. List of all \( \mathfrak{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-algebras with \( n \geq 6 \) which are not 2-step nilpotent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \dim \mathfrak{g} )</th>
<th>Algebra</th>
<th>Non-trivial Lie brackets</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{so}(5) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{sp}(3), \mathfrak{so}(6) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{sp}(2, 1) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 6 )</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{so}(5) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 6 )</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{sp}(3), \mathfrak{so}(6) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 6 )</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{sp}(2, 1) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. List of all decomposable 2-step nilpotent \( \mathfrak{MD}_{n-2}(n) \)-algebras with \( n \geq 6 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Algebra</th>
<th>Non-trivial Lie brackets</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 2m + 2, m \geq 2 )</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{so}(2m+2) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_{m+1} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2m + 2, m \geq 2 )</td>
<td>( \mathfrak{so}(2m+2) )</td>
<td>( x_1, x_{m+1} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. List of all indecomposable 2-step nilpotent MD$_{n-2}$($n$)-algebras with $6 \leq n \leq 10$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Algebras</th>
<th>Non-trivial Lie brackets</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$n_{6,3}$</td>
<td>$[x_1, x_3] = x_5, [x_2, x_4] = -x_5, [x_1, x_4] = [x_2, x_3] = x_6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>There is no indecomposable MD$_6(8)$-algebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$G_{10,1}(0, 1, \mu, \lambda)$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0 &lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$[\cdot, \cdot]$</td>
<td>$x_1$ $x_2$ $x_3$ $x_4$ $x_5$ $x_6$ $x_7$ $x_8$ $x_9$ $x_{10}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>$x_2$ $x_3$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>$-x_10$ $-x_9$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>$\lambda x_9$, $\mu x_9 - x_10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_6$</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>$\mu x_9 - x_{10}$, $-\lambda x_9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$G_{10,2}(0, \lambda)$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\lambda \neq 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$[\cdot, \cdot]$</td>
<td>$x_1$ $x_2$ $x_3$ $x_4$ $x_5$ $x_6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>$\lambda x_9$, $-x_{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>$-x_9$, $-\lambda x_9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>$\lambda x_9$, $-x_{10}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>$-x_{10}$, $-\lambda x_9$</td>
<td>$x_9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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