

PARACOMPACTNESS IN COC-OPEN SETS

HANI KAWARIQ*, FUAD A. ABUSHAHEEN

ABSTRACT. As a new topological property, we introduce paracompact space via co-compact sets. We give some characterizations and implications theorems.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 54B05, 54B10, 54D20.

Key words and phrases : Coc-paracompact, coc-meta compact, coc-meta Lindelöf, coc-meta Lindelöf, coc-countable paracompact spaces.

1. Introduction

The concept of paracompactness is an important concept in topology since it gives a weaker form of compactness, so the focus on it open wide horizons in topology. A new type of open set defined in [4] called coc-compact open set, a subset A of a topological space X is called coc-open set if A is a union of sets of the form $V - C$, when V is open set and C is a compact subset of X .

The family of all coc-open sets of topological space (X, τ) forms a topology denoted by (X, τ^k) .

In this paper, no separation axioms to be assumed, for a subset A of X , \overline{A}^{coc} and $int_{coc}(A)$ will denote the closure of A and the interior of A in τ^k , respectively.

For more about coc-compact sets and other topological properties we refer the reader to [1, 2, 3, 7, 5, 6]

First, we need to give the following definitions and theorems :

Definition 1.1. [4] A subset A of a topological space X is called co-compact open set (notation: coc-open) if for every $x \in A$, there exists an open set $U \subseteq X$ and a compact subset K of X such that $x \in U - K \subseteq A$. The complement of a coc-open subset is called coc-closed. The family of all coc-open subsets of a topological space (X, τ) will be denoted by τ^k .

Received May 18, 2022. Revised October 19, 2022. Accepted October 23, 2022. *Corresponding author.

Definition 1.2. [3] A topological space X is called coc- T_2 -space if and only if for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y \in X$, there exist $U, V \in \tau^k$ such that $x \in U, y \in V$ with $U \cap V = \phi$.

Definition 1.3. [4] A family $\mathcal{U} \subseteq X$ is coc-open cover of X if \mathcal{U} covers X and \mathcal{U} is a subfamily of τ^k .

Definition 1.4. [4] A space (X, τ) is coc-compact if every coc-open cover has a finite subcover.

Definition 1.5. A space (X, τ) is coc-Lindelöf if every coc-open cover has a countable subcover.

Definition 1.6. A family \mathcal{U} of (X, τ) is called coc-locally -finite (coc-point-finite) if for each $x \in X$, there coc-open set of x which meets finite members of \mathcal{U} (x belongs to finite members of \mathcal{U}).

Definition 1.7. A coc- T_2 -space (X, τ) is called coc-paracompact (coc-meta compact) if every coc-open cover \mathcal{U} of X has a coc-locally finite (coc-point finite) coc-open refinement.

Definition 1.8. A coc- T_2 -space (X, τ) is called coc-paralindelöf (coc-metaLindelöf) if every coc-open cover has a coc-locally countable (coc-point countable) coc-open refinement.

Clearly, coc-compact \Rightarrow coc-paracompact \Rightarrow coc-metacompact \Rightarrow coc-meta Lindelöf and coc-paracompact \Rightarrow coc-paralindelöf.

Most of the following definitions and theorems are taken from [5].

Definition 1.9. [5] A subset A of a topological space X is coc-regular open if $\text{int}_{coc}(\overline{A}^{coc}) = A$, the complement of a coc-regular open is said to be coc-regular closed, or $A = \overline{\text{int}_{coc}(A)}^{coc}$.

Definition 1.10. [5] A coc-open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of X is called coc-regular cover, if for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ there exists a coc-regular closed set F_α such that $F_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ and $X = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{coc}(F_\alpha) | \alpha \in \Delta\}$.

Definition 1.11. [5] A space (X, τ) is coc-almost-compact if every coc-open cover of X has a finite collection such that the coc-closure of the union is X .

Definition 1.12. A space (X, τ) is coc-almost-Lindelöf if every coc-open cover of X has a countable collection such that the coc-closure of the union is X .

Definition 1.13. [5] A space (X, τ) is coc-weakly-compact if every coc-regular cover of X has a finite collection such that the coc-closure of the union is X .

Definition 1.14. A space (X, τ) is coc-weakly-Lindelöf if every coc-regular cover of X has a countable collection such that the coc-closure of the union is X .

Definition 1.15. [5] A space (X, τ) is coc-nearly-compact if every coc-open cover of X by coc-regular open sets has a finite subcover.

Definition 1.16. A space (X, τ) is coc-nearly-Lindelöf if every coc-open cover of X by coc-regular open sets has a countable subcover.

And it is clear that coc-compact \Rightarrow coc-nearly-compact \Rightarrow coc-almost-compact \Rightarrow coc-weakly-compact, also coc-nearly-Lindelöf \Rightarrow coc-almost-Lindelöf \Rightarrow coc-weakly-Lindelöf.

Definition 1.17. [5] A space X is called coc-R-compact if every coc-regular cover of X has a finite subcover.

Theorem 1.18. A coc-almost-compact space X is coc-R-compact space.

Definition 1.19. [5] A space X is said to be coc-almost regular if for each coc-regular closed F and $x \in X - F$, there exist disjoint coc-open sets U, V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$.

Theorem 1.20. [5] If a space X is coc-weakly-compact and coc-almost-regular, then X is coc-nearly-compact.

Corollary 1.21. [5] The following are equivalent for a coc-almost-regular space:

- (1) X is coc-nearly-compact,
- (2) X is coc-almost-compact,
- (3) X is coc-R-compact,
- (4) X is coc-weakly-compact.

2. Coc-paracompact Space

Theorem 2.1. Every coc-closed subspace of a coc-paracompact X is coc-paracompact.

Proof. Let A be coc-closed subset of X and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be coc-open cover of A . Then $\mathcal{U} \cup \{X - A\}$ is a coc-open cover of X which has a coc-open, coc-locally finite refinement, say \mathcal{V} , now $\mathcal{V} \cap A$ is the needed coc-open coc-locally finite refinement of A (clearly $(\mathcal{V} \cap A) \cap (X - A) = \phi$), hence the result. □

Corollary 2.2. Every closed subspace of a coc-paracompact X is coc-paracompact.

Definition 2.3. A space (X, τ^k) is T_3 -space if for a coc-closed set A and $x \in A$, there exist disjoint $U, V \in \tau^k$ with $A \subseteq U, x \in V$.

Definition 2.4. A space (X, τ^k) is normal space if for disjoint coc-closed set A, B , there exist disjoint $U, V \in \tau^k$ with $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$.

Lemma 2.5. Let (X, τ) be a coc-paracompact space. Then (X, τ^k) is T_3 -space and hence coc-almost regular space.

Proof. Let A be coc-closed subset of X and $x \in X - A$. For each $y \in A$, there exist disjoint coc-open sets U_x, V_y contain x, y respectively. Now, the collection $\{U_x | x \in A\} \cup \{X - A\}$ forms a coc-open cover of X , which has coc-locally-finite coc-open refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\gamma | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_A = \{V_\gamma | V_\gamma \cap A \neq \phi\}$, and $V = \bigcup \{V_\gamma | V_\gamma \in \mathcal{V}_A\}$, then $A \subseteq V$ and $x \notin \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{V_\gamma}^{coc} = \overline{V}^{coc}$, hence the result. □

Definition 2.6. A set $A \subseteq X$ is called coc-dense set if $\overline{A}^{coc} = X$.

Theorem 2.7. For a coc-paracompact space X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) X has coc-dense coc-compact space,
- (2) X is coc-weakly compact space,
- (3) X is coc-almost compact space,
- (4) X is coc- R -compact space,
- (5) X is coc-compact space.

Proof. The proof comes from the lemma 2.5 and corollary 1.21, and the fact that (see [5]) if (X, τ^k) is T_3 -space with X is coc-weakly compact space, then X is coc-compact space. \square

Theorem 2.8. Let X be coc-paralindelöf space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) X has coc-dense coc-Lindelöf space,
- (2) X is coc-weakly-Lindelöf space,
- (3) X is coc-almost-Lindelöf space,
- (4) X is coc-Lindelöf space.

3. Coc-countable Paracompactness

In this section we introduce a new type of paracompact in coc-open sets and gives equivalent statement to this notion.

Definition 3.1. A coc- T_2 -space (X, τ) is called coc-countably paracompact if every countable coc-open cover has a coc-locally finite coc-open refinement.

The following lemma is necessary for the next theorem.

Lemma 3.2. Let (X, τ^k) be a normal space. Then for all countable coc-point finite cover \mathcal{G} of X , there exist a coc-open refinement cover \mathcal{W} such that $\overline{W_\gamma}^{coc} \subseteq G$ for some $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_m | m \in \Delta, \Delta \text{ is countable set}\}$ be coc-locally finite cover for a normal space (X, τ^k) .

Define

$$E_1 = X - \bigcup_{m>1} G_m,$$

clearly E_1 is coc-closed set in X and $E_1 \subseteq G_1$, but (X, τ^k) is normal space, so there exist coc-open set W_1 such that

$$E_1 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \overline{W_1}^{coc} \subseteq G_1.$$

In general, we can define

$$E_\alpha = X - \left(\bigcup_{s<\alpha} W_s \right) \left(\bigcup_{t>\alpha} G_t \right),$$

clearly E_α is coc-closed set and $E_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$, again by normality of (X, τ^k) , there exist coc-open set W_α with

$$E_\alpha \subseteq W_\alpha \subseteq \overline{W_\alpha}^{coc} \subseteq G_\alpha.$$

Indeed, the family $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is coc-open refinement cover. For that let $x \in X$ and x meets finite members of \mathcal{G} say $G_{\alpha_1}, G_{\alpha_2}, \dots, G_{\alpha_n}$, let $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, then $x \notin G_t$ for $t > \alpha$ and if $x \notin W_s$ for any $s < \alpha$, then $x \in E_\alpha \subseteq W_\alpha$, so $x \in V_s$ for some $s \leq \alpha$, hence \mathcal{V} is refinement coc-open cover. \square

Definition 3.3. A set A in a topological space is called *coc- G_δ -set* if it intersection of coc-open set, the complement of *coc- G_δ -set* is called *coc- F_σ -set*.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, τ^k) be a normal space. Then the following are equivalent:

1. X is coc-countably paracompact,
2. Every coc-open cover has coc-locally finite coc-open refinement,
3. Every countable coc-open cover \mathcal{U} has a refinement \mathcal{V} such that $\overline{\mathcal{V}}^{coc} \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$,
4. Given a decreasing sequence of coc-closed $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ with $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha = \phi$, then there exist a sequence of coc-open family $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ with $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_\alpha = \phi$ such that $F_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$,
5. Given a decreasing sequence of coc-closed family $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ with $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha = \phi$, there exist a sequence of coc-closed (coc- G_δ) family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ with $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha = \phi$ and $F_\alpha \subseteq A_\alpha$.

Proof. (1) \rightarrow (2) Clearly, since coc-locally finite coc-open cover is coc-point finite coc-open cover.

(2) \rightarrow (3) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a countable coc-open cover of X , so for $x \in X$, by (2), there is a coc-locally finite coc-open refinement \mathcal{V} such that x belongs to finite members of \mathcal{V} . For $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and let $U_V \in \mathcal{U}$ be the first one of \mathcal{U} that contains V . Let

$$G_\alpha = \bigcap_{U_V=U_\alpha} V,$$

then $G_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$.

For the collection $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$, \mathcal{G} is coc-point finite coc-open cover, and so by Lemma 3.2, there exist a coc-open cover \mathcal{W} such that $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^{coc} \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ as required.

(3) \rightarrow (4) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a countable coc-closed family with $F_{\alpha+1} \subseteq F_\alpha$ and $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha = \phi$.

Define $U_\alpha = X - F_\alpha$, then $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be countable coc-open cover, therefore by (3) there exist a coc-open refinement \mathcal{V} with $V_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$.

Finally define

$$G_\alpha = X - \overline{V_\alpha}^{coc},$$

then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \overline{V_\alpha}^{coc} = X,$$

so

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_\alpha = \phi,$$

and

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

is coc-open cover of X , since $\overline{V_\alpha}^{coc} \subseteq U$, so we have $F_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$.

(4) \rightarrow (5) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a coc-closed family satisfies (4), so there exists a sequence $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ with $F_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$ and $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_\alpha = \phi$, since (X, τ^k)

is normal, there exists a continuous function $\phi_\alpha(x) : (X, \tau^k) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u^\alpha)$ such that $\phi_\alpha(x) = 0$ for $x \in F_\alpha$ and $\phi_\alpha(x) = 1$ for $x \notin G_\alpha$.

Let

$$G_{\alpha_m} = \{x | \phi_\alpha(x) < \frac{1}{m}\},$$

and

$$A_\alpha = \bigcap_m G_{\alpha_m} = \{x | \phi_\alpha(x) = 0\},$$

then G_{α_m} is open set and hence coc-open set, and A_α closed and hence coc-closed set with $F_\alpha \subset A_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$ and $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_\alpha = \phi$.

(5) \rightarrow (1) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be countable coc-open cover and let $F_\alpha = X - \bigcup_{k \leq \alpha} U_k$, so $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is coc-closed decreasing sequence with $\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha = \phi$ (since $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha = X$), so there exists a sequence of coc-closed (coc- G_δ) family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ with $F_\beta \subseteq A_\beta$ and $\bigcap A_\beta = \phi$, then $X - A_\beta$ is coc- F_α set, so we write $X - A_\beta = \bigcup_\alpha B_{\beta_\alpha}$, where B_{β_α} is coc-closed, but (X, τ^k) is normal, so

$$B_{\beta_\alpha} \subseteq \text{int}_{coc}(B_{\beta, \alpha+1}).$$

Define

$$H_{\beta_\alpha} = \text{int}_{coc}(B_{\beta_\alpha}),$$

then

$$H_{\beta_\alpha} \subseteq B_{\beta_\alpha} \subseteq H_{\beta, \alpha+1}$$

and

$$X - A_\beta = \bigcup H_{\beta_\alpha},$$

hence

$$B_{\beta_\alpha} \subset X - A_\beta \subset X - F_\beta = \bigcup_{k \leq \beta} U_k.$$

Let

$$V_\alpha = U_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_{\beta_\alpha},$$

then V_α is coc-open set. To end the proof let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$. (1) \mathcal{V} covers X . For $\beta < \alpha$, $B_{\beta_\alpha} \subset \bigcup_{k \leq \beta} U_k \subset \bigcup_{k < \alpha} U_k$, hence $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_{\beta_\alpha} \subset \bigcup_{k < \alpha} U_k$, and $V_\alpha \supseteq U_\alpha - \bigcup_{k < \alpha} U_k$. So for $x \in X$, there exists $\alpha \in \Delta$ with $x \in U_\alpha$ and hence $x \in V_\alpha$. (2) \mathcal{V} is refinement for \mathcal{U} , it is clear. (3) \mathcal{V} coc-locally finite coc-open. Let $x \in X$, for some $\beta \in \Delta$, $x \notin A_\beta$ and for some m , $x \in H_{\beta_k}$, then if $\alpha > \beta$ and $\alpha > k$, $H_{\beta_k} \subset B_{\beta_\alpha}$ and hence $H_{\beta_k} \cap V_\alpha = \emptyset$. So the coc-open set H_{β_k} contains x meets finite members of \mathcal{V} as required. \square

Conflicts of interest : The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability : Not applicable

Acknowledgments : The authors are grateful to Philadelphia University, Jerash, Jordan for the financial support granted to cover the publication fee of this research article.

REFERENCES

1. R. Al-Abdula and F. Al-Hussaini, *On cocompact open set*, J. Al-Qadisiyah Comput. Sci. Math. **6** (2014), 1-18.
2. S. Al Ghour and S. Al-Zoubi, *A new class between theta open sets and theta omega open sets*, Heliyon **7** (2021), e05996.
3. S. Al Ghour and E. Maghrabi, *Co-compact separation Axioms and Slight co-continuity*, Symmetry **12** (2020), 1-14.
4. S. Al Ghour and S. Samarah, *Cocompact open sets and continuity*, Abstarct and Applied Analysis **P548612** (2012), 1-9.
5. F.A. Abushaheen and F. Alrimawi, *Weakly Covering Spaces in Coc-open Sets*, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **15** (2022), 199-206.
6. F.A. Abushaheen, *Some Properties of Weak Separation Axioms in Coc-Compact Sets*, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **15** (2022), 589-601.
7. R. Engelking, *General Topology. Revised and completed edition*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

Hani Kawariq received M.Sc. and Ph.D. from the University of Jordan, Jordan. From 2014 to Aug-2022 he has been at Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Jordan. Since Sep-2022 he is working at Philadelphia University, Jordan. His research interests include Algebra, Topology, Graph Theory, and Matrix Analysis.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Philadelphia University, Jerash, Jordan.
e-mail: hkawariq@philadelphia.edu.jo, dr.hani.qwareeq@gmail.com

Fuad A. Abushaheen received M.Sc. from Yarmouk University, Jordan; and Ph.D. from the University of Jordan, Jordan. Since 2014 he has been at Middle East University, Jordan. His research interests include Topology and Functional Analysis.

Basic Science Department, Faculty of Arts and Educational Sciences, Middle East University, Amman, Jordan.
e-mail: fshaheen@meu.edu.jo