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#### Abstract

The conceptions of generalized $b$-metric spaces or $G_{b}$-metric spaces and a generalized $\Omega$-distance mappings play a key role in proving many important theorems in existence and uniqueness of fixed point theory. In this manuscript, we establish a new type of contraction namely, $\Omega_{b}(\mathcal{H}, \theta, s)$-contraction, this contraction based on the concept of a generalized $\Omega$-distance mappings which established by Abodayeh et.al. in 2017 together with the concept of $\mathcal{H}$-simulation functions which established by Bataihah et.al [10] in 2020. By utilizing this new notion we prove new results in existence and uniqueness of fixed point. On the other hand, examples and application were established to show the importance of our results.


## 1. Introduction

In the past century, the developing of fixed point theory has taken a wide range in the field of mathematics certainly after the original result of Banach [6]. The study was divided into many directions; one of these directions by modifying the contraction condition for examples see [2], [3], [8], [9], [14]-[23] and the other by modifying the setting of the distance spaces, the pioneer mathematicians established new distance spaces such as $b$-metric space, extended $b$-metric spaces and extended quasi $b$-metric spaces, etc. Qawasmeh et.al. [21] and Shatanawi [29] discuss and unify the existence and uniqueness

[^0]of fixed point results by utilizing the concept of extended $b$-metric spaces and extended quasi $b$-metric spaces respectively, for more examples in distance spaces see [24], [25], [27]-[30] and others by modifying both see [7], [23], [28], [31].

In 2014, Aghajani et.al. [4] established the concept of generalized $b$-metric spaces or $G_{b}$-metric spaces as a generalization of the standard concept of both spaces $b$-metric spaces which investigated by Bakhtin [5] and $G$-metric spaces which investigated by Mustafa and Sims [19] as follows:

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. ([4]) Let $D$ be a nonempty set and $s \in[1,+\infty)$. Assume that the function
$G_{b}: D \times D \times D \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ satisfy:
(1) $G_{b}\left(d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ if and only if $d=d^{\prime}=d^{\prime \prime}$;
(2) $G_{b}\left(d, d, d^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$ for all $d, d^{\prime} \in D$ with $d \neq d^{\prime}$;
(3) $G_{b}\left(d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \leq G_{b}\left(d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for all $d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime} \in D$ with $d^{\prime} \neq d^{\prime \prime}$;
(4) $G_{b}\left(d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)=G_{b}\left(p\left\{d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right)$ where $p$ is a permutation of $d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}$;
(5) $G_{b}\left(d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq s\left[G_{b}(d, a, a)+G_{b}\left(a, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$ for all $d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}, a \in D$.

Then the function $G_{b}$ is called a generalized $b$-metric on $D$ and the pair ( $D, G_{b}$ ) is a generalized $b$-metric space or $G_{b}$-metric space.
Example 2.2. ([4]) Suppose that $(D, G)$ is a $G$-metric space and $p \in(1,+\infty)$. Define $G_{b}: D \times D \times D \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ via $G_{b}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\left(G\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)\right)^{p}$. Then $G_{b}$ is a generalized $b$ metric space with base $s=2^{p-1}$.

From now on, $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ refers to $G_{b}$-metric space. The notions of $G_{b}$ convergence and $G_{b}$ completeness are given as follows:
Definition 2.3. ([4]) Let $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$. Then
(1) $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ is $G_{b}$ Cauchy sequence if for all $\epsilon>0$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m, l \geq N, G\left(d_{n}, d_{m}, d_{l}\right)<\epsilon$;
(2) $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ is $G_{b}$ convergent to $d \in D$ if for all $\epsilon>0$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m \geq N, G\left(d, d_{n}, d_{m}\right)<\epsilon$;
(3) $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is complete if every $G_{b}$ Cauchy sequence is $G_{b}$ convergent.

Remark 2.4. A sequence $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ in $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is $G_{b}$ convergent to $d \in D$ if one of the following conditions hold:
(i) $G_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n}, d\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$;
(ii) $G_{b}\left(d_{n} d, d\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

The notion of Generalized $\Omega$ distance mappings or $\Omega_{b}$ was introduced by Abodayeh et.al. [1] and they employed this notion to discuss some fixed point results in the literature.

Definition 2.5. ([1]) A generalized $\Omega$-distance mapping on ( $D, G_{b}$ ) (denoted by $\Omega_{b}$ ) is a function $\Omega_{b}: D \times D \times D \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ satisfy:
(1) $\Omega_{b}\left(d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq s\left[\Omega_{b}(d, a, a)+\Omega_{b}\left(a, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$ for all $d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}, a \in D, s \in$ $[0,+\infty)$;
(2) for all $d, d^{\prime} \in D, \Omega_{b}\left(d, d^{\prime},.\right), \Omega_{b}\left(d, ., d^{\prime}\right): D \rightarrow D$ are lower semicontinuous;
(3) for all $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\alpha>0$ such that if $\Omega_{b}(d, a, a) \leq \alpha$ and $\Omega_{b}\left(a, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \alpha$, then $G_{b}\left(d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \epsilon$ for all $d, d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime} \in D$.

Example 2.6. ([1]) Let $D=\mathbb{R}$. Define $G_{b}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ and $\Omega_{b}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ via $G_{b}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\left(\left|d_{1}-d_{2}\right|+\left|d_{2}-d_{3}\right|+\left|d_{1}-d_{3}\right|\right)^{2}$, $\Omega_{b}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\left(\left|d_{1}-d_{2}\right|+\left|d_{1}-d_{3}\right|\right)^{2}$, respectively. Then $G_{b}$ is a generalized $b$ metric space with $s=2$ and $\Omega_{b}$ is a generalized $\Omega$-distance mapping.

Definition 2.7. ( $[10,16]$ ) Let $\Theta$ denotes the class of all continuous and none decreasing functions $\theta:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[1,+\infty)$ that satisfies the condition : for all a sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ in $[0,+\infty)$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \theta\left(r_{n}\right)=1 \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} r_{n}=0
$$

Remark 2.8. If $\theta \in \Theta$, then $\theta^{-1}(\{1\})=0$.
The notion of the class of functions namely, $\mathcal{H}$-simulation functions which investigated by Bataihah et.al. in 2020 as follows:

Definition 2.9. ([10]) A class of functions $H:[1,+\infty) \times[1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a $\mathcal{H}$-simulation function if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(d, d^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{d^{\prime}}{d}, \quad \forall d, d^{\prime} \in[1,+\infty) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.10. ([10]) Suppose $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\left(d_{n}\right),\left(d_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are sequences in $[1,+\infty)$ with $1 \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d_{n}^{\prime}<\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} H\left(d_{n}, d_{n}^{\prime}\right)<1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the subsequence, $D$ refers to nonempty set, $\Phi_{f}$ stands for the class of all fixed points of $f$ in the set $D, \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ refer to the set of reals and the set of natural numbers, respectively.

## 3. Main results

Before we introduce our new results, it is necessary to introduce the following definitions.
Definition 3.1. Suppose that $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is equipped with generalized $\Omega$-distance mapping $\Omega_{b}$. we say that $D$ is bounded with respect to $\Omega_{b}$ if there is $K>0$ with $\Omega_{b}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right) \leq K$ for all $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3} \in D$.

Definition 3.2. Suppose that ( $D, G_{b}$ ) is equipped with generalized $\Omega$-distance mapping $\Omega_{b}$. A self mapping $f$ on $D$ is called $\Omega_{b}(\mathcal{H}, \theta, s)$-contraction if there exist $s \in[1,+\infty), \delta \in[0,1), \theta \in \Theta$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that for all $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3} \in D$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq H\left(\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right), \theta \delta W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\max \left\{\Omega_{b}\left(d_{1}, f d_{1}, d_{2}\right), \Omega_{b}\left(d_{1}, f d_{1}, f d_{1}\right), \Omega_{b}\left(d_{2}, f d_{2}, f d_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that that the function $f: D \rightarrow D$ satisfies the conditions of $\Omega_{b}(\mathcal{H}, \theta, s)$-contraction. Then
(1) if $0<W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$, then $\Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right) \leq \frac{\delta}{s} W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$;
(2) if $0=W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$, then $\Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right)=0$.

Proof. (1) If $W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)>0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leq H\left(\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right), \theta \delta \Omega_{b}\left(d_{1}, f d_{1}, d_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\theta \delta W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)}{\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that, $\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right) \leq \theta \delta W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$. Since the class $\Theta$ is non-decreasing function, we get

$$
\Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right) \leq \frac{\delta}{s} W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)
$$

(2) If $0=W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$, then by utilizing condition (1), we have

$$
1 \leq \theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right) \leq \theta \delta W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=1
$$

Thus, $\Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right)=0$.
Lemma 3.4. Suppose that that the function $f: D \rightarrow D$ satisfies $\Omega_{b}(\mathcal{H}, \theta, s)$ contraction. Then $\Phi_{f}$ has at most one element.

Proof. First, if $\omega \in \Phi_{f}$, we claim that $\Omega_{b}(\omega, \omega, \omega)=0$. If not, then by Lemma 3.3, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{b}\left(f \omega, f^{2} \omega, f \omega\right) & \leq \frac{\delta}{s} W(\omega, \omega, \omega) \\
& =\frac{\delta}{s} \max \left\{\Omega_{b}(\omega, f \omega, \omega), \Omega_{b}(\omega, f \omega, f \omega), \Omega_{b}(\omega, f \omega, f \omega)\right\} \\
& =\frac{\delta}{s}\left[\Omega_{b}(\omega, \omega, \omega)\right] \\
& <\Omega_{b}(\omega, \omega, \omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Now, assume that there are $\omega, v \in \Phi_{f}$. Then $\Omega_{b}(\omega, v, v)>0$ by utilizing Lemma 3.3, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{b}(\omega, v, v)=\Omega_{b}\left(f \omega, f^{2} v, f v\right) & \leq \frac{\delta}{s} W(\omega, v, v) \\
& =\frac{\delta}{s} \max \left\{\Omega_{b}(\omega, f v, v) \Omega_{b}(\omega, \omega, \omega), \Omega_{b}(v, v, v)\right\} \\
& =\frac{\delta}{s} \Omega_{b}(\omega, v, v) \\
& <\Omega_{b}(\omega, v, v),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\Omega_{b}(\omega, v, v)=0$ and by the condition (3) of the definition of of $\Omega_{b}$ and since $\Omega_{b}(\omega, \omega, \omega)=0$, we have $G_{b}(\omega, v, v)=0$ and so $\omega=v$.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is complete equipped with a generalized $\Omega$ distance mapping $\Omega_{b}$ with base $s \in[1,+\infty)$ and $D$ is bounded with respect to $\Omega_{b}$. Suppose there exist $\theta \in \Theta, H \in \mathcal{H}, \delta \in[0,1)$ such that the self-mapping $f: D \rightarrow D$ is a $\Omega_{b}(\mathcal{H}, \theta, s)$-contraction and satisfies one of the followings:
(i) $f$ is a continuous mapping;
(ii) for all $\beta \in D$ if $f \beta \neq \beta$, then $0<\inf \left\{\Omega_{b}(d, f d, \beta): d \in D\right\}$, then $\Phi_{f}$ contains only one element.

Proof. Construct a Picard sequence $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ by choosing an arbitrary point $d_{0} \in$ $D$ and by letting $d_{n+1}=f^{n+1}\left(d_{0}\right)=f\left(d_{n}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)=\Omega_{b}\left(f d_{n-1}, f^{2} d_{n-1}, f d_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leq H\left(\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{n-1}, f^{2} d_{n-1}, f d_{n}\right), \theta \delta W\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =H\left(\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right), \theta \delta W\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \frac{\theta \delta W\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right)}{\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)}  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\frac{\left.\theta \delta \max \left\{\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right), \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right), \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)\right)\right\}}{\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right) \leq \theta \delta \max \left\{\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right)+\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)\right.
$$

Since the class $\Theta$ is a non-decreasing function, we get

$$
\left.\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{\delta}{s} \max \left\{\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right), \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)\right)\right\} .
$$

If $\left.\max \left\{\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right), \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)\right)\right\}=\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)$, then $\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)<\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right) & \leq \frac{\delta}{s} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n-1}, d_{n}, d_{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{\delta}{s}\right)^{2} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n-2}, d_{n-1}, d_{n-1}\right) \\
& \vdots  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq\left(\frac{\delta}{s}\right)^{n} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $D$ is bounded with respect to $\Omega_{b}$, there is $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\delta}{s}\right)^{n} K . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by utilizing Equation (3.4) and condition (1) of the the definition of $\Omega_{b}$ for all $n<m \leq l$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{m}, d_{l}\right) \leq s \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)+s \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n+1}, d_{m}, d_{l}\right) \\
& \leq s \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)+s^{2} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, d_{n+2}\right) \\
&+s^{2} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n+2}, d_{m}, d_{l}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \leq s \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}\right)+s^{2} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, d_{n+2}\right)+\cdots \\
&+s^{m-n-1} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{m-2}, d_{m-1}, d_{m-1}\right)+s^{m-n-1} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{m-1}, d_{m}, d_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq s\left(\frac{\delta}{s}\right)^{n} K+s^{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{s}\right)^{n+1} K+\cdots+s^{m-n-1}\left(\frac{\delta}{s}\right)^{m-1} K \\
& =s\left(\frac{\delta}{s}\right)^{n} K\left[1+\delta+\delta^{2}+\cdots+\delta^{m-n-1}\right]  \tag{3.5}\\
& =s K\left(\frac{1-\delta^{m-n}}{1-\delta}\right)\left(\frac{\delta}{s}\right)^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

By taking the limit as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{m}, d_{l}\right)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ is $G_{b}$ Cauchy sequence, so there is $\beta \in D$ such that the sequence $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ is $G_{b}$ convergent to $\beta$. If $f$ is a continuous function, then $f \beta=\beta$. But if $f$ is any mapping by using the lower semi continuity of $\Omega_{b}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{m}, \beta\right) \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{m}, d_{t}\right)<\epsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq N . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $m=n+1$. Then

$$
\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, \beta\right) \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, d_{t}\right)<\epsilon
$$

for all $n \geq N$. Now, if $f \beta \neq \beta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\inf \left\{\Omega_{b}(d, f d, \beta): d \in D\right\} \leq \inf \left\{\Omega_{b}\left(d_{n}, d_{n+1}, \beta\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\epsilon \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\epsilon>0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $f \beta=\beta$. To prove the uniqueness, Lemma 3.4 ensures that $\Phi_{f}$ contains only one element and this completes the proof.

Corollary 3.6. Suppose $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is complete equipped with a generalized $\Omega$ distance mapping $\Omega_{b}$ with base $s \in[1,+\infty)$ and $D$ is bounded with respect to $\Omega_{b}$. Suppose there exist $\theta \in \Theta, \delta \in[0,1)$ such that the self-mapping $f: D \rightarrow D$ satisfies the condition:

$$
2^{s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)} \leq 2^{\delta \Omega_{b}(d, f d, t)}, \quad \forall d, t, l \in D .
$$

If one of the following conditions satisfied
(1) $f$ is a continuous mapping;
(2) for all $\beta \in D$ if $f \beta \neq \beta$, then $0<\inf \left\{\Omega_{b}(d, f d, \beta): d \in D\right\}$, then $\Phi_{f}$ contains only one element.
Proof. Define $H:[1,+\infty) \times[1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \theta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ by

$$
H\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=1+\ln \left(\frac{v_{2}}{v_{1}}\right), \lambda \in(0,1) \text { and } \theta(v)=2^{v}, \quad \forall v \in D,
$$

respectively, then $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. Now,

$$
2^{s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)} \leq 2^{\delta \Omega_{b}(d, f d, t)}
$$

then

$$
\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right) \leq \theta \delta \Omega_{b}(d, f d, t) \leq \theta \delta W(d, t, l)
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
1 \leq \frac{\theta \delta W(d, t, l)}{\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)}
$$

It means that

$$
1 \leq 1+\ln \left(\frac{\theta \delta W(d, t, l)}{\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f r, f^{2} r, f t\right)}\right)
$$

it implies

$$
1 \leq H\left(\theta \delta W(d, t, l), \theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)\right)
$$

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the class of all continuous functions:

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\left\{l:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[1,+\infty): l^{-1}(\{1\})=0\right\} .
$$

Corollary 3.8. Suppose $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is complete equipped with generalized $\Omega$ distance mapping $\Omega_{b}$ with base $s \in[1,+\infty)$ and $D$ is bounded with respect to $\Omega_{b}$. Suppose there exist $\theta \in \Theta, \delta \in[0,1)$. Assume there are $l_{1}, l_{2} \in \mathcal{L}$ with $l_{2}(t) \leq t \leq l_{1}(t)$ with $t \in[0,+\infty)$ such that the self-mapping $f: D \rightarrow D$ satisfies the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{l_{2}\left(\theta \delta W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)\right)}{l_{1}\left(\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right)\right)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one of the following conditions satisfied:
(1) $f$ is a continuous mapping;
(2) for all $\beta \in D$ if $f \beta \neq \beta$, then $0<\inf \left\{\Omega_{b}(d, f d, \beta): d \in D\right\}$, then $\Phi_{f}$ contains only one element.

Proof. Define $H:[1,+\infty) \times[1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $H\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\frac{l_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)}{l_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)}$, it is obviously that $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Theorem 3.5 ensures that $\Phi_{f}$ has only one element.

Next, assume that the class $\mathcal{L}$ is a non-decreasing function and by using Corollary 3.8 , we get the following corollaries:

Corollary 3.9. Suppose $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is complete equipped with generalized $\Omega$ distance mapping $\Omega_{b}$ with base $s \in[1,+\infty)$ and $D$ is bounded with respect to $\Omega_{b}$. Suppose there exist $\theta \in \Theta, \delta \in[0,1)$. Assume there are $l_{1}, l_{2} \in \mathcal{L}$ with
$l_{2} \leq t \leq l_{1}$ and $t \in[0,+\infty)$ such that the self-mapping $f: D \rightarrow D$ satisfies the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}\left(\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right)\right) \leq l_{2}\left(\Omega_{b}\left(d_{1}, f d_{1}, d_{2}\right)\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one of the following conditions satisfied:
(1) $f$ is a continuous mapping;
(2) for all $\beta \in D$ if $f \beta \neq \beta$, then $0<\inf \left\{\Omega_{b}(d, f d, \beta): d \in D\right\}$,
then $\Phi_{f}$ contains only one element.
Next, let $l_{1}(t)=t, l_{2}(t)=\lambda t$ with $\lambda \in[0,1)$ by employing Corollary 3.8 , we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.10. Suppose ( $D, G_{b}$ ) is complete equipped with generalized $\Omega$ distance mapping $\Omega_{b}$ with base $s \in[1,+\infty)$ and $D$ is bounded with respect to $\Omega_{b}$. Suppose there exist $\theta \in \Theta, \lambda, \delta \in[0,1)$ such that the self-mapping $f: D \rightarrow D$ satisfies the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d_{1}, f^{2} d_{1}, f d_{2}\right) \leq \lambda \theta \delta W\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right), \quad \forall d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3} \in D \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one of the following conditions satisfied:
(1) $f$ is a continuous mapping;
(2) for all $\beta \in D$ if $f \beta \neq f \beta$, then $0<\inf \left\{\Omega_{b}(d, f d, \beta): d \in D\right\}$, then $\Phi_{f}$ contains only one element.

Example 3.11. Let $D=I=[0,1]$. Then $\Phi f$ of the following mapping

$$
f d=1-\frac{d^{2}}{8+d^{2}}
$$

has only one element on $I$. To show this, define the following mapping: $H:[1,+\infty) \times[1,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ and $\theta:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[1,+\infty)$ by

$$
H\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=\frac{d_{2}^{\lambda}}{d_{1}} \text { with } \lambda=\frac{1}{2} \text { and } \theta(\omega)=2^{\omega}, \quad \forall \omega \in I
$$

respectively, then $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. Also, define $G_{b}: I \times I \times I \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ by

$$
G_{b}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\left(\left|d_{1}-d_{2}\right|+\left|d_{2}-d_{3}\right|+\left|d_{1}-d_{3}\right|\right)^{2}
$$

then $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is a complete $G_{b}$-metric space with $s=2$.
Furthermore, define $\Omega_{b}: I \times I \times I \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ by

$$
\Omega_{b}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\left(\left|d_{1}-d_{2}\right|+\left|d_{1}-d_{3}\right|\right)^{2}
$$

then $\Omega_{b}$ is a generalized $\Omega$-distance mapping.

Now, for all $d, t, l \in D$, assume $f d=u$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)= & 2 \Omega_{b}(f d, f u, f t) \\
= & 2\left(\left|1-\frac{d^{2}}{8+d^{2}}-\left(1-\frac{u^{2}}{8+u^{2}}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|1-\frac{d^{2}}{8+d^{2}}-\left(1-\frac{t^{2}}{8+t^{2}}\right)\right|\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{2}{(64)^{2}}\left[\left|\left(d^{2}\right)\left(8+u^{2}\right)-\left(u^{2}\right)\left(8+d^{2}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\left(d^{2}\right)\left(8+t^{2}\right)-\left(t^{2}\right)\left(8+d^{2}\right)\right|\right]^{2} \\
= & \frac{2}{64}\left[\left|d^{2}-u^{2}\right|+\left|d^{2}-t^{2}\right|\right]^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{8}[|d-u|+|d-t|]^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{8}[|d-f d|+|d-t|]^{2} \\
= & \lambda \delta \Omega_{b}(d, f d, t),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}, \delta=\frac{1}{4}$. Now,

$$
s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right) \leq \lambda \delta \Omega_{b}(d, f d, t) \leq \lambda \delta W(d, t, l)
$$

then

$$
2^{s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)} \leq\left(2^{\delta W(d, t, l)}\right) \lambda .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
1 \leq \frac{\left(2^{\delta W(d, t, l)}\right)^{\lambda}}{2^{s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)}}
$$

It means that

$$
1 \leq H\left(\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right), \theta \delta W(d, t, l)\right)
$$

Hence, $f$ satisfy the conditions of $\Omega_{b}(\mathcal{H}, \theta, s)$-contraction. Theorem 3.5 ensures that $\Phi_{f}$ has only one element.

By employing MATLAB simulation we find out that the fixed point of $f$ is $d \approx 0.9067953030328075$.

Example 3.12. Consider the following mapping

$$
f r=\frac{1-d^{m}}{\Gamma+d^{m}}, \text { where } m, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } m>\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \Gamma \geq \sqrt{2} m
$$

Then $\Phi f$ has only one element on $[0,1]$. To show this, define the following mappings: $H:[1,+\infty) \times[1,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty), \theta:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[1,+\infty)$ by $H\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=1+\ln \frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}, \theta(\omega)=e^{\omega}$ for all $\omega \in D$, respectively, then $H \in$ $\mathcal{H}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. Also, define: $G_{b}: D \times D \times D \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ via $G_{b}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=$ $\left(\left|d_{1}-d_{2}\right|+\left|d_{2}-d_{3}\right|+\left|d_{1}-d_{3}\right|\right)^{2}$. Then $\left(D, G_{b}\right)$ is a complete $G_{b}$ metric space with $s=2$.

Furthermore, define $\Omega_{b}: D \times D \times D \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ via

$$
\Omega_{b}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\left(\left|d_{1}-d_{2}\right|+\left|d_{1}-d_{3}\right|\right)^{2},
$$

then $\Omega_{b}$ is a generalized $\Omega$-distance mapping.
Now, for all $d, t, l \in R$, assume $f d=u$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)= & 2 \Omega_{b}(f d, f u, f t) \\
= & 2\left(\left|\frac{1-d^{m}}{\Gamma+d^{m}}-\frac{1-u^{m}}{\Gamma+u^{m}}\right|+\left|\frac{1-d^{m}}{\Gamma+d^{m}}-\frac{1-t^{m}}{\Gamma+t^{m}}\right|\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{2}{\Gamma^{4}}\left[\left|\left(1-d^{m}\right)\left(\Gamma+u^{m}\right)-\left(1-u^{m}\right)\left(\Gamma+d^{m}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\left(1-d^{m}\right)\left(\Gamma+t^{m}\right)-\left(1-t^{m}\right)\left(\Gamma+d^{m}\right)\right|\right]^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{2(\Gamma-1)^{2}}{\Gamma^{4}}\left(\left|d^{m}-u^{m}\right|+\left|d^{m}-t^{m}\right|\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{2 m^{2}(\Gamma-1)^{2}}{\Gamma^{4}}(|d-u|+|d-t|)^{2} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{\Gamma-1}{\Gamma}\right)^{2}(|d-f d|+|d-t|)^{2} \\
= & \delta \Omega_{b}(d, f d, t), \text { where } \delta=\left(\frac{\Gamma-1}{\Gamma}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right) \leq \delta \Omega_{b}(d, f d, t) \leq \delta W(d, t, l)
$$

then

$$
e^{s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)} \leq e^{\delta W(d, t, l)}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
1 \leq \frac{e^{\delta W(d, t, l)}}{e^{s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)}}
$$

It means that

$$
1 \leq 1+\ln \frac{e^{\delta W(d, t, l)}}{e^{s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right)}}
$$

it implies

$$
1 \leq H\left(\theta s \Omega_{b}\left(f d, f^{2} d, f t\right), \theta \delta W(d, t, l)\right)
$$

Hence, $f$ satisfy the conditions of $\Omega_{b}(\mathcal{H}, \theta, s)$-contraction. Theorem 3.5 ensures that $\Phi_{f}$ has only one element.

## 4. Application

To Show the novelty of our work, we introduce this application. By utilizing our results the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{m+1}+d^{m}+\Gamma d=1, \text { where } m, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } m>\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \Gamma \geq \sqrt{2} m \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has not only a solution in the unit interval $[0,1]$ as intermediate value theorem say, but also, the solution is unique.

To prove this, it is similar to show that $\Phi_{f}$ of the following mapping has only one element in the unit interval $[0,1]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(d)=\frac{1-d^{m}}{\Gamma+d^{m}}, \text { where } m, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } m>\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \Gamma \geq \sqrt{2} m \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.12 ensures that $\Phi_{f}$ has only one element and so the equation (4.1) has a unique solution.
Example 4.1. If $m=100, \Gamma=150$ in Equation (4.2). By employing MATLAB simulation we find out that the fixed point of $f$ is $d \approx 0.0666666666665433$ and so, it is the unique solution of the Equation (4.1).

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, We employed our new contraction namely $\Omega_{b}(\mathcal{H}, \theta, s)$-contraction to discuss and unify some new fixed point results in the literature, this contraction established by using the notion of $G_{b}$-metric spaces which equipped with generalized $\Omega$-distance mappings ( $\Omega_{b}$-distance mappings) and the notion of $\mathcal{H}$-simulation functions and the class of $\Theta$ functions. Finally, we show the importance of our work by setting up some interesting examples and application.
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