

SEQUENTIAL PROPERTIES OF SUMS OVER STIRLING-PASCAL MATRIX

EUNMI CHOI

ABSTRACT. With the Stirling matrix S of the second kind and the Pascal matrix T , we study recurrence rules and sequences of certain sums over the matrix ST^k . We find a matrix E satisfying $ST = ES$ and interrelations of S and the Stirling matrix of the first kind.

1. Introduction

The Stirling number $s_{i,j}$ counts partitions of an i elements set into j subsets, and the Stirling matrix of the second kind $S = [s_{i,j}]$ ($i, j \geq 0$) satisfies a recurrence rule $s_{i+1,j} = [s_{i,j-1}, s_{i,j}][1, j]^{tr}$. The sum of entries over i^{th} row of S is called the Bell number B_i that satisfy

$$s_{i,j} = \sum_{t=j-1}^{i-1} \binom{i-1}{t} s_{t,j-1} \text{ and } B_i = \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} \binom{i-1}{t} B_t \text{ (see [3], [7]).} \quad (1)$$

A Stirling-Pascal matrix $T^k S$ with Pascal matrix T was studied in [2]. In fact $T^k S = S^{[k]} = [s_{i,j}^{[k]}]$ and its i^{th} row sum $B_i^{[k]}$ satisfy

$$s_{i+1,j}^{[k]} = [s_{i,j-1}^{[k]}, s_{i,j}^{[k]}][1, j+k]^{tr}, \quad B_i^{[k+1]} = B_{i+1}^{[k]} - kB_i^{[k]} \text{ and} \\
 [B_i^{[1]}, B_{i-1}^{[2]}, \dots, B_0^{[i+1]}][[0]_{k-i}, k, k+1, \dots, i+1]^{tr} = B_{i+1-k}^{[k]} - 1. \quad (2)$$

In this work we investigate the matrix ST^k ($k \geq 0$) and its i^{th} row sum $B_i^{(k)}$. Let $ST^k = S^{(k)} = [s_{i,j}^{(k)}]$. We prove recurrence rules of $s_{i,j}^{(k)}$ and $B_i^{(k)}$ in Theorem 4 and 7. We also find a matrix E satisfying $ST = ES$ that give relations of S and the Stirling matrix of the first kind.

Received November 25, 2022; Accepted February 22, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification : 11B73, 15A23

Key Words: Stirling matrix, Bell numbers, Stirling number of the first kind

This work was supported by 2022 Hannam University Research Fund.

Throughout the work, $r_i(M)$ and $c_j(M)$ mean the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of a matrix M . Let $[0]_n$ denote either $\underbrace{[0, \dots, 0]}_n$ or $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_n$ depending on situations. $[[0]_n; r_i(M)]$ is a row matrix $[0]_n$ followed by $r_i(M)$, and $[r_i(M); [0]_n]$ is $r_i(M)$ followed by $[0]_n$. Let $\text{di}[a, b, \dots]$ be a diagonal matrix having diagonal a, b, \dots , in particular, $\text{di}[1, a, a^2, \dots] = \text{di}[a^i]_{i \geq 0}$.

2. $ST^k = S^{(k)}$

Let $S = [s_{i,j}]$ ($i, j \geq 0$) be the Stirling matrix, T be the Pascal matrix and $ST^k = S^{(k)} = [s_{i,j}^{(k)}]$ for $k \geq 0$. We begin to have the i^{th} row $r_i(T^k)$ and j^{th} column $c_j(T^k)$ of T^k , and the i^{th} row $r_i(S)$ of S .

LEMMA 1. $r_i(T^k) = r_i(T) \text{di}[k^i, \dots, k, 1]$, $c_j(T^k) = \text{di}[[0]_j, 1, k, k^2, \dots]$ $c_j(T)$, and $r_{i+1}(S) = [0; r_i(S)] + [r_i(S); 0] \text{di}[0, 1, \dots, i+1]$ for $i, j \geq 0$.

Proof. Since T^k is the arithmetic table of $(kx+1)^n$, $c_j(T^k)$ consists of the coefficients of x^j in the expansion of $(kx+1)^n$ for $n \geq 0$, so

$$c_j(T^k) = [[0]_j, \binom{j}{j}, k \binom{j+1}{j}, k^2 \binom{j+2}{j}, \dots]^{tr} = \text{di}[[0]_j, 1, k, k^2, \dots] c_j(T).$$

Moreover the Stirling recurrence of S yields

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i+1}(S) &= [0, s_{i,0}, s_{i,1}, \dots, s_{i,i-1}, s_{i,i}] + [s_{i,0}, s_{i,1}, 2s_{i,2}, \dots, is_{i,i}, 0] \\ &= [0; r_i(S)] + [r_i(S); 0] \text{di}[0, 1, \dots, i+1]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2. Let $Y_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ j & 1 & & \\ j^2 & j & 1 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & j^2 & j & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $c_j^*(S)$ be the nonzero part of $c_j(S)$ starting with $s_{j,j}$. Then $c_j^*(S) = Y_j c_{j-1}^*(S)$ and $c_j^*(S) = Y_j \cdots Y_2 c_1^*(S)$.

Proof. Clearly $c_j^*(S) = \begin{bmatrix} s_{j,j} \\ s_{j+1,j} \\ s_{j+2,j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{j-1,j-1} \\ s_{j,j-1} \\ s_{j+1,j-1} \end{bmatrix} + j \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s_{j,j} \\ s_{j+1,j} \end{bmatrix}$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_j^*(S) &= \begin{bmatrix} s_{j-1,j-1} \\ s_{j,j-1} \\ s_{j+1,j-1} \\ s_{j+2,j-1} \end{bmatrix} + j \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s_{j-1,j-1} \\ s_{j,j-1} \\ s_{j+1,j-1} \end{bmatrix} + j^2 \begin{bmatrix} [0]_2 \\ s_{j-1,j-1} \\ s_{j,j-1} \\ s_{j+1,j-1} \end{bmatrix} + j^3 \begin{bmatrix} [0]_3 \\ s_{j-1,j-1} \\ s_{j,j-1} \\ s_{j+1,j-1} \end{bmatrix} + j^4 \begin{bmatrix} [0]_4 \\ s_{j,j} \\ s_{j+1,j} \\ s_{j+2,j} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ j & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ j^2 & j & 1 & 0 \\ j^3 & j^2 & j & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_{j-1,j-1} \\ s_{j,j-1} \\ s_{j+1,j-1} \\ s_{j+2,j-1} \end{bmatrix} = Y_j \begin{bmatrix} s_{j-1,j-1} \\ s_{j,j-1} \\ s_{j+1,j-1} \\ s_{j+2,j-1} \end{bmatrix} = Y_j c_{j-1}^*(S). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $Y_j Y_{j+1} = Y_{j+1} Y_j = [(j+1)^n - j^n]$, in fact $c_3^*(S) = Y_3 Y_2 c_1^*(S) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3^2 - 2^2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3^3 - 2^3 & 3^2 - 2^2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3^4 - 2^4 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \\ 25 \\ 90 \end{bmatrix}$, so $c_3(S) = [0, 0, 0, 1, 6, 25, 90, \dots]^{tr}$.

THEOREM 3. Let $T^2 S = Z = [z_{i,j}]$ and $c_j^*(Z)$ be the j^{th} column from $z_{j,j}$. Then $Y_j \cdots Y_3 Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{j-2}^*(Z) & 0 & 0 \\ & c_{j-2}^*(Z) & 0 \\ & & c_{j-2}^*(Z) \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$ and $c_j^*(S) = \begin{bmatrix} z_{j-3,j-3} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-3+t,j-3} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{t=0}^{i-j} z_{j-3+t,j-3} \end{bmatrix} + \dots + j^{i-j-1} \begin{bmatrix} [0]_{i-j-1} \\ z_{j-3,j-3} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-3+t,j-3} \end{bmatrix} + j^{i-j} \begin{bmatrix} [0]_{i-j} \\ z_{j-3,j-3} \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. Observe $Z = T^2 S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 \\ 8 & 19 & 9 \\ 16 & 65 & 55 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$, and $Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \\ 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $Y_3 Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 & 1 \\ 19 & 19 & 9 \\ 65 & 65 & 55 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$, $Y_4 Y_3 Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 9 & 9 & 1 \\ 55 & 55 & 9 \\ 285 & 285 & 99 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are $\begin{bmatrix} c_{j-2}^*(Z) & 0 & 0 \\ & c_{j-2}^*(Z) & 0 \\ & & c_{j-2}^*(Z) \end{bmatrix}$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$. Assume $Y_{j-1} \cdots Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{j-3}^*(Z) & 0 & 0 \\ & c_{j-3}^*(Z) & 0 \\ & & c_{j-3}^*(Z) \end{bmatrix}$ for some j . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(Y_j Y_{j-1} \cdots Y_2) &= Y_j c_0(Y_{j-1} \cdots Y_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ j & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ j^2 & j & 1 & 0 \\ j^3 & j^2 & j & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} c_{j-3}^*(Z) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} z_{j-3,j-3} \\ z_{j-2,j-3} + j z_{j-3,j-3} \\ z_{j-1,j-3} + j z_{j-2,j-3} + j^2 z_{j-3,j-3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{j-2,j-2} \\ z_{j-1,j-2} \\ z_{j,j-2} \end{bmatrix} = c_{j-2}^*(Z), \end{aligned}$$

because $z_{j-2,j-3} + j z_{j-3,j-3} = z_{j-1,j-2}$ and $z_{j-1,j-3} + j z_{j-2,j-3} + j^2 z_{j-3,j-3} = z_{j-1,j-2} + j(z_{j-2,j-3} + j z_{j-3,j-3}) = z_{j,j-2}$ etc. by the recurrence (2).

Moreover $c_1(Y_j Y_{j-1} \cdots Y_2) = Y_j c_1(Y_{j-1} \cdots Y_2) = Y_j [0; c_{j-3}^*(Z)] = [0; c_{j-2}^*(Z)]$, and $c_2(Y_j Y_{j-1} \cdots Y_2) = [0, 0; c_{j-2}^*(Z)]$, and so on. Thus

$$Y_j \cdots Y_3 Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} c_{j-2}^*(Z) & 0 & 0 \\ & c_{j-2}^*(Z) & 0 \\ & & c_{j-2}^*(Z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore $c_j^*(S) = Y_j \cdots Y_2 c_1^*(S)$ with $c_1^*(S) = [1, \dots, 1]^{tr}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
c_j^*(S) &= \begin{bmatrix} z_{j-2,j-2} \\ z_{j-2,j-2} + z_{j-1,j-2} \\ z_{j-2,j-2} + z_{j-1,j-2} + z_{j,j-2} \\ z_{j-2,j-2} + z_{j-1,j-2} + z_{j,j-2} + z_{j+1,j-2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} z_{j-3,j-3} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-3+t,j-3} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{t=0}^{i-j} z_{j-3+t,j-3} \end{bmatrix} + j \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ z_{j-2,j-2} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-2+t,j-2} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{t=0}^{i-j-1} z_{j-2+t,j-2} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_j^*(S) &= \begin{bmatrix} z_{j-3,j-3} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-3+t,j-3} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{t=0}^{i-j} z_{j-3+t,j-3} \end{bmatrix} + j \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ z_{j-3,j-3} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-3+t,j-3} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{t=0}^{i-j-1} z_{j-3+t,j-3} \end{bmatrix} + j^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ z_{j-2,j-2} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-2+t,j-2} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{t=0}^{i-j-2} z_{j-2+t,j-2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} z_{j-3,j-3} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-3+t,j-3} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{t=0}^{i-j} z_{j-3+t,j-3} \end{bmatrix} + j \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ z_{j-3,j-3} \\ \sum_{t=0}^1 z_{j-3+t,j-3} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{t=0}^{i-j-1} z_{j-3+t,j-3} \end{bmatrix} + \dots + j^{i-j} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dots \\ z_{j-3,j-3} \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

The next theorem shows a recurrence of $S^{\langle k \rangle} = ST^k = [s_{i,j}^{\langle k \rangle}]$ that can be compared to (2) of $T^k S = [s_{i,j}^{\langle k \rangle}]$.

THEOREM 4. $s_{i+1,j}^{\langle k \rangle} = [s_{i,j-1}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{i,j}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{i,j+1}^{\langle k \rangle}][1, j+k, (j+1)k]^{tr}$ for all i, j .

Proof. Over $S^{\langle 1 \rangle}$, observe $[5, 10, 6][1, 2, 2]^{tr} = 37$, $[37, 31, 10][1, 3, 3]^{tr} = 16$ and $[31, 10, 1][1, 4, 4]^{tr} = 75$. Since $s_{i,j}^{\langle k \rangle} = r_i(S)c_j(T^k)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{i+1,j}^{\langle k \rangle} &= r_{i+1}(S)c_j(T^k) \\
&= ([0; r_i(S)] + [r_i(S); 0] \text{di}[0, 1, \dots, i+1]) [[0]_j, \binom{j}{j}, k \binom{j+1}{j}, \dots, k^{i-j+1} \binom{i+1}{j}]^{tr} \\
&= [0; r_i(S)] [[0]_j, \binom{j}{j}, k \binom{j+1}{j}, k^2 \binom{j+2}{j}, \dots, k^{i-j+1} \binom{i+1}{j}]^{tr} \\
&\quad + [r_i(S); 0] \text{di}[0, 1, \dots, i+1] [[0]_j, \binom{j}{j}, k \binom{j+1}{j}, k^2 \binom{j+2}{j}, \dots, k^{i-j+1} \binom{i+1}{j}]^{tr} \\
&= [0; r_i(S)] [[0]_j, \binom{j}{j}, k \binom{j+1}{j}, k^2 \binom{j+2}{j}, \dots, k^{i-j+1} \binom{i+1}{j}]^{tr} \\
&\quad + [r_i(S); 0] [[0]_j, j \binom{j}{j}, (j+1)k \binom{j+1}{j}, (j+2)k^2 \binom{j+2}{j}, \dots, (i+1)k^{i-j+1} \binom{i+1}{j}]^{tr} \\
&= r_i(S) [[0]_{j-1}, \binom{j}{j}, k \binom{j+1}{j} + j \binom{j}{j}, k^2 \binom{j+2}{j} + (j+1)k \binom{j+1}{j}, \\
&\quad k^3 \binom{j+3}{j} + (j+2)k^2 \binom{j+2}{j}, \dots, k^{i-j+1} \binom{i+1}{j} + ik^{i-j} \binom{i}{j}]^{tr} \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1. On the other hand, Lemma 1 also implies

$$\begin{aligned}
[s_{i,j-1}^{(k)}, s_{i,j}^{(k)}, s_{i,j+1}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ j+k \\ (j+1)k \end{bmatrix} &= r_i(S) [c_{j-1}(T^k) | c_j(T^k) | c_{j+1}(T^k)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ j+k \\ (j+1)k \end{bmatrix} \\
&= r_i(S) \begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} [0]_{j-1} \\ \binom{j-1}{j-1} \\ k \binom{j}{j-1} + (j+k) \binom{j}{j} \\ k^2 \binom{j+1}{j-1} + (j+k) k \binom{j+1}{j} + (j+1) k \binom{j+1}{j+1} \\ \dots \\ k^{i-j+1} \binom{i}{j-1} + (j+k) k^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} + (j+1) k \cdot k^{i-j-1} \binom{i}{j+1} \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note some identities of binomial coefficients that

$$\begin{aligned}
k \binom{j}{j-1} + (j+k) \binom{j}{j} &= k \left(\binom{j}{j-1} + \binom{j}{j} \right) + j \binom{j}{j} = k \binom{j+1}{j} + j \binom{j}{j}, \\
k^2 \binom{j+1}{j-1} + (j+k) k \binom{j+1}{j} + (j+1) k \binom{j+1}{j+1} &= k^2 \binom{j+2}{j} + k(j+1) \binom{j+1}{j}, \text{ and} \\
k^{i-j+1} \binom{i}{j-1} + (j+k) k^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} + (j+1) k \cdot k^{i-j-1} \binom{i}{j+1} &= k^{i-j+1} \binom{i+1}{j} + \\
&k^{i-j} i \binom{i}{j}. \text{ These identities together with (3) show}
\end{aligned}$$

$$[s_{i,j-1}^{(k)}, s_{i,j}^{(k)}, s_{i,j+1}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ j+k \\ (j+1)k \end{bmatrix} = r_i(S) \begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} [0]_{j-1} \\ \binom{j}{j} \\ k \binom{j+1}{j} + j \binom{j}{j} \\ k^2 \binom{j+2}{j} + (j+1) k \binom{j+1}{j} \\ \dots \\ k^{i-j+1} \binom{i+1}{j} + i k^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix} = s_{i+1,j}^{(k)}. \quad \square$$

$$\text{THEOREM 5. } [s_{i+1,1}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{i+1,i+1}^{(k)}] = r_i(S^{(k)}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ k+1 & 1 & & & \\ 2k & k+2 & & & \\ & 3k & & & \\ & & \dots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & ik & k+i1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $s_{3,1}^{(k)} = s_{2,0}^{(k)} + (k+1)s_{2,1}^{(k)} + 2ks_{2,2}^{(k)}$ and $s_{3,2}^{(k)} = s_{2,1}^{(k)} + (k+2)s_{2,2}^{(k)}$, we have $[s_{3,1}^{(k)}, s_{3,2}^{(k)}, s_{3,3}^{(k)}] = [s_{2,0}^{(k)}, s_{2,1}^{(k)}, s_{2,2}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ k+1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2k & k+2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. And $s_{4,1}^{(k)} = s_{3,0}^{(k)} + (k+1)s_{3,1}^{(k)} + 2ks_{3,2}^{(k)}$, $s_{4,2}^{(k)} = s_{3,1}^{(k)} + (k+2)s_{3,2}^{(k)} + 3ks_{3,3}^{(k)}$ and $s_{4,3}^{(k)} = s_{3,2}^{(k)} + (k+3)s_{3,3}^{(k)}$ imply

$$[s_{4,1}^{(k)}, s_{4,2}^{(k)}, s_{4,3}^{(k)}, s_{4,4}^{(k)}] = [s_{3,0}^{(k)}, s_{3,1}^{(k)}, s_{3,2}^{(k)}, s_{3,3}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ k+1 & 1 & & \\ 2k & k+2 & 1 & \\ 0 & 3k & k+3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now generally, since $s_{i+1,1}^{(k)} = s_{i,0}^{(k)} + (k+1)s_{i,1}^{(k)} + 2ks_{i,2}^{(k)}$, $s_{i+1,2}^{(k)} = s_{i,1}^{(k)} + (k+2)s_{i,2}^{(k)} + 3ks_{i,3}^{(k)}$, and $s_{i+1,t}^{(k)} = s_{i,t-1}^{(k)} + (k+t)s_{i,t}^{(k)} + (t+1)ks_{i,t+1}^{(k)}$ for all $t < i$, we have

$$[s_{i+1,1}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{i+1,i+1}^{(k)}] = [s_{i,0}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{i,i}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ k+1 & 1 & & & \\ 2k & k+2 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 3k & k+3 & 1 & \\ 0 & 0 & 4k & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ik & k+i1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square$$

We may refer [5] for the tri-diagonal matrix above. We note more identities for next use.

THEOREM 6. $s_{2,0}^{\langle k \rangle} = k(k+1)$, $s_{2,1}^{\langle k \rangle} = 2k+1$, $s_{3,0}^{\langle k \rangle} = k(k^2+3k+1)$, $s_{3,1}^{\langle k \rangle} = 3k^2+6k+1$, $s_{3,2}^{\langle k \rangle} = 3k+3$ and $s_{i+1,i}^{\langle k \rangle} = (i+1)(k+\frac{i}{2})$.

Proof. With $s_{i+1,i}^{\langle k \rangle} = s_{i,i-1}^{\langle k \rangle} + (i+k)$, we shall only show

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i+1,i}^{\langle k \rangle} &= s_{i-1,i-2}^{\langle k \rangle} + (i-1+k) + (i+k) = s_{i-1,i-2}^{\langle k \rangle} + 2k + 2i - 1 \\ &= s_{i-2,i-3}^{\langle k \rangle} + (i-2+k) + 2k + 2i - 1 = s_{i-2,i-3}^{\langle k \rangle} + 3k + 3i - (1+2) = \dots \\ &= s_{i-(i-1),i-i}^{\langle k \rangle} + ik + i^2 - (1+2+\dots+(i-1)) = (i+1)(k+\frac{i}{2}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. i^{th} row sum of ST^k

Let $B_i^{\langle k \rangle}$ be the i^{th} row sum of $ST^k = S^{\langle k \rangle}$ and $B^{\langle k \rangle} = \{B_i^{\langle k \rangle} | i \geq 0\}$. Let $\widetilde{B^{\langle * \rangle}} = [B^{\langle 0 \rangle} | B^{\langle 1 \rangle} | B^{\langle 2 \rangle} | \dots]$ be a lower triangular matrix placing $B^{\langle k \rangle}$ in each k^{th} column. Observe $B^{\langle 0 \rangle} = \{1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 52, \dots\}$, $B^{\langle 1 \rangle} = \{1, 2, 6, 22, 94, \dots\}$ and $B^{\langle 2 \rangle} = \{1, 3, 12, 57, 309, \dots\}$, so we have

$$\widetilde{B^{\langle * \rangle}} = [B^{\langle 0 \rangle} | B^{\langle 1 \rangle} | B^{\langle 2 \rangle} | \dots] = \begin{bmatrix} B_0^{\langle 0 \rangle} & & & \\ B_1^{\langle 0 \rangle} & B_0^{\langle 1 \rangle} & & \\ B_2^{\langle 0 \rangle} & B_1^{\langle 1 \rangle} & B_0^{\langle 2 \rangle} & \\ B_3^{\langle 0 \rangle} & B_2^{\langle 1 \rangle} & B_1^{\langle 2 \rangle} & B_0^{\langle 3 \rangle} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ \frac{5}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \\ \frac{15}{52} & \frac{22}{57} & \frac{12}{205} & \frac{41}{51} \end{bmatrix}$$

For the matrix $\widetilde{B^{\langle * \rangle}}$, we may refer [1] and OEIS A189233. The next theorem provides relationships of $B_i^{\langle k \rangle}$ with either $B_{i+1}^{\langle k \rangle}$ or $B_i^{\langle k+1 \rangle}$.

THEOREM 7. $B_{i+1}^{\langle k \rangle} = B_i^{\langle k \rangle} + r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})[0, 1, \dots, i]^{tr} + r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})k[1, 2, \dots, (i+1)]^{tr}$ and $B_i^{\langle k+1 \rangle} = B_i^{\langle k \rangle} + r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})[0, 1, \dots, 2^i - 1]^{tr} = r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})[1, 2, \dots, 2^i]^{tr}$. And $[B_0^{\langle k+1 \rangle} - B_0^{\langle k \rangle}, \dots, B_i^{\langle k+1 \rangle} - B_i^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr} = S^{\langle k \rangle}[0, 1, 2^2 - 1, \dots, 2^i - 1]^{tr}$.

Proof. The recurrence of $S^{\langle k \rangle} = ST^k$ in Theorem 4 shows

$$\begin{aligned} B_{i+1}^{\langle k \rangle} - B_i^{\langle k \rangle} &= (s_{i+1,0}^{\langle k \rangle} + s_{i+1,1}^{\langle k \rangle} + \dots + s_{i+1,i+1}^{\langle k \rangle}) - (s_{i,0}^{\langle k \rangle} + s_{i,1}^{\langle k \rangle} + \dots + s_{i,i}^{\langle k \rangle}) \\ &= s_{i,0}^{\langle k \rangle}k + s_{i,1}^{\langle k \rangle}(1+2k) + s_{i,2}^{\langle k \rangle}(2+3k) + \dots + s_{i,i}^{\langle k \rangle}(i+(i+1)k) \\ &= r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})[0, 1, \dots, i]^{tr} + r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})k[1, 2, \dots, (i+1)]^{tr}. \end{aligned}$$

And $S^{\langle k+1 \rangle} = S^{\langle k \rangle}T$ implies $s_{i,j}^{\langle k+1 \rangle} = r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})c_j(T)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_i^{\langle k+1 \rangle} &= r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})(c_0(T) + c_1(T) + \dots + c_i(T)) \\ &= r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})T[1, 1, \dots, 1]^{tr} = r_i(S^{\langle k \rangle})[1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^i]^{tr} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= r_i(S^{(k)})[1, \dots, 1]^{tr} + r_i(S^{(k)})[0, 1, 2^2 - 1, \dots, 2^i - 1]^{tr} \\
&= B_i^{(k)} + r_i(S^{(k)})[0, 1, 2^2 - 1, \dots, 2^i - 1]^{tr}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $B_0^{(k+1)} - B_0^{(k)} = r_0(S^{(k)})[0]$, $B_1^{(k+1)} - B_1^{(k)} = r_1(S^{(k)})[0, 1]^{tr}$, $B_2^{(k+1)} - B_2^{(k)} = r_2(S^{(k)})[0, 1, 3]^{tr}$ and $B_i^{(k+1)} - B_i^{(k)} = r_i(S^{(k)})[0, 1, \dots, 2^i - 1]^{tr}$ yield $[B_0^{(k+1)} - B_0^{(k)}, \dots, B_i^{(k+1)} - B_i^{(k)}] = S^{(k)}[0, 1, \dots, 2^i - 1]^{tr}$. \square

THEOREM 8. Let $\rho_1 = [1, 1]$, $\rho_2 = [2, 2, 1]$, $\rho_3 = [5, 6, 3, 1]$ and $\rho_4 = [15, 20, 12, 4, 1]$. Then $\rho_1[B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}]^{tr} = B_1^{(k+1)}$, $\rho_2[B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}, B_2^{(k)}]^{tr} = B_2^{(k+1)}$, $\rho_3[B_0^{(k)}, \dots, B_3^{(k)}]^{tr} = B_3^{(k+1)}$ and $\rho_4[B_0^{(k)}, \dots, B_4^{(k)}]^{tr} = B_4^{(k+1)}$.

Proof. We observe that the first few $B_i^{(k)}$ satisfy the identities:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_2[1, 2, 6]^{tr} = 12 = B_2^{(2)} \\ \rho_2[1, 3, 12]^{tr} = 20 = B_2^{(3)} \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \rho_3[1, 2, 6, 22]^{tr} = 57 = B_3^{(2)} \\ \rho_3[1, 3, 12, 57]^{tr} = 116 = B_3^{(3)} \end{cases}$$

Due to Theorem 5 and Theorem 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2^{(k+1)} - B_2^{(k)} &= [s_{2,1}^{(k)}, s_{2,2}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = [s_{1,0}^{(k)}, s_{1,1}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= [s_{1,0}^{(k)}, s_{1,1}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + [s_{1,0}^{(k)}, s_{1,1}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= B_1^{(k)} + (k+3) = B_1^{(k)} + (k+1) + 2 = [2, 2][B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}]^{tr}, \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

for $k+1 = s_{1,0} + s_{1,1} = B_1^{(k)}$. Theorem 5 and 7 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3^{(k+1)} - B_3^{(k)} &= [s_{3,1}^{(k)}, s_{3,2}^{(k)}, s_{3,3}^{(k)}][1, 3, 7]^{tr} \\
&= [s_{2,0}^{(k)}, s_{2,1}^{(k)}, s_{2,2}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ k+1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2k & k+2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = s_{2,0}^{(k)} + [s_{2,1}^{(k)}, s_{2,2}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} k+4 \\ 5k+13 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= s_{2,0}^{(k)} + [s_{2,1}^{(k)}, s_{2,2}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + [s_{2,1}^{(k)}, s_{2,2}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} k+3 \\ 5k+10 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= [2, 2][B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}]^{tr} + s_{2,0}^{(k)} + [s_{2,1}^{(k)}, s_{2,2}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} k+3 \\ 5k+10 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

by (4). Since $2s_{2,0}^{(k)} = k(2k+1) + k = ks_{2,1}^{(k)} + k$ (Theorem 6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{2,0}^{(k)} + [s_{2,1}^{(k)}, s_{2,2}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} k+3 \\ 5k+10 \end{bmatrix} &= s_{2,0}^{(k)} + (k+3)s_{2,1}^{(k)} + (5k+10)s_{2,2}^{(k)} \\
&= s_{2,0}^{(k)} + ks_{2,1}^{(k)} + k + 3s_{2,1}^{(k)} + 4k + 10 = s_{2,0}^{(k)} + 2s_{2,0}^{(k)} + 3s_{2,1}^{(k)} + 4k + 10 \\
&= 3s_{0,0}^{(k)} + 4(s_{1,0}^{(k)} + s_{1,1}^{(k)}) + 3(s_{2,0}^{(k)} + s_{2,1}^{(k)} + s_{2,2}^{(k)}) = [3, 4, 3][B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}, B_2^{(k)}]^{tr}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (5), we have

$$B_3^{(k+1)} - B_3^{(k)} = [5, 6, 3][B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}, B_2^{(k)}]^{tr}. \quad (6)$$

Moreover $\rho_4[1, 1, 2, 5, 15]^{tr} = 94 = B_4^{(1)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4^{(k+1)} - B_4^{(k)} &= [s_{4,1}^{(k)}, s_{4,2}^{(k)}, s_{4,3}^{(k)}, s_{4,4}^{(k)}][1, 3, 7, 15]^{tr} \\
&= s_{3,0}^{(k)} + [s_{3,1}^{(k)}, s_{3,2}^{(k)}, s_{3,3}^{(k)}] \begin{bmatrix} k+4 \\ 5k+13 \\ 16k+36 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= s_{3,0}^{\langle k \rangle} + 3[s_{3,1}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,2}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,3}^{\langle k \rangle}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} + [s_{3,1}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,2}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,3}^{\langle k \rangle}] \begin{bmatrix} k+1 \\ 5k+4 \\ 16k+15 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= 3[5, 6, 3][B_0^{\langle k \rangle}, B_1^{\langle k \rangle}, B_2^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr} + [s_{3,0}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,1}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,2}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,3}^{\langle k \rangle}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k+1 \\ 5k+4 \\ 16k+15 \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

for $[s_{3,1}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,2}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,3}^{\langle k \rangle}][1, 3, 7]^{tr} = B_3^{\langle k+1 \rangle} - B_3^{\langle k \rangle} = [5, 6, 3][B_0^{\langle k \rangle}, B_1^{\langle k \rangle}, B_2^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr}$

by (6). But $[s_{3,0}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,1}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,2}^{\langle k \rangle}, s_{3,3}^{\langle k \rangle}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k+1 \\ 5k+4 \\ 16k+15 \end{bmatrix} = (k+4)(4k+7)(k+1) =$

$[2, 3, 4][B_1^{\langle k \rangle}, B_2^{\langle k \rangle}, B_3^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr}$ from the table $S^{\langle k \rangle}$ imply

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4^{\langle k+1 \rangle} - B_4^{\langle k \rangle} &= 3[5, 6, 3][B_0^{\langle k \rangle}, B_1^{\langle k \rangle}, B_2^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr} + [2, 3, 4][B_1^{\langle k \rangle}, B_2^{\langle k \rangle}, B_3^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr} \\
&= [15, 20, 12, 4][B_0^{\langle k \rangle}, B_1^{\langle k \rangle}, B_2^{\langle k \rangle}, B_3^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr}.
\end{aligned}$$

It shows $\rho_4[B_0^{\langle k \rangle}, \dots, B_4^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr} = B_4^{\langle k+1 \rangle}$ with $\rho_4 = [15, 20, 12, 4, 1]$. \square

With $\rho_5 = [52, 75, 50, 20, 5, 1]$ and $\rho_6 = [203, 312, 225, 100, 30, 6, 1]$, see

$$\begin{cases} \rho_5[1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 52]^{tr} = 454 \\ \rho_5[1, 2, 6, 22, 94, 454]^{tr} = 1866, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \rho_6[1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 52, 203]^{tr} = 2430 \\ \rho_6[1, 2, 6, 22, 94, 454, 2430]^{tr} = 12351 \end{cases}$$

and $\rho_i[B_0^{\langle k \rangle}, \dots, B_i^{\langle k \rangle}]^{tr} = B_i^{\langle k+1 \rangle}$ ($i = 5, 6$). Let $E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 15 & 20 & 12 & 4 \\ \frac{1}{52} & \frac{1}{75} & \frac{1}{50} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{1}{5} \\ 203 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$

be a matrix having ρ_i as i^{th} row. E is the exponential matrix $\exp(T)$ of T scaled by $\exp(1)$ (see [5], OEIS A056857).

THEOREM 9. *Let $E = [e_{i,j}]$ ($i, j \geq 0$) be the matrix above. Then $j e_{i,j} = i e_{i-1,j-1}$ and $e_{i,0} = \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} e_{i-1,t} = B_i$. And $r_i(E) = [B_i, \dots, B_1, B_0]$ $di[\binom{i}{0}, \binom{i}{1}, \dots, \binom{i}{i}]$ and $c_j^*(E) = di[\binom{j}{j}, \binom{j+1}{j}, \binom{j+2}{j}, \dots][B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots]^{tr}$.*

Proof. Since $e_{i,j} = \binom{i}{j} B_{i-j}$ (OEIS A056860), $e_{i,j} = \frac{i}{j} \binom{i-1}{j-1} B_{i-j} = \frac{i}{j} e_{i-1,j-1}$ and $\sum_{t=0}^{i-1} e_{i-1,t} = \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} \binom{i-1}{t} B_{i-1-t} = B_i = e_{i,0}$. Thus $r_i(E) =$

$$[e_{i,0}, \dots, e_{i,i}] = [B_i, \dots, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{0} \\ \binom{i}{1} \\ \vdots \\ \binom{i}{i} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } c_j^*(E) = \begin{bmatrix} \binom{j}{j} \\ \binom{j+1}{j} \\ \binom{j+2}{j} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_0 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 10. *The matrix E satisfies $ES = ST$.*

Proof. Clearly $ES = ST$ with small size matrices. Since $ST = S^{(1)} = [s_{i,j}^{(1)}]$ satisfies $s_{i+1,j}^{(1)} = [s_{i,j-1}^{(1)}, s_{i,j}^{(1)}, s_{i,j+1}^{(1)}][1, j+1, j+1]^{tr}$, it is enough to prove ES holds the same type of recurrence rule. That is, let $ES = [x_{i,j}]$ and we will show $x_{i+1,j} - x_{i,j-1} = (j+1)(x_{i,j} + x_{i,j+1})$ for $i, j \geq 0$.

Since $x_{i,j} = r_i(E)c_j(S) = [e_{i,0}, \dots, e_{i,i}][[0]_j, s_{j,j}, \dots, s_{i,j}]^{tr} = [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i}][s_{j,j}, \dots, s_{i,j}]^{tr}$, the identity $e_{i,j} = \frac{i}{j}e_{i-1,j-1}$ in Theorem 9 shows

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1,j} &= [e_{i+1,j}, e_{i+1,j+1}, \dots, e_{i+1,i}, e_{i+1,i+1}][s_{j,j}, s_{j+1,j}, \dots, s_{i,j}, s_{i+1,j}]^{tr} \\ &= \left[\frac{i+1}{j}e_{i,j-1}, \frac{i+1}{j+1}e_{i,j}, \dots, \frac{i+1}{i}e_{i,i-1}, e_{i,i} \right] [s_{j,j}, s_{j+1,j}, \dots, s_{i,j}, s_{i+1,j}]^{tr} \\ &= [e_{i,j-1}, e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i-1}, e_{i,i}] \left[\frac{i+1}{j}s_{j,j}, \frac{i+1}{j+1}s_{j+1,j}, \dots, \frac{i+1}{i}s_{i,j}, s_{i+1,j} \right]^{tr}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1,j} - x_{i,j-1} &= [e_{i,j-1}, e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i-1}, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i+1}{j}s_{j,j} - s_{j-1,j-1} \\ \frac{i+1}{j+1}s_{j+1,j} - s_{j,j-1} \\ \dots \\ \frac{i+1}{i}s_{i,j} - s_{i-1,j-1} \\ s_{i+1,j} - s_{i,j-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{i-j+1}{j}e_{i,j-1} + [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i-1}, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i+1}{j+1}s_{j+1,j} - s_{j,j-1} \\ \frac{i+1}{j+2}s_{j+2,j} - s_{j+1,j-1} \\ \dots \\ \frac{i+1}{i}s_{i,j} - s_{i-1,j-1} \\ s_{i+1,j} - s_{i,j-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \binom{i}{j}B_{i-j+1} + [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i-1}, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i-j}{j+1}s_{j+1,j} + js_{j,j} \\ \frac{i-j-1}{j+2}s_{j+2,j} + js_{j+1,j} \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{i}s_{i,j} + js_{i-1,j} \\ js_{i,j} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \binom{i}{j}B_{i-j+1} + [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i-j}{j+1}s_{j+1,j} \\ \frac{i-j-1}{j+2}s_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{i}s_{i,j} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i}]j \begin{bmatrix} s_{j,j} \\ s_{j+1,j} \\ s_{i-1,j} \\ s_{i,j} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

because $\frac{i-j+1}{j}e_{i,j-1} = \frac{i-j+1}{j} \binom{i}{j-1}B_{i-j+1} = \binom{i}{j}B_{i-j+1}$, $\frac{i+1}{j+1}s_{j+1,j} - s_{j,j-1} = \frac{i-j}{j+1}s_{j+1,j} + s_{j+1,j} - s_{j,j-1} = \frac{i-j}{j+1}s_{j+1,j} + js_{j,j}$, $\frac{i+1}{j+2}s_{j+2,j} - s_{j+1,j-1} = \frac{i-j-1}{j+2}s_{j+2,j} + s_{j+2,j} - s_{j+1,j-1} = \frac{i-j-1}{j+2}s_{j+2,j} + js_{j+1,j}$, $\frac{i+1}{i}s_{i,j} - s_{i-1,j-1} = \frac{1}{i}s_{i,j} + js_{i-1,j}$ and $s_{i+1,j} - s_{i,j-1} = js_{i,j}$.

On the other hand, $x_{i,j} + x_{i,j+1} = r_i(E)(c_j(S) + c_{j+1}(S))$ shows

$$\begin{aligned} (j+1)(x_{i,j} + x_{i,j+1}) &= (j+1)[e_{i,j}, e_{i,j+1}, \dots, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} s_{j+1,j} + s_{j,j} \\ \dots + s_{j+1,j+1} \\ s_{i-1,j} + s_{i-1,j+1} \\ s_{i,j} + s_{i,j+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i}]j \begin{bmatrix} s_{j,j} \\ s_{j+1,j} \\ s_{i-1,j} \\ s_{i,j} \end{bmatrix} + [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} s_{j+1,j+1} \\ s_{j+2,j+1} \\ s_{i,j+1} \\ s_{i+1,j+1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

We let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be

$$\Gamma_1 = \binom{i}{j} B_{i-j+1} + [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i-j}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} \\ \frac{i-j-1}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{i} s_{i,j} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma_2 = [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} s_{j+1,j+1} \\ s_{j+2,j+1} \\ \dots \\ s_{i,j+1} \\ s_{i+1,j+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then comparing (7) and (8), it suffices to show $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$.

Now for Γ_1 , the identity $B_i = \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} \binom{i-1}{t} B_t$ in (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{i}{j} B_{i-j+1} &= \binom{i}{j} \left(\binom{i-j}{0} B_0 + \binom{i-j}{1} B_1 + \dots + \binom{i-j}{i-j-1} B_{i-j-1} + B_{i-j} \right) \\ &= [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{i-j-1} \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{1} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{i-j} \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{0} \end{bmatrix} = [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{1} \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{i-j-1} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{i-j} \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i-1}, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i-j}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} \\ \frac{i-j-1}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{i} s_{i,j} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} &= [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i-j}{j+1} \binom{i}{j} s_{j+1,j} \\ \frac{i-j-1}{j+2} \binom{i}{i} s_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{i} \binom{i}{i-1} s_{i,j} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} \\ \binom{i}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{i} s_{i,j} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ hence we have} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma_1 = [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{i-j} \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{1} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{i-j-1} \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{i-j} \end{bmatrix} + [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} \\ \binom{i}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{i} s_{i,j} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now for Γ_2 , due to (1), we have $s_{j+2,j+1} = \binom{j+1}{j} s_{j,j} + \binom{j+1}{j+1} s_{j+1,j}$, \dots , $s_{i,j+1} = \binom{i-1}{j} s_{j,j} + \binom{i-1}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} + \dots + \binom{i-1}{i-2} s_{i-2,j} + \binom{i-1}{i-1} s_{i-1,j}$, and $s_{i+1,j+1} = \binom{i}{j} s_{j,j} + \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} + \dots + \binom{i}{i-1} s_{i-1,j} + \binom{i}{i} s_{i,j}$.

So using a binomial identity $\binom{a}{b} \binom{b}{c} = \binom{a}{c} \binom{a-c}{b-c}$ for $a \geq b \geq c$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2 &= [e_{i,j}, \dots, e_{i,i}] \begin{bmatrix} s_{j+1,j+1} \\ s_{j+2,j+1} \\ \dots \\ s_{i,j+1} \\ s_{i+1,j+1} \end{bmatrix} = [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{j} s_{j+1,j+1} \\ \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+2,j+1} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{i-1} s_{i,j+1} \\ s_{i+1,j+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{j} s_{j+1,j+1} \\ \binom{i}{j+1} \left(\binom{j+1}{j} s_{j,j} + \binom{j+1}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} \right) \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{i-1} \left(\binom{i-1}{j} s_{j,j} + \binom{i-1}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} + \dots + \binom{i-1}{i-2} s_{i-2,j} + \binom{i-1}{i-1} s_{i-1,j} \right) \\ \binom{i}{i} \left(\binom{i}{j} s_{j,j} + \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} + \binom{i}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} + \dots + \binom{i}{i-1} s_{i-1,j} + \binom{i}{i} s_{i,j} \right) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \binom{i}{j+1} \binom{i-j-1}{0} s_{j+1,j} \\ \binom{i}{j+1} \binom{i-j-1}{1} s_{j+1,j} + \binom{i}{j+2} \binom{i-j-2}{0} s_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} + \binom{i}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} + \dots + \binom{i}{i} s_{i,j} \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ [B_{i-j}, \dots, B_1, B_0] \begin{bmatrix} \binom{i}{j} \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{1} s_{j,j} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{j} \binom{i-j}{i-j-1} s_{j,j} \\ \binom{i}{j} s_{j,j} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

But since $s_{j,j} = 1$, $\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{bmatrix} B_{i-j} \\ \dots \\ B_1 \\ B_0 \end{bmatrix}^{tr} \begin{bmatrix} 0 - \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} \\ \binom{i}{j+1} \binom{i-j-1}{0} s_{j+1,j} - \binom{i}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \\ \binom{i}{j+1} \binom{i-j-1}{i-j-2} s_{j+1,j} + \binom{i}{j+2} \binom{i-j-2}{i-j-3} s_{j+2,j} + \dots - \binom{i}{i} s_{i,j} \\ \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} + \binom{i}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} + \dots + \binom{i}{i-1} s_{i-1,j} + \binom{i}{i} s_{i,j} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \binom{i}{j+1} s_{j+1,j} \theta_{j+1,j} + \binom{i}{j+2} s_{j+2,j} \theta_{j+2,j} + \dots + \binom{i}{i-1} s_{i-1,j} \theta_{i-1,i} + \binom{i}{i} s_{i,j} \theta_{i,i} \\
&\text{where } \theta_{j+1,j} = -B_{i-j} + \binom{i-j-1}{0} B_{i-j-1} + \dots + \binom{i-j-1}{i-j-2} B_1 + B_0, \theta_{j+2,j} = \\
&-B_{i-j-1} + \binom{i-j-2}{0} B_{i-j-2} + \dots + \binom{i-j-2}{i-j-3} B_1 + B_0, \dots, \text{ and } \theta_{i,i} = -B_1 + B_0.
\end{aligned}$$

But $\theta_{j+1,j} = \theta_{j+2,j} = \dots = \theta_{i-1,i} = \theta_{i,i} = 0$, for $B_k = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{t} B_t$ in (1). So $\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1 = 0$ and $x_{i+1,j} = [x_{i,j-1}, x_{i,j}, x_{i,j+1}][1, j+1, j+1]^{tr}$. \square

COROLLARY 11. For any $k \geq 0$, $ES^{(k)} = S^{(k+1)}$.

THEOREM 12. $r_i(E)[B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}, \dots, B_i^{(k)}]^{tr} = B_i^{(k+1)}$.

Proof. By Theorem 8, we assume $r_i(E)[B_0^{(k-1)}, \dots, B_i^{(k-1)}]^{tr} = B_i^{(k)}$ for some k . Then $r_i(E)S^{(k-1)} = r_i(S^{(k)})$ in Corollary 11 shows

$$\begin{aligned}
& r_i(E)[B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}, \dots, B_i^{(k)}]^{tr} - B_i^{(k)} \\
&= r_i(E)[B_0^{(k)} - B_0^{(k-1)}, B_1^{(k)} - B_1^{(k-1)}, \dots, B_i^{(k)} - B_i^{(k-1)}]^{tr} \\
&= r_i(S^{(k)})[0, 1, 2^2 - 1, \dots, 2^i - 1]^{tr} = B_i^{(k+1)} - B_i^{(k)},
\end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 7. So $r_i(E)[B_0^{(k)}, B_1^{(k)}, \dots, B_i^{(k)}]^{tr} = B_i^{(k+1)}$. \square

Note that the inverse of the Stirling matrix S (of the second kind) equals the signed Stirling matrix s of the first kind [4], i.e., if $s = [a_{i,j}]$ then $S^{-1} = [(-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}]$. While only a few relations are known about S and s ([6]), Theorem 10 gives a way for finding relationships of $S^{(1)} = [s_{i,j}^{(1)}]$ and the first kind Stirling matrix $s = [a_{i,j}]$ in terms of B_i .

THEOREM 13. $\sum_{k=j}^i (-1)^{k+j} s_{i,k}^{(1)} a_{k,j} = \binom{i}{j} B_{i-j}$ for any $i \geq j \geq 0$.

Proof. From $E = STS^{-1} = S^{(1)}S^{-1}$ in Theorem 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{i}{j} B_{i-1} &= e_{i,j} = r_i(S^{(1)})c_j(S^{-1}) \\ &= [s_{i,0}^{(1)}, \dots, s_{i,i}^{(1)}][(-1)^j a_{0,j}, \dots, a_{j,j}, (-1)a_{j+1,j}, \dots, (-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}]^{tr} \\ &= [s_{i,j}^{(1)}, s_{i,1}^{(1)}, \dots, s_{i,i}^{(1)}][a_{j,j}, (-1)a_{j+1,j}, \dots, (-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}]^{tr} \\ &= s_{i,j}^{(1)} a_{j,j} - s_{i,1}^{(1)} a_{j+1,j} + \dots + (-1)^{i+j} s_{i,i}^{(1)} a_{i,j} = \sum_{k=j}^i (-1)^{k+j} s_{i,k}^{(1)} a_{k,j}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] E.T. Bell, *Exponential Numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **41** (1934) 411-419.
- [2] E. Choi, *Generalized Bell numbers and Peirce matrix via Pascal matrix*, Int. J. of Math. and Mathematical Sci., (2018) Article 9096764, 8 pages.
- [3] H. Gould, J. Quaintance, *Linear binomial recurrence and the Bell numbers and polynomials*, Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics, **1** (2007) 371-385.
- [4] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, 7th ed. Academic Press, 2007.
- [5] A. Hennessy, P. Barry, *Generalized Stirling numbers, exponential riordan arrays, and orthogonal polynomials*, J. of Int. Sequences, **14** (2011) Article 11.8.2.
- [6] H. Stenlund, *On Some Relations between the Stirling Numbers of First and Second kind*, Int. J. of Mathematics and Computer Research, **7** (2019) 1948-1950.
- [7] A. Tucker, *Applied combinatorics*, 2nd ed. John Wiley, New York, 1984.

Eunmi Choi
 Department of Mathematics
 Hannam National University, Daejeon
 70 Hannam-ro, Daedeok-gu
 E-mail: emc@hnu.kr