A Study on the Revision Process Improvement Plan through the Analysis of the Current Status of the Academic Standard Classification System and Issues*

Younghee Noh**, Jeong-Mo Yang***, Ji Hei Kang****
Yong Hwan Kim*****, Jongwook Lee******, Woojung Kwak*******

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

There are the national science and technology standard classification system used in Korea, the classification according to the standard classification system for educational organization units, and the Korean standard education classification by the National Statistical Office. It is not suitable for calculation or evaluation, and classification is still mixed depending on the purpose of use. Therefore, in this study, the current status of academic standard classification, issues related to the standard classification system such as research foundation associations and research institutes, and issues related to the academic standard classification through the analysis of existing prior research issues, etc. As a result of the research, first, it is necessary to maintain and strengthen the linkage of the academic classification system, such as maintaining the linkage between the relevant departmental classification systems and strengthening the linkage with the relevant classification system, as a result of analysis of major issues in the academic standard classification system, and the systematic improvement cycle of the revision process and management system and settings are required.

1. Introduction

The academic classification system by the National Research Foundation (NRF) is a classification system listing academic research fields, and the Academic Area Classification Table, which is used for the execution and management of academic research support projects, is a standard academic classification system prepared in accordance with Article 12 of the 「Science Promotion Act」. For the efficient management of academic matters, the classification table published by the NRF is followed, except where there are special provisions in other laws. Current Academic Research Area Classification Table has been widely used in researcher information management, management and statistics for academic research support, investigation of universities' research activities, and the receipt, review, and selection of evaluators for research projects in the humanities and social sciences.

As of 2015, the Academic Research Area Classification Table consists of four classes of Major, Middle, Small, and Sub with (8) major classes, (152) middle classes, (1,551) small classes, and (2,468) subclasses in a vertical structure. For instance, there are 8 major classes consisted of Humanities, Social Science, Natural Science, Engineering, Medicine and Pharmacy, Marine Agriculture, Fishery, Arts and Kinesiology, and Interdisciplinary Studies in which two or more of the above are combined (NRF, 2021). Though the Academic Standard Classification System was established for the purpose of facilitating research cost management and statistics by dividing the research areas for the support of the NRF, it is not only used for research expense management only but also by universities in classifying their academic departments.

Even though an academic classification system is used for such a variety of purposes and it needs to reflect all aspects of academic fields that change according to various changes in standards and sciences in a timely manner, developing such a classification table is a difficult process as every classification table has its own structure, format, and scope of contents. In fact, it is particularly difficult to divide and classify abstract studies.

Accordingly, three major attempts - "A Study on the Reorganization of the Knowledge Classification Scheme" in November 1999 and May 2000, "The Strategy Study of Establishment and Application of Learning Classification Standard" in June 2006, and "Study on Redesign of Research Field Classification Table" in February 2009 - were made to improve the Academic Research Area Classification Table. However, there has made no significant difference nor major revision (draft) to the Classification Table compared to the results of the research conducted in November 1999.

As the classification system has remained the same for about 20 years, academic societies and individual researchers have emphasized the need for reorganizing the classification system, criticizing the absence of consideration for the latest academic fields and insufficient consistency. In addition, no revision to the classification system has also lead to the lack of interest in revising the classification system.

As the academic classification system, which has not changed for 20 years, needs to be reorganized, a reorganization that reflects the issues of each academic field must be carried out. Therefore, in this study, an attempt was made to devise improvement measures required for the reorganization process of the Standard Academic Classification System by deriving issues pertinent to the academic

standard classification through analyzing the current status of academic standard classification, issues related to the standard classification system such as research foundation associations and research institutes, and matters examined in prior studies.

2. Prior Research

In order to derive issues of the Standard Academic Classification System, literatures on the Standard Academic Classification System and other related classification systems were analyzed. As a result, prior studies conducted on the Standard Academic Classification System, including those focusing on academic classification, classification system revision, etc., were identified.

A Study on the Reorganization of the Knowledge Classification Scheme (Chung, 2000), The Strategy Study of Establishment and Application of Learning Classification Standard (Seol et al., 2006), etc., were found to be conducted in relation to the Standard Academic Classification System since 1999.

Chung made an attempt to design the knowledge classification schedule for the classification of the research fields and majors in education, particularly for knowledge based society. In order to do so, Chung suggested effective ways for disciplinary information organizations and interdisciplinary research by reorganizing the NRF's Knowledge Classification Scheme, which was used as a support tool for academic research, and making clear divisions and separations between disciplines. Based on the research results, new period and regional supplementary tables that can be commonly applied to each academic field were added with a correlation index composed of the terms used in the main and supplementary tables to facilitate access to the main table and make it easy for researchers to find the corresponding field code in the Knowledge Classification Scheme, Moreover, Chung suggested to organize all information on academic research and researchers using the newly organized Knowledge Classification Scheme. Seol et al. (2006) proposed plans and strategy study, as a basic preparation step for the establishment of the policy alternatives that can link the research support task of the NRF and education and employment, the basic tasks of the Ministry of Education and Human Resources Development, to implement classification system that can fulfill the following two goals. Analyzing implications of previous research on the Standard Academic Classification System revealed the specific area of expertise of the researcher through his/her academic classification which can be used to measure various statistical data and importance required for research support, thereby serving as a helpful tool for academic support evaluation.

Furthermore, it was found to enhance the national research information capability by providing a framework for the library classification and leading to effective operation of academic information organizations. Therefore, it is deemed necessary to categorize research, development, and academic activities across the country and provide fundamental data for effective academic policy by developing academic classification table through revision and improvement of the Academic Classification System.

It was analyzed that most of the domestic and foreign studies conducted in relation to academic classifications identify problems with academic classification in research area classification tables

and propose measures for the administrative and educational development and promotion of research, such as dissertations, academic journals, books, etc. Link AN (1995) conducted an empirical study on the basic, application, and development categories of industry-related R&D of the National Science Foundation (NSF) of the United States and demonstrated that the NSF's classification system is suitable for undertaking R&D activities that have a great impact on the industry. Deville and Turpin (1996) carried out a study to compare Australia's classification system against that of various countries for continuous R&D activities with socio-economic purposes in the Asia-Pacific region. Lee (2003) conducted a study and suggested the academic classification of Earth System Science (Earth Environment Science) in the field of Earth Science so as to revise and supplement the existing classification system by suggesting the name of the academic area to the Korean Earth Science Association. His study was submitted and adopted through the public hearing on the academic classification of science and technology field hosted by KISTEP and revised the classification system as he originally proposed. Seo and Lee (2003) pointed out the problems with the academic classification criteria for e-commerce in the 'Research Field Classification Table' of the 'NRF', and suggested a new classification model and domains, proposing further classification into a middle class, 4 small classes, and 17 subclasses of the major class of Interdisciplinary Study. Likewise, efforts were made to deduce a new academic classification suitable for each discipline of study. For instance, Park (2003) investigated the classification system of the music literature, Chong and Kim (2006) examined the Korean food service industry from the standpoint of the 'Korean Standard Industrial Classification Table' and 'Classification by the Tourism Promotion Act', and Kwon and Kim (2006) identified problems with the academic classification of Cadastral Science in the NRF's 'Research Area Classification Table' and showed administrative and educational history and examples and direction of active research in forms of dissertations, academic journals, and books in order to upgrade the classification of Cadastral Science. The studies conducted in relation to the academic classification imply that they referred to the 'Research Area Classification Table' of the NRF as the standard for the academic classification system and used content analysis, one of qualitative analysis research methods. In addition, it was also found that studies on the academic classification system were also conducted abroad for the continuous development of the research field.

Moreover, the analysis on the revision of the standard classification system found a number of studies conducted on the improvement and revision of the National Science and Technology Standard Classification Table System. Kang et al. (2007) prepared a provisional classification plan in line with the preliminary revision of the ICT industry classification by the OECD. Similarly, Lee et al. (2012) studied the management, operation, and cross-ministerial support of the National Science and Technology Standard Classification Table System, and Kim et al. (2019) conducted a study on the improvement and application base of the National Science and Technology Standard Classification Table System, collecting the opinions of stakeholders, including related organizations and ministries, and researchers on the results and findings from the top-down / bottom-up revision demand survey performed in 2018. As a result of analyzing previous studies on the revision of the classification system, it was found that the standard classification system is optimized for the classification of national R&D projects on science, technology, humanities, etc., having a great

significance for the systematic and efficient management of R&D and as a statistical analysis standard therefor. Consequently, it is necessary to expand the subject of revision demand submission and understand the need for revising the classification system by conducting a demand survey for revision process and sub-classification for a timely update of the system with new technology and terminology.

3. Research Methods

3.1 Research Method and Procedure

In this study, major issues of the Standard Academic Classification System were derived by analyzing issues and prior studies related to the standard classification system of various academic societies and research institutes. Based on the issues derived, the direction of the Standard Academic Classification System was illustrated by collecting the opinions of researchers and experts.

The research began by analyzing issues related to the standard classification system of various academic societies and research institutes and relevant previous research in order to derive major issues of the Standard Academic Classification System. Centering around the issues derived in this manner, the current issues of the Standard Academic Classification System for each discipline were discussed among researchers and expert members to identify the final major issues and make implications for the revision process of the Standard Academic Classification System. The research process for deriving issues is as shown in <Fig. 1>.

Step	Description	Note
Step 1	• Analysis of issues of the standard classification system of academic societies and research institutes • Analysis of relevant issues in prior research	• Analysis of the classification system of related organizations
Step 2	• Linkage to the technology classification systems in Korea and abroad. • Disproportionate hierarchical structure between science/technology and humanities/social sciences • Implementing classification names in research area and absence of classification criteria • Bottom-up and supplier-centric revision process	• Raise Issues • Current status analysis and implications • Derive Issues
Step 3	• Discussion among researchers and experts	• Organize issues based on the discussion results
Step 4	• Derivation of final issues	

Fig. 1. Issue Derivation Research Process

3.2 Research Subject and Contents

In order to derive issues of the Standard Academic Classification System, first, studies on the revision of the academic research field classification of academic societies and research institutes were analyzed. Next, issues were also derived by analyzing previous research and reports. As for the contents, problems related to the definition, classification level, and subdivision of individual academic research field were analyzed, and major issues such as name changes and sub-classification changes were identified according to social and academic changes in each field. In addition, problems associated with leaving out new technologies and selecting new research fields by individuals and academic groups were identified. Finally, issues raised in handling obsolete academic fields that are no longer available or integrated with others according to the change of the times were analyzed.

4. Derivation of Major Issues Related to the Standard Academic Classification System

4.1 Linkage to Relevant Classification Systems in Korea and Abroad

The Academic Classification System was reviewed and compared with related classification systems. In a broader context, the Standard Academic Classification System and related classification systems do not differ much; however, there is a clear difference in the classification of some academic fields. For example, there found a major difference in the classification of 'Library Information Science', 'Psychology', 'Anthropology', and 'International Area Studies' of which 'Anthropology', 'Psychology', and 'International Area Studies' are classified as 'social science' by the classification per the KEDI standard classification system but as 'humanities' by the KEDI standard classification.

The Standard Academic Classification System and related technology classification systems used in Korea were compared to analyze the degree of conformity between them. From the analysis, it was found that 370 out of the 1,556 small classes of the academic research were commonly used among the systems and 273 classes were partially consistent among the systems. Also, only 32.2% (49 classes) of the 152 middle classes were found to be consistent among the systems, or only 61.2% of them were either consistent or partially consistent (with 44 classes of partially consistent classes), indicating a relatively low degree of agreement among the systems. This is because different classification system has different classification criteria and scope as they have different purposes of classification and utilization. However, it cannot negate the need to secure linkage between classification systems in similar fields. According to the Study on National S&T Standard Classification System Revision (2019), the degree of agreement between the National Science and Technology Standard Classification Table System and Standard Academic Classification System was found to be 23.8% and 41.3% including partial agreement.

Table 1. Comparison/Analysis of the Standard Academic Classification System and the National Science and Technology Standard Classification

Standard Academic Classification System		National Science and Technology Standard Classification Table		
Major Class	Middle Class	Category		Major Class
Humanities	Lexicography, History, Philosophy, Religious Studies, Christian Theology, Catholic Theology, Confucian Studies, Buddhist Studies, Linguistics, Literature, Korean Language and Literature, Chinese Language and Literature, Japanese Language and Literature, Other Oriental Languages and Literature, English Language and Literature, French Language and Literature, German Language and Literature, Spanish Language and Literature, Russian Language and Literature, Western Classical Languages and Literature, Other Western Languages and Literature, Interpretation and Translation Studies, Other Humanities	Humanities & Social Science	Anthropology	History/Archeology, Philosophy/Religion, Language, Literature, Culture/Art/Physical Education
Social Science	Social Science General, Political Science, Economics, Agricultural Economics, Business Management, Accounting, International Trade, Sociology, Social Welfare, Area Studies, Anthropology, Education, Law, Public Administration, Public Policy, Geography, Regional Development, Tourism, Journalism and Broadcasting, Military Science, Psychological Science, Other Social Science		Society	Law, Politics/Administration, Economy/Management, Society/ Humanity/Welfare/Women, Life, Geography/Region/Tourism, Psychology, Education, Media/Communication/Literature Information
			Human Sciences Technology	Brain Science, Cognitive/ Affective Science, Science Technology and Social Sciences
Arts and Kinesiology	Arts General, Musicology, Art, Design, Costumes, Photography, Beauty, Theater, Film, Kinesiology, Dance, Other Arts and Kinesiology			
Interdisciplinary Studies	Science and Technology Studies, Technology Policy, Library and Information Science, Gender Studies, Cognitive Science, Brain Science, Affective Science, Interdisciplinary Research			
Natural Science	Natural Science General, Mathematics, Statistics, Physics, Astronomy, Chemistry, Biology. Earth Science, Geology, Atmospheric Sciences, Oceanography, Life Sciences, Other natural science	Science Technology	Nature	Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, Earth Science (Earth/Atmosphere/Ocean/Astronomy)
Engineering	Engineering General, Mechanical Engineering, Automotive Engineering, Aerospace Engineering, Chemical Engineering, Polymer Engineering, Ergonomics, Control and Instrumentation Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Materials Science and Engineering, Environmental Engineering, Electronics / Communications Engineering, Computer Science, Civil Engineering, Architectural Engineering, Industrial Engineering, Safety Engineering, Nuclear Engineering, Marine Engineering, Ocean Engineering, Fiber Engineering, Resources Engineering, Metallurgical Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Biomedical Engineering, Agriculture Engineering, Forestry Engineering, Other Engineering		Artifact	Machinery, Materials, Chemical Engineering, Electricity/Electronics, Information/Communications, Energy/Resources, Nuclear Power, Environment, Construction/Transportation
Medicine and Pharmacy	General Medicine, Anatomy, Physiology, Biochemistry, Pathology, Pharmacology, Microbiology, Parasitology, Preventive Medicine/Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Immunology, Internal Medicine, General Surgery, Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Psychiatry, Orthopedic Surgery, Neurosurgery, Cardiothoracic Surgery, Plastic Surgery, Ophthalmology, Clinical Optics, Otorhinolaryngology Dermatology, Urology, Radiology, Anesthesiology, Rehabilitation Medicine, Physical Therapy, Working Therapeutics, Neurology, Clinical Pathology, Family Medicine, Emergency Medicine, Dentistry, Veterinary, Nursing Science, Korean Medicine, Pharmacy, Other Medicine and Pharmacy		Life	Life Science, Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Health Care
Marine Agriculture, Fishery	Agriculture, Forestry, Landscape Architecture, Livestock Science, Fishery Science, Marine Transportation Studies, Food Science			

The issues of the academic classification system analyzed based on the current status in order to identify the linkage between relevant domestic and foreign classification systems are as follows. First, there is no systematized relationship among related classification systems; it is necessary to clarify the relationships and systems among related classification systems, such as the standard classification system, National Science and Technology Standard Classification Table System, and classification systems for university majors. In fact, the need for such a linkage between related classification systems will grow significantly with the promotion of integrated research support system by government organizations. Therefore, it is necessary to continuously monitor changes in the international classification systems. For example, the OECD's FORD (Field of Research and Development) showed the need to continuously expand the classification system, increasing it from 20 fields in 2002 to 42 fields in 2021. Second, management procedures for the academic standard classification table should be improved in order to enable integrated management of national researcher information and clarify the management systems used by national R&D project promotion departments and other specialized institutions. Lastly, there found activity-related issues, e.g., omission of research fields. Indeed, the 2017 National Science and Technology Standards System Improvement Survey revealed the inconvenience of individual researchers and institutes, respectively due to the omission of new research fields and the difference between their own classification system and the standard classification system when using the small classes of the standard classification system.

4.2 Disproportionate Hierarchical Structure of Science and Technology Fields

As an alternative classification system, there are the national science and technology standard classification by the NRF, the Korean standard education classification by the National Statistical Office, and the standard classification of the units of educational organizations of higher education institutions by the Ministry of Education. As a result of analyzing the hierarchical structure of the science and technology fields of the alternative classification systems, it was found that the science and technology fields in the National Science and Technology Standard Classification Table System was revised in 2018 with a significant portion of its hierarchal level different from that of the academic research area classification system. Such difference indicated that the current academic research area classification system is not suitable for the current R&D environment. Therefore, it would be more effective to comprehensively reorganize the standard classification system by applying a classification system that is more widely used in the humanities and social sciences fields rather than making individual revisions to the middle classes of the standard classification system.

Table 2. Comparison/Analysis of Alternative Academic Fields Classification Systems

	Academic Research Area Classification	Korean Standard Education Classification - Education Area	Classification of Units of Educational Organizations in Higher Education Institutions	National Standard Science and Technology Classification System
Governing Institute	National Research Foundation	Statistical Office	Ministry of Education	Ministry of Science and Technology Information and Communication
Classification Units and Structure	(Major)8 - (Middle)152 - (Small)1,551 - (Sub)2,468	(Major)11 - (Middle)29 - (Small)81	(Major)5 - (Middle)34 - (Small)184	(Major)33 - (Middle)371 - (Small)2,898
Classification Criteria and Principles	• All academic fields • Inclusiveness, similarity, scale, universality, etc. • Number of researchers and projects	• Based on the main contents of academic subjects • **(Major Classification)** Similarity of theoretical knowledge and learning purpose • **(Middle Classification)** Object of interest • **(Small Classification)** Methods, techniques, tools, and equipment	• Educational organization unit (undergraduate/department/major) • Similarity, exclusivity, inclusiveness, scale, universality, flexibility, etc.	• Related to science and technology • scale, progressiveness, universality, independence, policy, etc.
Classification Structure	• Names of majors in domestic and foreign universities and graduate schools • Curriculum • Constructed through analysis of the details of major fields and comparison of domestic and foreign academic classification table items by professors in Korea	• Based on the main contents of academic subjects • **(Major Classification)** Similarity of theoretical knowledge and learning purpose • **(Middle Classification)** Object of interest • **(Small Classification)** Methods, techniques, tools, and equipment	• **(Major Classification)** Education and Research Objects • **(Middle Classification)** Full coverage of the scope of the lower small classes • **(Small Classification)** Educational goals, courses, career paths and employment fields	• Comprehensive national-level classification system covering all sectors of science and technology activities • Organized to be compatible with various science and technology classification tables used by research and management specialized institutions of each department
Advantage	• **(Advantage)** Clear academic classification criteria, inclusive of all fields including R &D low variability	• **(Advantage)** Can be used to compare international data with easy management as it is based on internal standard academic classification used by different countries	• **(Advantage)** As a single classification system with clear classification criteria, e.g., guideline, and revision process, it is effective in data linkage between institutions producing and utilizing statistical information on higher education	• **(Advantage)** Based on international science and technology classification systems while reflecting the characteristics of domestic science technology development
Disadvantage	• **(Disadvantage)** Too many items as it independently classifies all subdivisions of each field	• **(Disadvantage)** Has different classification system compared to the standard classification system	• **(Disadvantage)** Although the education field is subdivided, other fields have high variability due to integration, omission, or to frequent changes in departments	• **(Disadvantage)** It is stipulated to revise and supplement the system every 5 years but updating/reflecting a new technology is delayed as the cycle is too long.
Implication	The unit of middle classes in the standard classification system is similar to that of the academic research area classification system.	This classification system is different from the standard classification system as its classification criteria is the application area through education (※ basic program and qualifications, etc.)	It has the same classification criteria but has high variability and inadequately reflects the actual R&D filed.	Based on international classification systems while reflecting the characteristics of domestic academic fields & interoperable among research management institutions of various departments.

Source: Reconstruction of A Study on National S&T Standard Classification System Revision.
(Korea Institute of Science & Technology Evaluation and Planning (KISTEP), 2018)

4.3 Implementation of Classification Names in Research Area and Absence of Classification Criteria.

The names and criteria of classification that require a revision were reviewed based on the opinions, such as questions and answers from academic societies and research institutes, and previous studies on classification criteria. As a result of the analysis, a total of 17 cases were identified to require a change to a middle, small, or sub classification name of the academic area classification, including 1 International / Regional Development, 2 Mechanical Engineering, 1 Other Social Science, 1 law, 1 Arts and Kinesiology, 1 Horticulture, 4 Medicine and Pharmacy, 1 Philosophy, 2 Civil Engineering, 1 Interdisciplinary Studies, and 1 Aerospace Engineering cases.

Table 3. Academic Research Area Requiring a Revision

Middle Classification	Number of Cases	Middle Classification	Number of Cases	Middle Classification	Number of Cases	Middle Classification	Number of Cases
International / Regional Development	1	Law	1	Medicine and Pharmacy	4	Interdisciplinary Studies	1
Mechanical Engineering	2	Arts in General	1	Philosophy	1	Aerospace Engineering	1
Other Social Science	1	Horticulture	1	Civil Engineering	2	Total	17

As there has not yet made large-scale reorganization of the research field nor substantial establishment of new research fields since 1999, no clear criterion is set for the middle, small, and sub classifications of the research area classification. In addition, reviewing middle classes revealed that most of the major classes have 2 or more classification overlapping criteria. Nevertheless, a more comprehensive diagnosis to identify revision demands and review classification criteria needs to be performed by an expert committee for a more systematic assessment.

Table 4. Review on the Classification System for Each Research Area by Experts from Academic Societies and Research Institutes

Name of Change	Academic Area Before (Draft)	Academic Area After (Draft)	Comments
Reclassification	Architectural Engineering, Interdisciplinary Studies	Architectural Engineering	• Because Architecture can be classified into either Architectural Engineering or Interdisciplinary Studies, it is necessary to unify the identity (ex. Interdisciplinary Studies - Affective Design - Architecture in Affective Design)
	Intensive and Critical Care Medicine (Small Class)	Intensive and Critical Care Medicine (Middle Class)	• Since Intensive and Critical Care Medicine is included in Anesthesiology, Intensive and Critical Care Medicine (Small Class) needs to be moved to Middle Class
	Health Sciences (Small Class)	Medicine and Pharmacy (Major Class) - Health Sciences (Middle Class)	• Health Sciences needs to be reorganized from small class to middle class of Medicine and Pharmacy (Major Class)

Name of Change	Academic Area Before (Draft)	Academic Area After (Draft)	Comments
	Aerospace Engineering	Middle Class Subdivision	• In order to reflect the dynamic circumstances around the current aerospace industry, the middle class of Aerospace Engineering in the Academic Research Area Classification Table needs to be subdivided.
		Small Class Reorganization	• Aircraft Design / Production - Aircraft Design / Analysis • Fluid / Thermal Engineering - Aerodynamics/Heat Transfer • Guidance/Control/Testing - Guidance/Navigation/Control, Test Evaluation and Certification
		Addition of New Small and Sub Classes	• Space system design/production • Vertical takeoff and landing aircraft • Aircraft structure/materials, propulsion system
	Social Science − Other Social Science	Reorganization and Addition of Major, Middle, and Small Classes	• Considering the complexity of Studies of Patriots and Veterans Affair, it should be included in Interdisciplinary Studies rather than Social Science, and Studies of Patriots and Veterans Affair should be set as a separate middle class. • For the correct academic understanding and development of Studies of Patriots and Veterans Affair, the classification table must be revised • As Studies of Patriots and Veterans Affair establishes its status and role as an academic field, public opinion formed to develop it into a major. • Interdisciplinary Studies (Major Class) − Studies of Patriots and Veterans Affair (Middle Class) − Patriots and Veterans Law / System, Patriots and Veterans Policy / Administration, Patriots and Veterans Diplomacy, Patriots and Veterans Welfare, Patriots and Veterans Medical Care, Patriots and Veterans Philosophy (sub classes)
	Structural Engineering-Tunnel Engineering	Structural Engineering, Tunnel/Underground Engineering	• Change the name of the small class Tunnel Engineering of Structural Engineering to Tunnel/Underground Engineering
	International / Regional Development	Reorganization of Small and Sub Classes	• 'Saemaul Undong' and 'International Development Cooperation' need to be separated from the small class Saemaul Undong / International Development Cooperation • Sub classes of 'Saemaul Undong' and 'International Development Cooperation' need to be separated • Sub classes of 'International Development Cooperation' need to be revised
Addition of Classification	Mechanical Engineering - Plastic Working	Mechanical Engineering· Metallurgical Engineering - Plastic Working	• Though Mechanical Engineering and Metallurgical Engineering each accounts for a half of the research proportion of Plastic Working, only Mechanical Engineering is stated for the classification. Both names should be stated
		Philosophical Counseling (Sub Class)	• Philosophical Counseling is a unique yet important area in Philosophy, and the field is active worldwide. • Although a lot of research is being conducted in the field of Philosophical Counseling in joint general and individual projects, there is no classification of for the field, making it difficult for screening and evaluation
	Engineering - Geotechnical Engineering	Addition of Sub Classes	• Add 11 sub classes to Geotechnical Engineering (Foundation, Geodynamics/Ground Vibration, Soft Ground/Ground Survey, Slope Stability/Ground Excavation, Geoenvironment/Civil Fiber, Bedrock Engineering/Geological Energy, Convergence Technology)
	-	Addition of Sub Classes	• Add 'Social Horticulture' as a sub class of Horticulture

Name of Change	Academic Area Before (Draft)	Academic Area After (Draft)	Comments
Refinement and Addition of Classification	**Interdisciplinary Studies (Major Class)-Interdisci plinary Convergence (Middle Class)**	**Science and Engineering, Social Sciences, Humanities, Arts and Kinesiology (Small Classes)**	• Indicative of the problem of current academic research classification table failing to include sufficient details of convergence research • Though Interdisciplinary Convergence is categorized as Interdisciplinary Convergence (Middle Class) in Interdisciplinary Studies (Major Class), 'Interdisciplinary Convergence' referred by Academic Societies is a type of convergence research, rather than an academic research area • In line with the current academic classification system, convergence research should be classified based on the major academic classes, e.g. Humanities, Social Science, Science and Technology, and Arts
Reorganization and Addition of Sub Classes	**Theater Therapy**	**Arts and Kinesiology (Middle Class) – Theater (Small Classification) - Theater Therapy (Sub Classification)**	• Art therapy is subdivided into art therapy, music therapy, theater therapy, and dance/movement therapy • Although it is integrated into art therapy in the academic classification table, music therapy is a sub class of Music Psychology / Music Therapy and dance therapy is a sub class of Dance • Theater Therapy needs to be classified into 'Arts and Kinesiology – Theater – Theater Therapy'
Name Change	**Lubrication and Wear**	**Tribology**	• Tribology is a representative multidisciplinary field of study that deals with all friction, wear, and lubrication phenomena that can be found in industries and daily life. • Since the 1990s, lubrication, friction, wear societies around the world, including Korea, the United States, and Japan, have changed the name to Tribology. • Lubrication and Wear is the only classification referring to Tribology in the Academic Research Area Classification Table. Thus, Lubrication and Wear should be revised to Tribology which is a term collectively referring not only to lubrication and wear but also various academic fields studying surface contacts and relative reactions, e.g., friction, abrasion, and adsorption, and various surface phenomena and relevant technologies
New Establishment	**-**	**Art Therapy**	• Although the Department of Art Therapy has been established for over 20 years, the academic field of Art Therapy has not been established, causing difficulties. Thus, Art Therapy needs to be added to establish identity as a discipline. • Music therapy and horticultural therapy is classified as a discipline, but art therapy
	-	**Security Law**	• The current Academic Research Area Classification Table by NRF does not list the research area of Security Law • The research area of Security Law needs to be added to the Table • Social Science – Law – Law of Special Parts – Security Law
	-	**Clinical Pathology Test**	a. Since the academic research field of Clinical Pathology Test is not yet established, researchers choose random fields of study when applying for research. As a result, although the number of researchers applying for research projects of the NRF is increasing every year, their change to be selected is very low b. Academic Research Area for Clinical Pathology Test needs to be added c. Medicine and Pharmacy (Major Classification) – Clinical Pathology (Middle Classification) – Clinical Pathology Test (Small Classification)

4.4 Bottom-up and Supplier-Centric Revision Process

As a result of analyzing current status of revision process, it was found that the revision demand survey cycle was set based on the level of agreement with the classification system classification principles (inclusiveness, similarity, scale, universality, etc.,), the number of researchers in the field where a revision is requested, and the number of projects supported by the foundation, without a separately set cycle. The current system manages demands for revision in the order of collecting opinions (society and researchers) → sending official documents (receiving demand under the name of the society) → reviewing suitability → notifying results (sending the results of the suitability review to the society) → reflecting results (reflecting on the research foundation system). However, the current revision process has no specific period/cycle set for the revision demand survey and there made no significant change to the Academic Research Area Classification Table since its establishment in 1999, despite the 3 attempts made by studies conducted in 2002, 2006, and 2009. In particular, the biggest problems are that the burden of preparing proposals is increased due to the reception of demands for all major, middle, and small classes of the research area, ineffective reception of revision demands through individual inquiry as NRF does not have a specific application period, not being able to recognize the need for revision due to such ineffectiveness, and difficulty in fulfilling the need for revision. And these are the reasons why the revision process is limited to bottom-up methods centered on academic societies and researchers, making comprehensive review by the experts of standard classification and classification system and identification of revision demands through top-down methods impossible. In addition, the lack of a formal feedback system after submitting a revision demand proposal causes inconvenience and misunderstandings of the proposal organizations as they are not aware whether they are selected for suitability review. With microscopic approaches to these current problems, it is difficult to get a comprehensive view of the standard classification system and reflect new research areas or technologies on academic areas, requiring. Therefore, it seems imperative to reexamine the revision process of the standard classification system via consumer-oriented revision demand survey and expert verification seems vital.

4.5 Legal Issues

The 「Sciences Promotion Act」 and the 「Framework Act On Science And Technology」 designate laws on academic classification systems, contributing to the widespread use of academic classification systems. The legal basis for the academic classification system presented in Article 12 (Establishment of Standard Academic Classification System) of the 「Sciences Promotion Act」 and Article 27 (Establishment of National Science and Technology Standard Classification System) of the 「Framework Act On Science And Technology」 is as shown in below <Table 5>.

Table 5. Legal Basis of the Classification System in the Sciences Promotion Act and the Framework Act On Science And Technology

Sciences Promotion Act	Framework Act On Science And Technology [Fully Amended on 2010. 2. 4.]
Article 15 (Establishment of Standard Academic Classification System) ① The Minister of Education may establish a standard academic classification system and formulate a standard academic classification table after consulting with the heads of the relevant central administrative agencies so as to efficiently manage human resources, information, projects, etc. related to sciences. <Amended on Mar. 23, 2013> ② The Government shall endeavor to widely utilize a standard academic classification table under paragraph (1) ③ The Minister of Education shall designate institutions in charge by field of studies and require them to consistently supplement and develop a standard academic classification table, and may subsidize expenses incurred in the operation of such institutions. <Amended on Mar. 23, 2013> ④ Matters necessary for formulating a standard academic classification table under paragraph (1), designating institutions in charge under paragraph (3), and revoking the designation thereof shall be prescribed by Presidential Decree.	**Article 27 (Establishment of National Science and Technology Standard Classification System)** ① The Minister of Science and ICT shall establish the National Standard Classification System for Science and Technology in consultation with the heads of relevant central administrative agencies to ensure the efficient management of the information, human resources, research and development projects, etc. relevant to science and technology, and shall develop and apply the national science and technology standard classification table. <Amended on 2010. 12. 27., 2013. 3. 23., 2017. 7. 26.> ② The Government shall endeavor to ensure wide utilization of the national science and technology standard classification table under paragraph (1) ③ The Minister of Science and ICT may designate a specialized agency to steadily improve and develop the national science and technology standard classification table, and subsidize expenses incurred in its operation. <Amended on 2010. 12. 27., 2013. 3. 23., 2017. 7. 26.> ④ Matters necessary for procedures for establishing the national science and technology standard classification table and for the designation of a specialized agency under Paragraphs (1) through (3) shall be prescribed by Presidential Decree.
Enforcement Decree of the Sciences Promotion Act	**Enforcement Decree of The Framework Act On Science And Technology**
Article 12 (Preparation of Standard Academic Classification System) ① When the Minister of Education establishes the standard academic classification system pursuant to Article 12 of the Act, he/she shall formulate and announce a plan therefor in advance. <Amended on 2013. 3. 23.> ② The Minister of Education may request the heads of the relevant central administrative agencies to cooperate in establishing the standard academic classification system. In such cases, the heads of such relevant central administrative agencies shall comply with such request except in extenuating circumstances. <Amended on 2013. 3. 23.> ③ The Minister of Education shall prepare, determine and announce the standard academic classification system and shall utilize it for the systematic and balanced development of sciences when promoting science support projects. <Amended on 2013. 3. 23.> **Article 13 (Designation, etc. of Institutions to be Fully in Charge of Studies by Field)** ① The Minister of Education may designate institutions to be fully in charge of studies by field referred to in Article 12 (3) of the Act (hereinafter referred to as "institution to be fully in charge of studies by field") among the institutions or organizations referred to in the subparagraphs of Article 5 (2) of the Act. <Amended on 2013. 3. 23.> ② When the Minister of Education designates an institution to be fully in charge of studies by field, he/she shall enter into an agreement which includes the following with such institution <Amended on 2013. 3. 23.> 1. Matters concerning the details and scope of business affairs 2. Matters concerning the period for conducting business affairs 3. Matters concerning reporting on the results of conducting business affairs 4. Matters concerning amendment, termination and measures for breach of agreement 5. Matters concerning revocation of designation 6. Matters concerning revocation of designation ③ When the Minister of Education designates an institution to be fully in charge of studies by field, he/she shall announce such fact via the Ministry's Internet web-site. <Amended on 2013. 3. 23.> ④ The Minister of Education may require institutions to be fully in charge of studies by field to formulae and submit detailed business plans, such as the management of the standard academic classification system, and budget plans. <Amended on 2013. 3. 23.> ⑤ Except as otherwise expressly prescribed in Paragraphs (1) through (4), the Minister of Education shall determine matters necessary for the designation, operation, etc. of institutions to be fully in charge of studies by field. <Amended on 2013. 3. 23.>	**Article 41 (Establishment of National Science and Technology Standard Classification System)** ① A specialized agency under Article 27 (3) of the Act shall be the Evaluation and Planning Institute. ② The President of the Evaluation and Planning Institute shall develop a plan for creation of science and technology standard classification tables in an effort to establish the national science and technology standard classification system and shall notify it to the heads of respective support agencies engaged in research planning, evaluation and management for national research and development projects. ③ Upon receiving notification of the plan under paragraph (2), the heads of respective support agencies shall prepare science and technology standard classification tables in the fields under their jurisdiction and submit them to the President of the Evaluation and Planning Institute. ④ The President of the Evaluation and Planning Institute shall prepare a draft of the National Standard Classification Table for Science and Technology after compiling a science and technology standard classification table for each field submitted under paragraph (3) based on opinions gathered from the academic circles and organizations relating to science and technology and the Presidential Advisory Council on Science and Technology established under the 「Presidential Advisory Council on Science and Technology Act」. ⑤ The Minister of Science and ICT shall prepare the National Standard Classification Table for Science and Technology based on the draft submitted under paragraph (4), finalize and make public announcement thereof after deliberation by the Presidential Advisory Council on Science and Technology. ⑥ The Minister of Science and ICT shall research and analyze trends in science and technology classifications in developed countries, and revise and improve the National Standard Classification Table for Science and Technology every five years, in consideration of emergence of new technologies ⑦ The heads of the relevant central administrative agencies shall fully utilize the National Standard Classification Table for Science and Technology finalized under paragraph (5) in performing the following duties 1. Research planning, evaluation and management for national research and development projects 2. Research planning, evaluation and management for national research and development projects 3. Management and distribution of knowledge and information relating to science and technology

Although the enforcement decree of the science and technology classification system describes the revision process by stipulating the responsibility of the President of the Evaluation and Planning Institute to receive and compile the standard classification tables from each head of respective support agencies to prepare a draft of the National Standard Classification Table for Science and Technology based on opinions from the Presidential Advisory Council, there is no separate legal basis for the application cycle of the academic standard classification of the NRF, making it difficult to handle demands for necessary revisions whether properly received or not.

5. Improvement Measures for the Academic Standard Classification System

This study made an attempt to propose improve measures for the revision process of the academic standard classification system by deriving issues related to the academic standard classification through analyzing the status of the academic standard classification, issues of the standard classification systems used by academic societies and research institutes, and matters investigated in prior studies. As a result of analyzing the current status of the academic standard classification system, issues of insufficient linkage to relevant classification systems in Korea and abroad, disproportionate hierarchical structure of science and technology fields, and limited implementation of classification names in research area and absence of classification criteria and legal issues were derived and used to suggest reorganization measures for the revision process.

Improvement direction as for an academic standard classification system that has linkages to relevant classification systems in Korea and abroad should be reestablished. In order to do so, first it is necessary to establish roles of/between classification systems by linking relevant classification systems and improve the completeness, practicality, and usability of the standard classification system. Such a linkage will establish the identity of standard classification and related classification systems, provide consistent statistics among them, and enable a joint use of the evaluator pool. Also, creating a classification system that strengthens the linkage with relevant classification systems by making the standard classification system the highest classification system in the academic field that encompasses all related technology classification systems and limiting the scope of related classification systems to the sub classes of the major classes of the standard classification system is imperative and linking between the middle classes under the major classes of the standard classification system and the small classes of relevant classification systems is imperative. In addition, keywords should be used for the small classes of the standard classification system to increase flexibility and each relevant classification system should subdivide the small classes within its corresponding area and use the small classes of the standard classification system as the reference for the subdivision or sub classes. Lastly, revision of the 'Academic Promotion Act (Article 12) Enforcement Decree' applied to the standard classification system and other laws applicable to related technology classification systems seems can effectively promote the linkage between the standard classification system and related technology classification systems. The Enforcement Decree of the Sciences Promotion Act and other laws applicable to related classification systems should be revised to include a clause requiring classification systems established and managed by ministries to consider a linkage with

the standard classification system.

Moreover, in order to resolve the imbalance in the hierarchical structure between classification systems, it should be based on the international classification systems while reflecting the characteristics of the domestic academic field and being interoperable among the research management institutions of various departments.

The following improvement measures are proposed based on the analysis on the implementation of classification names in research area and classification criteria. First, the revision process and management system should be established. Since there is neither criterion for classifying middle, small, or sub classes of research fields, clear definition, nor usage in actual research field and different names are required for some classifications by different research fields, it is essential to set a periodic and systematic improvement cycle. Second, it is necessary to set the revision frequency to once per year and quickly reflect changes in new technologies and academic fields by receiving the applications twice a year, during the first and second half of each year. For example, it is necessary to hold the Standard Academic Classification Survey and Deliberation Committee twice a year to make revisions and confirmations every year. Third, it is important to set the revision process in a total of 5 steps, [Step 1] Revision demand survey → [Step 2] Temporary classification through suitability assessment → [Step 3] Evidence and impact monitoring → [Step 4] Feasibility study → [Step 5] Revision of the classification. Subsequently, standards for the revision submission and review procedure should be established in the following order: 'Preparation of standard classification table (academic societies and researchers) → Submission of standard classification (academic societies and researchers) → Review of standard classification table (NRF) → deliberation and opinions (standard classification review committee) → notification of results (submission of suitability review results to academic societies and researchers) → Submission of objection (academic societies and researchers) → Re-deliberation (standard classification review committee) → Confirmation → Reflection of results (update the NRF system)'. Furthermore, it is necessary to select a management system for the collection and management of information within the NRF's academic standard classification system and the organization and operation of the standard classification review committee.

Following proposals are made for the improvement direction based on the problems of the current revision process. First, it is crucial to expand the period of the revision demand survey to multiple years to secure timeliness in reflecting new technologies. Second, in connection with the improvement of the timing of the survey, it is necessary to gradually increase the subject of the survey and encourage active participation in making revision demands by simplifying the revision demand proposal form. Third, the scope of organizations for submitting revision demands should be expanded to include companies to actively reflect industrial demands. Fourth, in addition to the bottom-up method, it is vital to introduce a top-down method using experts in each research field to comprehensively check the classification name and system for each research field and to discover revision demands. Lastly, an official feedback system should be implemented to announce the evaluation results after conducting the revision demand survey.

In addition, a legal basis for the revision of the classification system should be established. A legal provision on the survey class, scope of demand submission organizations, and comprehensive inspection methods for classification names and systems for each research field is required. It was

observed that Article 12 of the Enforcement Decree of the Sciences Promotion Act should be amended to revise and supplement the standard academic classification table and specify the revision cycle

6. Conclusion and Recommendation

In this study, improvement measures for the standard academic classification system are proposed by deriving issues related to the standard academic classification through the analysis of the current status of academic standard classification, issues of the standard classification systems used by academic societies and research institutes, and matters investigated by prior studies. In addition, this study also presents the directions of improvement to seek changes in the revision process that would better serve the purpose of the standard academic classification system. Consequently, this study is expected to bring about a major change in the academic standard classification system.

The following improvement directions are suggested by deriving the issues of the standard academic classification system. First, it is necessary to change the perception of the need to establish an official standard academic classification system. Although the NRF's Academic Research Area serves the role of the standard academic classification system, no official standard academic classification system has been established on the basis of the Sciences Promotion Act. Therefore, it is imperative to recognize the absence of an official standard academic classification system and the importance of establishing an official standard academic classification system based on the Sciences Promotion Act. Moreover, the need for establishing a standard classification system for all research area should also be recognized. The academic research area classification system and the standard science and technology classification system make the absence of an official academic classification system commonly used by all academic fields throughout the country inconspicuous. In fact, a change of perception is necessary to recognize the need for a standard academic classification system which has not been well perceived due to the existence of the scientific and technological standard classification system. A standard academic classification system, inclusive of all academic fields, is required not only for the purpose of understanding all academic fields, but also for effective manpower management and support for academic fields at national level.

Second, the role of the NRF's academic research area classification system needs to be established; a plan to use the academic research area classification system as a practical academic standard classification system is required. Since the standard academic classification system has been arbitrarily established by the NRF and the Academic Research Area Classification Table of the NRF has been presented as an unofficial classification table for all academic fields, it is important to establish a concrete role of the academic research area clarification system in order to operate it as an official standard academic classification system.

Third, recognizing the need to revise the academic research area classification system of the NRF is vital. Although the classification system has been maintained for about 20 years without a major change and the need for reorganizing the classification system due to the lack of consistency in the classification system and omission of the latest academic fields has been increasing, there is no interest in the reformation of the classification system. Therefore, it is necessary to recognize

the necessity of changing the revision system of the classification system, the need to establish a standardized system for the establishment and revision of standard classification systems, and the importance of implementing a detailed management system that can reflect the current of the times and track the history of revision.

Lastly, since the standard academic classification system is based on related laws, it is necessary to clarify the matters related to the operation of the institution in charge of the standard academic classification system and establishment and revision of the standard classification system through the amendment of the Sciences Promotion Act and the enforcement decree of the same law and to recognize the importance of revising the applicable laws

References

Adrian Deville, & Tim Turpin. (1996). Indicators of research relevance to ecologically sustainable development and their integration with other R&D indicators in the Asia-Pacific Region. *Chemosphere, 33*(9), 1777-1800.

Albert N. Link. (1995). On the classification of industrial R & D. *Research Policy, 25*(3), 397-401.

Chong, Y. K., & Kim, Y. G. (2006). Discussion and recommendation on the classification of Korean foodservice industry. *Journal of Foodservice Management, 9*(3), 221-236.

Einer Rasmussen. (2008). Government instruments to support the commercialization of university research: Lessons from Canada. *Technovation, 28*(8), 506-517.

Jeong, Y. K. (2000). A Study on the Reorganization of the Knowledge Classification Scheme. *Journal of Information Management, 17*(2), 37-66.

Kang, H. I., Hong, S. P., Jeong, H. S., Kwon, S. H., & Lee, S. M. (2007). The Research on the IT Industrial Classification System Revision. Jincheon: Institute for Information Technology Advancement.

Kim, J. Y., Choi, M. J., Lee, H. R., Kim, H. T., & Park, J. H. (2019). A study on national S&T standard classification system revision. Gwacheon: Ministry of Science and ICT.

Kim, Y. H., Choi, M. J., & Kang, S. Y. (2015). Improvement of National Science and Technology Standard Classification System in 2015 and Establishment of Utilization Foundation. Eumseong: Korea Institute of Science and Technology Evaluation and Planning.

Kwon, K. W., & Kim, B. Y. (2006). A study on knowledge classification of cadastral science. *Journal of the Korean Society for Library and Information Science, 40*(1), 43-56.

Lee, C. (2003). Identity and Academic Classification of Earth Science in Korea. *Journal of the Korean Earth Science Society, 24*(7), 650-656.

Lee, S. G., Im, H., Choi, H. R., & Kim, J. H. (2012). Management and operation of the National Science and Technology Standards Classification System and support for cross-ministerial use. Eumseong: Korea Institute of Science and Technology Evaluation and Planning.

Lee, S. N., Im, H., Park, C. H., Ahn, J. H., & Ji, S. Y. (2020). Improvement of National Science and Technology Standard Classification System in 2020 and Establishment of Utilization Base. Eumseong: Korea Institute of Science and Technology Evaluation and Planning.

National Research Foundation of Korea. (2021.09.15.). Classification of Korean Research Fields. https://www.nrf.re.kr/biz/doc/class/view?menu_no=323

Oh, D. H. (2019). Establishment of standard classification system for land transportation in '18~'19 and research on trend and technology level. Sejong: Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, and Transport.

Oh, K. H., Yoo, B. T., Park, S. H., & Lee, J. S. (2013). A Study on Standard Research Classification of Disaster Safety Research Arena. *Korean Review of Crisis & Emergency Management, 9*(11), 31-48.

Park, M. G. (2003). Musicology and its sub-disciplines. *Music and Culture, 8*(1), 9-15.

Park, S. H. (2015). Revision of Classification of Fields of Education to Enhance the Availability of Educational Statistics. Jincheon: Korean Educational Development Institude.

Seo, S. M., & Lee, J. H. (2003). A study on curriculum and an academic classification standard of electronic commerce research. *The Journal of Korean Institute of CALS/EC, 8*(3), 143-150.

Seol, S. S., Kim, E. S., Park, J. M., Kwon, B. W., Jang, S. J., & Choi, S. H. (2006). The Strategy Study of Establishment and Application of Learning Classification Standard. Seoul: Hannam University.

[About the authors]

Younghee Noh has an MA and PhD In Library and Information Science from Yonsei University, Seoul. She has published more than 50 books, including 3 books awarded as Outstanding Academic Books by Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism (Government) and more than 120 papers, including one selected as a Featured Article by the Informed Librarian Online in February 2012. She was listed in the Marquis Who's Who in the World in 2012-2016 and Who's Who in Science and Engineering in 2016-2017. She received research excellence awards from both Konkuk University (2009) and Konkuk University Alumni (2013) as well as recognition by "the award for Teaching Excellence" from Konkuk University in 2014. She received research excellence awards form 'Korean Y. Noh and Y. Shin International Journal of Knowledge Content Development & Technology Vol.9, No.3, 75-101 (September 2019) 101 Library and Information Science Society' in 2014. One of the books she published in 2014, was selected as 'Outstanding Academic Books' by Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism in 2015. She received the Awards for Professional Excellence as Asia Library Leaders from Satija Research Foundation in Library and Information Science (India) in 2014. She has been a Chief Editor of World Research Journal of Library and Information Science in Mar 2013 ~ Feb 2016. Since 2004, she has been a Professor in the Department of Library and Information Science at Konkuk University, where she teaches courses in Metadata, Digital Libraries, Processing of Internet Information Resources, and Digital Contents.

Jeong-Mo Yang is a senior researcher in the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF). He got a Ph.D. in Mathematics(especially Combinatorial Matrix Theory) on Sungkyunkwan University in Korea. Recently, his research interests are in research policy on STEM(Science, Technology,

Engineering and Mathematics). He published more than 20 papers on mathematics and research administrational policy papers. In 2022, he published a paper on Asia Pacific Educational Review titled influence of government funded postdoctoral fellowships on tenure track appointments of scholars in the social sciences and humanities. Also he took part in publishing a book about research management knowhow in 2018 with his colleagues in NRF.

Ji Hei Kang is an associate professor at the Department of Library and Information Science at Dongduk Women's University, South Korea. She completed a PhD in Information Studies at Florida State University in 2015. Dr. Kang's main research interest is understanding how people including information specialists perceive innovations and advancements in technology. Prior to joining the doctoral program, she worked at the LG Sangnam Library, which was the first digital library in Korea.

Yong Hwan Kim has an MA and PhD In Library and Information Science from Yonsei University, Seoul. After majoring in Text Mining, he has published several papers about Text mining, Citation Analysis, and Bio-literature mining. Since 2018, he has been a assistant Professor in the Department of Library and Information Science at CheongJu University, where he teaches courses in Information Technology, Databases, Information Retrieval, and Data Science.

Jongwook Lee is an Associate Professor at the Department of Library and Information Science at Kyungpook National University, South Korea. He received a bachelor's degree in library and information and science from Kyungpook National University, a master's degree in information science from Indiana University Bloomington, and a Ph.D. in information studies from Florida State University. His research focuses on users' information behavior in academic contexts, future libraries, and data-driven decision-making in libraries.

Woojung Kwak is the Senior researcher of the Institute of Knowledge Content Development & Technology. She has PhD in Library & Information Science from Konkuk University. She has published 1 books, and 13 articles, and has participated in 14 projects relevant to the library and information science. Her works focus Convergence Research, specifically on curation, specialized library, and Humanities and Social Assets.