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#### Abstract

In this study, we consider a heat equation with a variablecoefficient linear forcing term and a time-periodic boundary condition. Under some decay and smoothness assumptions on the coefficient, we establish the existence and uniqueness of a time-periodic solution satisfying the boundary condition. Furthermore, possible connections to the closed boundary layer equations were discussed. The difficulty with a perturbed leading order coefficient is demonstrated by a simple example.


## 1. Introduction

In this study, the existence and uniqueness of a time periodic solution for the following 1D heat equation with a linear forcing term were considered:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{t}-Q_{x x}=g(t, x) Q  \tag{1.1}\\
Q(t, 0)=h(t) \\
Q(t, \infty)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $(t, x) \in(\mathbb{R} /(2 \pi \mathbb{Z})) \times[0, \infty)$ and $g, h$ are the given real-valued smooth functions that are $2 \pi$-periodic in $t$. Furthermore, it is assumed that $h$ has a mean of zero. There are several studies on the solvability of equations of the form (1.1), assuming that $h \equiv 0$. In this case, one can solve the equation under extremely general assumptions regarding the coefficient; see $[3,5,6,9,12,13]$ and references therein. Several abstract existence theorems for time-periodic differential equations can be found in $[2,4,14]$.

However, the authors are not aware of any previous studies on the timeperiodic solutions when $h$ is non-trivial, unless the right-hand side of the equation for $Q$ takes a special form. Specifically, the authors are interested in the case where $h$ is nontrivial and $g$ is small, such that (presumably) the solution approaches that of the homogeneous case where $g \equiv 0$. This interest in (1.1)

[^0]stems from a boundary layer equation for the closed streamlines (see Section 4 below), where it is essential to have a nontrivial boundary condition on $\{x=0\}$. Notably, when $g$ vanishes, by taking the Fourier series in $t$, the following can be arrived at:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{*}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}(1+i) x} e^{i n t} h_{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This is the unique smooth time periodic solution to (1.1), where $h_{n}$ is the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of $h$. Unfortunately, it becomes incredibly difficult to solve (1.1) when $g$ is nontrivial and/or the coefficients of $Q_{t}$ or $Q_{x x}$ are perturbed from constants; see the formal computations in Section 5 for a variable leadingorder coefficient case below. The primary result of this study shows that when $g$ is analytic in $t$ and decays extremely fast in $x$, there exists a solution to (1.1) that is close to (1.2).

## 2. Main result

The primary result of the problem (1.1) is stated below:
Theorem 2.1. For any $\rho>4$, there exists a constant $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that the following holds true. Assume that the Fourier series $\left\{g_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $g$ in $t$ satisfies

$$
\left|g_{n}(x)\right| \leq \varepsilon e^{-\rho|n|-x^{2}}
$$

for certain $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ and the Fourier series of $h$ satisfies

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}|n| e^{|n|}\left|h_{n}\right| \leq C .
$$

Then there exists the unique solution $Q$ to (1.1) that is $C^{\infty}-$ smooth in $t, x$ and decaying exponentially fast as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

When proving the theorem, it shall be demonstrated that within a particular class of smooth functions equipped with a special norm $\|\cdot\|$, the solution is unique and is given by a perturbation of the homogeneous solution $Q^{*}$ from (1.2). Furthermore, the solution satisfies $\left\|Q-Q^{*}\right\|=O(\varepsilon)$.

Initially, it can be shown that at least in a few cases, the equation with a variable coefficient in the leading order term can be reduced to the form (1.1). For this purpose, under the same boundary conditions, consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t}-\psi(t) \phi(x) Q_{x x}=g(t, x) Q, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is periodic by $2 \pi$ and $\phi$ is defined as $[0, \infty)$. It is assumed that $\psi$ and $\phi$ are strictly positive, infinitely differentiable, and $\phi$ decays exponentially fast as $x \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, the variables in both $t$ and $x$ can be changed such that the coefficient of the second-order term is normalized. First, the change in variables is applied (assuming that $\phi>0$ )

$$
\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \partial_{x}=\partial_{x^{\prime}}, \quad x^{\prime}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)}
$$

such that

$$
\partial_{x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}=\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \partial_{x}\left(\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \partial_{x}\right)=\phi(x) \partial_{x x}+\frac{\phi^{\prime}(x)}{2 \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)} \partial_{x^{\prime}}
$$

and with $f:=\frac{\phi^{\prime}(x)}{2 \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)},(2.1)$ changes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t}-\psi(t)\left(Q_{x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}-f Q_{x^{\prime}}\right)=g Q \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, the change in variables

$$
\frac{1}{\psi(t)} \partial_{t}=\partial_{t^{\prime}}
$$

converts (2.2) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t^{\prime}}-\left(Q_{x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}-f Q_{x^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{g}{\psi} Q \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\psi$ can be normalized such that $t^{\prime} \in[0,2 \pi]$ for $t \in[0,2 \pi]$. Finally, the following is defined

$$
\widetilde{Q}\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x^{\prime}} f\right) Q\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

such that this equation is derived

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Q}_{t^{\prime}}-\widetilde{Q}_{x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}=\left(-\frac{f_{x^{\prime}}}{2}+\frac{f^{2}}{4}+\frac{g}{\psi}\right) \widetilde{Q} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in the form of (1.1).

## 3. Proof of the main result

### 3.1. Expansion

For convenience, let $g$ be replaced by $\varepsilon g$ (so that now $g$ is in the order of 1 ), and consider the following expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\sum_{k \geq 0} \varepsilon^{k} Q^{(k)}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q^{(k)}$ is defined by the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{t}^{(k)}-Q_{x x}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{2} g(t) \phi(x) Q^{(k-1)},  \tag{3.2}\\
Q^{(k)}(t, 0)=Q^{(k)}(t, \infty)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $k \geq 1$. In the case of $k=0, Q^{(0)}$ is considered to be the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{t}^{(0)}-Q_{x x}^{(0)}=0,  \tag{3.3}\\
Q^{(0)}(t, 0)=h(t), \\
Q^{(0)}(t, \infty)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This is simply (1.2) or $Q^{(0)}=Q^{*}$. A norm $\|\cdot\|$ has been constructed such that $\left\|Q^{(k)}\right\| \leq C\left\|Q^{(k-1)}\right\|$
holds for an absolute constant $C>0$. Once this is proven, $Q$ defined by (3.1) satisfies

$$
\|Q\| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \varepsilon^{k}\left\|Q^{(k)}\right\| \leq\left\|Q^{(0)}\right\| \sum_{k \geq 0}(C \varepsilon)^{k}<\infty
$$

as long as $\varepsilon<C^{-1}$. In the next section, the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|:=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \sup _{x \in[0, \infty)}\left(e^{|n|+\sqrt{\frac{|n|}{2}} x}\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|+|n| e^{|n|+\sqrt{\frac{|n|}{2}} x}\left|f_{n}(x)\right|\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is proven to be suitable for the application, where

$$
F=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} f_{n}(x) e^{i n t}
$$

is the Fourier series expansion of $F$ in $t$. Notably, the solution $Q^{(0)}$ of (3.2) satisfies $\left\|Q^{(0)}\right\|<\infty$ owing to the assumption regarding $h$ in Theorem 2.1.

### 3.2. An inhomogeneous problem

The following inhomogeneous problem is considered for $F$, where $Q$ is regarded as a given function:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{t}=F_{x x}+g(t, x) Q  \tag{3.5}\\
F(t, 0)=F(t, \infty)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

From this point, $g(t, x)$ is assumed to satisfy the condition in Theorem 2.1 with $\varepsilon=1$. $Q$ and $F$ are represented by a Fourier series in $t$ :

$$
F(t, x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{n}(x) e^{i n t}, \quad Q(t, x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{n}(x) e^{i n t}
$$

with $f_{0}=q_{0}=0$. Next, by expanding both sides of (3.5) in the Fourier series, the ordinary differential equation (ODE) satisfied by $f_{n}$ is obtained:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i n f_{n}(x)=f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{n-k}(x) q_{k}(x),  \tag{3.6}\\
f_{n}(0)=f_{n}(\infty)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The primary result regarding (3.5) is stated below:
Proposition 3.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{|n|+\sqrt{\frac{|n|}{2} x}}\left|q_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|+|n| e^{|n|+\sqrt{\frac{|n|}{2}} x}\left|q_{n}(x)\right| \leq 1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Then, for a constant $C>0$ depending only on $\rho>4$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{|n|+\sqrt{\frac{|n|}{2}} x}\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|+|n| e^{|n|+\sqrt{\frac{|n|}{2}} x}\left|f_{n}(x)\right| \leq C . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Only the case $n>0$ is considered. The case $n<0$ is similar and will be briefly discussed below. Note a particular solution of the ODE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\inf (x)+g(x) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{n}} \int_{0}^{x}\left(e^{\alpha_{n}(x-s)}+e^{-\alpha_{n}(x-s)}\right) G(s) d s \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}=\sqrt{\text { in }}:=\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}(1+i)$ and

$$
G(x)=-\alpha_{n} \int_{x}^{\infty} g(s) d s
$$

Further,

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}} G(x)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x}\left(e^{\alpha_{n}(x-s)}-e^{-\alpha_{n}(x-s)}\right) G(s) d s
$$

from which (3.9) followed by differentiating once again. Therefore, it can be observed that the unique solution to (3.6) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n}(x)= & \frac{e^{-\alpha_{n} x}}{2 \alpha_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{n} s} G_{n}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{x} e^{\alpha_{n} s} G_{n}(s) d s\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& -\frac{e^{\alpha_{n} x}}{2 \alpha_{n}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{n} s} G_{n}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}(x)=\alpha_{n} \int_{x}^{\infty} \psi_{n}(s) d s, \quad \psi_{n}(s)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} g_{n-k}(s) q_{k}(s) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, $\psi_{n}$ is estimated from the assumption regarding $g$,

$$
\left|\psi_{n}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} C|k|^{-1} e^{-\rho|n-k|} e^{-|k|-\sqrt{\frac{|k|}{2}} x} e^{-x^{2}}
$$

When $|k-n|>n / 2$, the following is estimated:

$$
\sum_{k:|k-n|>n / 2} C e^{-\rho|n-k|}|k|^{-1} e^{-|k|-\sqrt{\frac{|k|}{2}} x} \leq C e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}|n|} \leq C|n|^{-1} e^{-2|n|}
$$

using the assumption $\rho>4$. However, when $n \leq k<3 n / 2$,

$$
\sum_{k: n \leq k<3 n / 2} C|k|^{-1} e^{-\rho|n-k|} e^{-|k|} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} x} \leq C|n|^{-1} e^{-|n|} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x}
$$

as $\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$ in this case. Finally, in the case where $n / 2 \leq k<n$, using $\rho>4$ again,

$$
\sum_{k: n / 2 \leq k<n} C|k|^{-1} e^{-\rho|n-k|} e^{-|k|} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} x} \leq C|n|^{-1} e^{-2|n|} \sum_{n / 2 \leq k<n} e^{-|k|} \leq C|n|^{-1} e^{-2|n|}
$$

Combining the terms, the following estimate is derived:

$$
\left|\psi_{n}(x)\right| \leq C|n|^{-1}\left(e^{-|n|} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x}+e^{-2|n|}\right) e^{-x^{2}}
$$

Subsequently, the equation can be bound using $s \geq x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{|n|} e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x}\left|G_{n}(x)\right| & \leq\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \int_{x}^{\infty}\left|\psi_{n}(s)\right| e^{|n|} e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} s} d s \\
& \leq C|n|^{-1}\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}}\left(1+e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} s-|n|}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and using

$$
s^{2}-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} s+|n| \geq \frac{7}{8} s^{2}
$$

gives

$$
\left\|e^{|n|} e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x}\left|G_{n}(x)\right|\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C|n|^{-1}\left|\alpha_{n}\right| .
$$

Now, from the estimate

$$
e^{|n|} e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x}\left|G_{n}(x)\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \int_{x}^{\infty}\left|\psi_{n}(s)\right| e^{|n|} e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} s} d s
$$

using Fubini's theorem, the following equation is derived:

$$
\left\|e^{|n|} e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x}\left|G_{n}(x)\right|\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty}\left|\psi_{n}(s)\right| e^{|n|} e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} s} d s d x \leq C\left|\alpha_{n}\right||n|^{-1}
$$

Further, the aforementioned estimates regarding $G_{n}$ to bound $f_{n}(x)$ are applied, and from the solution formula of $f_{n}$, the following is estimated:

$$
\left|e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x} f_{n}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{\alpha_{n} s} G_{n}(s)\right| d s+\frac{C}{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|}\left|e^{2 \alpha_{n} x} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{n} s} G_{n}(s) d s\right|
$$

Using

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{\alpha_{n} s} G_{n}(s)\right| d s \leq C\left|\alpha_{n}\right||n|^{-1} e^{-|n|}
$$

and

$$
\left|e^{2 \alpha_{n} x} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha_{n} s} G_{n}(s) d s\right| \leq C e^{-|n|}|n|^{-1}\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-2 \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}(s-x)} d s \leq C e^{-|n|}|n|^{-1}
$$

the following is obtained:

$$
|n| e^{|n|}\left|f_{n}(x) e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x}\right| \leq C
$$

Next, using (3.6) with the previous estimate, the following equation can be derived for $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
e^{|n|}\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} x}\right| \leq C
$$

Thus far, the case where $n>0$ has been considered. If $n<0$, let $m=-n>0$; the equation is derived in the same manner by considering $\alpha_{m}=\sqrt{i(-m)}=$
$\sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}(1-i)$. Next, the analogous inequalities and estimates are obtained using a straightforward technique. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Using the aforementioned proposition, the existence of a $C_{t, x}^{\infty}$ smooth solution to (1.1) is immediate. Given the estimates for $\left|f_{n}(x)\right|$ and $\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|$, the estimates for the fourth derivative of $f_{n}(x)$ and further can be obtained by differentiating the equation for $f_{n}$ in $x$. However, the smoothness in $x$ implies that the solution is smooth in $t$. This shows that the solution obtained by the series expansion is infinitely differentiable in $t$ and $x$, as claimed. To conclude Theorem 2.1, only the uniqueness of the smooth solution must be obtained.

### 3.3. Uniqueness

In the aforementioned equation, an inhomogeneous estimate was obtained

$$
\|F\| \leq C\|Q\|
$$

for the solution of

$$
F_{t}-F_{x x}=g(t, x) Q,
$$

some absolute constant $C>0$, with $\|\cdot\|$ defined as in (3.4) and $g$ of size $O(1)$. In this estimate, it is crucial that $F$ satisfies the boundary condition $F(t, 0)=F(t, \infty)=0$.

Now, two solutions are assumed: $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$, with finite $\|\cdot\|-$ norm, satisfying

$$
\left(Q_{i}\right)_{t}-\left(Q_{i}\right)_{x x}=\varepsilon g(t, x) Q_{i},
$$

with the same boundary conditions on $x=0$ and $x=\infty$. Denoting the difference by $F=Q_{1}-Q_{2}$, the following is obtained: $F(t, 0)=F(t, \infty)=0$. By applying the previous inhomogeneous estimate using the equation for $F$, the following equation is obtained:

$$
\|F\| \leq C \varepsilon\|F\| .
$$

When $\varepsilon>0$ is smaller than $C^{-1}$, this implies that $\|F\|=0$, or $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$. This completes the proof of uniqueness.

## 4. Closed boundary layer equations

The issue (1.1) arises in the theory of the boundary layer of incompressible recirculating flows. This is briefly explained below. Let us suppose a stationary viscous incompressible fluid flow $\mathbf{u}=(u(x, y), v(x, y))$ in a bounded closed domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. It is assumed that the flow circulates the wall boundary with a velocity $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{w}} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, this becomes a moving boundary issue that is different from the conventional boundary layer where $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{w}}=\mathbf{0}$ (see Figure 1).

Now, the "Prandtl-Batchelor theory" in $[1,11]$ provides the asymptotic of the incompressible Navier-Stokes flows for large Reynolds numbers ( $R$ ). This theory states that as $R \rightarrow \infty$, the vorticity $\omega=v_{x}-u_{y}$ becomes a uniform constant in the entire domain, except for the (thin) boundary layer near the


Figure 1. Circulating flow in a region $D$ with nonzero wall velocity [7]
wall. In fact, the entire flow is then determined by a complicated interaction between the outer (almost inviscid) flow and inner (boundary layer) flow. (For details, see $[7,8]$.) Therefore, the space-periodic boundary layer flow is crucial for understanding the correct asymptotics and final state of the entire flow.

The steady two-dimensional boundary layer equation [10] is considered in the $s, \eta$-coordinates where, $s$ is the arc length along the wall, and $\eta$ is the distance normal to $\partial D$, measured from the wall toward the interior times $\sqrt{R}$. Let the corresponding velocity coordinates be $u_{s}, u_{\eta}$, that is, $u_{\eta}$ is the component in the direction of the inward normal times $\sqrt{R}$. Then, the equations become

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{s} \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial s}+u_{\eta} \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial \eta}-q_{e}(s) q_{e}^{\prime}(s)-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{s}}{\partial \eta^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial u_{\eta}}{\partial \eta}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $q_{e}(s)$ denotes the limit inviscid flow speed at the outer edge of the boundary layer. These equations hold true for $0 \leq s<L, 0 \leq \eta<\infty$, where $L$ is the perimeter of $D$. Thereafter the equations can be rewritten as (4.1) in the von Mises variables $s, \bar{\psi}$, where $\bar{\psi}$ is the scaled stream function defined by $-\nabla^{\perp} \psi=\mathbf{u}$ and $\bar{\psi}=\sqrt{R} \psi$. Setting $u_{s}=q(s, \bar{\psi}),(4.1)$ is reduced to a single equation, in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial q^{2}}{\partial s}-\frac{d q_{e}^{2}}{d s}-q \frac{\partial^{2} q^{2}}{\partial \bar{\psi}^{2}}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proper conditions for $q(s, \bar{\psi})$ in (4.2) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(s+L, \bar{\psi})=q(s, \bar{\psi}), \quad q(s, 0)=q_{w}(s), \quad q(s, \infty)=q_{e}(s) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{w}(s)$ (the wall speed) is the same as $u_{s}$ on $\partial D$.

For the circular eddy, the limit vorticity $\omega_{0}$ can be evaluated by integrating (4.2) twice on $\bar{\psi}$. This is owing to the vanishing pressure term: $-q_{e} q_{e}^{\prime}$ in (4.2). Consequently, the boundary condition at $\psi=\infty$ in (4.3) is specified, and the following problem may be posed for $Q:=q^{2}-q_{e}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{t}-q Q_{x x}=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq x \leq \infty  \tag{4.4}\\
& Q(t+2 \pi, x)=Q(t, x), \quad Q(t, 0)=q_{w}^{2}-q_{e}^{2}, \quad Q(t, \infty)=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the notation $t=s, x=\bar{\psi}$ to identify the variables in the previous sections was introduced.

It is a challenge to establish the existence of the solution of the equation owing to the periodicity requirement for $Q$ in $t$ (see Section 5). Therefore, the appropriate approximate models pertaining to the characteristics of the original boundary layer phenomenon are required. For instance, a simple possible choice is the average mean velocity at $x=0, x=\infty$ to advect $Q$; that is,

$$
\psi(t)=\frac{\sqrt{q_{e}^{2}+Q(t, 0)}+\sqrt{q_{e}^{2}+Q(t, \infty)}}{2}
$$

which corresponds to the equation in (2.1) for $\phi=1, g=0$. For another approximate model, $q_{e}=1$ is taken for convenience and (4.2) is rewritten into $Q_{t}=\sqrt{1+Q} Q_{x x}$. Because the assumption is that $|Q|$ is small, it is reasonable to approximate

$$
Q_{x x}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+Q}} Q_{t} \simeq\left(1-\frac{Q}{2}\right) Q_{t}=Q_{t}-\frac{1}{2} Q_{t} Q
$$

Then, simply writing $Q_{t}=2 \varepsilon g(t, x)$, the following problem is obtained (1.1). Note that this model provides an approximate advection effect by substituting $Q_{t} Q$ with a linear term $2 \varepsilon g(t, x) Q$ and correctly matches the boundary conditions at $x=0$ and $x=\infty$ by considering the proper $g$.

## 5. Difficulties for a variable coefficient case

In Section 2, it was demonstrated that a certain variable coefficient case can be successfully reduced to the constant coefficient case. However, this is generally not so straightforward, as shown in the following example, which is a slight perturbation of the constant coefficient case. The perturbed linear problem is considered:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1+g(t, x)) Q_{t}-Q_{x x}=0  \tag{5.1}\\
Q(t, 0)=q_{0}(t) \\
Q(t, \infty)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with a periodic boundary condition in $t$. This equation is a variation of (1.1) and is regarded as an approximation of $Q_{t}=\sqrt{1+Q} Q_{x x}$ for small $Q$. Even with very simple data, $q_{0}(t)=\varepsilon e^{i t}$ and $g(t, x)=e^{-\mu x+i t}$, the existence of a smooth solution to (5.1) can be demonstrated to be highly nontrivial. Here,
$\Re(\mu)>0$ and $\mu$ will be determined later (for easy computation). The following expansion is considered:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t, x)=\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n}(x) e^{i n t} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the boundary condition, the following is obtained: $q_{1}(0)=\varepsilon, q_{1}(\infty)=0$, and $q_{n}(0)=q_{n}(\infty)=0$ for $n \geq 2$. (It is demonstrated below that there is no need to include terms of the form $e^{-i n t}$ with $n \geq 1$ in the expansion.) The following recurrence equations for $q_{n}$ can be obtained by plugging (5.2) into (5.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(n q_{n}+(n-1) e^{-\mu x} q_{n-1}\right)=q_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Initially, when $n=1$, the following solution can be directly derived

$$
q_{1}(x)=\varepsilon e^{-\sqrt{i} x}, \quad \sqrt{i}=\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

Further, the following simple computation is used, where the operator $\left(\partial_{x}^{2}-\right.$ $a i)^{-1}$ is well-defined by imposing the zero boundary conditions at $x=0, \infty$.

Lemma 5.1. For $a>0$, the following is obtained

$$
\left(\partial_{x}^{2}-a i\right)^{-1} e^{-\beta \sqrt{i} x}=\frac{1}{i} \frac{e^{-\beta \sqrt{i} x}-e^{-\sqrt{a} \sqrt{i} x}}{\beta^{2}-a} .
$$

Using the aforementioned lemma, in the case where $n=2$,

$$
\left(\partial_{x x}-2 i\right) q_{2}=i e^{-\mu x} q_{1}=i \varepsilon e^{(-\mu-\sqrt{i}) x} .
$$

From $\beta=1+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}$, the following is obtained:

$$
q_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta^{2}-2}\left(e^{(-\mu-\sqrt{i}) x}-e^{-\sqrt{2} \sqrt{i} x}\right) .
$$

Continuing,

$$
\left(\partial_{x x}-3 i\right) q_{3}=2 i e^{-\mu x} q_{2}=\frac{2 i \varepsilon}{\beta^{2}-2}\left(e^{(-2 \mu-\sqrt{i}) x}-e^{-(\sqrt{2} \sqrt{i}+\mu) x}\right)
$$

Then,
$q_{3}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta^{2}-2}\left(A(\mu)\left(e^{(-2 \mu-\sqrt{i}) x}-e^{-\sqrt{3} \sqrt{i} x}\right)-B(\mu)\left(e^{-(\sqrt{2} \sqrt{i}+\mu) x}-e^{-\sqrt{3} \sqrt{i} x}\right)\right)$,
where $A(\mu), B(\mu)$ are some constants.
To determine the rule for the sequence of functions $q_{n}$, let $\varepsilon=1$ for simplicity, and the previous equation is rewritten in a better notation. First, let $\beta_{1}=$ $1, a_{1}=1$ for the case $n=1$. Then, for $n=2$, let $\beta_{2}=\beta_{1}+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}=1+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}, a_{2}=2$.
The solution can be written as:

$$
q_{2}=\frac{1}{\beta_{2}^{2}-a_{2}}\left(e^{-\beta_{2} \sqrt{i} x}-e^{-\sqrt{a_{2}} \sqrt{i} x}\right) .
$$

Next, for $n=3$, let $\beta_{31}=\beta_{2}+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}, \beta_{32}=\sqrt{a_{2}}+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}, a_{3}=3$, and the equation is rewritten as
$q_{3}=\frac{2}{\beta_{2}^{2}-a_{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\beta_{31}^{2}-a_{3}}\left(e^{-\beta_{31} \sqrt{i} x}-e^{-\sqrt{a_{3}} \sqrt{i} x}\right)-\frac{1}{\beta_{32}^{2}-a_{3}}\left(e^{-\beta_{32} \sqrt{i x}}-e^{-\sqrt{a_{3}} \sqrt{i} x}\right)\right]$.
Therefore, now $\beta_{n k}$ can be written for $k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n-2}$, and $a_{n}$ for the corresponding coefficients of $q_{n}$ in the form presented previously. From the previous computation, a rule for determining $q_{n}$ from $q_{n-1}$ is obtained. First, the number of terms of $q_{n}$ is $2^{n-1}$, of which only the coefficient of $e^{-\sqrt{n} \sqrt{i} x}$ is focused on, which has the slowest decay mode in $x$. From this consideration, the following can be derived:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{n 1}=\beta_{(n-1) 1}+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}, \quad \beta_{n 1}=1+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}(n-1) \\
\beta_{n 2}=\beta_{n 4}=\beta_{n 6}=\cdots=\beta_{n\left(2^{n-1}\right)}=\sqrt{n-1}+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\beta_{n 3}=\beta_{(n-1) 2}+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}=\sqrt{n-2}+\frac{2 \mu}{\sqrt{i}}, \quad \beta_{n 5}=\beta_{(n-1) 3}+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{i}}, \ldots
$$

Thus, a hierarchy of tables of coefficients of $q_{n}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$ is achieved. To simplify further, let $\mu=\sqrt{i}$, which makes all the coefficients real and simple. More precisely, the following equation is obtained:

$$
\beta_{n 1}=n, \quad \beta_{n 2}=\beta_{n 4}=\beta_{n 6}=\cdots=\beta_{n\left(2^{n-1}\right)}=\sqrt{n-1}+1
$$

and

$$
\beta_{n 3}=\sqrt{n-2}+2, \quad \beta_{n 5}=\beta_{(n-1) 3}+1, \ldots
$$

However, it is difficult to determine the coefficients of $q_{n}$ (even asymptotically) during this recurrence procedure. Notably, each $\beta_{n(2 k)}=\sqrt{n-1}+1, k=$ $1, \ldots, 2^{n-2}$ gives rise to the factor $1 /\left(\beta_{n(2 k)}^{2}-n\right)=1 /(2 \sqrt{n-1})$ of $e^{-\sqrt{n} \sqrt{i x}}$. This suggests that the series (5.2) may not converge uniformly. Unfortunately, each term on the right-hand side of the equation for $\left(\partial_{x x}-n i\right) q_{n}$ contributes to the coefficient of $e^{-\sqrt{n} \sqrt{i x}}$ in $q_{n}$, and there may be subtle cancellations that can make the series (5.2) convergent. However, it should be emphasized that even if the series converges, it cannot be proved using a direct norm estimate similar to that used in Section 3.

## 6. Conclusion

In this study, a linear parabolic differential equation and its time-periodic solution were considered under a non-trivial boundary condition. The existence and uniqueness were established under certain conditions on the boundary data and the coefficient. Moreover, a possible connection between the problem and a closed and recirculating boundary layer flow was investigated. Even for a
linear problem, the existence of a time-periodic solution becomes highly nontrivial if the leading order coefficient is perturbed. The difficulty faced while trying to construct a Fourier series solution for a simple example was further demonstrated.
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