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#### Abstract

By utilizing a certain Libera integral operator considered on analytic multivalent functions in the unit disk $U$. Using the hypergeometric function and the Libera integral operator, we included a new convolution operator that expands on some previously specified operators in $U$, which broadens the scope of certain previously specified operators. We introduced and investigated the properties of new subclasses of functions $f(z) \in A_{p}$ using this operator.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A_{p}$ signify the class of all analytic multivalent functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p},(p \in N:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}, z \in U) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $U:=\{z \in:|z|<1\}$. We denote by $S$ the subclass of univalent functions $f(z)$ in $A_{p}$. For $(0 \leq \beta<p)$, we denote

[^0]by $S_{p}^{*}(\beta)$ and $C_{p}(\beta)$ the subclasses of $A_{p}$ consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, starlike of order $\beta$ and convex of order $\beta$ in $U$.

For functions $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the convolution (or Hadamard product), denoted by $f * g$ of the functions $f$ and $g$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}=(g * f)(z) \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1965, Libera [18] had studied an operator called the Libera integral operator $L: A \rightarrow A$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z)=\frac{2}{z} \int_{0}^{z} f(t) d t=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n+1} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integral operator was one such operator which has attracted many researchers. Later Kumar and Shukla [17], Bhoosnurmath and Swamy [8] and Noor and Noor [20] have studied certain types of integral operators. For more details about the properties of integral operators, one can refer [4], [5], [9], [10], [16], [19], [26] and [29].

In this paper, we introduce the operator $L_{p}: A_{p} \rightarrow A_{p}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{p}(z) & =\frac{(p+1)^{\alpha}}{z^{p} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{z}\left(\log \frac{z^{p}}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) d t \\
& =z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

When $p=1$, equation (1.5) studied by [6], [7] and [16]. If $p=\alpha=1$ we get back to Libera integral operator.

Let $\Delta_{p}$ be defined as the function $\Delta_{p}(a, c ; z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p}(a, c ; z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} z^{n+p} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$, and $a \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, p \in N=1,2,3, \ldots$, where $(\lambda)_{n}$ is the Pochhammer symbol which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda)_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}=\lambda(\lambda+1) \ldots(\lambda+n-1), \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$, and $(\lambda)_{0}=1$. It should be noted that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p}(a, c ; z)=z^{p}{ }_{2} F_{1}(a, 1, c ; z), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F(a, 1, c ; z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(1)_{n}}{(c)_{n}(1)_{n}} z^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} z^{n} .
$$

Corresponding to the function $\Delta_{p}(a, c ; z)$, we define a new linear operator $\Omega_{p}(a, c) f(z)$ on $A_{p}$ by the convolution product for $\Delta_{p}(a, c ; z)$ and $L_{p}$ given in (1.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z) & =\left(\Delta_{p} * L_{p}\right) f(z) \\
& =z^{p}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for $c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$, and $a \in C \backslash\{0\}, p \in N, \alpha \in N=1,2,3, \ldots$.
Using the definition of hypergeometric functions, the Hadamard product principle, and the definitions of the classes of uniformly $k$-starlike function $S^{*}(\beta, k)$ and the class of uniformly $k$-convex $C(\beta, k)$ function which are introduced and investigated by Gooodman [15], [16] and Rønning [25], [26], in this paper we will define new subclasses of multivalent hypergeometric functions $f \in A_{p}$ and study their properties.

Let $f \in A_{p}$ denote the subclass of $A_{p}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\gamma z^{2}\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{(1-\gamma) \Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)+\gamma z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\beta\right\}  \tag{1.10}\\
& \quad>k\left|\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\gamma z^{2}\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{(1-\gamma) \Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)+\gamma z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right|, z \in U,
\end{align*}
$$

where $-1 \leq \beta<1,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \alpha \in N$ and $k \geq 0$.
By appropriately specializing the values of $\alpha, \gamma,(a)$ and $(c)$ the class given in (1.10) can be reduced to the class investigated by many researchers, see for example, [1], [2], [3], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [21], [22], [23], [25], [27] and [28].

The primary goal of this paper is to investigate the coefficient bounds, extreme points, and radius of starlikeness for functions in the generalized class (1.10).

## 2. Characterization and other related properties

Our first conclusion provides a sufficient condition for $f(z) \in A_{p}$ which are analytic in $U$ to be in $\Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$.
Theorem 2.1. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in $\Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$, if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[1+\gamma(n+p-1)][(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)]\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right| \\
\leq(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[p+p(p-1) \gamma-(1-\gamma+\gamma p)] \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that (2.1) is true for $-1 \leq \beta<1,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \alpha \in N$ and $k \geq 0$, in order to prove that $f \in \Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$. It suffices to show that (1.10) is bounded by $1-\beta$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k\left|\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c)(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\gamma z^{2}\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{(1-\gamma) \Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)+\gamma z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right| \\
& -\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\gamma z^{2}\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{(1-\gamma) \Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)+\gamma z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right\} \leq 1-\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k\left|\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\gamma z^{2}\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{(1-\gamma) \Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)+\gamma z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right| \\
& -\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\gamma z^{2}\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{(1-\gamma) \Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)+\gamma z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right\} \\
& \leq(1+k)\left|\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\gamma z^{2}\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{(1-\gamma) \Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)+\gamma z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right| \\
& \leq(1+k)\left(\frac{M+N}{Q}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=[(p+p(p-1) \gamma)-(1-\gamma+\gamma p)] \\
N=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[1+\gamma(n+p-1)](n+p-1)\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right) \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
Q=(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[1+\gamma(n+p-1)]\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right) \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right|
$$

The above mentioned expression is bound by $(1-\beta)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[1+\gamma(n+p-1)][(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)]\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right| \\
\leq(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-[p+p(p-1) \gamma-(1-\gamma+\gamma p)](k+1)
\end{gathered}
$$

and hence the proof is complete.
Corollary 2.2. If $f \in \Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+p} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(c)_{n}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M]}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \geq 1$, where $-1 \leq \beta<1,0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \alpha \in N$ and $k \geq 0$. The equality (2.1) holds for the function

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}(z) \\
& =z^{p}+\frac{(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(c)_{n}(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M]}{(p+1)^{\alpha}(1+\gamma(n+p-1))[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}} z^{n+p}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad(n \geq 1, z \in U) .
\end{align*}
$$

The following is the growth and distortion property for function $f$ in the class $\Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$.
Theorem 2.3. If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$, then for $0 \leq|z|=r<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{p}- & \frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M] r^{p+1}}{(1+\gamma p)(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]} \\
& \leq|f(z)| \\
& \leq r^{p}+\frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M] r^{p+1}}{(1+\gamma p)(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
p r^{p-1} & -\frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M] r^{p}}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha-1}[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]} \\
& \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \leq p r^{p-1}+\frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M] r^{p}}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha-1}[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$, Theorem 2.1 readily yields the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M]}{(p+1)^{\alpha}(1+\gamma p)[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]}, n \geq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, for $0 \leq|z|=r<1$ and using (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq\left|z^{p}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|z^{n+p}\right| \leq r^{p}+r^{p+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} \\
& \leq r^{p}+\frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M] r^{p+1}}{(1+\gamma p)(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \geq\left|z^{p}\right|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|z^{n+p}\right| \geq r^{p}-r^{p+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} \\
& \geq r^{p}-\frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M] r^{p+1}}{(1+\gamma p)(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also obtain the following from Theorem 2.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(z) & =p z^{p-1} \\
& +\frac{(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(n+p)(c)_{n}(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M]}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}} z^{n+p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p) a_{n+p} \leq \frac{(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M]}{(p+1)^{\alpha-1}(1+\gamma(n+p-1))[(1+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)]}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq\left|p z^{p-1}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p) a_{n+p}\left|z^{n+p-1}\right| \\
& \leq p r^{p-1}+r^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p) a_{n+p} \\
& \leq p r^{p-1}+\frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M] r^{p}}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha-1}[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| & \geq\left|p z^{p-1}\right|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p) a_{n+p}\left|z^{n+p-1}\right| \\
& \geq p r^{p-1}-r^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p) a_{n+p} \\
& \geq p r^{p-1}-\frac{(1-\beta)(p+2)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M] r^{p}}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha-1}[(k+1)(1+p)-(\beta+k)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is now complete.

The following theorems provide the radii of starlikeness and convexity for the class $\Omega_{p}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 2.4. If the function $f$ in (1.1) belongs to the class $\Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$, then $f$ is starlike of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<1)$ in the disc $|z|=r_{1}$, where

$$
r_{1}=\inf _{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{(2-p-\delta)(1+\gamma(n+p-1))[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)]}{(n+p-\delta)(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M]}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}
$$

For the function $f_{n}(z)$ provided by (2.3), the result is sharp.
Proof. Since $f(z)$ is starlike of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<1)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}\right\}>\delta .
$$

That is

$$
\left|\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}-1\right| \leq 1-\delta .
$$

Now, for $|z|=r_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}-1\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\frac{(p-1) z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p-1)\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} a_{n+p} z^{n+p}}{z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} a_{n+p} z^{n+p}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \frac{(p-1)|z|^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p-1)\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n+p}}{|z|^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n+p}} \\
& \leq \frac{(p-1)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p-1)\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n}}{1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (2.5) holds true if

$$
\begin{align*}
& (p-1)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+p-1)\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n} \\
& \leq(1-\delta)\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p-\delta)}{(2-p-\delta)}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n} \leq 1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of (2.2) and (2.7), it is indeed correct to say that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} & \frac{(n+p-\delta)}{(2-p-\delta)}\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}|z|^{n} \\
& \leq \frac{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}}{(1-\beta)(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(c)_{n}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M]} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving (2.8) for $|z|=r_{1}$, we obtain

$$
|z| \leq\left(\frac{(2-p-\delta)(1+\gamma(n+p-1))[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)]}{(n+p-\delta)(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M]}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, n \geq 1
$$

By observing that the function $f(z)$, given by (2.3), is indeed an extremal function for the assertion (2.1), Thus Theorem 2.4 is proved.

Theorem 2.5. If the function $f$ given by (1.1) is in the class $\Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$, then it is convex of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<1)$ in the disc $|z|=r_{2}$, where

$$
r_{2}=\inf _{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(2-p-\delta)[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)]}{(1-\beta)(n+p-\delta)(n+p)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M]}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} .
$$

For the function $f_{n}(z)$ provided by (2.3), the result is sharp.

Proof. Using the method used in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we can demonstrate that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{z\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z) f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\Omega_{p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{p(p-1)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)(n+p-1)(p+1)}{n+p+1} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n}}{p-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)(p+1)}{n+p+1} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left|a_{n+p}\right||z|^{n}} \\
& \quad \leq 1-\delta . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

We can show from (2.1) that (2.9) is true if

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} & \frac{(n+p-\delta)(n+p)(p+1)}{(2-p-\delta)(n+p+1)} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}|z|^{n} \\
& \leq \frac{(p+1)(1+\gamma(n+p-1))[(n+p)(k+1)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}}{(1-\beta)(c)_{n}(n+p+1)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M]} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

When we solve (2.10) for $|z|=r_{2}$, we obtain

$$
|z| \leq\left(\frac{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(2-p-\delta)[(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)]}{(1-\beta)(n+p-\delta)(n+p)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M]}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}
$$

Sharpness of the result follows by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}(z) \\
& \quad=z^{p}+\frac{(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(c)_{n}(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M]}{(p+1)^{\alpha}(1+\gamma(n+p-1))[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}} z^{n+p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$(n \geq 1, z \in U)$. This completes the proof.
The following result is a linear combination of several functions of the type (1.9).

Theorem 2.6. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(z)=z \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}(z) \\
& =z^{p}+\frac{(1-\beta)(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M](c)_{n}}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}} z^{n+p} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

then $f \in \Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if it is possible to express it in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1$.
Proof. Suppose $f(z)$ can be written as in (2.14). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z) \\
& =z^{p}+\frac{(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M](c)_{n} \lambda_{n}}{(p+1)^{\alpha}(1+\gamma(n+p-1))[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}} z^{n+p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} & \frac{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha}[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}}{(1-\beta)(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M](c)_{n}} \\
& \times \frac{((1-\beta) n+p+1)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M](c)_{n} \lambda_{n}}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha}[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}} \\
\quad= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1-\lambda_{1}<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that the function $f \in \Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$.
Conversely, let us assume that $f \in \Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$. Since

$$
a_{n+p} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(1+k)[M](c)_{n}}{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha}[(n+p)(1+k)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}}, n \geq 1
$$

Setting

$$
\lambda_{n}=\frac{(1+\gamma(n+p-1))(p+1)^{\alpha}[(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)](a)_{n}}{(1-\beta)(n+p+1)^{\alpha}(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-(k+1)[M](c)_{n}} a_{n+p}, n \geq 1
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{1}=1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} .
$$

It follows that $f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z)$. Thus, the theorem is proved.
Theorem 2.7. The class $\Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.

Proof. Assume that the functions $f_{1}(z)$ and $f_{2}(z)$ are defined by

$$
f_{j}(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p, j} z^{n+p}, \quad\left(a_{n+p, j} \geq 0, j=1,2 ; z \in U\right),
$$

which belongs to the class $\Omega_{p, \alpha}^{k}(a, c, \beta, \gamma)$. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\mu f_{1}(z)+(1-\mu) f_{2}(z), \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may deduce from (2.14) that

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left\{\mu a_{n, 1}+(1-\mu) a_{n, 2}\right\} z^{n}, \quad(0 \leq \mu \leq 1 ; z \in U) .
$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, we may conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[1+ & \gamma(n+p-1)][(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)] \\
& \times\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}}\left\{\mu a_{n, 1}+(1-\mu) a_{n, 2}\right\} \\
= & \mu \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[1+\gamma(n+p-1)][(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)] \\
& \times\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} a_{n, 1} \\
+ & (1-\mu) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[1+\gamma(n+p-1)][(k+1)(n+p)-(\beta+k)] \\
& \times\left(\frac{p+1}{n+p+1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} a_{n, 2} \\
\leq & \mu(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-[M](k+1) \\
& +(1-\mu)(1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-[M](k+1) \\
= & (1-\beta)(1-\gamma+\gamma p)-[M](k+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
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