

FUNCTIONS SUBORDINATE TO THE EXPONENTIAL FUNCTION

PRIYA G. KRISHNAN, VAITHIYANATHAN RAVICHANDRAN,
AND PONNAIAH SAIKRISHNAN

To the memory of Professor Subhash Chander Arora

ABSTRACT. We use the theory of differential subordination to explore various inequalities that are satisfied by an analytic function p defined on the unit disc so that the function p is subordinate to the function e^z . These results are applied to find sufficient conditions for the normalised analytic functions f defined on the unit disc to satisfy the subordination $zf'(z)/f(z) \prec e^z$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ denote the class of all analytic functions f , of the form $f(z) = a + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, defined on the unit disc $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. With $\mathcal{H}_1 := \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$, we write $\mathcal{A} := \{zf : f \in \mathcal{H}_1\}$. The subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions univalent in \mathbb{D} is denoted by \mathcal{S} . Geometric function theory, as the name suggests, is the study of functions in the class \mathcal{A} that has specific geometric properties. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is starlike if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike with respect to the origin or equivalently if $\operatorname{Re}(zf'(z)/f(z)) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Similarly, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is convex if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex or equivalently if $\operatorname{Re}(1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The class of all starlike functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is denoted by \mathcal{S}^* and that of all convex functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is denoted by \mathcal{K} . Another interesting subclass of functions that has geometric significance is the class of close-to-convex functions. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close-to-convex if there exists a convex function g such that $\operatorname{Re}(f'(z)/g'(z)) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Every close-to-convex function maps the unit disc \mathbb{D} onto a domain whose complement is union of a family of non-intersecting half-lines and is univalent. Geometrically, it is obvious that $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}^*$. Analytically, this

Received March 29, 2022; Accepted June 15, 2022.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C80, 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Univalent functions, starlike functions, convex functions, close-to-convex functions, exponential function, differential subordination.

The first author is supported by Junior Research Fellowship from University Grants Commission, New Delhi.

is equivalent to the implication $\operatorname{Re}(p(z) + zp'(z)/p(z)) > 0 \implies \operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ where $p(z) := zf'(z)/f(z)$. The study of such implications leads to the theory of differential subordination.

For two functions f and g in $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$, we say that the function f is subordinate to the function g , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$), if there exists a function $w \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $f = g \circ w$, where \mathcal{B} is the class of all analytic functions $w : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $w(0) = 0$. The functions in class \mathcal{B} are precisely the functions that satisfy the familiar Schwarz lemma. If the function g is univalent, then it follows that $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$. Let p be an analytic function defined in the unit disc \mathbb{D} and let the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The theory of differential subordination deals with the following implication:

$$(1.1) \quad \{\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) : z \in \mathbb{D}\} \subset \Omega \Rightarrow \{p(z) : z \in \mathbb{D}\} \subset \Delta,$$

where Ω and Δ are given domains in \mathbb{C} . If Ω and Δ are simply connected domains that are not the whole complex plane, then the Riemann mapping theorem guarantees the existence of univalent functions h and q defined on the unit disc \mathbb{D} that maps \mathbb{D} respectively onto Ω and Δ such that $h(0) = \psi(p(0), 0, 0; 0)$ and $q(0) = p(0)$. If $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z)$ is analytic, the implication (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \prec h(z) \Rightarrow p(z) \prec q(z).$$

The subordination $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \prec h(z)$ is known as second order differential subordination. An analytic function p is called a solution of the differential subordination

$$(1.2) \quad \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \prec h(z)$$

if p satisfies the second order differential subordination (1.2). A univalent function q is said to be a dominant of all solutions of the differential subordination (1.2) if the subordination $p \prec q$ holds for all p satisfying (1.2). A function q^* is the best dominant of (1.2) if q^* is a dominant of (1.2) and $q^* \prec q$ for all dominants q of (1.2). A function q will be the best dominant of (1.2) if q is both a dominant and a solution of (1.2). We recall some basic definitions and theorems that serve as a foundation for the theory of differential subordination.

Definition 1.1 ([10]). The class of all functions q that are analytic and univalent on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus E(q)$, where $E(q)$ consists of all points $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ for which $q(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow \zeta$, is denoted by \mathcal{Q} , and is called the class of all functions with nice boundary.

Definition 1.2 ([10]). Let Ω be a subset of \mathbb{C} and the function $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. The class of admissible functions, denoted by $\Psi_n(\Omega, q)$, consists of all functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition $\psi(r, s, t; z) \notin \Omega$ when $r = q(\zeta)$, $s = m\zeta q'(\zeta)$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{t}{s} + 1 \right) \geq m \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1 \right),$$

where $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D} \setminus E(q)$ and m is a real number such that $m \geq n$. Also $\Psi(\Omega, q) := \Psi_1(\Omega, q)$.

Theorem 1.3 ([10]). *Let the function ψ belong to $\Psi_n(\Omega, q)$ and let $q(0) = a$. If the function $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ satisfies the condition*

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \in \Omega$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the function p is subordinate to the function q .

Naz et al. [11] has investigated the properties of the class $\Psi(\Omega, q)$ where $q(z) = e^z$ and has derived the admissibility condition for the admissibility class $\Psi(\Omega, e^z)$. In this case, Definition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 reduce to Definition 1.4 and Theorem 1.5, respectively.

Definition 1.4 ([11]). Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C} . Then $\Psi(\Omega, e^z)$ is defined to be the class of admissible functions consisting of all functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition $\psi(r, s, t; z) \notin \Omega$ whenever $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos\theta)$ where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 1.5 ([11]). *Let the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$. If the function $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$, then*

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \in \Omega$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, implies $p(z) \prec e^z$.

Applying Theorem 1.5, this paper focuses on finding various differential inequalities which when satisfied by functions in the class \mathcal{H}_1 imply that the functions are subordinate to e^z . Miller and Mocanu [10] and other authors in [1–4, 6–9, 12–14] and [15] have studied subordination and investigated several inequalities that serve as sufficient conditions for functions to be bounded, starlike, convex etc. For a function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$, Naz et al. [11] had found the upper bound for the functions

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) + (1 + 2e)zp'(z), (1 + (1 + \sqrt{2})ezp'(z))^2 - 1, zp'(z), 2zp'(z) + z^2p''(z), \\ (p(z))^2 - p(z) + (1 + e)zp'(z), \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \text{ and } \frac{zp'(z)}{(p(z))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $p(z) \prec e^z$. In this paper, for $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and under certain conditions on $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, n_1, n_2$ and n_3 , we determine the upper bound of functions like

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha p(z) + \beta zp'(z) + \gamma z^2p''(z), \alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + \gamma \frac{z^2p''(z)}{p(z)}, \\ (p(z))^{n_1}(zp'(z))^{n_2} \left(\alpha + \beta \frac{zp''(z)}{p'(z)} \right) \text{ and } (p(z))^{n_1} + \beta \frac{(zp'(z))^{n_2}}{(p(z))^{n_3}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the function p is subordinate to e^z . Some results in [11] have been generalised in this paper. The results in this paper can be applied to functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which will then give sufficient conditions for the function f to belong

to \mathcal{S}_e^* , the class of starlike functions associated with the exponential function; the class \mathcal{S}_e^* , introduced and studied by Mendiratta et al. [5], consists of all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying the subordination $zf'(z)/f(z) \prec e^z$. A function $f \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$ satisfies the inequality $|\log(zf'(z)/f(z))| < 1$ for z in the unit disc \mathbb{D} . The sufficient conditions will follow if we take $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$ and so the details are omitted.

2. Main results

Naz et al. [11] proved that if the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$, then

$$|2zp'(z) + z^2p''(z)| < e^{-1}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ implies that $p(z) \prec e^z$. Our next theorem gives a generalisation of the result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let α and β be complex numbers and γ be a non-negative real number such that $|\alpha| < (\operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma)/(e^2 + e)$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the inequality*

(2.1) $|ap(z) + \beta zp'(z) + \gamma z^2p''(z)| < e^{-1}(\operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma) - |\alpha|e \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$
then the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Proof. Define the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := \alpha r + \beta s + \gamma t$$

and let the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-1}(\operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma) - |\alpha|e\}.$$

By (2.1), we have $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The theorem is proved by verifying the admissibility condition given in Definition 1.4, or in other words, by showing that $e^{-1}(\operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma) - |\alpha|e$ is a lower bound for the term $|\alpha r + \beta s + \gamma t|$, where $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The following inequalities will be useful in the sequel:

$$e^{-n} \leq |s^n| \leq |r^n| \leq e^n \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \geq -1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

The inequality $|r^n| \leq e^n$ follows since

$$|r^n| = \left|e^{ne^{i\theta}}\right| = e^{n \cos \theta} \leq e^n.$$

The inequality $|s^n| \geq |r^n| \geq e^{-n}$ follows as $m \geq 1$, $|e^{in\theta}| = 1$ and

$$|s^n| = |(me^{i\theta}r)^n| = |m^n||e^{in\theta}||r^n| \geq |r^n| = e^{n \cos \theta} \geq e^{-n}.$$

The inequality $\operatorname{Re}(t/s) \geq -1$ follows at once from the inequality $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$.

For $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(r, s, t; z)| &= |\alpha r + \beta s + \gamma t| \\ &\geq |\beta s + \gamma t| - |\alpha r| \\ &= |s| \left| \beta + \gamma \frac{t}{s} \right| - |\alpha r| \\ &\geq e^{-1} \left| \beta + \gamma \frac{t}{s} \right| - |\alpha r| \\ &\geq e^{-1} \left(\operatorname{Re} \beta + \gamma \operatorname{Re} \frac{t}{s} \right) - |\alpha r| \\ &\geq e^{-1} (\operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma) - |\alpha|e. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is proved that the function ψ belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega, e^z)$. The result hence follows from Theorem 1.5. \square

Remark 2.2. For $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 2$ and $\gamma = 1$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to [11, Ex 2.6].

Theorem 2.3. *Let α and β be complex numbers and γ be a non-negative real number such that $|\alpha| < (\operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma)/(1 + e)$. If the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the inequality*

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + \gamma \frac{z^2 p''(z)}{p(z)} \right| < \operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma - |\alpha|e \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Proof. Define the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := \alpha r + \beta s/r + \gamma t/r$$

and the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < \operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma - |\alpha|e\}.$$

For $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha r + \beta \frac{s}{r} + \gamma \frac{t}{r} \right| &\geq \left| \beta \frac{s}{r} + \gamma \frac{t}{r} \right| - |\alpha r| \\ &= \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| \left| \beta + \gamma \frac{t}{s} \right| - |\alpha r|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|s/r| = |me^{i\theta}| = m \geq 1$, it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha r + \beta \frac{s}{r} + \gamma \frac{t}{r} \right| &\geq \left| \beta + \gamma \frac{t}{s} \right| - |\alpha r| \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re} \beta + \gamma \operatorname{Re} \frac{t}{s} - |\alpha r| \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma - |\alpha|e, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the function $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$. The inequality (2.2) shows that $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. By Theorem 1.5, the result follows. \square

For $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 2.3 reduces to the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let β be a complex number and γ be a non-negative real number with $\operatorname{Re} \beta > \gamma$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\left| \frac{\beta zp'(z) + \gamma z^2 p''(z)}{p(z)} \right| < \operatorname{Re} \beta - \gamma \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Theorem 2.5. *Let n_1 be a non-negative integer, n_2 be a natural number, α be a complex number and β be a non-negative real number such that $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \beta$. If the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the condition*

$$(2.3) \quad \left| (p(z))^{n_1} (zp'(z))^{n_2} \left(\alpha + \beta \frac{zp''(z)}{p'(z)} \right) \right| < e^{-(n_1+n_2)} (\operatorname{Re} \alpha - \beta) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Proof. The theorem is proved by showing that the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := r^{n_1} s^{n_2} (\alpha + \beta t/s)$$

belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega, e^z)$, where

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-(n_1+n_2)} (\operatorname{Re} \alpha - \beta)\}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r^{n_1} s^{n_2} \left(\alpha + \beta \frac{t}{s} \right) \right| &= |r^{n_1}| |s^{n_2}| \left| \alpha + \beta \frac{t}{s} \right| \\ &\geq e^{-(n_1+n_2)} \left| \alpha + \beta \frac{t}{s} \right| \\ &\geq e^{-(n_1+n_2)} \left(\operatorname{Re} \alpha + \beta \operatorname{Re} \frac{t}{s} \right) \\ &\geq e^{-(n_1+n_2)} (\operatorname{Re} \alpha - \beta) \end{aligned}$$

for $r = e^{i\theta}$, $s = m e^{i\theta} e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s+1) \geq m(1+\cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus the function $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$. By (2.3), we have $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and so the result follows from Theorem 1.5. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let α and β be positive real numbers and let n be a non-negative integer. Then each of the following inequality, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, is sufficient for $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ to be subordinate to e^z :*

$$(1) \quad |p(z) + \beta z^3 p'(z) p''(z)/p(z)| + \alpha |zp'(z)/p(z)| < \alpha - e^{-1}(\beta + 1), \quad (e\alpha - \beta > 1 + e);$$

- (2) $\operatorname{Re}((p(z))^n + \beta z p''(z)/p'(z)) + \alpha |zp'(z)/p(z)| < \alpha - \beta - e^n$, $(\alpha - \beta > 1 + e^n)$;
- (3) $|p(z) + \beta z^3 p'(z)p''(z)/p(z)| + \alpha |zp'(z)| < e^{-1}(\alpha - \beta - 1)$, $(\alpha - \beta > 1 + e)$;
- (4) $\operatorname{Re}((p(z))^n + \beta z p''(z)/p'(z)) + \alpha |zp'(z)| < \alpha e^{-1} - \beta - e^n$, $(\alpha e^{-1} - \beta > 1 + e^n)$.

Proof. We prove the theorem by verifying the admissibility condition given in Definition 1.4.

(1) Put $\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [\alpha - e^{-1}(\beta + 1), \infty)$. We prove the theorem by showing that the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := |r + \beta st/r| + \alpha |s/r|$$

belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega, e^z)$. For $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r + \beta \frac{st}{r} \right| + \alpha \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| &= |r| \left| 1 + \beta \frac{st}{r^2} \right| + \alpha \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| \\ &= |r| \left| 1 + \beta \frac{me^{i\theta}t}{r} \right| + \alpha \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| \\ &\geq e^{-1} \left(\beta \left| \frac{t}{r} \right| - 1 \right) + \alpha |me^{i\theta}| \\ &\geq e^{-1} \left(\beta \left| \frac{t}{r} \right| - 1 \right) + \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|t/r| = |t/s| |s/r|$ and $|t/s| \geq \operatorname{Re}(t/s)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r + \beta \frac{st}{r} \right| + \alpha \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| &\geq e^{-1} \left(\beta \left| \frac{t}{s} \right| \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| - 1 \right) + \alpha \\ &\geq e^{-1} \left(\beta \operatorname{Re} \frac{t}{s} - 1 \right) + \alpha \\ &\geq e^{-1}(-\beta - 1) + \alpha \\ &= \alpha - e^{-1}(\beta + 1), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$. Since $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the result follows by Theorem 1.5.

(2) Define the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ by $\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [\alpha - \beta - e^n, \infty)$ and the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := \operatorname{Re}(r^n + \beta t/s) + \alpha |s/r|$$

so that $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Using $\operatorname{Re}(r^n) \geq -|r^n| \geq -e^n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(r^n + \beta \frac{t}{s} \right) + \alpha \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| &= \operatorname{Re} r^n + \beta \operatorname{Re} \frac{t}{s} + \alpha |me^{i\theta}| \\ &\geq -e^n - \beta + \alpha = \alpha - \beta - e^n \end{aligned}$$

whenever $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus $p(z) \prec e^z$ by Theorem 1.5.

(3) Define the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := |r + \beta st/r| + \alpha |s|$$

and the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ by $\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [e^{-1}(\alpha - \beta - 1), \infty)$. Then, for $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r + \beta \frac{st}{r} \right| + \alpha |s| &= |r| \left| 1 + \beta \frac{st}{r^2} \right| + \alpha |s| \\ &\geq e^{-1} \left| 1 + \beta \frac{me^{i\theta}t}{r} \right| + \alpha |s| \\ &\geq e^{-1} \left(\beta \left| \frac{t}{r} \right| - 1 \right) + \alpha |s| \\ &\geq e^{-1}(-\beta - 1) + \alpha e^{-1} \\ &= e^{-1}(\alpha - \beta - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the function $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$. Since

$$|p(z) + \beta z^3 p'(z) p''(z)/p(z)| + \alpha |zp'(z)| < e^{-1}(\alpha - \beta - 1)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for z in the unit disc \mathbb{D} . Hence $p(z) \prec e^z$ by Theorem 1.5.

(4) In this case, the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := \operatorname{Re}(r^n + \beta t/s) + \alpha |s|.$$

If we let $\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [\alpha e^{-1} - \beta - e^n, \infty)$, then by proceeding as in the proof of (2), we get

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(r^n + \beta \frac{t}{s}\right) + \alpha |s| \geq \alpha e^{-1} - \beta - e^n$$

whenever $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The result follows by Theorem 1.5 since $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. \square

The next two theorems are generalisations of the following result in [11]: If $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the inequality

$$|p(z) + (1 + 2e)zp'(z)| < 2$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Theorem 2.7. *Let n_1 be a non-negative integer, n_2 be a natural number, n_3 be an integer and β be a complex number.*

- (1) If $n_2 - n_1 - n_3 \geq 0$ and $|\beta| > e^{(n_2-n_3)}(1 + e^{-n_1})$ and $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| (p(z))^{n_1} + \beta \frac{(zp'(z))^{n_2}}{(p(z))^{n_3}} \right| < |\beta| e^{(n_3-n_2)} - e^{-n_1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then the function p is subordinate to e^z ;

- (2) If $n_2 - n_1 - n_3 \leq 0$ and $|\beta| > e^{(n_1+n_3-n_2)}(e^{n_1} + 1)$ and $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| (p(z))^{n_1} + \beta \frac{(zp'(z))^{n_2}}{(p(z))^{n_3}} \right| < |\beta| e^{(n_2-n_3-2n_1)} - e^{-n_1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Proof. Consider the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := r^{n_1} + \beta s^{n_2}/r^{n_3}.$$

Observe that for $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = m e^{i\theta} e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r^{n_1} + \beta \frac{s^{n_2}}{r^{n_3}} \right| &= |r^{n_1}| \left| 1 + \beta m^{n_2} e^{in_2\theta} r^{(n_2-n_3-n_1)} \right| \\ &= e^{n_1 \cos \theta} \left| 1 + \beta m^{n_2} e^{in_2\theta} r^{(n_2-n_3-n_1)} \right| \\ &\geq e^{-n_1} \left(|\beta| \left| r^{(n_2-n_1-n_3)} \right| - 1 \right) \\ &= e^{-n_1} \left(|\beta| e^{(n_2-n_1-n_3) \cos \theta} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

- (1) If $n_2 - n_1 - n_3 \geq 0$, then

$$(n_2 - n_1 - n_3) \cos \theta \geq -(n_2 - n_1 - n_3) = n_1 + n_3 - n_2$$

and hence

$$e^{(n_2-n_1-n_3) \cos \theta} \geq e^{(n_1+n_3-n_2)}.$$

Therefore

$$\left| r^{n_1} + \beta \frac{s^{n_2}}{r^{n_3}} \right| \geq e^{-n_1} \left(|\beta| e^{(n_1+n_3-n_2)} - 1 \right) = |\beta| e^{(n_3-n_2)} - e^{-n_1}.$$

If we define the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < |\beta| e^{(n_3-n_2)} - e^{-n_1}\}$$

for $|\beta| > e^{(n_2-n_3)}(1 + e^{-n_1})$, then the above calculations show that $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$. The result follows by Theorem 1.5 as

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \in \Omega$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

- (2) In this case, $n_2 - n_1 - n_3 \leq 0$. Then

$$(n_2 - n_1 - n_3) \cos \theta \geq (n_2 - n_1 - n_3)$$

and

$$e^{(n_2-n_1-n_3)\cos\theta} \geq e^{(n_2-n_1-n_3)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r^{n_1} + \beta \frac{s^{n_2}}{r^{n_3}} \right| &\geq e^{-n_1} \left(|\beta| e^{(n_2-n_1-n_3)} - 1 \right) \\ &= |\beta| e^{(n_2-n_3-2n_1)} - e^{-n_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ in this case by

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < |\beta| e^{(n_2-n_3-2n_1)} - e^{-n_1}\}$$

for $|\beta| > e^{(n_1+n_3-n_2)}(e^{n_1} + 1)$. Then $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$ and

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \in \Omega$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence $p(z) \prec e^z$. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let α and β be complex numbers with $|\beta| > |\alpha| + |1 - \alpha|e + e$. If the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the inequality*

$$|(1 - \alpha)(p(z))^2 + \alpha p(z) + \beta zp'(z)| < e^{-1}(|\beta| - |\alpha| - |1 - \alpha|e) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Proof. Consider the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := (1 - \alpha)r^2 + \alpha r + \beta s.$$

Then, for $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos\theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |(1 - \alpha)r^2 + \alpha r + \beta s| &= |r| |(1 - \alpha)r + \alpha + \beta me^{i\theta}| \\ &\geq e^{-1} |(1 - \alpha)r + \alpha + \beta me^{i\theta}| \\ &\geq e^{-1} (|\beta| - |\alpha + (1 - \alpha)r|) \\ &\geq e^{-1} (|\beta| - |\alpha| - |1 - \alpha||r|) \\ &\geq e^{-1} (|\beta| - |\alpha| - |1 - \alpha|e). \end{aligned}$$

Now define the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-1}(|\beta| - |\alpha| - |1 - \alpha|e)\}$$

so that the above inequality and the hypothesis of the theorem respectively shows that $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$ and $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The result then follows by Theorem 1.5. \square

Remark 2.9. The result in [11, Ex 2.2] is obtained either by substituting $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = 2$, $n_3 = 0$ and $\beta = 1 + 2e$ in Theorem 2.7 or by substituting $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1 + 2e$ in Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.10. *Let n_1 be a non-negative integer, n_2 be a natural number and α and β be complex numbers. If the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies any of the following conditions, then the function p is subordinate to e^z :*

- (1) $|zp'(z)|^{n_2}/(\alpha - (p(z))^{n_1}) < e^{-n_2}/(|\alpha| + e^{n_1})$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$);
- (2) $|zp'(z)|^{n_2}/(\alpha(1 + (p(z))^{n_1})) < e^{-n_2}/(|\alpha|(1 + e^{n_1}))$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$);
- (3) $|(p(z))^{n_1} + (\alpha z p'(z))^{n_2}|/(1 + \beta(p(z))^{n_1}) < |\alpha|e^{-n_2}/(1 + |\beta|e^{n_1}) - e^{n_1}$,
 $(|\alpha| > e^{n_2}(1 + e^{n_1})(1 + |\beta|e^{n_1})),$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$).

Proof. (1) Let us consider the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := s^{n_2}/(\alpha - r^{n_1})$$

and the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-n_2}/(|\alpha| + e^{n_1})\}.$$

Then it can easily be seen that

$$|\alpha - r^{n_1}| \leq |\alpha| + |r^{n_1}| \leq |\alpha| + e^{n_1}$$

and thus

$$\left| \frac{s^{n_2}}{\alpha - r^{n_1}} \right| \geq \frac{e^{-n_2}}{|\alpha| + e^{n_1}}$$

whenever $r = e^{i\theta}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus the result follows by Theorem 1.5.

(2) Here the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := s^{n_2}/(\alpha(1 + r^{n_1})).$$

As in the proof of (1), it can be shown that the function $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$ and $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-n_2}/(|\alpha|(1 + e^{n_1}))\}.$$

(3) Since $|1 + \beta r^{n_1}| \leq 1 + |\beta|e^{n_1}$, $|r^{n_1}| \leq e^{n_1}$ and $|s^{n_2}| \geq e^{-n_2}$, we get

$$\left| r^{n_1} + \frac{\alpha s^{n_2}}{1 + \beta r^{n_1}} \right| \geq \frac{|\alpha||s^{n_2}|}{1 + |\beta|e^{n_1}} - |r^{n_1}| \geq \frac{|\alpha|e^{-n_2}}{1 + |\beta|e^{n_1}} - e^{n_1}$$

whenever $r = e^{i\theta}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore by defining $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := r^{n_1} + \alpha s^{n_2}/(1 + \beta r^{n_1})$$

and the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < |\alpha|e^{-n_2}/(1 + |\beta|e^{n_1}) - e^{n_1}\},$$

the required result is obtained by applying Theorem 1.5. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let the function p belongs to the class \mathcal{H}_1 , n_1 be a non-negative integer, n_2 be a natural number and α be a complex number. Then the following holds:*

(1) *If $|\alpha| < e^{-n_1}$, then*

$$|(p(z))^{n_1} - \alpha)(zp'(z))^{n_2}| < e^{-n_2}(e^{-n_1} - |\alpha|) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

implies the function p is subordinate to e^z .

(2) If $|\alpha| > e^{n_1}$, then

$$|(p(z))^{n_1} - \alpha)(zp'(z))^{n_2}| < e^{-n_2}(|\alpha| - e^{n_1}) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

implies the function p is subordinate to e^z .

(3) If $|\alpha| < e^{-n_1}$, then

$$\left| ((p(z))^{n_1} - \alpha) \left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right)^{n_2} \right| < e^{-n_1} - |\alpha| \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

implies the function p is subordinate to e^z .

(4) If $|\alpha| > e^{n_1}$, then

$$\left| ((p(z))^{n_1} - \alpha) \left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right)^{n_2} \right| < |\alpha| - e^{n_1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

implies the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of the earlier theorems. We will see the choice of the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ in each cases separately.

- (1) $\psi(r, s, t; z) := (r^{n_1} - \alpha)s^{n_2}$ and $\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-n_2}(e^{-n_1} - |\alpha|)\}$.
- (2) $\psi(r, s, t; z) := (r^{n_1} - \alpha)s^{n_2}$ and $\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-n_2}(|\alpha| - e^{n_1})\}$.
- (3) $\psi(r, s, t; z) := (r^{n_1} - \alpha)(s/r)^{n_2}$ and $\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-n_1} - |\alpha|\}$.
- (4) $\psi(r, s, t; z) := (r^{n_1} - \alpha)(s/r)^{n_2}$ and $\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < |\alpha| - e^{n_1}\}$. \square

Theorem 2.12. Let n be a natural number and β be a complex number. Then for the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$, any of the following conditions, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, are sufficient for the function p to be subordinate to e^z :

- (1) $|zp'(z)|^n / (|\beta|(p(z) - 1) + 1) < e^{-n} / (|\beta|(1 + e) + 1)$;
- (2) $|zp'(z)|^n / (|\beta|(p(z) - 1) + 1)^2 < e^{-n} / (\beta^2(e^2 + 2e + 1) + 2\beta(e - 1) + 1)$,
(β is a positive real number);
- (3) $|zp'(z)/p(z)|^n / (|\beta|(p(z) - 1) + 1) < 1 / (|\beta|(1 + e) + 1)$;
- (4) $|zp'(z)/p(z)|^n / (|\beta|(p(z) - 1) + 1)^2 < 1 / (\beta^2(e^2 + 2e + 1) + 2\beta(e - 1) + 1)$,
(β is a positive real number).

Proof. For $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta(r - 1) + 1| &\leq |\beta|r - 1 + 1 \leq |\beta|r + |\beta| + 1 \\ &\leq |\beta|e + |\beta| + 1 = |\beta|(1 + e) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

If β is a positive real number, then, for $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, using $\operatorname{Re}(r^n) \leq |r^n| \leq e^n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta(r - 1) + 1|^2 &= (\beta(r - 1) + 1)(\beta(\bar{r} - 1) + 1) \\ &= \beta^2(r - 1)(\bar{r} - 1) + \beta(r - 1) + \beta(\bar{r} - 1) + 1 \\ &= \beta^2(|r|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} r + 1) + 2\beta \operatorname{Re}(r - 1) + 1 \\ &\leq \beta^2(e^2 + 2e + 1) + 2\beta(e - 1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Also if $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$ and $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, then $|s^n| \geq e^{-n}$ and $|(s/r)^n| \geq 1$. Hence all the statements in the theorem is proved by defining different functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$(1) \quad \psi(r, s, t; z) := s^n / (\beta(r - 1) + 1) \text{ and}$$

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-n}/(|\beta|(1 + e) + 1)\}.$$

$$(2) \quad \psi(r, s, t; z) := s^n / (\beta(r - 1) + 1)^2 \text{ and}$$

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < e^{-n}/(\beta^2(e^2 + 2e + 1) + 2\beta(e - 1) + 1)\}.$$

$$(3) \quad \psi(r, s, t; z) := (s/r)^n / (\beta(r - 1) + 1) \text{ and}$$

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1/(|\beta|(1 + e) + 1)\}.$$

$$(4) \quad \psi(r, s, t; z) := (s/r)^n / (\beta(r - 1) + 1)^2 \text{ and}$$

$$\Omega := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1/(\beta^2(e^2 + 2e + 1) + 2\beta(e - 1) + 1)\}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.13. Let the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Let α be a complex number, β be a positive real number and γ be a non-negative real number. Then any of the following conditions, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, are sufficient for the function p to be subordinate to the function e^z :

- (1) $|\alpha p(z) + \gamma z^2 p''(z)/p(z)| + \beta |zp'(z)/p(z)| < \beta - \gamma - |\alpha|e,$
 $(|\alpha| < (\beta - \gamma)/(1 + e));$
- (2) $|\alpha p(z) + \gamma z^2 p''(z)/p(z)| + \beta |zp'(z)| < \beta e^{-1} - \gamma - |\alpha|e,$
 $(|\alpha| < (\beta e^{-1} - \gamma)/(1 + e)).$

Proof. (1) To prove this part of the theorem we define the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ by $\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [\beta - \gamma - |\alpha|e, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha r + \gamma \frac{t}{r} \right| + \beta \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| &\geq \left| \gamma \frac{t}{r} \right| - |\alpha r| + \beta \\ &= \gamma \left| \frac{t}{s} \right| \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| - |\alpha r| + \beta \\ &\geq -\gamma - |\alpha r| + \beta \\ &\geq \beta - \gamma - |\alpha|e, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence, if $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := |\alpha r + \gamma t/r| + \beta |s/r|,$$

then we get $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$ and $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ which proves that $p(z) \prec e^z$.

(2) The proof of this part of the theorem is similar to the proof of (1). Here the function ψ is defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := |\alpha r + \gamma t/r| + \beta |s|$$

and the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [\beta e^{-1} - \gamma - |\alpha|e, \infty). \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.14. *Let the function $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Let n be a natural number and α , β and γ be real numbers such that $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. Then, following are some of the sufficient conditions for the function p to be subordinate to the function e^z for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$:*

- (1) $\alpha|(p(z))^n| + \beta|zp'(z)|^n < (\alpha + \beta)e^{-n}$, ($\beta > \alpha(e^n - 1)$);
- (2) $\alpha|p(z)| + \beta|zp'(z)| + \gamma|z^2p''(z)| < e^{-1}(\alpha + \beta - \gamma)$, ($\alpha < (\beta - \gamma)/(e - 1)$);
- (3) $\alpha|(p(z))^n| + \beta|zp'(z)/p(z)| + \gamma|z^2p''(z)/p(z)| < \alpha e^{-n} + \beta - \gamma$,
($\alpha < (\beta - \gamma)/(1 - e^{-n})$);
- (4) $\alpha|(p(z))^n| + \beta|zp'(z)/p(z)| + \gamma|zp''(z)/p'(z)| < \alpha e^{-n} + \beta - \gamma$,
($\alpha < (\beta - \gamma)(1 - e^{-n})$).

Proof. (1) For $r = e^{i\theta}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{i\theta}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, observe that

$$\alpha|r^n| + \beta|s^n| \geq \alpha e^{-n} + \beta e^{-n} = (\alpha + \beta)e^{-n}.$$

Therefore, by defining the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := \alpha|r^n| + \beta|s^n|$$

and the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [(\alpha + \beta)e^{-n}, \infty),$$

the result follows.

- (2) Consider the expression $\alpha|r| + \beta|s| + \gamma|t|$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha|r| + \beta|s| + \gamma|t| &\geq \alpha e^{-1} + |s| \left(\beta + \gamma \left| \frac{t}{s} \right| \right) \\ &\geq \alpha e^{-1} + e^{-1}(\beta - \gamma) \\ &= e^{-1}(\alpha + \beta - \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

if $r = e^{i\theta}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{i\theta}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In this case, the set Ω is defined by

$$\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [e^{-1}(\alpha + \beta - \gamma), \infty).$$

Defining the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := \alpha|r| + \beta|s| + \gamma|t|$$

gives the required result.

- (3) Since $|r^n| \geq e^{-n}$, $|s/r| \geq 1$ and $|t/r| = |s/r||t/s|$, whenever $r = e^{i\theta}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{i\theta}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s + 1) \geq m(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha|r^n| + \beta \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| + \gamma \left| \frac{t}{r} \right| &\geq \alpha e^{-n} + \left| \frac{s}{r} \right| \left(\beta + \gamma \left| \frac{t}{s} \right| \right) \\ &\geq \alpha e^{-n} + \beta - \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by thus considering the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := \alpha|r^n| + \beta|s/r| + \gamma|t/r|$$

and the set Ω defined by

$$\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [\alpha e^{-n} + \beta - \gamma, \infty).$$

(4) For $r = e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $s = me^{i\theta}e^{e^{i\theta}}$, $\operatorname{Re}(t/s+1) \geq m(1+\cos\theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $m \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, since $|r^n| \geq e^{-n}$, $|s/r| \geq 1$ and $|t/s| \geq \operatorname{Re}(t/s) \geq -1$, it can be shown by a simple calculation that $\psi \in \Psi(\Omega, e^z)$, where $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) := \alpha|r^n| + \beta|s/r| + \gamma|t/s|$$

and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus [\alpha e^{-n} + \beta - \gamma, \infty).$$

The result thus follows from Theorem 1.5. \square

Remark 2.15. For $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $n = 1$, Theorem 2.14(1) reduces to the following result in [11, Ex 2.4]: If $p \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the inequality

$$|zp'(z)| < e^{-1}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the function p is subordinate to e^z .

Remark 2.16. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, by substituting

$$p(z) := \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$

in all the theorems proved in this paper, we get the sufficient conditions for the function f to belong to the class \mathcal{S}_e^* .

References

- [1] O. P. Ahuja, S. Kumar, and V. Ravichandran, *Applications of first order differential subordination for functions with positive real part*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **63** (2018), no. 3, 303–311. <https://doi.org/10.24193/subbmath.2018.3.02>
- [2] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [3] S. Gandhi, S. Kumar, and V. Ravichandran, *First order differential subordinations for Carathéodory functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **58** (2018), no. 2, 257–270. <https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2018.58.2.257>
- [4] S. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, *Subordinations for functions with positive real part*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **12** (2018), no. 5, 1179–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-017-0690-4>
- [5] R. Mendiratta, S. Nagpal, and V. Ravichandran, *On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **38** (2015), no. 1, 365–386. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-014-0026-8>
- [6] S. S. Miller, *A class of differential inequalities implying boundedness*, Illinois J. Math. **20** (1976), no. 4, 647–649. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ijm/1256049655>
- [7] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Second-order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **65** (1978), no. 2, 289–305. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(78\)90181-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(78)90181-6)

- [8] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations and univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), no. 2, 157–172. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.mmj/1029002507>
- [9] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Differential Equations **67** (1987), no. 2, 199–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(87\)90146-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(87)90146-X)
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [11] A. Naz, S. Nagpal, and V. Ravichandran, *Star-likeness associated with the exponential function*, Turkish J. Math. **43** (2019), no. 3, 1353–1371. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1902-34>
- [12] M. Obradović, S. B. Joshi, and I. Jovanović, *On certain sufficient conditions for star-likeness and convexity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1998), no. 3, 271–275.
- [13] K. Sharma and V. Ravichandran, *Applications of subordination theory to starlike functions*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **42** (2016), no. 3, 761–777.
- [14] S. Singh and S. Gupta, *First order differential subordinations and starlikeness of analytic maps in the unit disc*, Kyungpook Math. J. **45** (2005), no. 3, 395–404.
- [15] D. Yang, S. Owa, and K. Ochiai, *Sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions*, Comput. Math. Appl. **51** (2006), no. 3–4, 467–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2005.10.008>

PRIYA G. KRISHNAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI—620015, INDIA
Email address: reachpriya96@gmail.com

VAITHIYANATHAN RAVICHANDRAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI—620015, INDIA
Email address: vravi68@gmail.com; ravic@nitt.edu

PONNAIAH SAIKRISHNAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI—620015, INDIA
Email address: psai@nitt.edu