

S-CURVATURE AND GEODESIC ORBIT PROPERTY OF INVARIANT (α_1, α_2) -METRICS ON SPHERES

HUIHUI AN, ZAILI YAN, AND SHAOXIANG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Geodesic orbit spaces are homogeneous Finsler spaces whose geodesics are all orbits of one-parameter subgroups of isometries. Such Finsler spaces have vanishing S-curvature and hold the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem. In this paper, we obtain a complete description of invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres with vanishing S-curvature. Also, we give a description of invariant geodesic orbit (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres. We mainly show that a $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant (α_1, α_2) -metric on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is geodesic orbit with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ if and only if it is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant. As an interesting consequence, we find infinitely many Finsler spheres with vanishing S-curvature which are not geodesic orbit spaces.

1. Introduction

The notion of S-curvature was introduced by Z. Shen in [20] in his study of volume comparison in Finsler geometry. S-curvature is an important non-Riemannian quantity in Finsler geometry, or in other words, any Riemannian manifold has vanishing S-curvature. Z. Shen showed that the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem holds for Finsler spaces with vanishing S-curvature. Therefore, it is significant to characterize Finsler spaces with vanishing S-curvature.

Fortunately, there is a special class of Finsler spaces called geodesic orbit space in homogeneous Finsler geometry satisfying this property. Geodesic orbit space is a Finsler space whose geodesics are all orbits of one-parameter subgroups of isometries. More exactly, we call a Riemannian (Finsler) metric F on a homogeneous space G/H a geodesic orbit metric with respect to G if every geodesic of $(G/H, F)$ is an orbit of a one-parameter subgroup of G . This terminology was first introduced by O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke [15], who initiated

Received November 17, 2021; Revised May 20, 2022; Accepted October 11, 2022.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C60, 53C30, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. Finsler geodesic orbit space, (α_1, α_2) -metric, S-curvature.

This work was financially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Provincial Universities of Zhejiang, National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12201358), Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2021QA051).

a systematic study of such spaces. There is extensive literature on Riemannian geodesic orbit spaces and we refer the readers to [1, 3, 13] and the references therein. In 2014, Z. Yan and S. Deng [23] generalized some geometric results on Riemannian geodesic orbit spaces to the Finslerian setting. Moreover, they obtained a sufficient and necessary condition for a Randers space to be a geodesic orbit space. Later, in [22], Z. Yan studied geodesic orbit (α, β) -spaces and gave many non-Riemannian geodesic orbit spaces. Recently, in [24], L. Zhang and M. Xu studied standard geodesic orbit (α_1, α_2) -spaces and found some new examples of non-Riemannian geodesic orbit Finsler spaces which are not weakly symmetric. Meanwhile, we should mention that, for some very special Finsler spaces, such as symmetric spaces and weakly symmetric spaces [11] are all geodesic orbit spaces.

The goal of this paper is to study the S-curvature and geodesic orbit property of invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres. Spheres can be viewed as a special class of homogeneous spaces. At first, A. Borel in [6] and D. Montgomery and H. Samelson in [17] classified the compact connected Lie groups that admit an effective transitive action on spheres. Recently, Y. Nikonorov in [18] studied the geodesic orbit Riemannian metrics on spheres. In this paper, he gave a complete classification of geodesic orbit metrics on Riemannian spheres and constructed some explicit geodesic vectors. He mainly showed a $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is geodesic orbit with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ if and only if it is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant. Later, in independent works, M. Xu in [21] and S. Zhang and Z. Yan in [25] generalized Y. Nikonorov's classification of geodesic orbit Riemannian metrics on spheres to Finslerian setting. In [21], using a geometric method, the author proved a homogeneous Finsler metric on a sphere S^n with $n > 1$ is a geodesic orbit metric if and only if its connected isometry group is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(k)$ for any $k \geq 1$. By this result, he gave a classification of geodesic orbit metrics on Finsler spheres. In [25], the authors classified geodesic orbit Randers spheres with a more algebraic method, and obtained some explicit metrics and geodesic vectors. By now, it is still unknown whether there exists a non-Riemannian Finsler geodesic orbit metric on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ with geodesic vectors all in $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ or not, here $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ denotes the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$. In our paper, we first obtain a complete description of invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres with vanishing S-curvature. Based on this result, we find there are infinitely many non-Riemannian geodesic orbit (α_1, α_2) -metrics on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ with its geodesic vectors all in $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ by showing the following

Theorem 1.1. *A $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant (α_1, α_2) -metric on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is geodesic orbit with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ if and only if it is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant.*

Remark 1.2. We should mention that, the “only if” part of Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Corollary 4.3 in [21].

In Sections 2, 3 and 4, we present some facts about Finsler spaces, S-curvature, geodesic orbit spaces and weakly symmetric spaces, for more details we refer the readers to [2] and [8]. In Section 5, we obtain a complete description of invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres with vanishing S-curvature. In Section 6, we mainly prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Finsler spaces and S-curvature

Recall a Finsler metric on a smooth manifold M is a real continuous function $F : TM \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

1. F is C^∞ on the slit tangent bundle $TM \setminus \{0\}$;
2. The restriction of F to any $T_x M$, $x \in M$, is a Minkowski norm. Namely,
 - (1) $F(u) \geq 0, \forall u \in T_x M$;
 - (2) $F(\lambda u) = \lambda F(u), \forall \lambda > 0$;
 - (3) For any basis $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ of $T_x M$, write $F(y) = F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n)$ for $y = \sum_{i=1}^n y^i \varepsilon_i$. The Hessian matrix

$$(g_{ij}(y)) := \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} F^2 \right]_{y^i y^j} \right)$$

is positive definite at any point of $T_x M \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 2.1 ([12], Definition 3.8). For a Riemannian metric α on a manifold M , an α -orthogonal decomposition $TM = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2$ and $\alpha_i = \alpha|_{\mathcal{V}_i}$, a Finsler metric is called an (α_1, α_2) -metric if it can be presented as

$$F(x, y) = f(\alpha(x, y_1), \alpha(x, y_2)), \forall y = y_1 + y_2 \in T_x M \text{ with } y_i \in \mathcal{V}_i, i = 1, 2,$$

for some positive smooth function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$.

It is easily seen that an (α_1, α_2) -metric F is Riemannian if and only if it is defined by $f(s, t) = \sqrt{as^2 + bt^2}$ for some positive constants a and b . There are lots of non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metrics. For example, one can take

$$(*) \quad f(s, t) = \sqrt{s^2 + t^2 + \varepsilon(s^{2k} + t^{2k})^{\frac{1}{k}}},$$

where ε is a positive number and $k \geq 2$ is a positive integer (see [23], Section 5).

An (α_1, α_2) -metric can also be expressed by $F = \alpha\varphi(\alpha_2/\alpha)$, where $\varphi(\theta)$ is positive and smooth for $\theta \in (0, 1)$. If $F = \alpha\varphi(\alpha_2/\alpha)$ is a regular (α_1, α_2) -metric, then $\varphi(\theta)$ satisfies

$$\varphi(\theta) - \theta\varphi'(\theta) > 0, \quad \varphi(\theta) - (\theta - \theta^{-1})\varphi'(\theta) > 0$$

for $\theta \in (0, 1)$. See Lemma 2.4 in [24] for a proof.

We now recall the notion of S-curvature of a Finsler space. Let V be an n -dimensional real vector space and F be a Minkowski norm on V . For a basis $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ of V , let

$$\sigma_F = \frac{\text{Vol}(B^n)}{\text{Vol}\{(y^i) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid F(\sum_i y^i \varepsilon_i) < 1\}},$$

where Vol means the volume of a subset in the standard Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and B^n is the open ball of radius 1. This quantity is generally dependent on the choice of the basis $\{\varepsilon_i\}$. But it is easily seen that

$$\tau(y) = \ln \frac{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(y))}}{\sigma_F}, \quad y \in V \setminus \{0\}$$

is independent of the choice of the basis. The quantity $\tau = \tau(y)$ is called the distortion of (V, F) .

Definition 2.2 ([20]). Let (M, F) be an n -dimensional Finsler space and let $\tau(x, y)$ be the distortion of the Minkowski norm F_x on $T_x M$. For any $y \in T_x M \setminus \{0\}$, let $\sigma(t)$ be the geodesic with $\sigma(0) = x$ and $\dot{\sigma}(0) = y$. Then the quantity

$$S(x, y) = \frac{d}{dt}[\tau(\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}(t))]_{t=0}$$

is called the S-curvature of the Finsler space (M, F) .

3. Geodesic orbit spaces

Let (M, F) be a connected Finsler space. Then the full group of isometries of (M, F) , denoted by $I(M, F)$, is a Lie transformation group on M with respect to the compact-open topology [9]. The space (M, F) is called homogeneous if the action of $I(M, F)$ on M is transitive. In this case, M can be written as a coset space G/H , where G is a Lie subgroup of $I(M, F)$ acting transitively on M and H is the isotropy subgroup of G at a point $p \in M$. Let \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{h} be the Lie algebras of G and H , respectively. The reductive decomposition of the Lie algebras is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}$ with $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, where \mathfrak{m} is a subspace of \mathfrak{g} . The subspace \mathfrak{m} can be identified with the tangent space $T_p(G/H)$ at $p = eH$ via the mapping $X \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \exp(tX) \cdot p$. The G -invariant Finsler metric F on $M = G/H$ is one-to-one correspondence to the $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant Minkowski norm (still denoted by F) on \mathfrak{m} [10].

Definition 3.1 ([23]). Let (M, F) be a Finsler space and G be a subgroup of $I(M, F)$ the full group of isometries. The Finsler space (M, F) is called a Finsler geodesic orbit space with respect to G if every geodesic of (M, F) is an orbit of a one-parameter subgroup of G . That is, if γ is a geodesic through $p \in M$, then there exists a vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\gamma(t) = \exp(tX) \cdot p$. In this case, X is called a geodesic vector.

For simplify, if $G = I(M, F)$, we call (M, F) a Finsler geodesic orbit space without saying G .

S. Deng [11] and D. Latifi [16] proved the following:

Theorem 3.2 ([11, 16]). *Let (M, F) be a Finsler geodesic orbit space. Then the S-curvature of (M, F) vanishes.*

From Definition 3.1, one easily sees that a homogeneous Finsler space $(G/H, F)$ is a Finsler geodesic orbit space with respect to G if and only if the projection of all geodesic vectors cover the set $T_p M \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if for any non-zero $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, there exists $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $X + A$ is a geodesic vector.

Concerning geodesic vectors, one has the following:

Lemma 3.3 ([15, 16]). *A vector $X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\}$ is a geodesic vector of $(G/H, F)$ if and only if*

$$g_{X_m}(X_m, [X, Z]_m) = 0, \forall Z \in \mathfrak{m},$$

where the subscript \mathfrak{m} means the corresponding projection, and g is the fundamental tensor of F on \mathfrak{m} defined by

$$g_y(u, v) = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(y) u^i v^j, \forall y \neq 0, u = \sum_i u^i \varepsilon_i, v = \sum_j v^j \varepsilon_j \in \mathfrak{m},$$

$\{\varepsilon_i\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{m} .

Now we consider invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on the homogeneous space G/H . According to Corollary 1.2 in [10], such metrics can be stated as follows. Let $|\cdot|^2 = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant inner product on \mathfrak{m} , $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$ be an $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -orthogonal decomposition of \mathfrak{m} . Then the (α_1, α_2) -norm

$$F(X) = |X| \varphi(|X_{\mathfrak{q}}|/|X|), \forall X = X_{\mathfrak{p}} + X_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}, X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}, X_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathfrak{q}$$

defines a G -invariant (α_1, α_2) -metric on G/H . Conversely, the $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant Minkowski norm on \mathfrak{m} induced by a G -invariant (α_1, α_2) -metric can be expressed by this form for some $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, \mathfrak{p} , \mathfrak{q} and φ .

Theorem 3.4 ([12], Theorem 4.3). *Let F be an invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metric on G/H . Then F has vanishing S-curvature if and only if*

$$\langle [X, Y]_m, X \rangle = \langle [X, Y]_m, Y \rangle = 0, \forall X \in \mathfrak{p}, Y \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

Remark 3.5. From Theorem 3.4, we can see that the vanishing of S-curvature of an invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metric on a homogeneous space does not depend on the (α_1, α_2) -metric, it depends only on the underlying Riemannian metric and the decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$. Recall that a naturally reductive metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{m} satisfies

$$\langle [X, Y]_m, Z \rangle + \langle Y, [X, Z]_m \rangle = 0, \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

So every invariant (α_1, α_2) -metric induced by a naturally reductive metric must have vanishing S-curvature.

From Lemma 3.3, the geodesic vectors of an invariant (α_1, α_2) -metric on a homogeneous space G/H can be equivalently described by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6 ([24]). *Assume $X = X_{\mathfrak{h}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}} = X_{\mathfrak{h}} + X_{\mathfrak{p}} + X_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is according to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$, we have:*

(1) When $X_m \in (\mathfrak{p} \cup \mathfrak{q}) \setminus \{0\}$, then X is a geodesic vector if and only if

$$\langle [X, Z]_m, X_m \rangle = 0, \forall Z \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

(2) When $X_m \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus (\mathfrak{p} \cup \mathfrak{q})$, then X is a geodesic vector if and only if

$$\langle [X, Z]_m, (\varphi(\theta) - \theta\varphi'(\theta))X_p + (\varphi(\theta) - (\theta - \theta^{-1})\varphi'(\theta))X_q \rangle = 0, \forall Z \in \mathfrak{m},$$

where $\theta = |X_q|/|X_m| \in (0, 1)$.

4. Weakly symmetric metrics on spheres

The original definition of weakly symmetric Riemannian manifold was given by A. Selberg in [19]. A connected Riemannian manifold (M, Q) is called weakly symmetric if there exists a subgroup G of the full group $I(M, Q)$ of isometries such that G acts transitively on M and there exists an isometry f of (M, Q) with $f^2 \in G$ and $fGf^{-1} = G$ such that for every two points $p, q \in M$, there exists an isometry g of (M, Q) satisfying $g(p) = f(q)$ and $g(q) = f(p)$. Later, in [5], J. Berndt and L. Vanhecke obtained a simple geometrical characterization of weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds. Namely, a connected Riemannian manifold (M, Q) is weakly symmetric if and only if for any two points $p, q \in M$ there exists an isometry f of (M, Q) such that $f(p) = q$ and $f(q) = p$. This notion of weakly symmetric Riemannian manifold has been generalized to the Finslerian setting by S. Deng.

Definition 4.1 ([11]). Let (M, F) be a connected Finsler space and $I(M, F)$ be the full group of isometries. Then (M, F) is called weakly symmetric if for every two points p, q in M there exists an isometry $\sigma \in I(M, F)$ such that $\sigma(p) = q$ and $\sigma(q) = p$.

Weakly symmetric Finsler spaces were deeply studied by S. Deng, who proved the following important results.

Theorem 4.2 ([7]). *Let (M, F) be a connected weakly symmetric Finsler space and G be the full group of isometries of (M, F) . Then any G -invariant Finsler metric on M must be weakly symmetric.*

Theorem 4.3 ([4, 11]). *A weakly symmetric Finsler space must be a Finsler geodesic orbit space with respect to the full group of isometries.*

We now study invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres. The spheres can be expressed by homogeneous spaces. In Table 1 we list all homogeneous spheres G/H , where G is a compact connected Lie group with an effective action on G/H . We also give the isotropy representations of the homogeneous spaces.

- Cases 1, 2 and 3. Since the isotropy representation is irreducible, every (α_1, α_2) -metric on these three kinds of homogeneous spaces is Riemannian.
- Cases 4, 5 and 8. All invariant Finsler metrics are geodesic orbit metrics and have vanishing S-curvature [21]. Notice that the isotropy representation is not irreducible, so there exist invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metrics.

TABLE 1. Invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres.

	G	H	$\dim G/H$	isotropy representation	(α_1, α_2) -metric	Cond.
1	$\mathrm{SO}(n+1)$	$\mathrm{SO}(n)$	n	irreducible	Riemannian	$n \geq 1$
2	G_2	$\mathrm{SU}(3)$	6	irreducible	Riemannian	
3	$\mathrm{Spin}(7)$	G_2	7	irreducible	Riemannian	
4	$\mathrm{SU}(n+1)$	$\mathrm{SU}(n)$	$2n+1$	$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_1$	geodesic orbit	$n \geq 2$
5	$\mathrm{U}(n+1)$	$\mathrm{U}(n)$	$2n+1$	$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_1$	geodesic orbit	$n \geq 1$
6	$\mathrm{Spin}(9)$	$\mathrm{Spin}(7)$	15	$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2$	weakly symmetric	
7	$\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$	$\mathrm{Sp}(n)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$	$4n+3$	$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2$	weakly symmetric	$n \geq 1$
8	$\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$	$\mathrm{Sp}(n)\mathrm{U}(1)$	$4n+3$	$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2$	geodesic orbit	$n \geq 1$
9	$\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$	$\mathrm{Sp}(n)$	$4n+3$	$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_1$		$n \geq 0$

• Cases 6 and 7. They are weakly symmetric ([21], Section 3), hence all invariant Finsler metrics are geodesic orbit metrics and have vanishing S-curvature. Notice that the isotropy representation is not irreducible, so there exist invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metrics.

• Case 9. This is a unique case that we should study in details. We deal with this case in Sections 5 and 6. The isotropy representation is not irreducible, there exist invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metrics. The Lie group pair $(\mathrm{Sp}(n+1), \mathrm{Sp}(n))$ is not a weakly symmetric pair, that is, there exists an invariant Riemannian (Finsler) metric F on $\mathrm{S}^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ such that $(\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n), F)$ is not a weakly symmetric Riemannian (Finsler) space. When $n = 0$, $\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\{e\} = \mathrm{S}^3$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that, all $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant Finsler metrics on S^3 which are geodesic orbit with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, should be bi-invariant (see also Theorem 2.3 in [10]). Note also that the family of $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant Riemannian metrics on $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ ($n \geq 1$) is a 7-dimensional space. Y. Nikonorov [18] proved that a $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathrm{S}^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is a geodesic orbit metric with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ if and only if it is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant.

5. Invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres with vanishing S-curvature

In this section, we deal with the S-curvature of $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on $\mathrm{S}^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$, $n \geq 0$.

Let $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{i} + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j} + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}$ be the field of quaternions, where $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ are the quaternionic units in \mathbb{H} . That is, $\mathbf{ij} = -\mathbf{ji} = \mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{jk} = -\mathbf{kj} = \mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{ki} = -\mathbf{ik} = \mathbf{j}$, $\mathbf{ii} = \mathbf{jj} = \mathbf{kk} = -1$. For $u = x_0 + x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j} + x_3\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}$, $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\mathrm{Re}(u) = x_0$ and $\bar{u} = x_0 - x_1\mathbf{i} - x_2\mathbf{j} - x_3\mathbf{k}$. In Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$, denote by G_i the matrix with $\sqrt{2}$ in the (i, i) -th entry, and zeros elsewhere, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. The reductive decomposition of $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ is $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1) = \mathfrak{sp}(n) + \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{sp}(n) + \mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_1$, here $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1 + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1 + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1$,

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\bar{\alpha}' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n \right\}, \quad \bar{\alpha} = (\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_n).$$

Then, up to a positive multiple, any $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ can be written as

$$g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}(X, Y) = \mathrm{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta}') + t_1 x_1 y_1 + t_2 x_2 y_2 + t_3 x_3 y_3,$$

where $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_1$ and

$$X = x_1 \mathbf{i}G_1 + x_2 \mathbf{j}G_1 + x_3 \mathbf{k}G_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ -\bar{\xi}' & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y = y_1 \mathbf{i}G_1 + y_2 \mathbf{j}G_1 + y_3 \mathbf{k}G_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta \\ -\bar{\eta}' & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 5.1. Note that when $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 1$, the underlying Riemannian metric $g_{(1,1,1)}$ is the standard Riemannian metric on S^{4n+3} with constant curvature and is invariant under $\mathrm{SO}(4(n+1))$. If $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = t \neq 1$, then the Riemannian metrics $g_{(t,t,t)}$ are naturally reductive and invariant under $\mathrm{Sp}(n)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. If $t_i = t_j = 1 \neq t_k$ with (i, j, k) a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$, the Riemannian metrics $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ are invariant under $\mathrm{SU}(2n+2)$ (and $\mathrm{U}(2n+2)$). If $t_i = t_j \neq 1$ and $t_i \neq t_k$, then the Riemannian metrics $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ are invariant under $\mathrm{Sp}(n)\mathrm{U}(1)$, but not invariant under $\mathrm{U}(2n+2)$.

By a direct calculation (see also [14], p. 998), we have:

Proposition 5.2. *For all $X = x_1 \mathbf{i}G_1 + x_2 \mathbf{j}G_1 + x_3 \mathbf{k}G_1, Y = y_1 \mathbf{i}G_1 + y_2 \mathbf{j}G_1 + y_3 \mathbf{k}G_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_0, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_1$,*

$$g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}([X, Y + Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y + Z)$$

$$= -2\sqrt{2}[x_1 y_2 y_3 (t_2 - t_3) - x_2 y_1 y_3 (t_1 - t_3) + x_3 y_1 y_2 (t_1 - t_2)].$$

Now we start to classify $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ with vanishing S-curvature. When $n \geq 1$, by the symmetry of \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} , we can assume $\mathfrak{m}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_0$ without losing generality, since the action of $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ on \mathfrak{m}_1 is irreducible. Let \mathfrak{p}_0 be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{p} in \mathfrak{m}_0 with respect to the Riemannian metric $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$, we have $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{p}_0$ and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0$. Notice that the dimension of \mathfrak{p} is equal to 1, 2, 3, we will have the following five cases.

- (I) $\dim \mathfrak{p} = 1, \mathfrak{p} \in \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1\}, \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0.$
- (II) $\dim \mathfrak{p} = 1, \mathfrak{p} \notin \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1\}, \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0.$
- (III) $\dim \mathfrak{p} = 2, \dim \mathfrak{p}_0 = 1, \mathfrak{p}_0 \in \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1\}, \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0.$
- (IV) $\dim \mathfrak{p} = 2, \dim \mathfrak{p}_0 = 1, \mathfrak{p}_0 \notin \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1\}, \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0.$
- (V) $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}_0, \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}_1, n \geq 1.$

When $n = 0$, we have $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \{0\}$. In this case, the decomposition $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$ can also be divided into the above cases (I)-(IV).

For case (I), we consider $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1$, the other cases are similar. Let $X_0 = \mathbf{i}G_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$, by Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 5.2, for all $Y = y_2 \mathbf{j}G_1 + y_3 \mathbf{k}G_1 \in \mathfrak{q}$ we can see

$$0 = g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}([X_0, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y) = -2\sqrt{2}y_2 y_3 (t_2 - t_3).$$

This shows $t_2 = t_3$ and hence $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}|_{\mathfrak{p}_0} = g_{(t, t, t)}|_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, the Riemannian metric $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant and the (α_1, α_2) -metric F induced by this Riemannian metric is also $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant. Hence F is geodesic orbit and has vanishing S-curvature.

For case (II). Let $X_0 = x_1\mathbf{i}G_1 + x_2\mathbf{j}G_1 + x_3\mathbf{k}G_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then at least two of x_1, x_2, x_3 are non-zero. By Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 5.2 again, for all $Y = y_1\mathbf{i}G_1 + y_2\mathbf{j}G_1 + y_3\mathbf{k}G_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}([X_0, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y) \\ &= -2\sqrt{2}[x_1y_2y_3(t_2 - t_3) - x_2y_1y_3(t_1 - t_3) + x_3y_1y_2(t_1 - t_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $x_1(t_2 - t_3) = x_2(t_1 - t_3) = x_3(t_1 - t_2) = 0$, thus $t_1 = t_2 = t_3$. In this case, the Riemannian metric $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant and the (α_1, α_2) -metric F induced by this Riemannian metric is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant. Hence F is geodesic orbit and has vanishing S-curvature.

For case (III), by the same argument as in case (I), we easily obtain that F has vanishing S-curvature if and only if $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}|_{\mathfrak{p}} = g_{(t, t, t)}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, both $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ and F are $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant and geodesic orbit.

For case (IV), by the same argument as in case (II), we easily obtain that F has vanishing S-curvature if and only if $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} = g_{(t, t, t)}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} = g_{(t, t, t)}$ is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant and weakly symmetric, F is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant and geodesic orbit.

For case (V). Let $X = x_1\mathbf{i}G_1 + x_2\mathbf{j}G_1 + x_3\mathbf{k}G_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_0$, $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta \\ -\bar{\eta}' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}_1$. Then we have

$$[X, Y] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}(x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j} + x_3\mathbf{k})\eta \\ \sqrt{2}\bar{\eta}'(x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j} + x_3\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}_1.$$

As a result,

$$g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y) = 0, \quad g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X) = 0.$$

According to Theorem 3.4, for any $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$, the (α_1, α_2) -metrics induced by $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ have vanishing S-curvature.

Summarize the above results we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 5.3. *Let F be an $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metric on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ constructed by the Riemannian metric $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ and decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$ with $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_0$, $\mathfrak{m}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, we have:*

(1) *When $\dim \mathfrak{p} = 1$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1\}$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0$. F has vanishing S-curvature if and only if $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}|_{\mathfrak{p}_0} = g_{(t, t, t)}|_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, both $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ and F are $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant and geodesic orbit.*

(2) *When $\dim \mathfrak{p} = 1$, $\mathfrak{p} \notin \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1\}$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0$. F has vanishing S-curvature if and only if $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} = g_{(t, t, t)}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, F is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant and geodesic orbit.*

(3) When $\dim \mathfrak{p} = 2$, $\dim \mathfrak{p}_0 = 1$, $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1\}$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0$. F has vanishing S -curvature if and only if $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}|_{\mathfrak{p}} = g_{(t, t, t)}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, both $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ and F are $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant and geodesic orbit.

(4) When $\dim \mathfrak{p} = 2$, $\dim \mathfrak{p}_0 = 1$, $\mathfrak{p}_0 \notin \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{j}G_1, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}G_1\}$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_0$. F has vanishing S -curvature if and only if $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} = g_{(t, t, t)}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, F is $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ -invariant and geodesic orbit.

(5) When $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}_0$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}_1$, $n \geq 1$. F has vanishing S -curvature for all $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$.

As a conclusion, we obtain a complete description of invariant (α_1, α_2) -metrics on spheres with vanishing S -curvature.

Theorem 5.4. *Let F be an invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metric on a sphere S^n with $n > 1$. Then we have:*

(1) *When the connected isometry group $I_0(S^n, F)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(k)$ for any $k \geq 1$, F has vanishing S -curvature.*

(2) *When the connected isometry group $I_0(S^n, F)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(k)$ for some $k \geq 1$, F has vanishing S -curvature can only be occurred in the case (5) in Theorem 5.3.*

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need following results.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume an $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant (α_1, α_2) -metric F on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is a geodesic orbit metric with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$. Then F can be expressed as an (α_1, α_2) -metric induced by an invariant Riemannian metric $g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ with $t_1 = t_2 = t_3$.*

Proof. By the discussion in the above section, we have already proved this statement for the cases (I)-(IV).

For the case (V), $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}_0$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}_1$. For every $X = x_1\mathbf{i}G_1 + x_2\mathbf{j}G_1 + x_3\mathbf{k}G_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_0$, there exists an $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ such that $X+A$ is a geodesic vector. By Theorem 3.6, for all $Y = y_1\mathbf{i}G_1 + y_2\mathbf{j}G_1 + y_3\mathbf{k}G_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}([X+A, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X) \\ &= g_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X) \\ &= 2\sqrt{2}[y_1x_2x_3(t_2 - t_3) - y_2x_1x_3(t_1 - t_3) + y_3x_1x_2(t_1 - t_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$x_2x_3(t_2 - t_3) = x_1x_3(t_1 - t_3) = x_1x_2(t_1 - t_2) = 0,$$

hence $t_1 = t_2 = t_3$. This completes the proof of this conclusion. \square

The following result is in fact Lemma 3 in [18].

Lemma 6.2. *For any $X \in \mathfrak{m}_0, Y \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, there exists $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ such that $[A, Y] = [X, Y]$.*

Proof. In Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$, we write E_{ij} for the skew-symmetric matrix with 1 in the (i, j) -th entry and -1 in the (j, i) -th entry, and zeros elsewhere. We also denote by F_{ij} the symmetric matrix with 1 in both the (i, j) -th and the (j, i) -th entry, and zeros elsewhere. It is easy to check that the matrices $\mathbf{i}G_i, \mathbf{j}G_i, \mathbf{k}G_i, E_{ij}, \mathbf{i}F_{ij}, \mathbf{j}F_{ij}, \mathbf{k}F_{ij}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$ and $i < j$, constitute a basis of $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$.

Suppose $X = x_1\mathbf{i}G_1 + x_2\mathbf{j}G_1 + x_3\mathbf{k}G_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_0$, $Y = Y_2 + Y_3 + \cdots + Y_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, where $Y_s = y_{s0}E_{1s} + y_{s1}\mathbf{i}F_{1s} + y_{s2}\mathbf{j}F_{1s} + y_{s3}\mathbf{k}F_{1s}$, $2 \leq s \leq n+1$. Let $x = x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j} + x_3\mathbf{k}$, $y_s = y_{s0} + y_{s1}\mathbf{i} + y_{s2}\mathbf{j} + y_{s3}\mathbf{k}$. For any $2 \leq s \leq n+1$ satisfying $y_s = 0$, we choose a vector $A_s \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{i}G_s, \mathbf{j}G_s, \mathbf{k}G_s\} \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}(n)$, it is obvious that $[A_s, Y_s] = [X, Y_s]$. For the others s satisfying $y_s \neq 0$, we let $a_s = y_s^{-1}xy_s$ and $A_s = a_sG_s \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ (see the proof of Lemma 3 in [18]). As $y_s a_s = xy_s$, one has $[A_s, Y_s] = [X, Y_s]$. Now let $A = \sum_{s=2}^{n+1} A_s \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$, then

$$[A, Y] = \sum_{s=2}^{n+1} [A_s, Y] = \sum_{s=2}^{n+1} [A_s, Y_s] = \sum_{s=2}^{n+1} [X, Y_s] = [X, \sum_{s=2}^{n+1} Y_s] = [X, Y],$$

which completes the proof. \square

Now we can state the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Keep notation as above.

The ‘‘only if’’ part. Following Proposition 6.1, we assume the geodesic orbit (α_1, α_2) -metric F on $S^{4n+3} = \text{Sp}(n+1)/\text{Sp}(n)$ is induced by an $\text{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric $g_{(t,t,t)}$. For the case (V), F is clearly $\text{Sp}(n+1)\text{Sp}(1)$ -invariant.

For the cases (I)-(IV): $\{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}\} \neq \{\mathfrak{m}_0, \mathfrak{m}_1\}$. Notice that $[\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m}_0, \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{m}_0]_{\mathfrak{m}_0} \neq 0$, we can choose unit vectors $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m}_0$, $X_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{m}_0$ such that $[X_{\mathfrak{p}}, X_{\mathfrak{q}}]_{\mathfrak{m}_0} \neq 0$. Let $X_{\theta} = \sqrt{1-\theta^2}X_{\mathfrak{p}} + \theta X_{\mathfrak{q}} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \setminus (\mathfrak{p} \cup \mathfrak{q})$ be a family of unit vectors in \mathfrak{m}_0 , $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

By assumption, there exist $A_{\theta} \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ such that $X_{\theta} + A_{\theta}$ are geodesic vectors. According to Theorem 3.6, for all $Y \in \mathfrak{m}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g_{(t,t,t)} \left([X_{\theta} + A_{\theta}, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, (\varphi(\theta) - \theta\varphi'(\theta))\sqrt{1-\theta^2}X_{\mathfrak{p}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\varphi(\theta) - (\theta - \theta^{-1})\varphi'(\theta))\theta X_{\mathfrak{q}} \right) \\ &= g_{(t,t,t)} ([X_{\theta}, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, (\varphi(\theta) - \theta\varphi'(\theta))X_{\theta} + \varphi'(\theta)X_{\mathfrak{q}}) \\ &= -\varphi'(\theta)g_{(t,t,t)}([X_{\theta}, X_{\mathfrak{q}}]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y) \\ &= -\sqrt{1-\theta^2}\varphi'(\theta)g_{(t,t,t)}([X_{\mathfrak{p}}, X_{\mathfrak{q}}]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\sqrt{1-\theta^2}\varphi'(\theta) = 0$, that is, $\varphi(\theta)$ is a constant function. This implies that F is a Riemannian metric and hence $\text{Sp}(n+1)\text{Sp}(1)$ -invariant by Theorem 1 in [18].

The “if” part. According to Theorem 1 in [18], we assume F is an $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metric on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$. In this case, F can also be expressed as a $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$ -invariant non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metric on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ induced by a Riemannian metric $g_{(t,t,t)}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$ with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}_0$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}_1$. Notice that $g_{(t,t,t)}$ is naturally reductive, by Theorem 3.6, every vector $X \in \mathfrak{m}_0 \cup \mathfrak{m}_1$ is a geodesic vector. Now for any $X \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus (\mathfrak{m}_0 \cup \mathfrak{m}_1)$, write $X = X_0 + X_1$, $X_0 \in \mathfrak{m}_0$, $X_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1$. By Lemma 6.2, there exists $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\varphi(\theta) - (\theta - \theta^{-1})\varphi'(\theta)] [A, X_1] + \theta^{-1}\varphi'(\theta)[X_0, X_1] = 0, \\ & \theta = \sqrt{\frac{g_{(t,t,t)}(X_1, X_1)}{g_{(t,t,t)}(X, X)}} \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now for all $Y \in \mathfrak{m}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{(t,t,t)}([X + A, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, (\varphi(\theta) - \theta\varphi'(\theta))X_0 + (\varphi(\theta) - (\theta - \theta^{-1})\varphi'(\theta))X_1) \\ &= g_{(t,t,t)}([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, (\varphi(\theta) - \theta\varphi'(\theta))X + \theta^{-1}\varphi'(\theta)X_1) \\ & \quad + g_{(t,t,t)}([A, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, (\varphi(\theta) - \theta\varphi'(\theta))X_0 + (\varphi(\theta) - (\theta - \theta^{-1})\varphi'(\theta))X_1) \\ &= g_{(t,t,t)}(-\theta^{-1}\varphi'(\theta)[X_0, X_1]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y) \\ & \quad + g_{(t,t,t)}(-[\varphi(\theta) - (\theta - \theta^{-1})\varphi'(\theta)] [A, X_1]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $X + A$ is a geodesic vector. So F is a geodesic orbit metric with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Combining Theorem 1 in [18] and Theorem 1.1 in [21], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *Let F be an invariant Finsler metric on a sphere S^n with $n > 1$, we have:*

- (1) *F is a geodesic orbit metric if and only if $I_0(S^n, F)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(k)$ for any $k \geq 1$.*
- (2) *When the connected isometry group $I_0(S^n, F)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(k+1)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ for some $k \geq 0$, F is a geodesic orbit (α_1, α_2) -metric with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(k+1)$.*

Finally, using the function f described as in (*) in Section 2, we can present infinitely many non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2) -metrics on $S^{4n+3} = \mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ ($n \geq 0$) with vanishing S-curvature which are not geodesic orbit, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}(X) \\ &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}(\xi\bar{\xi}') + t_1x_1^2 + t_2x_2^2 + t_3x_3^2 + \varepsilon ((\mathrm{Re}(\xi\bar{\xi}'))^k + (t_1x_1^2 + t_2x_2^2 + t_3x_3^2)^k)^{\frac{1}{k}}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $X = x_1\mathbf{i}G_1 + x_2\mathbf{j}G_1 + x_3\mathbf{k}G_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ -\xi' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{m}_1$, where $t_1 \neq t_2$, $t_1 \neq t_3$, $t_2 \neq t_3$, ε is a positive number and $k \geq 2$ is a positive integer.

Acknowledgements. We are deeply grateful to the reviewers of this paper for very careful reading and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] A. Arvanitoyeorgos, *Homogeneous manifolds whose geodesics are orbits. Recent results and some open problems*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. No. 79 (2017), 5–29.
- [2] D. Bao, S.-S. Chern, and Z. Shen, *An introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 200, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1268-3>
- [3] V. Berestovskii and Y. Nikonorov, *Riemannian manifolds and homogeneous geodesics*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-56658-6>
- [4] J. Berndt, O. Kowalski, and L. Vanhecke, *Geodesics in weakly symmetric spaces*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **15** (1997), no. 2, 153–156. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006565909527>
- [5] J. Berndt and L. Vanhecke, *Geometry of weakly symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **48** (1996), no. 4, 745–760. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/04840745>
- [6] A. Borel, *Some remarks about Lie groups transitive on spheres and tori*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 580–587. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1949-09251-0>
- [7] S. Deng, *On the classification of weakly symmetric Finsler spaces*, Israel J. Math. **181** (2011), 29–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-011-0002-z>
- [8] S. Deng, *Homogeneous Finsler spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4244-8>
- [9] S. Deng and Z. Hou, *The group of isometries of a Finsler space*, Pacific J. Math. **207** (2002), no. 1, 149–155. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2002.207.149>
- [10] S. Deng and Z. Hou, *Invariant Finsler metrics on homogeneous manifolds*, J. Phys. A **37** (2004), no. 34, 8245–8253. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/34/004>
- [11] S. Deng and Z. Hou, *Weakly symmetric Finsler spaces*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **12** (2010), no. 2, 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199710003816>
- [12] S. Deng and M. Xu, (α_1, α_2) -metrics and Clifford-Wolf homogeneity, J. Geom. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 3, 2282–2321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-015-9628-0>
- [13] C. S. Gordon, *Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds whose geodesics are orbits*, in Topics in geometry, 155–174, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 20, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.
- [14] Z. Hu and S. Deng, *Homogeneous Randers spaces with isotropic S-curvature and positive flag curvature*, Math. Z. **270** (2012), no. 3-4, 989–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-010-0836-9>
- [15] O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke, *Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous geodesics*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) **5** (1991), no. 1, 189–246.
- [16] D. Latifi, *Homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Finsler spaces*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), no. 5, 1421–1433. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2006.11.004>
- [17] D. Montgomery and H. Samelson, *Transformation groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. (2) **44** (1943), 454–470. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1968975>
- [18] Yu. G. Nikonorov, *Geodesic orbit Riemannian metrics on spheres*, Vladikavkaz. Mat. Zh. **15** (2013), no. 3, 67–76.
- [19] A. Selberg, *Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **20** (1956), 47–87.

- [20] Z. Shen, *Volume comparison and its applications in Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Adv. Math. **128** (1997), no. 2, 306–328. <https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1997.1630>
- [21] M. Xu, *Geodesic orbit spheres and constant curvature in Finsler geometry*, Differential Geom. Appl. **61** (2018), 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.difgeo.2018.07.002>
- [22] Z. Yan, *Some Finsler spaces with homogeneous geodesics*, Math. Nachr. **290** (2017), no. 2-3, 474–481. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201500326>
- [23] Z. Yan and S. Deng, *Finsler spaces whose geodesics are orbits*, Differential Geom. Appl. **36** (2014), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.difgeo.2014.06.006>
- [24] L. Zhang and M. Xu, *Standard homogeneous (α_1, α_2) -metrics and geodesic orbit property*, Math. Nachr. **295** (2022), no. 7, 1443–1453.
- [25] S. Zhang and Z. Yan, *Geodesic orbit Randers metrics on spheres*, Adv. Geom. **21** (2021), no. 2, 273–280. <https://doi.org/10.1515/advgeom-2020-0015>

HUIHUI AN
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
LIAONING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
DALIAN, LIAONING PROVINCE, 116029, P. R. CHINA

ZAILI YAN
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
NINGBO UNIVERSITY
NINGBO, ZHEJIANG PROVINCE, 315211, P. R. CHINA

SHAOXIANG ZHANG
COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE
SHANDONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
QINGDAO, SHANDONG PROVINCE 266590, P. R. CHINA
Email address: zhangshaoxiang93@163.com