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#### Abstract

Recently, Brešar's Jordan $\{g, h\}$-derivations have been investigated on triangular algebras. As a first aim of this paper, we extend this study to an interesting general context. Namely, we introduce the notion of Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivations, with $n \geq 2$, which is a natural generalization of Jordan $\{g, h\}$-derivations. Then, we study this notion on path algebras. We prove that, when $n>2$, every Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation on a path algebra is a $\{g, h\}$-derivation. However, when $n=2$, we give an example showing that this implication does not hold true in general. So, we characterize when it holds. As a second aim, we give a positive answer to a variant of Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture on path algebras. Namely, we show that the set of values of a multi-linear polynomial on a path algebra $K E$ is either $\{0\}, K E$ or the space spanned by paths of a length greater than or equal to 1 .


## 1. Introduction and definitions

Through this paper, $K$ will denote a field with characteristic zero, $A$ will be a $K$-algebra with the center $Z(A)$. For $x, y \in A$, we use $x \circ y$ (resp., $[x, y]$ ) to denote the Jordan product $x y+y x$ (resp., the Lie product $x y-y x$ ) of $x$ and $y$.

In [6], Brešar introduced the notion of Jordan $\{g, h\}$-derivations as follows: Let $g: A \rightarrow A$ and $h: A \rightarrow A$ be linear maps. A linear map $f: A \rightarrow A$ is said to be a Jordan $\{g, h\}$-derivation if

$$
f(x \circ y)=g(x) \circ y+x \circ h(y) \quad(x, y \in A) .
$$

For $g=f$, a Jordan $\{g, h\}$-derivation is just a Jordan generalized derivation, and for $g=h=f$, it is nothing but the classical Jordan derivation. Several authors have been interested in investigating when Jordan derivations are derivations on various algebra constructions (see for instance $[3,4,9,12,13,17]$ ). In order to extend this classical question to the introduced context, Brešar, in the same paper [6], introduced the notion of $\{g, h\}$-derivation as follows: Let $g: A \rightarrow A$ and $h: A \rightarrow A$ be linear maps. A linear map $f: A \rightarrow A$ is said to

[^0]be a $\{g, h\}$-derivation if
$$
f(x y)=g(x) y+x h(y)=h(x) y+x g(y) \quad(x, y \in A)
$$

But, it turned out from the discussion at the beginning of [6, Section 2], that $\{g, h\}$-derivations are maps of the from:

$$
f(x)=\lambda x+d(x)
$$

for some $\lambda \in Z(A)$ and a derivation $d: A \rightarrow A$. These kind of maps are in fact a particular case of generalized derivations. Recall that a linear map $D: A \rightarrow A$ is said to be a generalized $d$-derivation, for some derivation $d: A \rightarrow A$, if it satisfies

$$
D(x y)=D(x) y+x d(y) \quad(x, y \in A)
$$

The main aim in [6] was to investigate when a Jordan $\{g, h\}$-derivation is a $\{g, h\}$-derivation on tensor algebras. In [11], Kong and Zhang investigated the same question on triangular algebras.

Inspired by the context above, one can naturally continue the way started by Brešar and introduce a rather general case of Jordan $\{g, h\}$-derivations using the following notations: Denote $x_{1} \circ x_{2}$ by $\circ_{2} x_{i}$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in A$ and $\left(\circ_{n-1} x_{i}\right) \circ$ $x_{n}$ by $\circ_{n} x_{i}$ for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A$ with $n \geq 2$. By convention, we set by $\circ_{0} x_{i}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\circ_{1} x_{i}=x_{1}$ for all $x_{1} \in A$. Whence, the generalization of Jordan $\{g, h\}$ derivations is stated as follows: Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}=\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a finite family of linear maps on $A$ with $n \geq 2$. We say that a linear map $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation, if for every $n$-tuple $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in A^{n}$

$$
f\left(\circ_{n} x_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left(\left(\circ_{j-1} x_{i} \circ g_{\sigma(j)}\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \circ x_{j+1}\right) \cdots\right) \circ x_{n}, \quad \forall \sigma \in S_{n},
$$

where $S_{n}$ is the symmetric group of degree $n$. Also, following Brešar's approach, we consider the following notion: we say that a linear map $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{n^{-}}$ derivation on $A$, if for every $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in A^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1} \cdots x_{i-1} g_{\sigma(i)}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n}, \quad \forall \sigma \in S_{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, following similar argument was done by Brešar, we deduce that $\mathcal{G}_{n^{-}}$ derivations and $\{g, h\}$-derivations are the same. In fact, let $f$ be a $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation with $n \geq 2$. Then, by taking $x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=1$ in (1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}\right)=g_{\sigma(1)}\left(x_{1}\right)+x_{1} g_{\sigma(2)}(1)+x_{1}\left(\sum_{i=3}^{n} g_{\sigma(i)}(1)\right), \quad \forall \sigma \in S_{n} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

And taking $x_{1}=x_{3}=\cdots=x_{n}=1$ in (1.1), we obtain

$$
f\left(x_{2}\right)=g_{\sigma(1)}(1) x_{2}+g_{\sigma(2)}\left(x_{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(\sum_{i=3}^{n} g_{\sigma(i)}(1)\right), \quad \forall \sigma \in S_{n} .
$$

Comparing both expressions, we see that every $g_{i}(1)$ lies in $Z(A)$. Setting $\lambda=f(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(1)$, we then infer from (1.1) and (1.2) that, for all $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}, f(x)-\lambda x=g_{i}(x)-g_{i}(1) x$. If we set $d(x)=f(x)-\lambda x$ for all $x \in A$, then $d$ is a derivation. Thus, every $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation $f$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\lambda x+d(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, every $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation can be viewed as a generalized $d$-derivation on $A$. Conversely, if a linear map $f$ has the form as in (1.3) and $\lambda=\sum_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i}$, where $\lambda_{i} \in Z(A)$. Then, $f$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation on $A$ where each $g_{i}$ is defined by

$$
g_{i}(x)=\lambda_{i} x+d(x) \quad(x \in A)
$$

In this context, it is natural to ask whether a Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation is nothing but a Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation.

In order to answer this question, we investigate Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivations on path algebras associated with a finite acyclic quiver. Thus, we assume some familiarity with basic notions of path algebras (for more details, see [15]).

In the sequel, $E=\left(E^{0}, E^{1}, s, t\right)$ designates a finite acyclic quiver, where $E^{0}$ and $E^{1}$ are sets of vertices and edges of $E$, respectively, and the maps $s$ and $t$ from $E^{1}$ into $E^{0}$ determine the edges of $E$. We denote by $K E$ the path algebra over $K$ associated with $E$.

In Section 2, we give our main results. The first one, Theorem 2.3, shows that a Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation on $K E$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation if and only if $g_{1}(1) \in Z(K E)$ or $g_{2}(1) \in Z(K E)$. The second main result, Theorem 2.4 , shows that for every $n>2$, any Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation on $K E$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation. Now, using these two theorems one can answer the above question. Namely, for every $n>2$, Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivations on $K E$ are $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivations. Unlike the case $n=2$, there exist some Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivations which are not $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivations as will be shown in Example 2.1, which yields that Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivations generalize naturally Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivations (i.e., Jordan $\{g, h\}$-derivations).

Section 3 presents our investigations on a variant of Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture. Recall the following question known as Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture (see [7]):

Question 1.1. Let $\zeta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a multi-linear polynomial over a field $\mathbb{F}$. Is the set of values of $\zeta$ on the matrix algebra $M_{m}(\mathbb{F})$ a vector space?

The reader is referred to [10] for more information about recent and important results on this subject. Our investigation is motivated by the work done in [ $8,14,16]$ on particular upper triangular matrix algebras. In fact, since upper triangular matrix algebras are path algebras associated with line quivers (see [5]), we will push the question further in another direction and ask:

Question 1.2. Let $\zeta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a multi-linear polynomial over $K$. Is the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ a vector space?

Theorem 3.1 answers Question 1.2 positively and so it generalizes the work done for upper triangular matrix algebras. We give also some examples which apply Theorem 3.1 on some particular important cases.

## 2. Main results

In this section, the set $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ will be a fixed family $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of linear maps on $K E$, where $n \geq 2$. We show when every Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation on path algebras is a $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation. We will see that for every $n>2$ this implication holds, however for the case $n=2$, it does not as shown by the following example.

Example 2.1. Let $E$ be the following quiver: $v_{2} \stackrel{e_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow} v_{1} \xrightarrow{e_{2}} v_{3}$ and let $f$ be a Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation on $K E$ defined by:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f\left(v_{1}\right)=2 v_{1}, & g_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)=v_{1}+e_{1}+e_{2}, & g_{2}\left(v_{1}\right)=v_{1}-e_{1}-e_{2}, \\
f\left(v_{2}\right)=2 v_{2}, & g_{1}\left(v_{2}\right)=v_{2}+e_{1}, & g_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)=v_{2}-e_{1}, \\
f\left(v_{3}\right)=2 v_{3}, & g_{1}\left(v_{3}\right)=v_{3}+e_{2}, & g_{2}\left(v_{3}\right)=v_{3}-e_{2}, \\
f\left(e_{1}\right)=2 e_{1}, & g_{1}\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{1}, & g_{2}\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{1}, \\
f\left(e_{2}\right)=2 e_{2}, & g_{1}\left(e_{2}\right)=e_{2}, & g_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)=e_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

By elementary calculations, we have $g_{1}\left(v_{1}\right) v_{1}+v_{1} g_{2}\left(v_{1}\right) \neq f\left(v_{1}^{2}\right)$, hence $f$ is not a $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation on $K E$.

To prove the main results, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. For every Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation $f$ on $K E$ with $n \geq 2, f(1)$ is in $Z(K E)$. Moreover, if $n>2$, then $g_{i}(1)$ is in $Z(K E)$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Proof. Assume $f$ to be a Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation on $K E$ with $n \geq 2$. Let $z$ be a non-trivial idempotent in $K E$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =f((((z \circ(1-z)) \circ 1) \cdots) \circ 1) \\
& =\left(\left(\left(g_{1}(z) \circ(1-z)\right) \circ 1\right) \cdots\right) \circ 1+\left(\left(\left(z \circ g_{2}(1-z)\right) \circ 1\right) \cdots\right) \circ 1+0 \\
& =2^{n-2}\left(g_{1}(z) \circ(1-z)+z \circ g_{2}(1-z)\right) \\
& =g_{1}(z) \circ(1-z)+z \circ g_{2}(1-z) \\
& =2 g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(z) z-z g_{1}(z)+z g_{2}(1)-z g_{2}(z)+g_{2}(1) z-g_{2}(z) z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying (2.1) by $z$ from the left, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=z g_{1}(z)-z g_{1}(z) z+z g_{2}(1)-z g_{2}(z)+z g_{2}(1) z-z g_{2}(z) z \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.1) by $z$ from the right, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=g_{1}(z) z-z g_{1}(z) z+z g_{2}(1) z-z g_{2}(z) z+g_{2}(1) z-g_{2}(z) z \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing the equalities (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z g_{1}(z)+z g_{2}(1)-z g_{2}(z)=g_{1}(z) z+g_{2}(1) z-g_{2}(z) z \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by the definition of Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivations, we obtain

$$
z g_{\sigma(1)}(z)+z g_{\sigma(2)}(1)-z g_{\sigma(2)}(z)=g_{\sigma(1)}(z) z+g_{\sigma(2)}(1) z-g_{\sigma(2)}(z) z
$$

for every $\sigma \in S_{n}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z g_{2}(z)+z g_{1}(1)-z g_{1}(z)=g_{2}(z) z+g_{1}(1) z-g_{1}(z) z \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$
z\left(g_{1}(1)+g_{2}(1)\right)=\left(g_{1}(1)+g_{2}(1)\right) z .
$$

Since every element $s(p)+p$ is a non-trivial idempotent in $K E$ with $p$ is a non-trivial path in $E, g_{1}(1)+g_{2}(1)$ commutes with all paths in $K E$. Thus, $g_{1}(1)+g_{2}(1) \in Z(K E)$. Hence by the definition of Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivations, we conclude that $g_{\sigma(1)}(1)+g_{\sigma(2)}(1) \in Z(K E)$ for all $\sigma \in S_{n}$. Now, assume that $n>2$, then it follows that $g_{1}(1)+g_{2}(1), g_{3}(1)+g_{2}(1)$ and $g_{1}(1)+g_{3}(1)$ are in $Z(K E)$. Since $Z(K E)$ is a group, we have $g_{1}(1)+g_{2}(1)-g_{3}(1)-g_{2}(1)=g_{1}(1)-$ $g_{3}(1) \in Z(K E)$. Therefore, $g_{1}(1)-g_{3}(1)+g_{1}(1)+g_{3}(1)=2 g_{1}(1) \in Z(K E)$. So, $g_{1}(1) \in Z(K E)$. By similar reasoning, we obtain that all $g_{i}(1)$ are in $Z(K E)$.

We start with the first main result which treats the case $n=2$.
Theorem 2.3. Every Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation $f$ on $K E$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation if and only if $g_{1}(1) \in Z(K E)$ or $g_{2}(1) \in Z(K E)$.

Proof. It is clear that if $f$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation, then $g_{1}(1) \in Z(K E)$ and $g_{2}(1) \in$ $Z(K E)$. So, it remains to prove the converse implication. Let $f$ be a Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation on $K E$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x \circ y)=g_{1}(x) \circ y+x \circ g_{2}(y) \quad(x, y \in K E) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $y=1$ in (2.6), then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=g_{1}(x)+x \circ g_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad(x \in K E) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, take $x=1$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y)=g_{2}(y)+y \circ g_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad(y \in K E) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that $g_{1}(1) \in Z(K E)$. It follows by Lemma 2.2 , that $g_{2}(1) \in Z(K E)$. Therefore, the equalities (2.7) and (2.8) become $f(x)=g_{1}(x)+g_{2}(1) x$ and $f(y)=g_{2}(y)+g_{1}(1) y$ for all $x, y \in K E$, respectively. For all $x, y \in K E$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x \circ y) & =g_{1}(x) \circ y+x \circ g_{2}(y) \\
& =\left(f(x)-g_{2}(1) x\right) \circ y+x \circ\left(f(y)-g_{1}(1) y\right) \\
& =f(x) \circ y+x \circ(f(y)-f(1) y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $f$ is a Jordan generalized derivation on $K E$. Therefore, by the discussion in [1, Preliminaries] and [13, Proposition 3.7], $f$ is a generalized derivation with $f(1)=g_{1}(1)+g_{2}(1)$. Hence, it follows that $f$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{2}$-derivation.

In the rest of this paper, $\mathcal{P}$ will denote the set of all paths in $E$ including vertices. Note that $\mathcal{P}$ is a basis of $K E$ as a $K$-vector space. Now, for the case where $n>2$, we have the following second main result.

Theorem 2.4. Every Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation on $K E$ with $n>2$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ derivation.

Proof. Let $f$ be a Jordan $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation on $K E$ with $n>2$. Then, for every path $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(p) & =\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} f(((p \circ 1) \cdots) \circ 1) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left(\left(\left(g_{1}(p) \circ 1\right) \cdots\right) \circ 1+\cdots+((p \circ 1) \cdots) \circ g_{n}(1)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left(2^{n-1} g_{1}(p)+2^{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) p\right) \\
& =g_{1}(p)+\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) p . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(p) & =\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} f(((p \circ 1) \cdots) \circ 1) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left(\left(\left(g_{2}(p) \circ 1\right) \cdots\right) \circ 1+\cdots+((p \circ 1) \cdots) \circ g_{n}(1)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left(2^{n-1} g_{2}(p)+2^{n-1}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq 2}}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) p\right) \\
& =g_{2}(p)+\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq 2}}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) p . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

This is due to the fact that by Lemma 2.2, all $g_{i}(1) \in Z(K E)$. We claim that $f$ is a Jordan generalized derivation, we only need to check it on every element in $\mathcal{P}$. Let $x$ and $y$ be two elements in $\mathcal{P}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x \circ y)= & \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} f((((x \circ y) \circ 1) \cdots) \circ 1) \\
= & \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}\left(\left(\left(\left(g_{1}(x) \circ y\right) \circ 1\right) \cdots\right) \circ 1+\left(\left(\left(x \circ g_{2}(y)\right) \circ 1\right) \cdots\right) \circ 1\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}\left(\left(\left((x \circ y) \circ g_{3}(1)\right) \cdots\right) \circ 1+\cdots+(((x \circ y) \circ 1) \cdots) \circ g_{n}(1)\right) \\
(2.11)= & g_{1}(x) \circ y+x \circ g_{2}(y)+(x \circ y)\left(\sum_{i=3}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows by (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.11)= & \left(f(x)-\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) x\right) \circ y+x \circ\left(f(y)-\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq 2}}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) y\right) \\
& +(x \circ y)\left(\sum_{i=3}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) \\
= & f(x) \circ y+x \circ\left(f(y)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(1)\right) y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $f$ is a Jordan generalized derivation on $K E$. Therefore, by the discussion in [1, Preliminaries] and [13, Proposition 3.7], $f$ is a generalized derivation with $f(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(1)$ and $g_{i}(1) \in Z(K E)$. Hence, it follows that $f$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation.

## 3. Application on a variant of Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture

In this section, we investigate a variant of Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture (see Question 1.2 in the introduction). Our main result is as follows.

In the proof, we denote the length of a path $p$ in $E$ by $\ell(p)$ (i.e., the number of edges in the path $p$ ). By convention, we set the length of vertices to zero.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\zeta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} c_{\sigma} x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$ be a multi-linear polynomial over $K$, with $c_{\sigma} \in K$. Then, the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is either $\{0\}, K E$ or the space spanned by paths of a length greater than or equal to 1 .

Proof. We prove the result by recurrence on the length $l$ of the longest path in $E$. Let $V_{j}$ be the space spanned by paths in $E$ with a length greater than or equal to $j \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $V_{0}=K E$ and $V_{l+k+1}=\{0\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, since there is no path with a length greater than $l$. Now, define $I_{p}$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in(\mathcal{P} \cup\{1\})^{n}: \exists \sigma \in S_{n}, \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{\sigma(i)}=p\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\zeta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} c_{\sigma} x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$ be a multi-linear polynomial over $K$, where $c_{\sigma} \in K$. Let $d_{\sigma}$ be a $\mathcal{G}_{n}$-derivation on $K E$ with $g_{i}=\frac{c_{\sigma}}{n} I$, where $I$ is the identity map on $K E$. Then, $\zeta$ can be written as

$$
\zeta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} c_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{\sigma(i)}=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} d_{\sigma}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

for every $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in(K E)^{n}$. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\ell(p)=0$. Assume that there exists an element $x \in I_{p}$ such that $\zeta(x) \neq 0$. Then, $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} c_{\sigma} \neq 0$. Hence, we have

$$
\zeta(x)=\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} d_{\sigma}\right)(p)=\alpha_{p} p
$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and for every $x \in I_{p}$, where $\alpha_{p} \in K^{*}$. Therefore, by linearity, the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is $K E$ itself. Now, to prove the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is $V_{j}$, where $0<j \leq l$, we assume that, for every $q \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\ell(q)<j$, and for every $y \in I_{q}$, we have $\zeta(y)=0$ and there exists $x_{0} \in I_{p_{0}}$ for some $p_{0} \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\ell\left(p_{0}\right)=j$ such that $\zeta\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then, there exists a subset $S_{x_{0}}=\left\{\sigma \in S_{n}: \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{\sigma(i), 0} \neq 0\right\}$ of $S_{n}$ such that $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{x_{0}}} c_{\sigma} \neq 0$. Hence, we have

$$
\zeta(x)=\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{x_{0}}} d_{\sigma}\right)(p)=\alpha_{p} p
$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\ell(p) \geq j$ and for every $x \in I_{p}$ with the components of $x$ has a similar decomposition of sub-paths of $p$ as $x_{0}$ of $p_{0}$, where $\alpha_{p} \in K^{*}$. Therefore, the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is $V_{j}$. Otherwise, if $\zeta(y)=0$ for every $q \in \mathcal{P}$ and every $y \in I_{q}$, then the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is $\{0\}$.

We end this section with the following examples. We assume in these examples that $K E$ has some paths of length greater than or equal to 2 and $K=\mathbb{C}$ or $K=\mathbb{R}$.

Example 3.2. Consider the multi-linear polynomial $\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1} \circ x_{2}\right) \circ$ $x_{3}$ over $K$. Then, the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is $K E$ itself. This is due the fact that all coefficients are positive. Therefore, for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $\zeta(p, 1,1)=\alpha_{p} p$, as desired.

In the following example, we use the notation of the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Example 3.3. Consider the multi-linear polynomial

$$
\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}-x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} x_{3}-x_{2} x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{1} x_{4} x_{3}
$$

over $K$. Then, the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is the space spanned by all paths of a length greater than or equal to 2 . This can be checked by choosing a path $p_{0}=e_{1} \cdots e_{l}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ with $\ell(p) \geq 2$ and $x_{0}=\left(t\left(e_{1}\right), e_{1}, t\left(e_{1}\right), e_{2} \cdots e_{l}\right)$. Hence, $\zeta\left(x_{0}\right)=-p_{0}$. Therefore, by similar decomposition of all paths with a length greater than or equal to 2 as the decomposition done for $p_{0}$ into sub-paths in $x_{0}$, we obtain the desired result.

Recall the following definition of Lie polynomials of order 3.
Definition ([2, Definition 4]). A non-zero multi-linear Lie polynomial $\zeta$ of degree 3 is a polynomial over $K$ that can be written in the form

$$
\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=c_{1}\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}\right]+c_{2}\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right], x_{2}\right],
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are not both 0 and $c_{i} \in K$.
Example 3.4. Let $\zeta$ be the Lie polynomial of the order 3 defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}\right]+\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right], x_{2}\right] \\
& =x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{2}-2 x_{2} x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{1}-2 x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}+x_{3} x_{2} x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is the space spanned by all paths with a length greater than or equal to 1 . Indeed, for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\ell(p)=0$, and every $x \in I_{p}, \zeta(x)=0$, where $I_{p}$ is defined as in (3.1). Now, for an edge $p_{0}$ in $\mathcal{P}$, we have $x_{0}=\left(p_{0}, t\left(p_{0}\right), t\left(p_{0}\right)\right) \in I_{p_{0}}$ and $\zeta\left(x_{0}\right)=2 p_{0} \neq 0$. Hence, for every path $p$ with $\ell(p)>0$, we have

$$
\zeta(p, t(p), t(p))=2 p
$$

By linearity, we deduce that the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is the space spanned by paths with length at least one.

For the definition of Lie polynomials of order 4, we have the following definition.
Definition ([2, Definition 5]). A non-zero multi-linear Lie polynomial $\zeta$ of degree 4 is a polynomial over $K$ that can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)= & c_{1}\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}\right], x_{4}\right]+c_{2}\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{4}\right], x_{3}\right] \\
& +c_{3}\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right], x_{2}\right], x_{4}\right]+c_{4}\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right], x_{4}\right], x_{2}\right] \\
& +c_{5}\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{4}\right], x_{2}\right], x_{3}\right]+c_{6}\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{4}\right], x_{3}\right], x_{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{i}$ are not all 0 and $c_{i} \in K$.
Example 3.5. Let $\zeta$ be the Lie polynomial of the order 4 defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)= & {\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{4}\right], x_{3}\right]+\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right], x_{4}\right], x_{2}\right]-2\left[\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{4}\right], x_{2}\right], x_{3}\right] } \\
= & x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4} x_{2}-2 x_{1} x_{4} x_{2} x_{3}-x_{2} x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{1} x_{4} x_{3} \\
& +x_{2} x_{3} x_{1} x_{4}-x_{2} x_{4} x_{1} x_{3}-x_{2} x_{4} x_{3} x_{1}-x_{3} x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+x_{3} x_{1} x_{4} x_{2} \\
& -x_{3} x_{2} x_{1} x_{4}+2 x_{3} x_{2} x_{4} x_{1}-x_{3} x_{4} x_{1} x_{2}-x_{3} x_{4} x_{2} x_{1}+x_{4} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \\
& -x_{4} x_{1} x_{3} x_{2}+x_{4} x_{2} x_{1} x_{3}+x_{4} x_{3} x_{1} x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same reasoning as in the previous example, we choose an edge $p_{0}$ in $\mathcal{P}$, we have $x_{0}=\left(s\left(p_{0}\right), p, t\left(p_{0}\right), t\left(p_{0}\right)\right) \in I_{p_{0}}$ and $\zeta\left(x_{0}\right)=p_{0} \neq 0$. Hence, we conclude that the set of values of $\zeta$ on $K E$ is the space spanned by paths with length at least one.

Since $2 \times 2$-upper triangular matrix algebra $T_{2}(K)$ is isomorphic to path algebra associated with the line quiver $E_{2}: v_{1} \xrightarrow{e} v_{2}$, we have the following result:

Corollary 3.6 ([16, Theorem 1.1]). Let $K$ be a field with characteristic zero. Let $\zeta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} c_{\sigma} x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$ be a multi-linear polynomial over $K$, with $c_{\sigma} \in K$. Then, the image of $\zeta$ on $K E_{2}$ is $K E_{2}$, Ke or $\{0\}$.

By similar reasoning, when $K$ is a field with characteristic zero, the main result [8, Theorem 3] is generalized from strictly upper triangular matrix algebras to upper triangular matrix algebras $T_{m}(K) \cong K E_{m}$, where $m \geq 2$ and $E_{m}$ is the line quiver $v_{1} \xrightarrow{e_{1}} v_{2} \cdots v_{m-1} \xrightarrow{e_{m-1}} v_{m}$.
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