

EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF IMPULSIVE FRACTIONAL INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH BOUNDARY CONDITION

K. MALAR*, C. GOWRISANKAR

ABSTRACT. This paper focuses on the existence and uniqueness outcome for fractional integro-differential equation (FIDE) among impulsive edge condition and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability (HURS) by using fractional calculus and some fixed point theorem in some weak conditions. The outcome procured in this paper upgrade and perpetuate some studied solutions.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 34A08, 34B15, 45J05, 47H10.

Key words and phrases : Boundary condition, fractional integrodifferential system, stability, existence, uniqueness.

1. Introduction

Finite difference issues for non - linear fractional differential equations have recently begun with the study of modeling of viscoelasticity, control, electrodynamics, and so on *etc.* [22, 12, 17]. In recent years, the investigation of such challenges has gained traction from both basic and empirical perspectives. The fractional calculus theory and applications, one can see the monographs of Kilbas *et. al.*[17], Lakshmikantham *et. al.*, [20], Miller and Ross [23], Anguraj *et. al.*, [4] , Chalishajar *et. al.*, [10] , Vinodkumar *et. al.*, [35] , Podlubny and Baleanu *et. al.*, [28, 5, 6, 7]. Many disciplines of physics and technological sciences use FIDE and control issues. In particular, FIDE are measured as a nonlinear differential equation option model [8]. Samko and Kilbas [32] have done substantial research on the conjecture of incomplete integrals and derivatives. Agarwal *et al.* [1] investigate the analytical solutions for several kinds of starting and edge rate issues of incomplete differential equations, as well as

Received December 22, 2021. Revised June 21, 2022. Accepted August 25, 2022.

*Corresponding author

© 2022 KSCAM.

inclusions related the Caputo conformable fractional open spaces. In some Banach spaces, many integro-differential equations may be written as FIDE and IDE [15]. Recently, the existence of solutions for fractional semilinear differential or integrodifferential equations is one of the theoretical fields investigated by Zhou.Y, Jiao.F [38, 37] and Tamizharasan et. al., [33]. Many authors have recently demonstrated the necessary condition of nonlinear fractional systems with the commands in infinite higher dimension.

On the other hand, In 1940 Ulam [34] discovered the first stability problem "less than what criteria do an preservative modeling exist close to an essentially additive map?". Next year, the first answer derived by Hyers [13] for additive functions in Banach spaces. In 1978, The generalization of Hyers result done by Rassias [30]. Cadariu and Radu [9] derived the Hyers Ulam stability using fixed point approach. Motivated by this result, S.M. Jung [14] initiated the application of these concepts in differential equation and integral equation through fixed point methods. Following this, many authors proved the constancy of differential equations, integral equation and IDE (see [2], [[24]-[26]] etc..) using fixed point approach in Banach spaces.

Recently, Trujillo et. al. [16] used a parameterization on contracting maps to show the analytical solutions to the following impulsive fractional integro-differential boundary value issue in discrete domain fields.

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^\rho x_2(t_1) &= g(t_1, x_2(t_1), (Sx_2)(t_1)), 0 < \rho < 1, t_1 \in J_1 = [0, T], \\ a_1 x_2(0) + b_1 x_2(T) &= c_1, \end{aligned}$$

, Where ${}^c D^\rho$ is the CFD (Caputo fractional derivative) of order ρ , the function $g: J \times X_1 \times X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is continuous, X_1 is a Banach space (B_s) and a_1, b_1, c_1 are constants with $a_1 + b_1 \neq 0$, and S is a non-linear integral operator given by

$$(Sx_2)(t) = \int_0^{t_1} k_1(t_1, s_1) y(s_1) ds_1,$$

with $\gamma_0 = \max \left\{ \int_0^t k(t_1, s_1) ds_1 : (t_1, s_1) \in J \times J \right\}$ where $k_1 \in C(J_1 \times J, R^+)$.

In this article, we extend the above work to cram the existence and uniqueness results of nonlinear FID system with impulsive boundary condition by using fractional calculus and a few constant factor approaches under a few susceptible situations. At last we established HURS of the given boundary value problem. Now consider the subsequent machine represented by the fractional integro-differential equation with control of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^\rho x_2(t_1) &= g(t_1, x_2(t_1), (Sx_2)(t_1)), 0 < \rho < 1, t \in J = [0, T], \\ a_1 x_2(0) + b_1 x_2(T) &= c_1, \\ \Delta x_2(t_i) &= I_i(x_2(t_i)), i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let X_1 be a Banach space of the function $g: J_1 \times X_1 \times X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is continuous, X_1 is a B_s , and B is a enclosed linear operator and a_1, b_1, c_1 are constants with $a_1 + b_1 \neq 0$, and S is a nonlinear integral equation given by,

$$(Sx_2)(t_1) = \int_0^{t_1} k_1(t_1, s_1)x_2(s_1)ds_1,$$

with $\gamma_0 = \max \left\{ \int_0^{t_1} k_1(t_1, s_1)ds_1 : (t_1, s_1) \in J_1 \times J_1 \right\}$ where $k_1 \in C_1(J_1 \times J_1, R^+)$.

To carry out the next part concept. Section 2 provides ideas and consequences from the groundwork. A sweeping interesting Gronwall kind of variation is used to demonstrate the existence and uniqueness of discoveries. In section 3, we utilize the fixed point theorem to demonstrate FIDE’s existence given boundary conditions. In section 4, we establish the uniqueness findings for FIDE boundary conditions using the fixed point theorem (FPT). In this connection, several options are studied and exploited in the exhibition of uniqueness. The conclusion is based on Schaefer’s FPT, but the uniqueness result is based on the Banach contraction principle. Section 5 demonstrates the FIDE’s HURS using an impulsive and boundary condition.

2. Preliminaries

Thereby, we propound a few primary notations, concepts and preliminary outcomes, which are intend to employ all through the research. Let $C_1(J_1, X_1)$ contribute the B_s of all continuous functions from J_1 into X_1 of the form $\|x_2\|_\infty := \sup\{\|x_2(t_1)\| : t_1 \in J_1\}$. In the function of measurable, and it is denoted $m_1 : J_1 \rightarrow R$ in the form of $\|m_1\|_{L^p(J_1, R)} = \left(\int_{J_1} |m_1(t_1)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, 1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $L^p(J_1, R)$ contribute the B_s of all L_s functions with m_1 such that $\|m_1\|_{L^p(J_1, R)} < \infty$.

Definition 2.1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral (*RLFI*) operator in the order of $\rho > 0$ of a function g is defined as

$$I_{a_1}^\rho g(t_1) = \int_{a_1}^{t_1} \frac{(t - s_1)^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} g(s_1)ds_1,$$

where $a_1 \in R$.

Here we will consider $n_1 = -[-\rho]$, where $[\cdot]$ denote the integral part of the argument, and $\rho > 0$.

Definition 2.2. For a function g_1 given on the interval $[a_1, b_1]$, the RLF of order derivative of order ρ of g , is defined by,

$$(D_{a_1}^\rho g)(t_1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n_1 - \rho)} \left(\frac{d}{dt_1} \right)^{n_1} \int_{a_1}^t (t - s_1)^{n_1 - \rho - 1} g(s_1)ds_1.$$

Definition 2.3. For a function g given on the interval $[a_1, b_1]$, the Caputo fractional order derivative of order ρ of g , is defined by,

$$({}^c D_{a_1+}^\rho g)(t_1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\rho)} \int_{a_1}^{t_1} (t-s_1)^{n-\rho-1} g^{(n)}(s_1) ds_1.$$

Definition 2.4. A function $x(\cdot) : X_1 \rightarrow E$ is said to be strongly measurable on X_1 if there exists a sequence of simple functions $x_n(\cdot) : X_1 \rightarrow E$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t_1) = x(t_1)$ for a.e. $t_1 \in X_1$.

Now, let us initiate the definition of a solution of the fractional boundary value problem (1).

Definition 2.5. A function $x_2 \in C^1(J_1, X_1)$ is said to be a solution of (1) if x_2 satisfies the equation ${}^c D^\rho x_2(t_1) = g(t_1, x_2(t_1), (Sx_2)(t_1))$ a.e. on J_1 , and the boundary condition $a_1 x_2(0) + b_1 x_2(T) = c_1$, and the impulse $\Delta x_2(t_i) = I_i(x_2(t_i)), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

For the existence of solutions for the fractional boundary value problem (1), we require the following supplementary lemma.

Lemma 2.6. (Lemma 3.2, [1]) Let $x_2 \in C_1(J_1, X_1)$ be a function such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(t_1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} \bar{g}(s_1) ds_1 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{a_1 + b_1} \left[\frac{b_1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} \bar{f}(s_1) ds_1 - c_1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

if and only if x_2 is a solution of the following fractional Boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\rho x_2(t_1) = \bar{f}(t_1), & 0 < \rho < 1, t \in J_1, \\ a_1 x_2(0) + b_1 x_2(T) = c_1. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.6, we have the following result which is useful in what follows.

Lemma 2.7. Let $x_2 \in C_1(J_1, X_1)$ be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(t_1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} [g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))] ds_1 \\ &\quad - \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} [g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))] ds_1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t_1} T(t - t_i) I_i[x_2(t_i)], \quad t_1 \in J_1. \end{aligned}$$

if and only if x_2 is a solution to the problem (1).

Lemma 2.8. (Bochner theorem, [3]) A measurable function $g: J_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is Bochner integrable if $\|g\|$ is Lebesgue integrable (L_I).

Lemma 2.9. (Arzela-Ascoli theorem, [28]) Let X_1 be a B_s and $F \subset C_1(J_1, X_1)$. If the requirements listed below are met:

- (i) F is uniformly bounded subset of $C_1(J_1, X_1)$
- (ii) F is equi-continuous in $(t_i, t_{i+1}), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, where $t_0 = 0, t_{m+1} = T$

Then F is a relatively compact subset of $C_1(J_1, X_1)$.

Theorem 2.10. (Schaefer's fixed point theorem, [28]) Let $G : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ completely continuous operator and it is denoted by,

$$E(G) = \{x \in X_1 : x = \lambda Gx_1 \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$$

is bounded, then G has fixed points.

On the other hand to apply theorem (2.10) We need the following generalized singular Gronwall type inequality with mixed type singular integral operator to establish the existence of solutions.

Lemma 2.11. ([16]) Let us consider $x_2 \in C_1(J_1, X_1)$ satisfy the below condition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_2(t_1)\| \leq & a_1 + b_1 \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} \|x_2(s_1)\|^\lambda ds_1 \\ & + c_1 \int_0^{t_1} (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} \|x_2(s_1)\|^\lambda ds_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\rho \in (0, 1), \lambda \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{p}]$ for some $1 < p < \frac{1}{1-\rho}$, and $a_1, b_1, c_1 \geq 0$. Then it exists $M^* > 0$ such that $\|x_2(t)\| \leq M^*$.

Our outcome of this theorem (4.1) is based on Banach contraction principle. Let $M = \|\xi_1 + \phi_0 \xi_2\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta_1}}(J_1, X_1)}, H = \|\alpha\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}}(J_1, X_1)}$.

Theorem 2.12. ([11]) Assume that (X_1, s_1) is a generalized complete metric space. Assume that $\Theta : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a Lipschitz constant strictly contractive operator $Q < 1$, yet there occurs a non - negative real integer C such that $s_1(\Theta^{c+1}x, \Theta^c x) < \infty$ for some $x_1 \in X_1$, then the following statement are correct:

- (a) The sequence $\{\Theta^n x\}$ reaches a defined terminal point x^* of Θ
- (b) x^* is the one and only fixed point of Θ in $Y^* = \{y \in X_1 | s_1(\Theta^c x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (c) If $X_1 \in X^*$, then $d(X_2, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-P} d(\Theta X_2, X_1)$.

3. Existence results

Theorem 3.1. Let us assume that (H_4) - (H_6) hold. Then the impulsive fractional boundary value problem (1) has at least one solution on J_1 .

Proof. Convert the (1) into a fixed point problem. Let us Consider the operator $G : C_1(J_1, X_1) \rightarrow C_1(J_1, X_1)$ defined as equation (5). It is clear that G is well defined due to (H_5) .

Step 1. To prove G is continuous.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a convergent sequence in $C_1(J_1, X_1)$. By LCT (Lebesgue's convergence theorem), $Sx_n \rightarrow Sx_2$ as $x_n \rightarrow x_2$. For all $t_1 \in J_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Gx_n)(t_1) - (Gx_2)(t_1)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} \|t_1 - s_1\|^{\rho-1} \\ & \quad \|g(s_1, x_n(s_1), (Sx_{n_1})(s_1)) - g_1(s, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))\| ds_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1 \Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T \|T - s_1\|^{\rho-1} \\ & \quad \|g(s_1, x_n(s_1), (Sx_{n_1})(s_1)) - g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))\| ds_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|T(t_1 - t_i)\| \|I_i[x_n(t_i)] - I_i[x_2(t_i)]\| \\ & \leq \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \frac{MT^{\rho-\beta_1}}{\left(\frac{\rho-\beta_1}{1-\rho_1}\right)^1 - \beta_1} \left[1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1}\right] + \frac{aM_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n L_{I_i} \right] \|x_n - x_2\| \\ & \leq \Omega \|x_n - x_2\| \end{aligned}$$

Since G is continuous. We get $\|Gx_n - Gx_2\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2. G maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $C_1(J_1, X_1)$.

In fact, it is shows that for every $\eta > 0$, then there exists a $\delta > 0$, such that for each $x_2 \in B_\eta = \{x_2 \in C_1(J_1, X_1) : \|x_2\|_\infty \leq \eta\}$, we have $\|Gx_2\|_\infty \leq \delta$.

For all $t_1 \in J_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Gx_2)(t_1)\| & \leq \frac{N}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} (1 + \gamma_0 \|x_2(s_1)\|^\lambda) ds_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{b_1 N}{a_1 + b_1 \Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} (1 + \gamma_0 \|x_2(s_1)\|^\lambda) ds_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{a_1 M_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|I_i[x_2(t_i)]\| + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} \\ & \leq \frac{NT^\rho}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} \left(1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1}\right) + \frac{N\gamma_0 T^\rho (\eta)^\lambda}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} \left(1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1}\right) \\ & \quad + \frac{a_1 M_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|I_i[x_2(t_i)]\| + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} \end{aligned}$$

which is given that $\|Gx_2\|_\infty \leq l$.

Step 3. G maps bounded sets into equi-continuous sets of $C_1(J_1, X_1)$.

Let $0 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq T, x_2 \in B_\eta$. Using (H6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Gx_2)(t_3) - (Gx_2)(t_2)| & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_2} [(t_2 - s_1)^{\rho-1} - (t_3 - s_1)^{\rho-1}] \\ & \quad |g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))| ds_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_{t_2}^{t_3} (t_3 - s_1)^{\rho-1} |g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))| ds_1 \\
 & + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|T(t_3 - t_2) - T(t_2 - t_i)\| I_i[x_2(t_i)] \\
 \leq & \frac{N[1 + \gamma_0(\eta)^\lambda]}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)} (t_2^\rho - t_3^\rho) + \frac{(t_3 - t_2)^\rho}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|(Gx_2)(t_3) - (Gx_2)(t_2)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$. Thus, G is equicontinuous. By the result of Steps 1-3 together with the lemma(2.9) , we can conclude that G is completely continuous.

Step 4. Now it leftovers to prove that

$$E(G) = x_2 \in C_1(J_1, X_1) : x_2 = \lambda Gx_2, \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0, 1)$$

is bounded.

Let $x_2 \in E(G)$, then $x_2 = \lambda Gx_2$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, for all $t_1 \in J_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_2(t_1) & = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} [g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))] ds_1 \\
 & - \frac{b_1}{(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s)^{\rho-1} [g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))] ds_1 \\
 & + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t_1} T(t_1 - t_i) I_i \|x_L(t_i)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

For all $t_1 \in J_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_2(t_1)\| & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} N [1 + \gamma_0 \|x_2(s_1)\|^\lambda] ds_1 \\
 & + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} N [1 + \gamma_0 \|x_2(s_1)\|^\lambda] ds_1 \\
 & + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} + \frac{aM_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|I_i[x_2(t_i)]\| \\
 & \leq M^*
 \end{aligned}$$

From lemma (2.11), to exists a $M^* > 0$, such that

$$\|x_2(t_1)\| \leq M^*, t_1 \in J_1$$

Thus for all $t_1 \in J_1$, we have

$$\|x_2\|_\infty \leq M^* .$$

It is give the set $E(G)$ is bounded. By the result of theorem (2.10), we conclude that g_1 has a fixed point. Which is a solution of (1). □

4. Uniqueness results

It will provide the below possibilities for our consideration.

- (H1) Let $g : J_1 \times X_1 \times X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is measurable function.
 (H2) Let the function $g : J_1 \times X_1 \times X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is continuous and get a constant $\beta_1 \in (0, \rho)$ and the function is denoted by $\xi_1(t_1), \xi_2(t_1) \in L^{\frac{1}{\beta_1}}(J_1, X_1)$ such that
 $\|g(t_1, x(t_1), (Sx)(t_1)) - g(t_1, y(t_1), (Sy)(t_1))\| \leq \xi_1(t_1)\|x - y\| + \xi_2(t_1)\|Sx - Sy\|$, for each $t_1 \in J_1$ and all $x, y \in X_1$.
 (H3) The another constant $\beta_2 \in (0, \rho)$ and the function is $\alpha(t_1) \in L^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}}(J_1, X_1)$. such that

$$\|g(t_1, x_2, Sx_2)\| \leq \alpha(t_1),$$

for each $t_1 \in J_1$ and all $x_2 \in X_1$.

- (H4) The constant $\lambda \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{p}]$ for some $1 < p < \frac{1}{1-\rho}$ and $N > 0$. such that,

$$\|g(t_1, u, Su)\| \leq N(1 + \gamma_0\|u\|^\lambda)$$

for all $t_1 \in J_1$ and all $u \in X_1$.

- (H5) For each of $t_1 \in J_1$, the set

$$K_1 = \{(t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1}g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1)) : x_2 \in C_1(J_1, X_1), s_1 \in [0, t_1]\}$$

is relatively compact.

- (H6) Let, $\Omega = \frac{MT^{\rho-\beta_1}}{\Gamma(\rho)(\frac{\rho-\beta_1}{1-\beta_1})^{1-\beta_1}} \left(1 + \frac{|b_1|}{|a_1+b_1|}\right) + \frac{|a_1|M_1}{|a_1+b_1|} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i < 1$
 ie., $\Omega < 1$

In this section, we use the well-known BFPT and contraction property to show the uniqueness of the problem (1).

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (H1)-(H6) hold. If*

$$\Omega = \frac{MT^{\rho-\beta_1}}{\Gamma(\rho)(\frac{\rho-\beta_1}{1-\beta_1})^{1-\beta_1}} \left(1 + \frac{|b_1|}{|a_1+b_1|}\right) + \frac{|a_1|M_1}{|a_1+b_1|} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i < 1 \quad (4)$$

In equation(1) to get the unique solution on J_1 .

Proof. Since we get, $\|(t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1}g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))\|$ is L_I with respect to $s_1 \in [0, t_1]$ for all $t_1 \in J_1$ and $x_1 \in C_1(J_1, X_1)$. Then $(t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1}g(s_1, x_2(s_1), Sx_2(s_1))$ is Bochner integrable with respect to $s_1 \in [0, t_1]$ for all $t_1 \in J_1$ due to Lemma (2.8). Hence, the IFBVP (Impulsive fractional boundary value problem) (1) is below equation,

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(t_1) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} [g_1(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))] ds_1 \\ & - \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} [g_1(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))] ds_1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{c}{a_1 + b_1} + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t} T(t_1 - t_i) I_i[x_2(t_i)], \quad t \in J.$$

Let

$$r \geq \frac{T^{\rho-\beta_2} H}{\Gamma(\rho) \left(\frac{\rho-\beta_2}{1-\beta_2}\right)^{1-\beta_2}} \left(1 + \frac{|b_1|}{|a_1 + b_1|}\right) + \frac{|a_1| M_1}{|a_1 + b_1|} \sum_{i=1}^n |I_i[x_2(t_i)]| + \frac{|c_1|}{|a_1 + b_1|}.$$

Let us consider the operator G on $B_r := \{x_2 \in C_1(J_1, X_1) : \|x_2\| \leq r\}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (Gx_2)(t_1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1)) ds_1 \\ &\quad - \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1)) ds_1 \quad (5) \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t_1} T(t_1 - t_i) I_i[x_2(t_i)] + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1}, \quad t_1 \in J_1. \end{aligned}$$

The solution is exists of (1) is same to the operator g has a fixed point on B_r . We using the Banach contraction principle to demonstrate that g has a fixed point.

Step 1. For all $x_2 \in B_r$. For all $x_2 \in B_r$, by (H3) and Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Gx_2)(t_1)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} \|g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))\| ds_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{b_1}{(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} \|g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))\| ds_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1}{(a_1 + b_1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \|T(t_1 - t_i)\| \|I_i[x_2(t_i)]\| + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \frac{T^{\rho-\beta_2} H}{\left(\frac{\rho-\beta_2}{1-\beta_2}\right)^{1-\beta_2}} + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \frac{T^{\rho-\beta_2}}{\left(\frac{\rho-\beta_2}{1-\beta_2}\right)^{1-\beta_2}} H \\ &\quad + \frac{aM_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n |I_i[x_2(t_i)]| + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|Gx_2\|_\infty \leq r$. Thus, $Gx_2 \in B_r$, for all $x_2 \in B_r$. i.e., $G : B_r \rightarrow B_r$ is well defined.

Step 2. G is a contraction mapping on B_r . For $x_1, x_2 \in B_r$ and any $t_1 \in J_1$, using (H2) and Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(Gx_1)(t_1) - (Gx_2)(t_1)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} |t_1 - s_1|^{\rho-1} \|g(s_1, x_1(s_1), (Sx_1)(s_1)) - g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))\| ds_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))\|ds_1 \\
 & + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T \|T - s_1\|^{\rho-1} \|g(s_1, x_1(s_1), (Sx_1)(s_1)) \\
 & -g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1))\|ds_1 \\
 & + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \|T(t_1 - t_i)\| |I_i[x_1(t_i)] - I_i[x_2(t_i)]| \\
 \leq & \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \frac{MT^{\rho-\beta_1}}{\left(\frac{\rho-\beta_1}{1-\beta_1}\right)^1 - \rho_1} \left[1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1 + b_1}\right] + \frac{a_1 M_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n L_{I_i} \right] \|x_1 - x_2\| \\
 \leq & \Omega \|x_1 - x_2\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_1(J_1, B_r)$ is continuous, we have $|x_1(0) - x_2(0)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So we get,

$$|(Gx_1)(t_1) - (Gx_2)(t_1)| \leq \Omega(t_1)\|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty.$$

Thus G is a contraction due to equation (3).

By Banach contraction principle, the G has an unique fixed point. □

5. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability (HURS)

Theorem 5.1. *Set $\Omega_1 := \left[(L_1 + L_1L_2) \left(1 + \frac{b_1}{a_1+b_1} \right) + \frac{a_1}{a_1+b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n ML_{I_i} \right] < 1$. Suppose that $g_1 : I \times I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function which satisfies a Lipschitz condition*

$$|g_1(t_1, x_1, \bar{x}_1) - g_1(t_1, x_2, \bar{x}_2)| \leq L_1 [|x_1 - x_2| + |\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2|] \quad \forall t_1 \in I, \quad (6)$$

$x_1, x_2, \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k_1 : I \times I \times \mathbb{R}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\mu$ is a continuous and it satisfies a Lipschitz condition

$$|k_1(t_1, s_1, u) - k_1(t_1, s_1, v)| \leq L_2 [|u - v|] \quad \forall t_1, s_1 \in I, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R} \quad (7)$$

Which gives the function $x_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\mu$ to satisfies,

$$|{}^c D^\rho x_2(t_1) - g_1(t_1, x_2(t_1), (Sx_2)t_1)| \leq \varphi(t) \quad (8)$$

for all $t_1 \in I$, where $\varphi : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function with

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} \varphi(s_1) ds \right| \leq K_1 \varphi(t) \quad (9)$$

for all $t_1 \in I$, then there exists a function $x_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\mu$. such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_0(t_1) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} g_1(s_1, x_0(s_1), (Sx_0)(s_1)) ds_1 \\
 & - \frac{b_1}{(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} g_1(s_1, x_0(s_1), (Sx_0)(s_1)) ds_1 \\
 & + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t} T(t_1 - t_i) I_i[y(t_i)]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|x(t_1) - x_0(t)| \leq \frac{K_1}{1 - \Omega_1} \varphi(t) \quad \forall t_1 \in I \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let X_1 indicate the set of all continuous functions on I . We set a generalized complete metric (see [14]) on X_1 as follows

$$d(u, v) = \inf \{C \in [0, \infty] \mid |u(t_1) - v(t_1)| \leq C\varphi(t_1) \quad \forall t_1 \in I\} \tag{11}$$

Define an operator $\Lambda : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda u)(t_1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} g_1(s_1, u(s_1), (Su)(s_1)) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{b_1}{(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s)^{\rho-1} g_1(s, u(s), (Su)(s_1)) ds_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t_1} T(t_1 - t_i) I_i[y(t_i)] \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for all $t_1 \in I$ and $f \in X_1$.

Now we check that Λ is strictly contractive on X_1 .

For any $u, v \in X_1$, let $C_{fg} \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(u, v) \leq C_{uv}$, that is, by (11) we have

$$|u(t_1) - v(t_1)| \leq C_{uv}\varphi(t_1) \tag{13}$$

for any $t_1 \in I$.

It then follows from (6), (7), (9), (12) and (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Lambda u)t_1 - (\Lambda v)t_1| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} |g(s_1, u(s_1), (Su)(s_1)) - G(s_1, v(s_1), (Sv)(s_1))| ds_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{b_1}{(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} |g(s_1, u(s_1), (Su)(s_1)) - G(s_1, v(s_1), (Sv)(s_1))| ds_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n |T(t_1 - t_i)| |I_i[g(t_i)] - I_i[v(t_i)]| \\ & \leq \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} [|u(s_1) - v(s_1)| + |(Su)(s_1) - (Sv)(s_1)|] ds_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{b_1 L_1}{(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} [|u(s_1) - v(s_1)| + |(Su)(s_1) - (Sv)(s_1)|] ds \\ & \quad + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} M L_{I_i} |u(t_1) - v(t_1)| \\ & \leq \left[K_1(L_1 + L_1 L_2) \left(1 + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \right) + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{i=1}^n M_1 L_{I_i} \right] C_{uv}\varphi(t_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \Omega_1 C_{uv} \varphi(t)$$

for all $t_1 \in I$. That is

$$d(\Lambda u, \Lambda v) \leq \Omega_1 C_{uv} \varphi(t_1).$$

Hence we can conclude that

$$d(\Lambda u, \Lambda v) \leq \Omega_1 d(u, v)$$

for any $u, v \in X_1$. It follows from (12) that for an arbitrary $v_0 \in X_1$, there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ with

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Lambda v_0)(t_1) - v_0(t_1)| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} g_1(s_1, v_0(s_1), (Sv_0)(s_1)) ds_1 \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t} T(t_1 - t_i) I_i[x_2(t_i)] \\ & \quad - \frac{b_1}{(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} g_1(s_1, v_0(s_1), (Sv_0)(s_1)) ds_1 \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} - v_0(t_1) \right| \\ & \leq C \varphi(t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t_1 \in I$, since $g_1(t_1, v_0(t), (Sv_0)(t))$ and $v_0(t)$ are enclosed on I and $\min_{t_1 \in I} \varphi(t) > 0$.

Thus (11) gives that

$$d(\Lambda g_0, g_0) < \infty$$

Since, the according to theorem (2.2), there exists a continuous function $x_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\mu$ such that the sequence $\{\Lambda^n v_0\}$ converges to x_0 in (X_1, d) and $\Lambda x_0 = x_0$, that is, x_0 is a solution of (1.1) for all $t \in I$.

We want to verify that

$$\{v \in X_1 | d(v_0, v) < \infty\} = X_1$$

Since v and v_0 both of them are enclosed on I , for any $v \in X_1$, and $\min_{t_1 \in I} \varphi(t_1) > 0$, there exists a constant $0 < C_v < \infty$. such that

$$|v_0(t_1) - v(t_1)| \leq C_v$$

So, we get $d(v_0, v) < \infty$ for all $v \in X_1$. That is $\{g \in X_1 | d(v_0, v) < \infty\} = X_1$.

The theorem (2.2), gives the x_0 is the unique continuous function with the property (10).

From the equation (8) we show that,

$$-\varphi(t_1) \leq {}^c D_{a+}^\rho x_2(t_1) - g_1(t_1, x_2(t), (Sx_2)(t_1)) \leq \varphi(t_1) \quad (14)$$

for all $t_1 \in I$.

We obtain by integrating each component in the preceding inequality and substituting the boundary conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| x_2(t_1) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1)) ds_1 \right. \\ & + \frac{b_1}{(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^T (T - s_1)^{\rho-1} g(s_1, x_2(s_1), (Sx_2)(s_1)) ds_1 \\ & \left. - \frac{c_1}{a_1 + b_1} - \frac{a_1}{a_1 + b_1} \sum_{0 < t_i < t} T(t_1 - t_i) I_i[x_2(t_i)] \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s_1)^{\rho-1} \varphi(s_1) ds_1 \end{aligned}$$

for any $t_1 \in I$.

Thus, by (9) and (12), we get

$$|x_2(t_1) - (\Lambda x_2)(t_1)| \leq K_1 \varphi(t_1)$$

for each $t_1 \in I$, which implies that

$$d(x_2, \Lambda x_2) \leq K_1 \varphi(t_1). \tag{15}$$

By using theorem (2.2), and (15), we prove that,

$$d(x_2, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \Omega_1} d(x_2, \Lambda x_2) \leq \frac{K_1}{1 - \Omega_1} \varphi(t) \tag{16}$$

Accordingly, this yields the inequality (10) for all $t_1 \in I$. □

REFERENCES

1. R.P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra, and S. Hamanani, *A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions*, Acta Appl. Math. **109** (2010), 973-1033.
2. M. Akkouchi, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of nonlinear Volterra integral equations via a fixed point approach*, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. **26** (2011), 257-266.
3. A. Anguraj, P. Karthikeyan, G.M. NGuerkata, *Nonlocal Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract integrodifferential equations in Banach space*, Commn. Math. Anal. **6** (2009), 1-6.
4. A. Anguraj, A. Vinodkumar, K. Malar, *Existence and Stability Results for Random Impulsive Fractional Pantograph Equations*, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia, 2016, 3839-3854.
5. D. Baleanu, Z.B. Gunvenuc, J.A.T. Machdo, *New Trends in Nanotechnology and Fractional Calculus Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 2010.
6. D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas, J.J. Trujillo, *Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods*, Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos, World Scientific, Singapore, 2012.
7. D. Baleanu, J.A. Tenreiro Machado, A.C.J. Luo, *Fractional Dynamics and Control*, Springer, Berlin, 2012.

8. B. Bonilla, M. Rivero, L. Rodriguez-Germa, J.J. Trujillo, *Fractional differential equations as alternative models to nonlinear differential equations*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **86** (2007), 79-88.
9. L. Cadariu, V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **4** (2003).
10. D.N. Chalishajar, K. Malar, R. Ilavarasi, *Existence and Controllability Results of Impulsive Neutral Fractional Integro-differential Equations with Sectorial operator and Infinite Delay*, Journal of Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive system series A: Mathematical Analysis **28** (2011), 77-106.
11. J.B. Diaz, B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 305-309.
12. K. Diethelm, A.D. Freed, *On the solution of nonlinear fractional order differential equation used in the modeling of viscoplasticity*, in: F. Keil, W. Maskens, H. Voss (Eds). *Scientific computing in chemical Engineering II-Computational Fluid Dynamics and Molecular Properties*, Springer-Verlag, Heideberg, 1999.
13. D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **27** (1941), 222-224.
14. S.M. Jung, *A fixed point approach to the stability of differential equations $y'(t) = G(x,y)$* , Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **33** (2010), 47-56.
15. P. Karthikeyan, *Some results for boundary value problem of an integrodifferential equations with fractional order*, Dynamic Systems and Applications **20** (2004), 17-24.
16. K. Karthikeyan, J.J. Trujillo, *Existence and uniqueness results for fractional integrodifferential equations with boundary value conditions*, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation **17** (2012), 4037-4043.
17. A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
18. J. Klamka, *Controllability of Dynamical Systems*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1993.
19. V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, J. Vasundhara Devi, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cambridge, 2009.
20. V. Lakshmikantham, A.S. Vatsala, *Basic theory of fractional differential equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), 2677-2682.
21. V. Lakshmikantham, *Theory of fractional functional differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), 3337-3343.
22. W. Lin, *Global existence theory and chaos control of fractional differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **332** (2007), 709-726.
23. K.S. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equation*, Wiley, New York, 1993.
24. P. Muniyappan, S. Rajan, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of fractional differential equations*, Internat. J. Pure Appl. Math. **102** (2015), 631-642.
25. P. Muniyappan, S. Rajan, *Stability of a class of fractional integro-differential equation with nonlocal initial condition*, Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae **LXXXVII 1** (2018), 85-95.
26. P. Muniyappan, S. Rajan, *Stability of a class of fractional integro differential equation*, Fixed Point Theory **20** (2019), 591-600.
27. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
28. I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, San Diego Academic Press, New York, 1999.
29. S. Rajan, P. Muniyappan, C. Park, S. Yun, J.R. Lee, *Stability of fractional differential equation with boundary conditions*, J. Comp. Anal and Appl. **23-4** (2017), 750-757.
30. Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Soc. **72** (1978), 297-300.
31. D.R. Smart, *Fixed point Theorems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge **66**, 1980.

32. S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and derivatives, Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Yverden, 1993.
33. D. Tamizharasan, K. Karthikeyan, *Controllability results for fractional integrodifferential systems with boundary conditions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **52** (2021), 39–45.
34. S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Rend. Chap.VI, Wiley, New York, 1940.
35. A. Vinodkumar, K. Malar, M. Gowrisankar, P. Mohankumar, *Existence, uniqueness and stability of random impulsive fractional differential equations*, Journal of Acta Mathematica Scientia **36** (2006), 428-442.
36. S. Zhang, *Positive solutions for boundary value problems for nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Elec. J. Diff. Eqn. **36** (2006), 1-12.
37. Y. Zhou, F. Jiao, F. Li, *Existence and uniqueness for fractional neutral differential equations with infinite delay*, Nonlinear Analysis **71** (2009), 3249-3256.
38. Y. Zhou, F. Jiao, *Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59** (2010), 1063-1077.

K. Malar is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematics. She completed her Ph.D. from Bharathiar University and has got 18 years of teaching experience with more than 20 M.Phil., Scholars under her guideship, her areas of specialization include Impulsive Dynamical Systems, Fractional Differential Systems and Stochastic Differential Equations. She has more than 20 papers in peer-reviewed journals and has participated in various national, international conferences and she has participated and presented a paper in International Congress at Beijing, China. She has Completed UGC- Minor Research Project in Non-instantaneous Impulsive Systems. She is the life-member in Indian Mathematical Society and Indian Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics.

Department of Mathematics, Erode Arts and Science College, Rangampalayam, Erode, India.

e-mail: malarganesaneac@gmail.com

C. Gowrisankar is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematics. He completed his M.Phil., from Bharathiar University and he completed SET Examination in 2017. He has got more than 12 years of teaching experience in various colleges. His areas of specialization include Impulsive Fractional Differential Systems and Fuzzy system. He has published more than 05 papers in peer-reviewed journals and has participated in various national, international conferences.

Department of Mathematics, Erode Arts and Science College, Rangampalayam, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

e-mail: c.gowrisankar82@gmail.com