



Effects of Job Satisfaction on Organizational Commitment and Turnover Intention Among Vietnamese Employees in Foreign Direct Investment Enterprises

Thi Phuong Diu TRAN¹, Thi Van Khanh NGUYEN², Thanh Quang DO³, Cong Nghiep NGUYEN⁴,
Thu Thuy LUONG⁵

Received: August 12, 2022. Revised: September 06, 2022. Accepted: October 05, 2022.

Abstract

Purpose: This article focuses on exploring the associations between job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention. Specifically, this study estimates the impacts of Vietnamese employees' job satisfaction on their organizational commitment and turnover intention in FDI enterprises. **Research design, data and methodology:** The measures are adapted from previous studies to develop a questionnaire with a seven-point Likert scale. The dataset is directly collected from 227 respondents who are employees at FDI enterprises situated in the North of Vietnam. The dataset is analyzed by quantitative approaches using SPSS 24.0 and AMOS 24.0. **Results:** The results show that while turnover intention is positively correlated with monthly income, it is negatively correlated with job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Also, organizational commitment is positively associated with job satisfaction among employees at FDI enterprises in Vietnam. **Conclusions:** The findings of this study will serve as useful references for administrators of FDI enterprises and policymakers to promote employees' job satisfaction and retain skilled employees.

Keywords : job satisfaction, organizational commitment, turnover intention, FDI enterprises

JEL Classification Code: J64, M10, M12.

1. Introduction

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) is a form of long-term investment by individuals or companies from one country to another, in the form of establishing production and business

bases. The foreign individual or company manages this production and business establishment. According to the World Trade Organization (WTO), FDI occurs when an investor from one country (the host country) acquires an asset in another country (the destination country), along with

Acknowledgements: We sincerely thank Vietnamese employees, who has been working for Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) enterprises in Vietnam, who took part in the survey voluntarily as well as introduced their colleagues/co-workers to join.

- 1 First Author, Lecturer, Finance Academy, Hanoi, Vietnam. Email: tranphuongdiu@hvtc.edu.vn.
- 2 Corresponding Author, Hanoi University of Business and Technology, Email: hubt.vankhanh@gmail.com.
- 3 Third Author. Sales manager, Tien Dat Trading and Production Company Limited. Email: ncsdothanhquang1973@gmail.com.

- 4 Fourth Author. Lecturer, Hanoi University of Business and Technology. Email: nguyencongnghiepbtc@gmail.com.
- 5 Fifth Author. Lecturer, Finance Academy. Email: luongthuy@hvtc.edu.vn.

© Copyright: The Author(s)
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the right to manage that asset. The management aspect is the feature that distinguishes FDI from other financial instruments. FDI enterprises make a significant contribution to the growth of a national economy (Tri et al., 2020) via financial investment, technology transfer, and advanced techniques (Asiedu, 2002). Also, FDI enterprises provide capital sources for the economy, improve production resources, enhance the business ecosystem, foster healthy competitiveness, and diversify the various components of the economy. Thus, FDI enterprises play an important role in economic development, especially in emerging countries such as Vietnam. Human capital, for example workers or employees, is specified as a special and valuable asset, as well as the most reasonable investment of any firm (Wang et al., 2020; Brien, 2010). Thus, understanding how to attract and retain skilled and talented people is crucial to achieving success and developing enterprises sustainably (Buera & Kaboski, 2012). Nevertheless, FDI enterprises in Vietnam face many obstacles when attempting to attract high-quality personnel (Tri et al., 2020) due to factors such as a low salary (Arnolds & Boshoff, 2001), the slim prospects of career promotion (Sempene et al., 2002), or high pressure from work (Khantimirov & Karande, 2018). Employee turnover has become a big challenge for FDI companies in Vietnam. Thus, it is important to establish the main factors that lead to turnover intention in FDI enterprises.

Job satisfaction is a critical factor in attracting talented employees and retaining a skilled workforce (Stamolampros et al., 2020), while turnover has important effects (both positive and negative) on organizations, individuals, and society (Phillips & Connell, 2003). There are many reasons why employees wish to leave an organization (Gaylard et al., 2005), but job satisfaction and organizational commitments are often mentioned as the influential antecedents of this intention and behavior (Luz et al., 2016).

So far, almost all of the previous studies that have examined the factors that influence employee turnover intention have been conducted in the context of tourism (Wang et al., 2020; Jung et al., 2020), IT (Seema et al., 2020), child welfare (Li et al., 2020), or education (Inegbedion et al., 2020). To the best of our knowledge, the relationship between job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention in FDI enterprises has received little attention. Thus, this study focuses on that precise topic.

2. Literature Review

Turnover intention is not only regarded as a warning sign of employees who plan to leave their workplaces, but it is also seen as a factor that alter in employees within the company and in working positions might be effectively estimated (Brown & Peterson, 1993). Thus, employee

turnover intention is the intent of organizational members to leave or quit their current work (Jung et al., 2020). This intention can result in many serious consequences for a company if they do not employ preventative measures as there is a significant and strong correlation between turnover intention and actual behavior (Cotton & Tuttle, 1986; Cho & Lewis, 2011). Employee turnover intention, therefore, can be acknowledged as an effective precursor of actual behavior, and it has thus often been utilized as a dependent variable in prior studies (Park & Min, 2020; Li et al., 2020).

Many previous studies have demonstrated that higher organizational commitment is closely and significantly related to decreasing employee turnover intention (e.g., Duong, 2022, Erdil & Müceldili, 2014; Tnay et al., 2013). This factor is considered one of the most influential psychological variables in decreasing employees' turnover intention (Jones & Harter, 2005; Shin & Jeung, 2019). In other words, employees who are actively and enthusiastically committed to their organization have relatively little intention of leaving their current jobs (Hughes & Rog, 2008).

In addition, job satisfaction is frequently defined as an individual's attitude or feelings about their job or job experience (Locke, 1976). It is also determined as "an individual-level factor reflecting one's effects and emotions towards his or her job" (Li et al., 2020, p.2). Previous studies have reported a negative correlation between job satisfaction and turnover intention (e.g., Larrabee et al., 2003; Chou, 2012; Adera & Bullock, 2010; Pérez et al., 2015; Schur et al., 2017). Employees are satisfied when their organization provides development opportunities and flexibility in work (Duong et al., 2021, Zopiatis et al., 2014). If this is the case, they exhibit greater organizational commitment and decrease their intention to leave the organization (Kim & Brymer, 2011). In other words, the increase in job satisfaction is of great significance to the reduction of turnover intention of employees (Wang et al., 2020).

Finally, job satisfaction can create positive relationships with employees and retain more engaged employees in the workplace (Tett & Meyer, 1993). Employees who are satisfied with their work tend to stay, in contrast to others who are less satisfied and less likely to stay for any length of time in their organization (Samad & Yusuf, 2012). Employees who experience positive situations in the workplace will be more satisfied with their job, and ultimately this job satisfaction will enhance organizational commitment (Samad & Yusuf, 2012). Thus, job satisfaction is seen as one of the most important factors that can shape organizational commitment among employees (Samad & Yusuf, 2012). In other words, a person with a high level of job satisfaction has positive feelings and emotions in relation to their job, and higher organizational commitment.

From these above arguments, in the context of FDI enterprises in Vietnam, the following hypotheses are proposed:

- H1:** Organizational commitment is negatively related to turnover intention.
- H2:** Job satisfaction is negatively related to turnover intention.
- H3:** Job satisfaction is positively related to organizational commitment.




Figure 1: Research model

3. Methodology

The study questionnaire was directly delivered to employees working for FDI enterprises situated in Northern Vietnam (Bac Ninh, Hung Yen, Bac Giang, Vinh Phuc, Hanoi). The survey was conducted from 20 May to 20 June 2022. The survey team received support from supervisors and managers in various departments at Samsung Electronics Vietnam, Han Sung Vina, Everpia Vietnam, Texco Investment (VN) Company Limited, etc. Employees were selected randomly in order to guarantee the representativeness of the sample. Each employee who participated in the survey received a small gift after they had completed the questionnaire.

Beside demographic information, 16 items (statements) were designed on a seven-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = somewhat disagree, 4 = neutral, 5 = somewhat agree, 6 = agree, 7 = strongly agree), focused on three factors (job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention). All the scales and items were modified from prior studies (Wang et al., 2020; Jung et al., 2020; Seema et al., 2020) and they are clearly represented and encoded in Tables 2 and 3. The replications and full answers are recommended to ensure that the dataset does not contain missing data. All of the responses were imported into Excel software before analyzing them with SPSS 24.0 and AMOS 24.0. After excluding unsatisfactory questionnaires, there were 227 valid responses.

The dataset was collected via a two-sectional survey: the first section explores employees’ degree of agreement with statements concerning their job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention; the second section focuses on collecting the employees’ demographic characteristics: gender, age, years of working for the company, education, and monthly income (Table 1). The questionnaire took around 10 minutes to complete. The questionnaire and answers are attached in the supplementary files.

The dataset consists of the employees’ personal characteristics and three factors: (1) job satisfaction, (2) organizational commitment, and (3) turnover intention.

Table 1: Respondents’ characteristics

Variables		Frequency	%
Gender	Male	117	51.5
	Female	110	48.5
Age	18–24 years old	2	0.9
	25–34 years old	50	22.0
	35–44 years old	143	63.0
	45–54 years old	27	11.9
	>55 years old	5	2.2
Years of working for the company	<1	24	10.6
	1–3	88	38.8
	4–6	93	41.0
	7–10	13	5.7
	>10	9	4.0
Education	High school and below	38	16.7
	Bachelor’s degree	169	74.4
	Master’s degree	20	20
Monthly income	< 300 USD	12	5.3
	301–600 USD	23	10.1
	601–900 USD	55	24.2
	901–1500 USD	94	41.4
	>15000	43	18.9

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Normality, Validity and Reliability of Scales

Table 2 shows that the skewness of all items was lower than 3 while kurtosis values were less than 8. Thus, all the scales achieved univariate normality (Hair et al., 2010). Empirical studies suggest that Cronbach’s alpha, exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and confirmatory factor analysis should be used to estimate the reliability and validity of each scale (Doanh & Bernat, 2019a). In EFA, there are two types of rotation: orthogonal rotation (which is a perpendicular rotation method, often using Varimax combined with the method of Principal Components

Analysis) and oblique rotation (which is a non-perpendicular rotation method, usually using Promax combined with the Principal Axis Factoring method). In social science research, orthogonal rotation is most commonly used because it is often the default in popular statistical software programs such as SPSS. Furthermore, the orthogonal rotation method makes it easier for analysts to interpret the results (James, 2009). However, the orthogonal rotation method has the disadvantage that it can

give erroneous solutions in situations where these factors are expected to be correlated. Furthermore, when EFA is used as a pre-analysis of CFA, bevel rotation is likely to be appropriate with CFA rather than orthogonal rotation (for example, binding between uncorrelated factors in CFA often makes the model less suitable). In this study, the authors performed EFA with the oblique rotation method as a pre-analysis for the CFA in the next step.

Table 2: Scales and descriptive results of participants' responses

Code	Variables	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis
OC	Organizational Commitment (Seema et al., 2020)	3.85	1.213	0.130	-0.562
OC1	I would be very happy to spend the rest of my career with this department	4.01	1.475	0.126	-0.788
OC2	This organization deserves my loyalty	3.66	1.453	0.284	-0.575
OC3	This department has a great deal of personal meaning for me	3.91	1.498	-0.183	-0.885
OC4	I owe a great deal to my organization	3.81	1.511	0.093	-0.822
JS	Job Satisfaction (Wang et al., 2020)	5.07	1.148	-0.816	0.955
JS1	In my job, I feel that I am doing something worthwhile	5.00	1.391	-0.610	-0.125
JS2	I feel that my job is interesting	4.87	1.350	-0.497	-0.170
JS3	I feel that my job is satisfying	5.38	1.378	-1.013	0.801
JS4	If I had to do it all over again, I would choose this job	5.03	1.332	-0.613	-0.100
TI	Turnover Intention (Jung et al., 2020)	3.28	1.381	0.486	-0.666
TI1	I sometimes feel compelled to quit my job in my current workplace	3.15	1.588	1.588	-0.700
TI2	I will quit my job at my current organization in 1 year or less	2.82	1.525	1.525	0.209
TI3	I am currently seriously considering leaving my current job to work at another company	3.71	1.885	1.885	-1.324
TI4	I will quit this company if the given condition gets even a little worse than now	3.42	1.645	1.645	-1.131

Table 3 presents Cronbach's alpha values of all the scales and the pattern matrix, which consists of the factor loadings of each item. Moreover, the EFA indicated that KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy) = 0.846, Chi-square of Bartlett's Test of Sphericity = 1397.510, $df = 66$, Sig. of Bartlett's Test of

Sphericity = 0.000, Initial Eigenvalues = 1.017, and Cumulative (%) = 69.907. Although TI1 and TI2 have low loading factors (0.598 and 0.457, respectively), Hair et al. (2014) suggest that these values are acceptable since the sample size is larger than 200.

Table 3: Cronbach's alpha of scales and pattern matrix

Code	Variables	Cronbach's Alpha	Pattern Matrix		
			F1	F2	F3
OC	Organizational Commitment (Seema et al., 2020)	0.834			
OC1	I would be very happy to spend the rest of my career with this department	0.781		0.766	
OC2	This organization deserves my loyalty	0.770		0.806	
OC3	This department has a great deal of personal meaning for me	0.793		0.723	
OC4	I owe a great deal to my organization	0.817		0.721	
JS	Job Satisfaction (Wang et al., 2020)	0.864			
JS1	In my job, I feel that I am doing something worthwhile	0.821	0.716		
JS2	I feel that my job is interesting	0.832	0.795		
JS3	I feel that my job is satisfying	0.823	0.818		
JS4	If I had to do it all over again, I would choose this job	0.828	0.772		
TI	Turnover Intention (Jung et al., 2020)	0.848			
TI1	I sometimes feel compelled to quit my job in my current workplace	0.804			0.598
TI2	I will quit my job at my current organization in 1 year or less	0.848			0.457
TI3	I am currently seriously considering leaving my current job to work at another	0.793			0.919

	company			
TI4	I will quit this company if the given condition gets even a little worse than now	0.776		0.863

The results of the CFA (measurement model) determined that Chi-square = 120.388, Chi-square/df = 2.508; GFI = 0.919; CFI = 0.947; TLI = 0.927, NFI = 0.916; and RMSEA = 0.082. Thus, the model fit was achieved (Hair et al., 2010). The results for the reliability and validity of each scale are presented in Table 4. The composite reliability (CR) and average variance extracted (AVE) values are higher than 0.5, thus all the measures possess reliability and discriminant validity (Hu & Bentler, 1999). Furthermore,

Fornell–Larcker analysis was also employed to examine the discriminant validity of all the scales (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2014). Specifically, discriminant validity is established if the square root of the AVE of each construct is greater than its highest correlation with any other construct. As shown in Table 4, all of the constructs in the model possess discriminant validity.

Table 4: Estimate the reliability and validity of each scale

	CR	AVE	MSV	JS	OC	TI
Job satisfaction	0.863	0.613	0.537	0.783		
Organizational commitment	0.835	0.561	0.068	0.260	0.749	
Turnover intention	0.853	0.594	0.537	-0.733	-0.243	0.771

Note: N=227, AVE: Average Variance Extracted; CR: Composite reliability; MSV: Maximum shared Variance; Max(H): Bold value in correlation coefficient matrix; Square root of AVE




Figure 2: Structural Model

The structural model is illustrated in Figure 2, where Chi-square = 191.307; Chi-square/df = 1.952, GFI = 0.909, CFI = 0.934, TLI = 0.909 and RMSEA = 0.065.

Table 5 demonstrates the correlations between variables. The results show that monthly income was positively correlated with turnover intention ($\gamma = 0.206$; p-value = $0.001 < 0.01$), while the other controlling variables (gender, age, education, years of working) were not related to the

intention to leave the organization. The results also show that job satisfaction ($\gamma = -0.856$; p-value < 0.001) and organizational commitment ($\gamma = -0.244$; p-value = $0.005 < 0.01$) were negatively associated with turnover intention. Moreover, job satisfaction was found to be positively correlated with organizational commitment ($\gamma = -0.244$; p-value = $0.005 < 0.01$).

Table 5: Correlations between variables

Paths		Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P-value
Gender	→ Turnover intention	0.113	0.132	0.854	0.393
Age	→ Turnover intention	0.022	0.097	0.230	0.818
Monthly income	→ Turnover intention	0.206	0.064	3.200	0.001
Education	→ Turnover intention	0.185	0.134	1.385	0.166
Years of working	→ Turnover intention	0.131	0.073	1.780	0.075
Job satisfaction	→ Turnover intention	-0.856	0.100	-8.525	***
Organization commitment	→ Turnover intention	-0.244	0.087	-2.815	0.005
Job satisfaction	→ Organization commitment	0.228	0.070	3.270	0.001

Note: *** < 0.001 .

Many previous studies have suggested that the bootstrapping approach should be used to estimate the indirect relationship (e.g. Doanh, 2021; Doanh & Bernat, 2019b). Thus, 2,000 bootstrapping samples with a confidence degree of 95% were employed to indicate the indirect path. The findings showed that the relationship between job satisfaction and turnover intention was partially mediated by organizational commitment ($\gamma_{\text{indirect JS-TI}} = -0.056$; p-value = $0.014 < 0.05$).

5. Conclusion

The main objective of this research is to explore the associations between job satisfaction, organizational commitment and turnover intention among Vietnamese employees of FDI enterprises in Vietnam. Our findings demonstrate that job satisfaction and organizational commitment are negatively associated with turnover intention, while job satisfaction is positively related to organizational commitment. These findings are consistent with many previous studies (Jones & Harter, 2005; Shin & Jeung, 2019; Hughes & Rog, 2008; Adera & Bullock, 2010). In addition, these results confirm that in order to retain skilled employees, FDI enterprises should take measures to improve job satisfaction and organizational commitment, for example by enhancing the working environment and increasing career promotion opportunities. Furthermore, the results also show that monthly income is positively and significantly associated with turnover intention; thus, offering a relatively low salary is a huge obstacle to retaining talented employees in FDI enterprises.

Although this study makes a significant contribution to both theoretical and practical perspectives, there are several limitations to our research. First, the sample of this study is rather small, and further studies should increase the size of the sample. Second, this study only focuses on two antecedents of turnover intention: job satisfaction and organizational commitment. However, there is still the question of which other factors influence the job satisfaction and organizational commitment of employees in FDI enterprises, thus future research should expand the research model to fill this gap.

References

- Adera, B. A., & Bullock, L. M. (2010). Job stressors and teacher job satisfaction in programs serving students with emotional and behavioral disorders. *Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties*, 15(1), 5–14. doi:10.1080/13632750903512365 .
- Arnolds, C. A., & Boshoff, C. (2001). The challenge of motivating top management: a need satisfaction perspective. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 27(1). doi:10.4102/sajip.v27i1.773
- Asiedu, E. (2002). On the determinants of foreign direct investment to developing countries: Is Africa different? *World Development*, 30(1), 107–119. doi:10.1016/s0305-750x(01)00100-0
- Brien, A., Thomas, N. J., & Brown, E. A. (2017). How hotel employee job-identity impacts the hotel industry: The uncomfortable truth. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 31, 235–243.
- Brown, S.P., Peterson, P.A., (1993). Antecedents and consequences of salesperson job satisfaction: meta-analysis and assessment of causal effects. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 30(1), 63–77.

- Buera, F. J., & Kaboski, J. P. (2012). The rise of the service economy. *The American Economic Review*, 102(6), 2540–2569.
- Doanh, D.C., & Bernat, T. (2019a). Factors related to the intention of starting a business: A study among students in Vietnam. *Problemy Zarzadzania – Management Issues*, 17, 6(86), 133 – 157. <https://doi.org/10.7172/1644-9584.86.8>
- Doanh, D. C., & Bernat, T. (2019b). Entrepreneurial self-efficacy and intention among vietnamese students: a meta-analytic path analysis based on the theory of planned behavior. *Procedia Computer Science*, 159, 2447–2460. doi:10.1016/j.procs.2019.09.420
- Doanh, D.C. (2021). The moderating role of self-efficacy on the cognitive process of entrepreneurship: An empirical study in Vietnam. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Innovation*, 17(1), 00-00. <https://doi.org/10.7341/20211715>
- Duong, C.D. (2022). Duong, C.D. (2022). Entrepreneurial fear of failure and the attitude-intention-behavior gap in entrepreneurship: A moderated mediation model. *The International Journal of Management Education*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2022.100707>
- Duong, C.D., Tomasz, B., Nguyen, T.H., Nguyen, B.N., Nguyen, T.P.L. (2021). Academic entrepreneurship: An empirical research of invention commercialization. *Central European Business Review*, 10(4), 33-62. <https://doi.org/10.18267/j.cebr.265>
- Chou, R. J.-A. (2012). Resident-Centered Job Satisfaction and Turnover Intent Among Direct Care Workers in Assisted Living. *Research on Aging*, 34(3), 337–364. doi:10.1177/0164027511428456.
- Cotton, J.L., Tuttle, J.M. (1986). Employee turnover: a meta-analysis and review with implications for research. *Academy Management Review*, 11(1), 55–70.
- Erdil, O., Muceldili, B. (2014). The effects of envy on job engagement and turnover intention. *Procedia- Social behavioral Science*, 150, 447–454.
- Gaylard, M., Sutherland, M., & Viedge, C. (2005). The factors perceived to influence the retention of information technology workers. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 36(3), 87-97.
- Hair, J. F., Jr., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis: A global perspective* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education International, Prentice Hall.
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cut-off criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6(1), 1–55.
- Hughes, J.C., Rog, E., 2008. Talent management: a strategy for improving employee recruitment, retention and engagement within hospitality organizations. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 20(7), 743–757
- Inegbedion, H., Inegbedion, E., Peter, A., & Harry, L. (2020). Perception of workload balance and employee job satisfaction in work organisations. *Heliyon*, 6(1), e03160. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03160
- James (2009). Choosing the right type of rotation in PCA and EFA, Shiken: JALT Testing & Evaluation SIG Newsletter.
- Jones, J.R., Harter, J.K. (2005). Race effects on the employee engagement: turnover intention relationship. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 11(2), 78–88.
- Jung, H. S., Jung, Y. S., & Yoon, H. H. (2021). COVID-19: The effects of job insecurity on the job engagement and turnover intent of deluxe hotel employees and the moderating role of generational characteristics. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 92, 102703. doi:10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102703
- Khantimirov, D., & Karande, K. (2018). Complaint as a persuasion attempt: Front line employees’ perceptions of complaint legitimacy. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 43, 68–76.
- Kim, W. G., & Brymer, R. A. (2011). The effects of ethical leadership on manager job satisfaction, commitment, behavioral outcomes, and firm performance. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 30(4), 1020–1026. doi:10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.03.008
- Larrabee, J., Janney, M., Ostrow, C., Withrow, M., Hobbs, G., & Burant, C. (2003). Predicting registered nurse job satisfaction and intent to leave. *The Journal of Nursing Administration*, 33(5), 271–283.
- Li, Y., Huang, H., & Chen, Y.-Y. (2020). Organizational climate, job satisfaction, and turnover in voluntary child welfare workers. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 119, 105640. doi:10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105
- Ramallo Luz, C. M. D., Luiz de Paula, S., & de Oliveira, L. M. B. (2018). Organizational commitment, job satisfaction and their possible influences on intent to turnover. *Revista de Gestão*, 25(1), 84–101. doi:10.1108/rege-12-2017-008
- Pérez, V., Alcover, C.-M., & Chambel, M. J. (2015). Job attitudes among workers with disabilities: The importance of family support in addition to organizational support. *Work*, 51(4), 817–826. doi:10.3233/wor-141905.
- Phillips, J.J. & Connell, A.O. (2003). *Managing employee retention: A strategic accountability approach*. Butterworth-Heinemann, Elsevier, Chelsea
- Sempane, M. E., Rieger, H. S., & Roodt, G. (2002). Job satisfaction in relation to organisational culture. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 28(2). doi:10.4102/sajip.v28i2.49
- Sager, J. K., Yi, J., & Futrell, C. M. (1998). A model depicting salespeople’s perceptions. *Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management*, 18, 1–18.
- Samad, S., & Yusuf, S. Y. M. (2012). The role of organizational commitment in mediating the relationship between job satisfaction and turnover intention. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 30(1), 125–135.
- Schur, L., Han, K., Kim, A., Ameri, M., Blanck, P., and Kruse, D. (2017). Disability at work: a look back and forward. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 27, 482–497. doi: 10.1007/s10926-017-9739-5
- Seema, Choudhary, V., & Saini, G. (2021). Effect of job satisfaction on moonlighting intentions: Mediating effect of organizational commitment. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, 27(1), 100137. doi:10.1016/j.iedeen.2020.100137
- Shin, I., Jeung, C.W., (2019). Uncovering the turnover intention of proactive employees: the mediating role of work engagement and the moderated mediating role of job autonomy.

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(5), 1–16, 843

- Stamolampros, P., Korfiatis, N., Chalvatzis, K., & Buhalis, D. (2019). Job satisfaction and employee turnover determinants in high contact services: Insights from employees' online reviews. *Tourism Management*, 75, 130–147. doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2019.04.030
- Tett, R. P., & Meyer, J. P. (1993). Job satisfaction, organizational commitment, turnover intention, and turnover: path analyses based on meta-analytic findings. *Personnel Psychology*, 46(2), 259–293.
- Tri, H.T., Ngoc-Tan, N., Gregar, A., & Pavelkova, D. (2020). Motivators and its impacts on job satisfaction in FDI companies - A case study of Eurowindow Vietnam. Management & Marketing. *Challenges for the Knowledge Society*, 15(1), 109–124. doi: 10.2478/mmcks-2020-0007.
- Tnay, E., Abg Othman, A.E., Siong, H.C., Lim, S.L.O. (2013). The influences of job satisfaction and organizational commitment on turnover intention. *Procedia-Social and Behavioural Science*, 97, 201–208.
- Vandenberg, R. J., & Nelson, J. B. (1999). Disaggregating the motives underlying turnover intention. When do intentions predict turnover behavior? *Human Relations*, 52(10), 1313–1336. doi: 10.1023/A:1016964515185
- Wang, C., Xu, J., Zhang, T. C., & Li, Q. M. (2020). Effects of professional identity on turnover intention in China's hotel employees: The mediating role of employee engagement and job satisfaction. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 45, 10–22. doi:10.1016/j.jhtm.2020.07.002
- Zopiatis, A., Constanti, P., & Theodorou, A. L. (2014). Job involvement, commitment, satisfaction and turnover: Evidence from hotel employees in Cyprus. *Tourism Management*, 41, 129–140. doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2013.09.013