# BROUWER DEGREE FOR MEAN FIELD EQUATION ON GRAPH 

Yang Liu

Abstract. Let $u$ be a function on a connected finite graph $G=(V, E)$. We consider the mean field equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=\rho\left(\frac{h e^{u}}{\int_{V} h e^{u} d \mu}-\frac{1}{|V|}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is $\mu$-Laplacian on the graph, $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a function satisfying $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$. Following Sun and Wang [15], we use the method of Brouwer degree to prove the existence of solutions to the mean field equation (1). Firstly, we prove the compactness result and conclude that every solution to the equation (1) is uniformly bounded. Then the Brouwer degree can be well defined. Secondly, we calculate the Brouwer degree for the equation (1), say

$$
d_{\rho, h}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-1, & \rho>0 \\
1, & \rho<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consequently, the equation (1) has at least one solution due to the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h} \neq 0$.

## 1. Introduction

In a series of works [7-9], Grigor'yan, Lin and Yang solved several discrete differential equations on graphs, say the Yamabe equation, the Kazdan-Warner equation and the Schrödinger equation, by finding critical points for various functionals. Since then, by the variational method, Huang, Lin and Yau [10] solved the mean field equations on graphs, and Zhu [16] solved the mean field equations of the equilibrium turbulence on graphs. Recently, Lin and Yang [12] studied a heat flow for the mean field equation on a finite graph. Their results implied that the solution of heat flow converges to the solution of the mean field equation. Earlier results of the mean field equations on a closed Riemann surface are referred to $[5,6,14]$.

In [11], Li defined the Leray-Schauder degree for the mean fields equation on a closed Riemann surface. Chen and Lin [3] gave the specific formula of the
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Leray-Schauder degree. Recently, by the Brouwer degree, Sun and Wang [15] extended the results of $[3,11]$ to the Kazdan-Warner equations on a connected finite graph. In this paper, we only care about the existence of solutions to mean field equations on finite graphs by method of Brouwer degree [15]. To state our results, we recall some definitions on graphs. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph, where $V$ denotes the vertex set and $E$ denotes the edge set. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $G$ satisfies the following conditions (a)-(d).
(a) (Finite) There exist only finite vertexs $x \in V$.
(b) (Connected) For any $x, y \in V$, there exist finite edges connecting $x$ and $y$.
(c) (Symmetric) Let $w: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive symmetric weight, i.e., $w_{x y}>0$ and $w_{x y}=w_{y x}$ for any $x, y \in V$.
(d) (Positive finite measure) $\mu: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$defines a positive finite measure on graph $G$.

The space of real functions on $V$ is denoted by $V^{\mathbb{R}}$, which is a finite dimensional linear space due to finiteness of $G$. For any function $u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$, the $\mu$-Laplacian of $u$ at any vertex $x$ is defined by

$$
\Delta u(x)=\frac{1}{\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} w_{x y}(u(y)-u(x))
$$

where $y \sim x$ means $x y \in E$. For any function $h \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$, the integral of $h$ on $V$ is denoted by

$$
\int_{V} h d \mu=\sum_{x \in V} \mu(x) h(x),
$$

and an integral average of $h$ is denoted by

$$
\bar{h}=\frac{1}{|V|} \int_{V} h d \mu=\frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{x \in V} \mu(x) h(x)
$$

where $|V|=\sum_{x \in V} \mu(x)$ stands for the volume of $V$.
According to Liu and Yang [13], $L^{p}(V)$ on graphs is defined by

$$
L^{p}(V)=\left\{u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}:\|u\|_{L^{p}(V)}<+\infty\right\}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty
$$

where the norm of $u \in L^{p}(V)$ is defined by

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}(V)}= \begin{cases}\left(\int_{V}|u|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & 1 \leq p<\infty \\ \max _{x \in V}|u(x)|, & p=\infty\end{cases}
$$

We consider the following mean field equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=\rho\left(\frac{h e^{u}}{\int_{V} h e^{u} d \mu}-\frac{1}{|V|}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is $\mu$-Laplacian, $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, h \in V^{\mathbb{R}^{+}}$and $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$. In order to solve the equation (2), let $v=u-\log \int_{V} h e^{u} d \mu$. Then we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v=\rho h e^{v}-\frac{\rho}{|V|} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To state the Brouwer degree related to (3), according to Sun and Wang [15], we introduce a map $F_{\rho, h} \in C\left(V^{\mathbb{R}}, V^{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ denoted by

$$
F_{\rho, h}: V^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow V^{\mathbb{R}}, \quad v \mapsto-\Delta v-\rho h e^{v}+\frac{\rho}{|V|}
$$

Under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}(V)}$, the ball in $V^{\mathbb{R}}$ with center at the origin and radius $R$ is denoted by $B_{R}$. If $v_{0} \notin F_{\rho, h}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$ is a regular value, then the Brouwer degree is defined by

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{\rho, h}, B_{R}, v_{0}\right)=\sum_{v \in B_{R}, F_{\rho, h}(v)=v_{0}} \operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det}\left(D F_{\rho, h}(v)\right) .
$$

According to Chang [2], the constraint that $v_{0}$ is a regular value can be relaxed to any value, so $F_{\rho, h}$ can define the Brouwer degree as long as it satisfies $v_{0} \notin F_{\rho, h}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$. To calculate the Brouwer degree for the equation (3), by Chang [2], we introduce two lemmas as follow.

Lemma 1.1 (Homotopic invariance [2]). If $\phi: \bar{B}_{R} \times[0,1] \rightarrow V^{\mathbb{R}}$ is continuous and $v_{0} \notin \phi\left(\partial B_{R} \times[0,1]\right)$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi(\cdot, t), B_{R}, v_{0}\right)=\text { constant }
$$

Lemma 1.2 (Kronecker existence [2]). If $v_{0} \notin F_{\rho, h}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{\rho, h}, B_{R}, v_{0}\right)$ $\neq 0$, then $F_{\rho, h}^{-1}\left(v_{0}\right) \neq \varnothing$

Let $v_{0}=0$, according to Lemma 1.2, the equation (3) has at least one solution in $B_{R}$ as long as $0 \notin F_{\rho, h}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{\rho, h}, B_{R}, 0\right) \neq 0$. In order to $0 \notin F_{\rho, h}\left(\partial B_{R}\right)$, i.e., the Brouwer degree is well defined, the compactness result of the mean field equation (3) is needed.

Theorem 1.3. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph satisfying conditions (a)-(d). If $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, h \in V^{\mathbb{R}^{+}}$and $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$, then there exists a constant $C$ only depending on $h, \rho$ and $G$ such that every solution $v$ to (3) satisfies

$$
\max _{x \in V}|v(x)| \leq C .
$$

By Theorem 1.3 we conclude that there is no solution on the boundary $\partial B_{R}$ for $R$ large. Therefore, the Brouwer degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{\rho, h}, B_{R}, 0\right)$ is well defined as long as $R$ is larger than $C(\rho, h, G)$. Applying the homotopic invariance, we have that $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{\rho, h}, B_{R}, 0\right)$ is independent of $R$. Then the Brouwer degree for the equation (3) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\rho, h}:=\lim _{\mathbb{R} \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{deg}\left(F_{\rho, h}, B_{R}, 0\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ and $v$ have the same compactness result, we can prove the existence of solutions to the equation (2) by calculating the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h}$ for the equation (3). As for the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h}$, we have following results.

Theorem 1.4. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph satisfying conditions (a)-(d). If $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, h \in V^{\mathbb{R}^{+}}$and $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$, then

$$
d_{\rho, h}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-1, & \rho>0 \\
1, & \rho<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, Theorem 1.4 and the Kronecker existence show that the mean field equation (2) has at least one solution if $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$.

Following the lines of [15], we prove Theorem 1.3 by blow-up analysis, which is due to Brezis and Merle [1]. After establishing the compactness result of the mean field equation, we calculate the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h}$ for the mean field equation (3). Compared with [15], where the Kazdan-Warner equation was studied, our results are nontrivial extensions.

The remaining parts of this paper are organized as follow: In Section 2, we give some basic inequalities on finite graphs. In Section 3, we prove that every solution to equation (3) is uniformly bounded and Theorem 1.3 is proved. Then the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h}$ for the equation (3) can be well defined. In Section 4, we calculate the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h}$ for the equation (3) and prove Theorem 1.4. Throughout this paper, we do not distinguish sequence and its subsequence, we use $C$ to denote absolute constants without distinguishing them.

## 2. Preliminary analysis

Any two norms on $V^{\mathbb{R}}$ are equivalent since $G$ is a finite graph and $V^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a finite dimensional linear space. Denote

$$
V_{0}^{\mathbb{R}}=\left\{u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}: \int_{V} u d \mu=0\right\}
$$

Then we can prove that $\max _{V}|\Delta u|, \max _{V} u-\min _{V} u$ are norms of $u$ on $V_{0}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Next, according to Sun and Wang [15], we will prove the following elliptic estimate, which they did not give specific proof.

Lemma 2.1 (Elliptic estimate [15]). There exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all $u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{V} u-\min _{V} u \leq C \max _{V}|\Delta u| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Firstly, we can prove the elliptic estimate is true for all $u \in V_{0}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Suppose not. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $u_{k} \in V_{0}^{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$
\max _{V} u_{k}-\min _{V} u_{k}>k \max _{V}\left|\Delta u_{k}\right|, \quad \int_{V} u_{k} d \mu=0
$$

Let $\tilde{u}_{k}=u_{k} /\left(\max _{V} u_{k}-\min _{V} u_{k}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\max _{V} \tilde{u}_{k}-\min _{V} \tilde{u}_{k}=1, \quad \max _{V}\left|\Delta \tilde{u}_{k}\right|<\frac{1}{k}, \quad \int_{V} \tilde{u}_{k} d \mu=0 .
$$

Since

$$
\left|\tilde{u}_{k}\right|=\left|\tilde{u}_{k}-\frac{1}{|V|} \int_{V} \tilde{u}_{k} d \mu\right| \leq \max _{V} \tilde{u}_{k}-\min _{V} \tilde{u}_{k}=1
$$

then $\tilde{u}_{k}$ is bounded in $V_{0}^{\mathbb{R}}$, and there is a subsequence of $\tilde{u}_{k}$ and $\tilde{u}_{0} \in V_{0}^{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\tilde{u}_{k} \rightarrow \tilde{u}_{0}$ in $V_{0}^{\mathbb{R}}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thereby, taking $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\max _{V} \tilde{u}_{0}-\min _{V} \tilde{u}_{0}=1, \quad \max _{V}\left|\Delta \tilde{u}_{0}\right|=0, \quad \int_{V} \tilde{u}_{0} d \mu=0 .
$$

Then the second and the third equalities imply $\tilde{u}_{0} \equiv 0$, which contradicts the first equality.

Secondly, if $u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$ we can let $u^{\prime}=u-\bar{u}$, then $u^{\prime} \in V_{0}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and repeat the above process. This ends the proof of the lemma.

Let $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $u^{-}=(-u)^{+}$. Sun and Wang [15] have proved Kato's inequality.

Lemma 2.2 (Kato's inequality [15]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u^{+} \geq \chi_{\{u>0\}} \Delta u \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Blow-up analysis

We first consider the blow-up behavior of the mean field equation (3).
Lemma 3.1. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph satisfying conditions (a)-(d). Let $v_{n} \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$ be a sequence of solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v_{n}=\rho_{n} h_{n} e^{v_{n}}-\frac{\rho_{n}}{|V|}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{n} \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\rho_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}=h, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n}=\rho .
$$

Then after passing to a subsequence, only one of the following alternatives holds.
(I) $v_{n}$ is bounded.
(II) $v_{n}$ uniformly diverges to $-\infty$.
(III) There exists $x_{0}$ such that $v_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ diverges to $+\infty$, furthermore, $v_{n}$ is bounded from below in $V$ and above in $\{x \in V: \rho h(x)>0\}$.

Proof. Firstly, assume $v_{n}$ is bounded from above. Then (7) implies that $\Delta v_{n}$ is bounded. According to Lemma 2.1 and using the elliptic estimate (5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{V} v_{n}-\min _{V} v_{n} \leq C \max _{V}\left|\Delta v_{n}\right| \leq C \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we discuss the convergence behavior of $\min _{V} v_{n}$ in two cases. If $\min _{V} v_{n}$ is bounded in below, we obtain the first alternative. If $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min _{V} v_{n}=$
$-\infty$, then (8) implies that up to a subsequence $v_{n}$ uniformly diverges to $-\infty$, so the second alternative holds.

Secondly, assume $v_{n}$ is not bounded from above, i.e., $\lim _{\sup }^{n \rightarrow \infty}{ } v_{n}=+\infty$. Since $G$ is a finite graph, without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $x_{0}$ and up to a subsequence of $v_{n}$ such that

$$
v_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=\max _{V} v_{n} \rightarrow+\infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then applying Kato's inequality (6) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v_{n}^{-} & =-\Delta\left(-v_{n}\right)^{+} \\
& \leq-\chi_{\left\{-v_{n}>0\right\}} \Delta\left(-v_{n}\right) \\
& =\chi_{\left\{v_{n}<0\right\}}\left(\frac{\rho_{n}}{|V|}-\rho_{n} h_{n} e^{v_{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\rho_{n}^{+}}{|V|}+\rho_{n}^{+} h_{n}^{-}+\rho_{n}^{-} h_{n}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Delta v_{n}^{-}\right\|_{L^{1}(V)} & =\int_{V}\left|\Delta v_{n}^{-}\right| d \mu \\
& =\int_{\left\{\Delta v_{n}^{-} \geq 0\right\}} \Delta v_{n}^{-} d \mu-\int_{\left\{\Delta v_{n}^{-}<0\right\}} \Delta v_{n}^{-} d \mu \\
& =-2 \int_{\left\{\Delta v_{n}^{-}<0\right\}} \Delta v_{n}^{-} d \mu \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\left\{\Delta v_{n}^{-}<0\right\}} \frac{\rho_{n}^{+}}{|V|}+\rho_{n}^{+} h_{n}^{-}+\rho_{n}^{-} h_{n}^{+} d \mu \\
& \leq C,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\max _{V}\left|\Delta v_{n}^{-}\right| \leq C$. According to Lemma 2.1 there exists a subsequence such that

$$
\max _{V} v_{n}^{-}=\max _{V} v_{n}^{-}-\min _{V} v_{n}^{-} \leq C
$$

Therefore, $v_{n}$ is bounded from below in $V$. Then for any $x_{1} \in V$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n} h_{n}\left(x_{1}\right) e^{v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)}-\frac{\rho_{n}}{|V|} & =-\Delta v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\mu\left(x_{1}\right)} \sum_{y \sim x_{1}} w_{x_{1} y}\left(v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)-v_{n}(y)\right) \\
& \leq C v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)+C
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\rho_{n} h_{n}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq\left(C v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)+C+\frac{\rho_{n}}{|V|}\right) e^{-v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)} .
$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\rho h\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 0$ if and only if $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)=+\infty$, which implies that $v_{n}$ is bounded in $\{x \in V: \rho h(x)>0\}$.

Next, we prove the compactness result of the mean field equation (3).
Lemma 3.2. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph satisfying conditions (a)-(d). Suppose that there exists a positive constant $A$ depending only on $h$ and $\rho$ such that
(i) $\max _{V}(|h|+|\rho|) \leq A$.
(ii) If $\rho h(x)>0$ for some $x \in V$, then $\rho h(x) \geq A^{-1}$.
(iii) If $\rho>0$, then $\rho \geq A^{-1}$.
(iv) If $\rho<0$, then $\rho \leq-A^{-1}$ and $\min _{V} h \geq A^{-1}$.

Then there exists a positive constant $C$ depending only on $A$ and $G$ such that every solution to (3) satisfies

$$
\max _{x \in V}|v(x)| \leq C
$$

Proof. We give proof by contradiction. Let $v_{n}$ be a sequence of solution to the equation (7). Suppose $v_{n}$ blows up as $n$ converge to $\infty$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(V)}=\infty
$$

Meanwhile, $h_{n}$ and $\rho_{n}$ satisfy the conditions (i)-(iv) and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}=h, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n}=\rho .
$$

If $v_{n}$ uniformly diverges to $-\infty$, then we consider

$$
-\Delta\left(v_{n}-\min _{V} v_{n}\right)=\rho_{n} h_{n} e^{v_{n}}-\frac{\rho_{n}}{|V|},
$$

which yields that $v_{n}-\min _{V} v_{n}$ is bounded according to Lemma 2.1. So $v_{n}-$ $\min _{V} v_{n}$ diverges to a solution $\varphi$ of the equation

$$
-\Delta \varphi=-\frac{\rho}{|V|}, \min _{V} \varphi=0
$$

But this implies $\rho=0$ and $\varphi=0$. We may assume $\rho_{n}=0$ by conditions (iii) and (iv). Then in equation (7) we can obtain $v_{n} \equiv C$, which contradicts that $v_{n}$ diverges to $-\infty$.

If $\max _{V} v_{n}$ diverges to $+\infty$, applying the conclusion (III) of Lemma 3.1, we may assume $v_{n}$ is bounded from below in $V$ and above in $\Omega=\{x \in V: \rho h(x)>$ $0\}$. When $n$ is large enough, by condition (ii) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega & \subset\left\{x \in V: \rho_{n} h_{n}(x)>0\right\} \\
& \subset\left\{x \in V: \rho_{n} h_{n}(x) \geq A^{-1}\right\} \\
& \subset\left\{x \in V: \rho h(x) \geq A^{-1}\right\} \\
& \subset \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n}=\int_{V} \frac{\rho_{n}}{|V|} d \mu & =\int_{V} \rho_{n} h_{n} e^{v_{n}} d \mu \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \rho_{n} h_{n} e^{v_{n}} d \mu+\int_{V \backslash \Omega} \rho_{n} h_{n} e^{v_{n}} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq C-\int_{V}\left(\rho_{n} h_{n}\right)^{-} e^{v_{n}} d \mu
$$

which implies that $\int_{V}\left(\rho_{n} h_{n}\right)^{-} e^{v_{n}} d \mu \leq C$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Delta v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(V)} & =\int_{V}\left|\Delta v_{n}\right| d \mu \\
& \leq \int_{V}\left|\rho_{n} h_{n}\right| e^{v_{n}} d \mu+\int_{V} \frac{\left|\rho_{n}\right|}{|V|} d \mu \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\rho_{n} h_{n}\right)^{+} e^{v_{n}} d \mu+\int_{V}\left(\rho_{n} h_{n}\right)^{-} e^{v_{n}} d \mu+\left|\rho_{n}\right| \\
& \leq C .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\max _{V} v_{n} \leq \min _{V} v_{n}+C
$$

which implies that $\min _{V} v_{n}$ diverges to $+\infty$ and then $v_{n}$ must diverge to $+\infty$. Hence, $\Omega=\varnothing$. So for any $x \in V$, we have $\rho h(x) \leq 0$.

We may assume $\rho_{n} h_{n}(x) \leq 0$ by condition (ii), otherwise, if $\rho_{n} h_{n}(x)>0$, then we have $\rho_{n} h_{n}(x) \geq A^{-1}$, which contradicts with $\rho h(x) \leq 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have

$$
\rho_{n}=\int_{V} \rho_{n} h_{n} e^{v_{n}} d \mu \leq 0 .
$$

According to the previous analysis, we obtain $\rho_{n}<0$. Then by condition (iv), we have $\min _{V} h_{n} \geq A^{-1}$, thus

$$
1=\int_{V} h_{n} e^{v_{n}} d \mu \geq C A^{-1} e^{\min _{V} v_{n}}
$$

Consequently, we have $\min _{V} v_{n} \leq C$, which contradicts that $\min _{V} v_{n}$ diverges to $+\infty$. This ends the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Remark 3.3. Actually, the conclusion (III) of Lemma 3.1 is reinforced when $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$. If $\rho>0$, we can get $v_{n}$ is bounded in $V$. If $\rho<0$, we can only get $v_{n}$ is bounded from below in $V$. But this does not affect the proof of Lemma 3.2 because $\rho$ and $h$ in Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 are more general.

Remark 3.4. The conditions (i)-(iv) are necessary in Lemma 3.2. For every positive number $\varepsilon$, taking $\rho= \pm \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, h=\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the equation (3), we have

$$
-\Delta\left(-\ln \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|V|\right)= \pm \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\ln \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|V|}-\frac{1}{|V|}\right)
$$

When $\rho=\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the condition (i) is necessary since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+\infty}-\ln \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|V|=$ $-\infty$, and the condition (ii) and (iii) are necessary since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}-\ln \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|V|=$ $+\infty$. When $\rho=-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the first part of condition (iv) is necessary since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}-\ln \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|V|=+\infty$.

If we let $\rho=-1$ and $h=\varepsilon$, then we have

$$
-\Delta(-\ln \varepsilon|V|)=-\varepsilon e^{-\ln \varepsilon|V|}+\frac{1}{|V|}
$$

The second part of condition (iv) is necessary since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}-\ln \varepsilon|V|=+\infty$.
Now we can give the proof of Theorem 1.3 by Lemma 3.2. In fact, it is easy to prove that the conditions (i)-(iv) of Lemma 3.2 hold under the conditions $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, h \in V^{\mathbb{R}^{+}}$and $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$, i.e., we can find a positive constant $A$ depending only on $h$ and $\rho$ such that

$$
\max _{x \in V}|v(x)| \leq C(A, G)
$$

## 4. Brouwer degree

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.4, precisely we will calculate the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h}$, which is defined by (4). According to Sun and Wang [15], the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h}$ is well defined by the compactness result for the equation (3).
Step 1. If $\rho>0, h \in V^{\mathbb{R}^{+}}$and $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$, we have $d_{\rho, h}=-1$.
Proof. Let $v_{t}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v_{t}=[t+(1-t) \rho][t+(1-t) h] e^{v_{t}}-\frac{t \varepsilon+(1-t) \rho}{|V|}, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. Applying Lemma 3.2, we have $v_{t}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $t$. Letting $t=0$ in (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v_{0}=\rho h e^{v_{0}}-\frac{\rho}{|V|} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{0}$ is uniformly bounded, the Brouwer degree $d_{\rho, h}$ for the equation (10) is well defined. Letting $t=1$ in (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v_{1}=e^{v_{1}}-\frac{\varepsilon}{|V|} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the Brouwer degree for the equation (11) is well defined. According to Lemma 1.1, the equation (10) and the equation (11) have the same Brouwer degree. Thus, we can calculate the Brouwer degree of the equation (11). In fact, the equation (11) only has a unique solution $v_{1}=\ln \varepsilon /|V|$ when $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small. As for the specific proof, we refer readers to Sun and Wang [15].

Now we rewrite the operator $F_{\varepsilon, 1}$ as follows:

$$
F_{\varepsilon, 1}\left(v_{1}\right)=-\Delta\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
v_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{|V|}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon \\
\vdots \\
\varepsilon
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c}
e^{v_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)} \\
\vdots \\
e^{v_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $x_{i} \in V, i=1, \ldots, n$. According to Chung [4], $L:=-\Delta=\left(l_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}$ is a symmetric nonnegative matrix and 0 is the eigenvalue of $L$ with multiplicity one. Hence, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(D F_{\varepsilon, 1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(-\Delta-\frac{\varepsilon E}{|V|}\right)<0
$$

By the homotopic invariance, we have

$$
d_{\rho, h}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} d_{\varepsilon, 1}=\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det}\left(D F_{\varepsilon, 1}\right)=-1
$$

Step 2. If $\rho<0, h \in V^{\mathbb{R}^{+}}$and $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$, we have $d_{\rho, h}=1$.
Proof. Let $v_{t}$ satisfy

$$
-\Delta v_{t}=[(1-t) \rho-t][(1-t) h+t] e^{v_{t}}-\frac{(1-t) \rho-t}{|V|}, \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

As the same analysis as Step 1, we can calculate the Brouwer degree of

$$
-\Delta v_{1}=-e^{v_{1}}+\frac{1}{|V|} .
$$

And we can claim that $v_{1}=-\ln |V|$ is the unique solution. In fact, $v_{1}$ cannot be anything but constant function. For otherwise, let $v_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\max _{V} v_{1}$ and $v_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\min _{V} v_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -e^{\max _{V} v_{1}}+\frac{1}{|V|}=-\Delta v_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\mu\left(x_{0}\right)} \sum_{y \sim x_{0}} w_{x_{0} y}\left(v_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)-v_{1}(y)\right)>0 \\
& -e^{\min _{V} v_{1}}+\frac{1}{|V|}=-\Delta v_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\mu\left(x_{1}\right)} \sum_{y \sim x_{1}} w_{x_{1} y}\left(v_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-v_{1}(y)\right)<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we have

$$
d_{\rho, h}=d_{-1,1}=\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det}\left(D F_{-1,1}\right)=\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det}\left(-\Delta+\frac{E}{|V|}\right)=1
$$

We finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. Finally, under the conditions $\rho \in$ $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\min _{x \in V} h(x)>0$, the Kronecker existence shows that the mean field equation (2) has at least one solution due to $d_{\rho, h} \neq 0$.
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