

SECOND MAIN THEOREM WITH WEIGHTED COUNTING FUNCTIONS AND UNIQUENESS THEOREM

LIU YANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain a second main theorem for holomorphic curves and moving hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ where the counting functions are truncated multiplicity and have different weights. As its application, we prove a uniqueness theorem for holomorphic curves of finite growth index sharing moving hyperplanes with different multiple values.

1. Introduction

In the recent paper [9], Ru-Sibony developed value distribution theory for a class of holomorphic curves where the source is a disc of radius R instead of \mathbf{C} . In doing so, they introduced the notion of the growth index, denoted by $c_{f,\omega}$, for a holomorphic curve.

Definition. Let M be a complex manifold and ω be a positive $(1, 1)$ form of finite volume on M . Let $0 < R \leq +\infty$ and $f : \Delta(R) \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic curve. Recall that the characteristic function of f with respect to w , for $0 < r < R$, as $T_{f,w}(r) = \int_0^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z|<r} f^*w$. We define the growth index of f with respect to ω as

$$c_{f,\omega} =: \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \int_0^R \exp(c T_{f,\omega}(r)) dr = \infty \right\}.$$

When M is the complex projective space $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, the positive $(1, 1)$ form is the Fubini-Study form, i.e., $\omega = \omega_{FS}$. For a holomorphic curve $f : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, denote by c_f the growth index of f with respect to ω_{FS} . For convenient, we set $c_f = +\infty$, if

$$\left\{ c > 0 : \int_0^R \exp(c T_f(r)) dr = +\infty \right\} = \emptyset.$$

Received August 21, 2021; Revised January 4, 2022; Accepted March 3, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32H30, 30D35.

Key words and phrases. Second main theorem, holomorphic curve, uniqueness theorem, moving hyperplane.

In the same paper [9], Ru-Sibony obtained the following second main theorem for the nondegenerate holomorphic curves from the disk.

Theorem A. *Let $f : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be a linearly nondegenerate holomorphic curve with $c_f < +\infty$ and $0 < R \leq +\infty$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, the inequality*

$$(q - n - 1)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N_f^{[n]}(r, H_j) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}(1 + \epsilon)(c_f + \epsilon)T_f(r) \\ + O(\log T_f(r)) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\epsilon \log r$$

holds for all $r \in (0, R)$ outside a set $E \subset (0, R)$ with $\int_E \exp((c_f + \epsilon)T_f(r))dr < \infty$.

Recently, S. D. Quang [6] established some new second main theorems for holomorphic curves from the disk with infinite growth index into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ and moving hyperplanes.

Theorem B. *Let $f : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ ($0 < R \leq +\infty$) be a holomorphic curve. Let $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^q$ ($q \geq 2n - k + 2$) be holomorphic curves of $\Delta(R)$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})^*$ in general position such that $(f, a_j) \not\equiv 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq q$). Assume that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}}(f) = k + 1$. Let $\gamma(r)$ be a non-negative measurable function defined on $(0, R)$ with $\int_0^R \gamma(r)dr = \infty$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\left\|_E T_f(r) \leq \frac{n+2}{q - (n-k)} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{(f, a_j)}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{k(k+2)(n+1)}{2(n+2)}((1 + \epsilon) \log \gamma(r) + \epsilon \log r).\right.$$

Here and subsequently, the notation “ $\|_E \mathcal{P}$ ” means the assertion \mathcal{P} holds for all $r \in (0, R)$ outside a set E with $\int_E \gamma(r)dr < \infty$,

$$S(r) := O\left(\log T_f(r) + \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} T_{a_i}(r)\right).$$

And $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}}(f)$ is the rank of the set $\{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$ over the field \mathcal{R} for a reduced representation (f_0, \dots, f_n) of the mapping f , and $(f, g) = \sum_{i=0}^n g_i f_i$ for each holomorphic mapping $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})^*$ with a reduced representation (g_0, \dots, g_n) .

In 2015, Quang [4] initially introduced the second main theorem with weighted counting functions. Inspired by this idea and the technique shown in [4], we generalize Theorem B for the mappings and moving hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ to the case where the counting functions are truncated multiplicity and have different weights. The uniqueness theory for meromorphic mappings from \mathbf{C}^m into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ with shared moving targets is an interesting topic (see [1, 3, 5, 8] and the references given there). More recently, some uniqueness results for

holomorphic curves of finite growth index sharing fixed hyperplanes have previously been studied in [10, 11]. As application of our general form of second main theorem, the second purpose of this article is to prove a uniqueness theorem for holomorphic curves of finite growth index sharing moving hyperplanes with different multiple values. For some related notions see Section 2.

Theorem 1.1 (Second Main Theorem). *Let $f : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ ($0 < R \leq +\infty$) be a holomorphic curve. Let $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^q$ ($q \geq 2n - k + 2$) be holomorphic curves of $\Delta(R)$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})^*$ in general position such that $(f, a_j) \not\equiv 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq q$). Assume that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}}(f) = k + 1$. Let $\gamma(r)$ be a non-negative measurable function defined on $(0, R)$ with $\int_0^R \gamma(r)dr = \infty$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q$ be q positive numbers with $(2n - k + 2) \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta \in \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \lambda_i, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i}{2n - k + 2} \right]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\|_E \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta}{n + 2} \left\{ T_f(r) - \frac{k(n + 1)}{2} \log \gamma(r) \right\} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j N_{(f, a_j)}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)). \right. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_q = 1$ and $\eta = 1$ from Theorem 1.1, we get Theorem B in some sense. Letting $\eta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i}{2n - k + 2}$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $f : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ ($0 < R \leq +\infty$) be a holomorphic curve. Let $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^q$ ($q \geq 2n - k + 2$) be holomorphic curves of $\Delta(R)$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})^*$ in general position such that $(f, a_j) \not\equiv 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq q$). Assume that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}}(f) = k + 1$. Let $\gamma(r)$ be a non-negative measurable function defined on $(0, R)$ with $\int_0^R \gamma(r)dr = \infty$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q$ be q positive numbers with $(2n - k + 2) \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\|_E \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j}{2n - k + 2} \left\{ T_f(r) - \frac{k(n + 1)}{2} \log \gamma(r) \right\} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j N_{(f, a_j)}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)). \right. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, if we take $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_q = 1$ in Corollary 1.2, we get the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $f : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ ($0 < R \leq +\infty$) be a holomorphic curve. Let $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^q$ ($q \geq 2n - k + 2$) be holomorphic curves of $\Delta(R)$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})^*$ in general position such that $(f, a_j) \not\equiv 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq q$). Assume that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}}(f) = k + 1$. Let $\gamma(r)$ be a non-negative measurable function defined on $(0, R)$ with $\int_0^R \gamma(r)dr = \infty$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\left\| \left\|_E \frac{q}{2n - k + 2} \left\{ T_f(r) - \frac{k(n + 1)}{2} \log \gamma(r) \right\} \right.$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^q N_{(f,a_j)}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)).$$

Before stating our uniqueness theorem, we introduce a definition. Assume that a is a holomorphic curve of $\Delta(R)$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})^*$, and f is a meromorphic mapping of \mathbf{C}^m into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. If the mapping a is a small function with respect to f , that is $\|_E T_a(r) = o(T_f(r))$, then a is said to be a slowly (with respect to f) moving hyperplane in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$.

Theorem 1.4 (Uniqueness Theorem). *Let $f, g : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be holomorphic curves of finite growth index $c_f, c_g < +\infty$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^q$ be slowly (with respect to f and g) moving hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position such that*

- (i) $(f, a_i)^{-1}\{0\} \cap (f, a_j)^{-1}\{0\} = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq q$),
- (ii) $\nu_{(f,a_i), \leq m_i}^1 = \nu_{(g,a_i), \leq m_i}^1$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$),
- (iii) $f(z) = g(z)$ for all $z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^q \{z \in \Delta(R) : 0 < \nu_{(f,a_i)}(z) \leq m_i\}$.

Assume that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}}(f) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}}(g) = k + 1$, $q \geq 2k(2n - k + 1) + 2$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \frac{k}{m_i + 1 - k} < \frac{q(q - 2k(2n - k + 1) - 2)}{(q + 2k - 2)(2n - k + 1)},$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \frac{k}{m_i + 1 - k} \leq \frac{q}{2n - k + 1} - 1,$$

and

$$\min\{c_f, c_g\} < \frac{1}{k(n + 1)} \left(\frac{q(q - 2)(2n - k + 2)}{(q + 2k - 2) \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{m_i + 1}{m_i + 1 - k}} - 2n + k - 1 \right).$$

Then $f = g$.

In the case where $R = +\infty$, we have $c_f = c_g = 0$, see [9]. Thus our results also include the following unicity theorem for holomorphic curves on the whole complex plane.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $f, g : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be holomorphic curves. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^q$ be slowly (with respect to f and g) moving hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position such that*

- (i) $(f, a_i)^{-1}\{0\} \cap (f, a_j)^{-1}\{0\} = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq q$),
- (ii) $\nu_{(f,a_i), \leq m_i}^1 = \nu_{(g,a_i), \leq m_i}^1$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$),
- (iii) $f(z) = g(z)$ for all $z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^q \{z \in \mathbf{C} : 0 < \nu_{(f,a_i)}(z) \leq m_i\}$.

Assume that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}}(f) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}}(g) = k + 1$, $q \geq 2k(2n - k + 1) + 2$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \frac{k}{m_i + 1 - k} < \frac{q(q - 2k(2n - k + 1) - 2)}{(q + 2k - 2)(2n - k + 1)}$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \frac{k}{m_i + 1 - k} \leq \frac{q}{2n - k + 1} - 1.$$

Then $f = g$.

In particular, if we take $m_i = +\infty$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$), we have:

Corollary 1.6. *Let $f, g : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be holomorphic curves. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^q$ be slowly (with respect to f and g) moving hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position such that*

- (i) $(f, a_i)^{-1}\{0\} \cap (f, a_j)^{-1}\{0\} = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq q$),
- (ii) $\nu_{(f, a_i)}^1 = \nu_{(g, a_i)}^1$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$),
- (iii) $f(z) = g(z)$ for all $z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^q (f, a_i)^{-1}\{0\}$.

If $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}}(f) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}}(g) = k + 1$, $q \geq 2k(2n - k + 1) + 2$, then $f = g$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we state some basic notions in value distribution for holomorphic curves. For more details we refer the reader to [2, 7].

Let D be a domain in \mathbf{C} , $f : D \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be a holomorphic curve and U be an open set in D . Any holomorphic curve $\tilde{f} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ such that $\mathbf{P}(\tilde{f}(z)) \equiv f(z)$ in U is called a *representation* of f on U , where $\mathbf{P} : \mathbf{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ is the standard projective map.

Definition. For an open subset U of D we call a representation $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ a *reduced representation* of f on U if f_0, \dots, f_n are holomorphic functions on U without common zeros.

Remark 2.1. As is easily seen, if both $\tilde{f}_j : U_j \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ are reduced representations of f for $j = 1, 2$ with $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$, then there is a holomorphic function $h(\neq 0) : U_1 \cap U_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\tilde{f}_2 = h\tilde{f}_1$ on $U_1 \cap U_2$.

Let $0 < R \leq +\infty$ and f be a holomorphic curve from the disc $\Delta(R)$ into the complex projective space $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ and let

$$\tilde{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$$

be a reduced representation of f , where n is a positive integer. We use the following notations:

$$\|\tilde{f}(z)\| = (|f_1(z)|^2 + \dots + |f_{n+1}(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The Cartan's characteristic function of f is defined as follows:

$$T_f(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta - \log \|\tilde{f}(0)\|,$$

where $0 < r < R$.

Remark 2.2. The above definition is independent, up to an additive constant, of the choice of the reduced representation of f .

The Ahlfors' characteristic function of f is defined as follows:

$$T_{f,\omega_{FS}}(r) = \int_0^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z|<t} f^* \omega_{FS},$$

where $f^* \omega_{FS}$ is the pullback of Fubini-Study metric form ω_{FS} under the curve f .

Remark 2.3. It follows from Green-Jensen's formula that Ahlfors' characteristic function agrees with Cartan's characteristic function.

For a divisor ν on $\Delta(R)$ and for a positive integer M or $M = +\infty$, we define the counting function of ν by

$$\nu^{[M]}(z) = \min\{\nu(z), M\}, \quad n^{[M]}(t, \nu) = \sum_{|z| \leq t} \nu^{[M]}(z), \quad 0 < t < R.$$

Define

$$N_F^{[M]}(r, \nu) = \int_0^r \frac{n^{[M]}(t, \nu)}{t} dt, \quad 0 < r < R.$$

Let $F : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a holomorphic function. Define

$$N_F(r) = N(r, \nu_F), \quad N_F^{[M]}(r) = N^{[M]}(r, \nu_F), \quad 0 < r < R.$$

For brevity we will omit the character $[M]$ if $M = +\infty$.

Let k, M be positive integers or $+\infty$. For a divisor ν on \mathbf{C} . Set

$$\nu_{\leq k}^{[M]}(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \nu(z) > k, \\ \nu^{[M]}(z), & \text{if } \nu(z) \leq k, \end{cases}$$

and

$$n_{\leq k}^{[M]}(t) = \sum_{|z| \leq t} \nu_{\leq k}^{[M]}(z).$$

We define

$$N(r, \nu_{\leq k}^{[M]}) = \int_1^r \frac{n_{\leq k}^{[M]}(t)}{t^{2n-1}} dt \quad (r > 1).$$

Similarly, we define $n_{\geq k}^{[M]}(t)$ and $N(r, \nu_{\geq k}^{[M]})$, and denote them by $N_{\leq k}^{[M]}(r, \nu)$ and $N_{\geq k}^{[M]}(r, \nu)$, respectively.

Assume that a is a moving hyperplane of $\Delta(R)$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ (i.e., a holomorphic curve of $\Delta(R)$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})^*$), and f is a holomorphic curve of \mathbf{C} into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ with $(f, a) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i a_i \neq 0$. Then, using the zero divisor $\nu_{(f,a)}^0$ we define $N_{(f,a)}(r) := N(r, \nu_{(f,a)}^0)$. We note that $N_{(f,a)}(r)$ measures how many times f take value in the moving hyperplane a . Similarly, we have $N_{(f,a)}^{[M]}(r), N_{(f,a), \leq k}^{[M]}(r), N_{(f,a), \geq k}^{[M]}(r)$, etc.

To prove our result, we need the following lemma due to Quang [6, Theorem 1.1, Eq. (2.10)].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f : \Delta(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ ($0 < R \leq +\infty$) be a holomorphic curve. Let $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^q$ ($q \geq 2n - k + 2$) be holomorphic curves of $\Delta(R)$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})^*$ in general position such that $(f, a_j) \neq 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq q$). Assume that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}}(f) = k + 1$. Then there exist a subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, 2n - k + 2\}$ with $|J| = d + 2$ ($\leq n + 2$) and a positive integer $n_0 \leq \frac{k(k+2)}{d+2}$ such that*

$$\|_E T_f(r) \leq \sum_{j \in J} N_{(f, a_j)}^{[n_0]}(r) + S(r) + \frac{n_0(d+1)}{2}((1 + \varepsilon) \log \gamma(r) + \varepsilon \log r),$$

where $S(r) = O(\log T_f(r) + \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} T_{a_i}(r))$.

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We denote by \mathcal{I} the set of all permutations of q -tuple $(1, \dots, q)$. For each element $I = (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \mathcal{I}$, we set

$$N_I = \left\{ r \in \mathbf{R}^+ : N_{(f, a_{i_1})}^{[k]}(r) \leq \dots \leq N_{(f, a_{i_q})}^{[k]}(r) \right\}.$$

Fix a permutation $I = (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $\eta \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i}{2n-k+2} < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i}{n-k}$ by assumption, $\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta > 0$. Applying Lemma 2.4, there exists a subset $J_0 \subset \{1, \dots, 2n - k + 2\}$ with $|J_0| = n + 2$ such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|_E T_f(r) - \frac{k(n+1)}{2} \log \gamma(r) \\ & \leq \sum_{l \in J_0} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) + \frac{\varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r))}{\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $J_1 = \{1, \dots, 2n - k + 2\} \setminus J_0$ and

$$J_2 = \begin{cases} \{2n - k + 3, \dots, q\}, & \text{if } q > 2n - k + 2, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } q = 2n - k + 2, \end{cases}$$

then $|J_1| = (2n - k + 2) - |J_0| = n - k$. Hence, we observe from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|_E \left(\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta \right) \left\{ T_f(r) - \frac{k(n+1)}{2} \log \gamma(r) \right\} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta \right) \sum_{l \in J_0} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_{i_j} \right) \sum_{l \in J_0} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) + \left(\sum_{j \in J_1} \lambda_{i_j} - (n - k)\eta \right) \sum_{l \in J_0} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) \\ & \quad + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{j \in J_1} \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta > 0$ since $\eta \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \{\lambda_i\}$. We then have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\|_E \left(\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta \right) \left\{ T_f(r) - \frac{k(n+1)}{2} \log \gamma(r) \right\} \right. \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_j \right) \sum_{l \in J_0} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_j \right) \sum_{l \in J_0} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) \\ & = |J_0| \left\{ \sum_{l \in J_0} \lambda_{i_l} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) + \frac{\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_j}{|J_0|} \sum_{l \in J_0} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) - \sum_{l \in J_0} \lambda_{i_l} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) \right\} \\ & = (n+2) \left\{ \sum_{l \in J_0} \lambda_{i_l} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) + \sum_{l \in J_0} \left(\frac{\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_j}{n+2} - \lambda_{i_l} \right) N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate $\sum_{l \in J_0} \left(\frac{\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_j}{n+2} - \lambda_{i_l} \right) N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r)$ for $r \in N_I$. By the definition of N_I , we get

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{l \in J_0} \left(\frac{\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_j}{n+2} - \lambda_{i_l} \right) N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) \\ & \leq \sum_{l \in J_0} \left(\frac{\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_j}{n+2} - \lambda_{i_l} \right) N_{(f, a_{i_{2n-k+2}})}^{[k]}(r) \\ & = \left(\sum_{j \in J_0 \cup J_2} \lambda_j - \sum_{l \in J_0} \lambda_{i_l} \right) N_{(f, a_{i_{2n-k+2}})}^{[k]}(r) \\ & = \left(\sum_{j=2n-k+3}^q \lambda_j \right) N_{(f, a_{i_{2n-k+2}})}^{[k]}(r) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=2n-k+3}^q \lambda_j N_{(f, a_{i_j})}^{[k]}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (1), (2), (3) and (4), for all $r \in N_I$, we have

$$\left\|_E \left(\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta \right) \left\{ T_f(r) - \frac{k(n+1)}{2} \log \gamma(r) \right\} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (n+2) \left(\sum_{l \in J_0} \lambda_{i_l} N_{(f, a_{i_l})}^{[k]}(r) + \sum_{j=2n-k+3}^q \lambda_{i_j} N_{(f, a_{i_{2n-k+2}})}^{[k]}(r) \right) \\ &\quad + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)) \\ &\leq (n+2) \sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j N_{(f, a_j)}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $r \in N_I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad &\|_E \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n-k)\eta}{n+2} \left\{ T_f(r) - \frac{k(n+1)}{2} \log \gamma(r) \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j N_{(f, a_j)}^{[k]}(r) + S(r) + \varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)). \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} N_I = (0, R)$ and then the inequality (5) holds for every $r \in (0, R)$ outside a subset E with $\int_E \gamma(r) dr = +\infty$. Hence, the theorem is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We assume, to the contrary, that $f \not\equiv g$. By changing indices, if necessary, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} &\underbrace{\frac{(f, a_1)}{(g, a_1)} \equiv \frac{(f, a_2)}{(g, a_2)} \equiv \dots \equiv \frac{(f, a_{k_1})}{(g, a_{k_1})}}_{\text{group 1}} \not\equiv \underbrace{\frac{(f, a_{k_1+1})}{(g, a_{k_1+1})} \equiv \dots \equiv \frac{(f, a_{k_2})}{(g, a_{k_2})}}_{\text{group 2}} \\ &\not\equiv \underbrace{\frac{(f, a_{k_2+1})}{(g, a_{k_2+1})} \equiv \dots \equiv \frac{(f, a_{k_3})}{(g, a_{k_3})}}_{\text{group 3}} \not\equiv \dots \not\equiv \underbrace{\frac{(f, a_{k_{s-1}+1})}{(g, a_{k_{s-1}+1})} \equiv \dots \equiv \frac{(f, a_{k_s})}{(g, a_{k_s})}}_{\text{group } s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_s = q$. The hypothesis of ‘‘in general position’’ implies that the number of each group does not exceed n .

We define the map $\sigma : \{1, \dots, q\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q\}$ by

$$\sigma(j) = \begin{cases} j + n, & \text{if } j + n \leq q, \\ j + n - q, & \text{if } j + n > q. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that σ is bijective and $|\sigma(j) - j| \geq n$ for each $1 \leq j \leq q$ (note $q > 2n$). Hence $\frac{(f, a_j)}{(g, a_j)}$ and $\frac{(f, a_{\sigma(j)})}{(g, a_{\sigma(j)})}$ belong to distinct groups for each $1 \leq j \leq q$. Set

$$P_j = (f, a_j)(g, a_{\sigma(j)}) - (g, a_j)(f, a_{\sigma(j)}) \quad (1 \leq j \leq q).$$

Since $f \not\equiv g$, we get that $P_j \not\equiv 0$. And hence $P := \prod_{j=1}^q P_j \not\equiv 0$.

Fix an index i with $1 \leq i \leq q$. It is easy to see for every $z \in \Delta(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{P_i}(z) &\geq \min \{ \nu_{(f, a_i), \leq m_i}(z), \nu_{(g, a_i), \leq m_i}(z) \} \\ &\quad + \min \{ \nu_{(f, a_{\sigma(i)}), \leq m_{\sigma(i)}}(z), \nu_{(g, a_{\sigma(i)}), \leq m_{\sigma(i)}}(z) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq i, \sigma(i)}}^q \nu_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[1]}(z) \\
\geq & \sum_{v=i, \sigma(i)} \left(\min \{k, \nu_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}\} + \min \{k, \nu_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}\} \right. \\
& \left. - k \min \{1, \nu_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}\} \right) (z) + \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq i, \sigma(i)}}^q \nu_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[1]}(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{P_i}(r) & \geq \sum_{v=i, \sigma(i)} \left(N_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[k]}(r) + N_{(g, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[k]}(r) - k N_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[1]}(r) \right) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq i, \sigma(i)}}^q N_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[1]}(r) \\
= & \sum_{v=i, \sigma(i)} \left(N_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[k]}(r) + N_{(g, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[k]}(r) \right) \\
& + \sum_{v=1}^q N_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[1]}(r) - \sum_{v=i, \sigma(i)} (k+1) N_{(f, a_v), \leq m_v}^{[1]}(r)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. Thus, by summing them up, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad N_P(r) & \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^q \left(N_{(f, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) + N_{(g, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) \right) \\
& + \frac{q-2k-2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(N_{(f, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[1]}(r) + N_{(g, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[1]}(r) \right) \\
& \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^q \left(N_{(f, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) + N_{(g, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) \right) \\
& + \frac{q-2k-2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(N_{(f, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) + N_{(g, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) \right) \\
& = \frac{q+2k-2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(N_{(f, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) + N_{(g, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We check at once that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{(f, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) & \geq N_{(f, a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - \frac{k}{m_i+1} N_{(f, a_i), > m_i}^{[k]}(r) \\
& \geq N_{(f, a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - \frac{k}{m_i+1} \left(N_{(f, a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - N_{(f, a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with First Main Theorem, implies

$$(7) \quad \left(1 - \frac{k}{m_i + 1}\right) N_{(f,a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) \geq N_{(f,a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - \frac{k}{m_i + 1} N_{(f,a_i)}(r) \\ \geq N_{(f,a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - \frac{k}{m_i + 1} T_f(r).$$

Let $\lambda_i = \frac{m_i+1}{m_i+1-k}$. Then $\frac{k}{m_i+1-k} = \lambda_i - 1$, $1 \leq i \leq q$. Hence, we have

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q N_{(f,a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) \geq \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\lambda_i N_{(f,a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - (\lambda_i - 1) T_f(r)\right)$$

and

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q N_{(g,a_i), \leq m_i}^{[k]}(r) \geq \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\lambda_i N_{(g,a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - (\lambda_i - 1) T_g(r)\right)$$

by (7).

By combining (6), (8) and (9), we have

$$(10) \quad N_P(r) \geq \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\lambda_i N_{(f,a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - (\lambda_i - 1) T_f(r)\right) \\ + \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\lambda_i N_{(g,a_i)}^{[k]}(r) - (\lambda_i - 1) T_g(r)\right) \\ \geq \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i N_{(f,a_i)}^{[k]}(r) + \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i N_{(g,a_i)}^{[k]}(r) \\ - \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q (\lambda_i - 1) T(r),$$

where $T(r) = T_f(r) + T_g(r)$.

Further notice that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \frac{k}{m_i+1-k} \leq \frac{q}{2n-k+1} - 1$ implies $(2n - k + 2) \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i$. By (10) and Theorem 1.1 with $\eta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i}{2n-k+2}$ and $\gamma(r) = e^{(\min\{c_f, c_g\} + \varepsilon)(T_f(r) + T_g(r))}$, we have

$$(11) \quad N_P(r) \geq \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j - (n - k)\eta}{n + 2}\right) \{T(r) - k(n + 1) \log \gamma(r)\} \\ - S(r) - 2\varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)) - \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q (\lambda_i - 1) T(r) \\ = \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \cdot \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j}{2n - k + 2} \{T(r) - k(n + 1) \log \gamma(r)\} \\ - \frac{q + 2k - 2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q (\lambda_i - 1) T(r) - S(r) - 2\varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)).$$

On the other hand, by the Jensen formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad N_P(r) &= \int_{|z|=r} \log |P(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})| d\theta + O(1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{|z|=r} \log |P_i(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})| d\theta + O(1) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{|z|=r} \log \left(|(f, a_i)(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})|^2 + |(f, a_{\sigma(i)})(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})|^2 \right)^{1/2} d\theta \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{|z|=r} \log \left(|(g, a_i)(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})|^2 + |(g, a_{\sigma(i)})(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})|^2 \right)^{1/2} d\theta \\
 &\quad + O(1) \\
 &\leq q(T_f(r) + T_g(r)) + o(T_f(r) + T_g(r)) = qT(r) + o(T(r)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we derived from (11) and (12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{2kq}{q+2k-2} T(r) + o(T(r)) &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j}{2n-k+2} \{T(r) - k(n+1) \log \gamma(r)\} \\
 &\quad - S(r) - \sum_{i=1}^q (\lambda_i - 1) T(r) - 2\varepsilon \log(r\gamma(r)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow R^-(r \notin E)$ and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we get

$$\frac{2kq}{q+2k-2} \geq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j}{2n-k+2} (1 - k(n+1) \min\{c_f, c_g\}) - \sum_{i=1}^q (\lambda_i - 1).$$

Note that $q \geq 2k(2n-k+1) + 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^q \frac{k}{m_i+1-k} < \frac{q(q-2k(2n-k+1)-2)}{(q+2k-2)(2n-k+1)}$, we get $\frac{q(q-2)(2n-k+2)}{(q+2k-2)\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j} - 2n+k-1 > 0$, and therefore

$$\min\{c_f, c_g\} \geq \frac{1}{k(n+1)} \left(\frac{q(q-2)(2n-k+2)}{(q+2k-2)\sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j} - 2n+k-1 \right).$$

This is a contradiction. Then $f = g$. Hence, the theorem is proved. \square

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for several useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Z. Chen, Y. Li, and Q. Yan, *Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities of meromorphic mappings for moving targets*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) **27** (2007), no. 3, 625–634. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(07\)60062-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(07)60062-9)
- [2] J. Noguchi and J. Winkelmann, *Nevanlinna theory in several complex variables and Diophantine approximation*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 350, Springer, Tokyo, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54571-2>

- [3] H. H. Pham, S. D. Quang, and D. T. Do, *Unicity theorems with truncated multiplicities of meromorphic mappings in several complex variables sharing small identical sets for moving targets*, Internat. J. Math. **21** (2010), no. 9, 1095–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X10006409>
- [4] S. D. Quang, *Second main theorems with weighted counting functions and algebraic dependence of meromorphic mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 10, 4329–4340. <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13061>
- [5] S. D. Quang, *Generalization of uniqueness theorem for meromorphic mappings sharing hyperplanes*, Internat. J. Math. **30** (2019), no. 1, 1950011.
- [6] S. D. Quang, *Second main theorem for holomorphic maps from complex disks with moving hyperplanes and application*, Complex Var Elliptic Equ. Published online: 10 Oct 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2021.1984438>
- [7] M. Ru, *Nevanlinna Theory and Its Relation to Diophantine Approximation*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812810519>
- [8] M. Ru, *A uniqueness theorem with moving targets without counting multiplicity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 9, 2701–2707. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-01-06040-3>
- [9] M. Ru and N. Sibony, *The second main theorem in the hyperbolic case*, Math. Ann. **377** (2020), no. 1-2, 759–795. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-018-01797-x>
- [10] M. Ru and R. Walden, *Uniqueness results for holomorphic mappings on the disc*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **45** (2020), no. 1, 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40306-018-00319-w>
- [11] L. Yang, *Uniqueness theorem for holomorphic curves on the disc*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **98** (2021), no. 3-4, 299–312.

LIU YANG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
ANHUI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
MA'ANSHAN 243032, P. R. CHINA
Email address: yangliu6@ahut.edu.cn