

State of the Art Review

(Check for updates

Cardiovascular Regeneration via Stem Cells and Direct Reprogramming: A Review

Choon-Soo Lee (), PhD^{1,2,*}, Joonoh Kim (), PhD^{1,2,*}, Hyun-Jai Cho (), MD, PhD³, and Hyo-Soo Kim (), MD, PhD^{1,2,4}

¹Biomedical Research Institute, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea ²Strategic Center of Cell & Bio Therapy, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea ³Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea ⁴Department of Molecular Medicine and Biopharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Convergence Science and Technology, and College of Medicine or College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

AUTHOR'S SUMMARY

Despite recent advancements in treatment strategies, cardiovascular disease such as heart failure remains a significant source of global mortality. Stem cell technology and cellular reprogramming are rapidly growing fields that will continue to prove useful in cardiac regenerative therapeutics. This review provides information on the role of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) in cardiac regeneration and discusses the practical applications of hPSCderived cardiomyocytes (CMCs). Moreover, we discuss the practical applications of hPSCderived CMCs while outlining the relevance of directly-reprogrammed CMCs in regenerative medicine. This review critically summarizes the most recent advances in the field will help to guide future research in this developing area.

ABSTRACT

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading causes of morbidity and death globally. In particular, a heart failure remains a major problem that contributes to global mortality. Considerable advancements have been made in conventional pharmacological therapies and coronary intervention surgery for cardiac disorder treatment. However, more than 15% of patients continuously progress to end-stage heart failure and eventually require heart transplantation. Over the past year, numerous numbers of protocols to generate cardiomyocytes (CMCs) from human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) have been developed and applied in clinical settings. Number of studies have described the therapeutic effects of hPSCs in animal models and revealed the underlying repair mechanisms of cardiac regeneration. In addition, biomedical engineering technologies have improved the therapeutic potential of hPSC-derived CMCs in vivo. Recently substantial progress has been made in driving the direct differentiation of somatic cells into mature CMCs, wherein an intermediate cellular reprogramming stage can be bypassed. This review provides information on the role of hPSCs in cardiac regeneration and discusses the practical applications of hPSC-derived CMCs; furthermore, it outlines the relevance of directly reprogrammed CMCs in regenerative medicine.

Keywords: Cardiovascular disease; Pluripotent stem cells; Cardiomyocytes; Cell therapy; Direct reprogramming

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Jan 12, 2022 Revised: Feb 16, 2022 Accepted: Feb 22, 2022 Published online: Mar 15, 2022

Correspondence to

Hyun-Jai Cho, MD, PhD

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, 101, Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Korea.

Email: hyunjaicho@snu.ac.kr hyunjaicho@gmail.com

*Choon-Soo Lee and Joonoh Kim contributed equally to this work.

Copyright © 2022. The Korean Society of Cardiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Choon-Soo Lee D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2618-2327 Joonoh Kim D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0344-9570 Hyun-Jai Cho D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2779-4037 Hyo-Soo Kim D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0847-5329



Funding

This study was supported by grants from the Korea Health Technology Research and Development Project "Strategic Center of Cell and Bio Therapy" (grant number: HI17C2085) and "Korea Research-Driven Hospital" (grant number: HI14C1277) through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute, funded by the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korea.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Data Sharing Statement

The data generated in this study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Lee CS; Funding acquisition: Cho HJ, Kim HS; Investigation: Lee CS, Kim J; Resources: Lee CS, Kim J; Supervision: Cho HJ, Kim HS; Writing - original draft: Lee CS, Kim J; Writing - review & editing: Cho HJ.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death worldwide; it includes various disorders such as stroke, cardiac muscle diseases, and vascular system diseases and affects blood supply to pivotal organs, including the heart and brain.¹⁾ Currently, the most effective treatment methods for patients utilize various technologies and devices to assist the ventricular system; these include catheter interventions and implantable cardio-defibrillators with resynchronization cardiac systems.²⁾³⁾ Although these technologies were developed to treat CVD particularly, they have shown only moderate efficacy in reducing the rate of mortality from CVD events. Since the 1990s, many clinicians and researchers have worked to develop the concept of "cell therapy," the main objective of which is to restore the functions of dying organs through a mechanism known as cardiovascular regeneration. This new and innovative therapy was first reported using patient-derived autologous bone marrow cells. There have been many reports on the improvement of therapeutic efficacy using cell therapy, including different cell sources, genes, materials, reagents, chemicals, and transplantation methods.⁴⁾

Although many studies have used bone marrow-derived cells, 514) cardiac progenitor cells (CPCs),¹⁵⁾ and mesenchymal stem cells,¹⁶⁾¹⁷⁾ these candidates have shown disappointing clinical results in terms of regenerative ability, feasibility, and patient safety.¹⁸⁾ These cell types are difficult to use in cell therapy owing to the impairment of self-revascularization potential by the surrounding ischemic environment, and due to the loss of transplanted cells.¹⁹⁾ Therefore, it is necessary to enhance the regeneration process via direct cell transplantation or through the administration of growth factors and cytokines. Recently, to overcome this drawback, pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) such as embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are emerging as beneficial cell sources for cardiac regeneration. These cells have unique and genuine characteristics; they can differentiate into several cardiac lineage cells, including cardiomyocytes (CMCs).²⁰ Based on the unprecedented notion that somatic cells can be reprogrammed using defined factors, numerous studies have reported the ability of these iPSCs to differentiate into functional and mature cardiac lineage cells. This review describes the different types of PSC-derived CMC and outlines key markers that can be used to identify PSC-derived cardiac lineage cells. Furthermore, this paper will review recent findings on the direct conversion of adult somatic cells into cardiac lineage cells while highlighting their therapeutic potential in regenerative medicine and future treatment of CVDs.

PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS-DERIVED CARDIOMYOCYTES

Stem cells are classified based on their ability to differentiate into mature cells. Notably, cardiac lineage cells, such as CMCs derived from iPSCs, can be obtained easily from individual patient and can be used to generate disease-specific models. These patient-derived disease models can be used to understand the genetic and molecular mechanisms underlying hereditary CVD phenotypes; they can also reveal novel therapeutic targets for personalized medicine.²¹⁻²⁵⁾

Somatic stem cells (SSCs) are non-ESCs that are found in postnatal development; they reside in a location known as the "stem cell niche".²⁶⁾²⁷⁾ SSCs are present in various tissues, such as the bone marrow, skeletal muscles, cord blood, peripheral blood, adipose tissue, lungs, and

heart.²⁸⁻³⁹⁾ However, they have limited multipotent capacity, and their main roles are to maintain the homeostasis of fully differentiated cells and regenerate damaged tissues. The limited ability to differentiate into desired target lineages and the difficulty of obtaining pure stem cells showed that new methods need to be developed to facilitate therapeutic application.

ESCs are classified as PSCs derived from the inner cell mass of blastocysts (**Table 1**). ESCs can be cultured in a stringently controlled environment, which means that they can divide and proliferate without undergoing differentiation. Therefore, these *ex vivo* cultured cells serve as an excellent candidate for cell therapies for various chronic diseases, including metabolic syndrome, brain disorders, and cardiomyopathies. However, due to serious ethical issues and technical problems, the use of ESCs in clinical settings is highly restricted.⁴⁰ ESC-derived differentiated target cells are used for cell transplantation. However, this can induce teratoma formation in target tissues due to presence of undifferentiated cells among transplanted cell populations. This has raised safety concerns regarding the use of these cells for transplantation.⁴¹⁻⁴³ As for ethical concerns, the use of human ESCs is debatable with many advocates anxious of using a live embryo samples for isolation of human ESCs. Taken together, these challenges have hindered the use of human ESCs and live human embryos in laboratories.

For their practical applicability in a clinical environment, large-scale production of cells, with tightly regulated protocols and culture environments, is required. Therefore, researchers in stem cell field have focused on exploring other options for industrial and clinical applications, such as converting adult somatic cells into PSCs. This process of requisite pluripotency, which is called "cell reprogramming," represents a paradigm transition from a traditional method of cell therapy and in the current understanding of cellular biology and the plasticity of the mature and differentiated states of somatic cells.

Sir John Gurdon first successfully reprogrammed cells by cloning a frog using somatic cell nuclear transfer; he was subsequently awarded the Nobel Prize in Medicine in 2012.⁴⁴⁾ Since then, this discovery has led stem cell researchers to develop the concept of reprogramming by inducing pluripotency in somatic cells (**Table 1**). In 2006, Dr. Shinya Yamanaka (Nobel Prize in Medicine, 2012) and his colleagues generated the first iPSCs by inserting the defined transcription factors (*OCT4, SOX2, KLF4*, and *c-MYC [OSKM*]), which are known pluripotency-maintenance genes, into the nuclei of somatic cells using retrovirus.⁴⁵⁾⁴⁶ These human iPSCs (hiPSCs) are almost equivalent to human ESCs in terms of their self-renewal capacity and potential to differentiate into multiple lineages. This discovery, combined with the development of cellular reprogramming strategies, has made it possible to use patient-specific iPSCs for cell therapy while overcoming ethical issues and concerns regarding immune rejection. In the early studies on reprogramming of somatic cells, genome-integrating viruses were used, which limited their clinical applicability due to random insert mutations and tumor formation. However, this technology has now advanced to the stage where iPSCs can

Table 1. Overview of PSCs

Cell type	Advantages	Disadvantages	Reference
ESCs	 Pluripotency and self-renewal 	Ethical concerns	40)41)43)54)
	 High differentiation potential into most cell types 	 Formation of teratoma 	
	 Applicable for developmental process studies 	 Difficulty of establishing human ES cell lines 	
iPSCs	 Pluripotency, culturable in laboratory, expandable 	 Use of genome-integrating viruses 	45)46)
	 Can be obtained easily in vitro 	 Safety issues in regenerative medicine 	
	 Establishment of autologous-or patient-specific cell lines 	3	

CMC = cardiomyocyte; ESC = embryonic stem cell; iPSC = induced pluripotent stem cell; PSC = pluripotent stem cell.

be generated using non-genetic methods, including plasmid vectors, adenoviruses, Sendai viruses, proteins, and modified ribonucleic acids (RNAs).⁴⁷⁻⁵⁴⁾ It is thus necessary to establish a well-settled differentiation protocol to handle iPSCs with favorable properties.

Despite the development of promising surgical interventions and pharmacological treatments for CVDs, heart transplantation remains the last therapeutic option for patients with end-stage heart failure. Therefore, approaches that could refurbish the failing population of CMCs to rescue damaged myocardium could be used to recover heart function. The CMCs derived from hiPSCs represent promising therapeutic sources for CVDs. Since ESCs and iPSCs are similar in characteristics and differentiation potential, the current study to differentiate hiPSCs into CMCs are in parallel with previously established protocols for deriving CMCs from human ESCs.

IN VITRO DIFFERENTIATION OF PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS INTO CARDIOMYOCYTES

For their clinical application, the PSC-derived CMCs need to be highly pure and enriched, largely scalable for mass production, and cultured in a xenogeneic-free environment. There are traditional small-scale protocols for CMC differentiation, including formation of embryoid body (EB); the co-culture of endodermal cell lines (END-2) with undifferentiated PSCs; and the treatment of defined cardiogenic growth factors to confluent PSC monolaver.⁵⁵) In general, EB-based formation assays are the most used methods to generate CMCs from iPSCs in laboratories. This type of assay involves undifferentiated iPSCs as aggregates in a suspended culture, which causes them to form EB structures. These EBs are cultured in condition which serum is absent to provide pluripotency. In the presence of several cytokines including activin A and bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4), EBs differentiate into mature CMCs.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷⁾ The co-culture of visceral endoderm-like cells with PSCs induces CMC differentiation; the visceral endoderm is an extraembryonic tissue that is formed prior to gastrulation during embryogenesis, which pivotal factors that are involved in development are secreted. Mummery et al. reported that CMC differentiation can be induced by co-culturing visceral endoderm-like cells with human and mouse PSCs.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ Lastly, the subsequent addition of growth factors to PSCs has been shown to induce direct CMC differentiation by recapitulating the cardiac development during embryogenesis in vitro. Stimulating human PSCs (hPSCs) with supplementing growth factors like basic fibroblast growth factor, Wnt3, BMP4 and activin A, followed by DKK1 or other Wnt inhibitors, has been shown to induce cardiac differentiation.⁵⁶⁾⁵⁷⁾⁶¹⁾ Subsequently, the addition of other regulators, such as vascular endothelial growth factor,⁵⁶ CHIR and IWR1,⁶²⁾⁽³⁾ Noggin,⁶⁴ transforming growth factor- β signaling inhibitor, or sonic hedgehog signaling activation,⁶⁵ has also been found to increase the differentiation efficiency of CMCs.

These traditional protocols are used for small-scale production and are mainly applicable for research. Although these protocols can achieve high percentage yields of purified CMCs production, they have limited reproducibility and scalability, and the resulting CMCs are mostly heterogeneous. In addition, billions of CMCs are required for high-throughput assays, tissue engineering, and animal models. Although large-scale production can be achieved by scaling up culture conditions, this process is space- and/or cost-inefficient.

The large-scale production of PSC-derived CMCs has been achieved using three-dimensional (3D) platforms that are compatible with industry. These include cultures that rely on matrix, a polymer with sphere cultures and cultures do not depend on matrix platforms, like bioreactors and spinning flasks. Several studies demonstrated successfully generated high yields of functional CMCs from hPSCs on a large scale, using bioreactors and chemical modulators.⁶⁶

Taken together, many modulators and factors, including cell populations, mediators that induce cardiac lineage differentiation, and culturing conditions, are pivotal in mediating the differentiation of iPSCs into CMCs. Optimizing these factors is important for achieving highly efficient and successful differentiation of PSCs into CMCs.

MARKERS FOR CARDIAC LINEAGE CELLS

The CMCs that are differentiated from PSCs comprise heterogeneous CPC populations and partially differentiated CMCs. Hence, differentiated CMCs must be purified and enriched. In order to achieve this, it is necessary to determine the origins of cardiac cell types and identify the markers of cardiac lineage cells to understand the origin of heart malformations during development. Furthermore, it is necessary to produce a cell-therapy-applicable cardiac tissue for use in regenerative medicine. In this section, several key markers for cardiac lineage cells during the differentiation of PSCs into CMCs are discussed (**Table 2**).

The functions of the heart depend on interactions between different cardiac cell types. These cells are stringently controlled by precise spatiotemporal regulation during the development of the heart structure. Recent technologies, such as single-cell transcriptomics, have begun to reveal the identity of cell heterogeneity through early developmental stages. Furthermore, the same strategy can be applied to trace lineages during undifferentiated and fully differentiated stages, using hPSCs. Hence, identifying the markers involved in human CMCs is a popular research topic, on which studies are ongoing.

Table 2. Markers for generation of PSC-derived cardiac lineage cells

Table 2. Markers for generation of PS	C-derived cardiac lineage cells	
Markers	Features	Reference
Surface marker		
KDR (Flk-1) and PDGFR- α	\cdot Easy to isolate owing to surface expression, but requires two-color FACS	
	 Used to identify PSC-derived cardiac mesoderm 	68)
	 Only appear transiently during development 	
SIRPa	 Easy to isolate owing to surface expression 	
	Characteristics of mature CMCs	69)
	 Only expressed in human cells; no functional significance in mouse cardiac cells 	
PDPN	 Expressed in both primitive CPCs and SAN-like progenitors 	
	 Used for expansion of hPSC-derived cardiac cells 	70)
	 Low similarity between mouse and human PDPN sequences 	
LPHN2, LPAR4	 G protein-coupled receptor family 	
	 Easy to isolate, require only one surface marker 	71-73)
	 Functional marker in mouse and human cardiac lineage cells 	
Maturation marker		
MYH6/7,	Characteristics of mature CMCs	
Troponin I/T,	76)	
TTN	Difficulty of sorting due to intracellular expression	

CMCs = cardiomyocytes; CPC = cardiac progenitor cell; FACS = fluorescence activated cell sorting; Flk-1 = fetal liver kinase 1; KDR = kinase insert domain receptor; LPAR4 = lysophosphatidic acid receptor 4; LPHN2 = latrophilin-2; MYH = myosin heavy chain; PDGFR- α = platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha; PDPN = podoplanin; PSC = pluripotent stem cell; SAN = sinoatrial node; SIRP α = signal regulatory protein alpha; TTN = titin. Although CPCs have been identified using multiple markers, the development of efficient methods to obtain and expand iPSC-derived CPCs *in vitro* remains challenging.⁶⁷⁾ As most of these markers are intracellular molecules or transcription factors, it is necessary to find a different marker that can be used to purify CMCs. Kattman et al.⁶⁸⁾ revealed that kinase insert domain receptor (KDR; Flk-1) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGFR)- α are co-expressed in emerging cardiac mesoderm. However, these markers are only expressed for a short period during development, and practical two-color flow cytometric isolation is needed for enrichment. In addition, many studies have utilized signal-regulatory protein alpha (SIRP α),⁶⁹⁾ and podoplanin (PDPN)⁷⁰⁾ as cell surface markers to purify and expand CPC populations derived from hPSCs. However, murine cardiac cells do not express SIRP α ; hence, it is difficult to perform studies of functional significance, such as studies using a knockout or overexpression model, via this approach.

Recently, the surface protein G protein-coupled receptor, latrophilin-2 (LPHN2),^{71/72)} and lysophosphatidic acid receptor 4 (LPAR4)⁷³⁾ were reported as novel CPC markers. LPHN2 is a functional marker for isolating CPCs and CMCs derived from PSC. In addition, it has been demonstrated that *Lphn2*-deficient ESCs and iPSCs do not achieve complete cardiac differentiation. In contrast, LPAR4 expression was observed to be transient during *in vitro* cardiac differentiation from the undifferentiated state of ES/iPS cells. Based on the transient expression of LPAR4 during differentiation, an optimal protocol has been established for the sequential stimulation and inhibition of LPAR4 signaling.

The expression pattern of maturation-marker genes identified in mice is similar to that in humans.⁷⁴⁾⁷⁵⁾ The transitions of sarcomeric gene isoform occurs from the fetal to adult stages during development. The most well-known marker is the cardiac myosin heavy chain (MHC - also known as MYH). There are two isoforms: α -MHC (also known as MYH6) and β -isoform (β -MHC - also known as MYH7). The β -isoform is the most predominant one in adult CMCs, but this switches in mouse hearts. Troponins consist of fast- (the TNNC2, TNNI2, and TNNT3 genes) and slow-twitch skeletal (the TNNC1, TNNI1, and TNNT1 genes) and cardiac myocytes (the TNNI3 and TNNT2 genes). The heart selectively produces Troponins, TnnI, and TnnT, but TnnC is also produced in the slow-twitch skeletal muscle. Regions of different amino acid sequences (cTnnI and cTnnT) can be used to distinguish these markers from each other. TNNT2 messenger RNA transcribes multiple alternatively spliced transcripts, thereby synthesizing different proteins (cTnnT1-cTnnT4). Among them, cTnnT3 is the dominant isoform in the normal adult heart.⁷⁶ TroponinI has evolved into three isoforms that are encoded by TNNI1 (slow skeletal, ssTnI), TNNI2 (fast skeletal, fsTnI), and TNNI3 (cardiac, cTnI). In adult CMCs, cTnI is the major isoform that is expressed, although in hiPSC-derived CMCs, ssTnI is the primary isoform. Titin (TTN) also has three isoforms: N2B, N2BA, and fetal cardiac titin (FCT). In hiPSC-derived CMCs, N2BA is predominant, whereas N2B is mainly expressed in adult CMCs.⁷⁷⁾⁷⁸⁾ Interestingly, hiPSC-derived CMCs show lower expression levels of several cardiac-related genes, including sarcoplasmic reticulum ATPase (SERCA2), caveolin 3 (CAV3), and potassium voltage-gated channel (KCNH2), among others.⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰

Measuring the ratio of TNNI3 to TNN1 is one option for verifying the maturation status of hiPSC-derived CMCs.⁸¹⁾ A transcriptome-based approach could represent another promising option for more precisely evaluating their mature state. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis can also verify the developmental stages of CMCs during hPSC differentiation. However, these approaches are mostly restricted to mouse PSC-derived CMCs, as limited transcriptomic data are available for fully developed human hearts.⁸⁰⁾⁸²⁻⁸⁴⁾

DIRECT CARDIAC REPROGRAMMING

The direct reprogramming of fully mature and terminally differentiated somatic cells into another lineage, using a combination of defined factors, has changed the traditional and fundamental concepts of previously established basic knowledge regarding differentiated cells. In recent years, many studies have reported the direct conversion of somatic cells into various cell types. The generation of desired functional cell types that can be transplanted into patients is a promising approach for biomedical applications and clinical fields.

Ieda and colleagues⁸⁵⁾ used mouse fibroblasts that were engineered to express green fluorescent protein during cardiac reprogramming (**Table 3**). After screening process, previously reported 14 candidate factors were carefully narrowed down to 3 transcription factors, *Gata4, Mef2c*, and *Tbx5*. Subsequently, two study groups have reported that the delivery of a combination of *Gata4, Mef2c*, and *Tbx5* using retrovirus can convert fibroblasts *in vivo*, residing at sites of infarction, into CMCs. Furthermore, this approach reduces the extent of injury.⁸⁶⁾⁸⁷⁾ Another study reported that adding the transcription factor *Hand2* improves the efficiency of the direct conversion of fibroblasts into CMCs, both in vitro and in vivo.⁸⁸⁾ Subsequently, Jayawardena et al. demonstrated that microRNAs (miRNAs), in combination with miR-1, miR-133, miR-208, miR-499, and Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors, could convert adult fibroblasts into CMCs both in vitro and in vivo.⁸⁹⁾ Another group evaluated the functions of CMCs directly converted from fibroblasts, by quantifying robust calcium oscillation, the expression of CMC markers, and spontaneous beating. This study reported that the combination of *Hand2*, *Nkx2-5*, *Gata4*, *Mef2c*, and *Tbx5* represents the most potent arrangement of cardiac lineage-inducing factors.⁹⁰

Mechanobiology is known to play a role in cardiac embryology, diseases, and regeneration,⁹¹ but its function in cardiac reprogramming remains unclear. The key factor that determines the success or failure of reprogramming is the extracellular environment. The microenvironment of a mesenchymal stem cell culture can affect its differentiation capacity.⁹² CMCs derived from hiPSCs exhibit enhanced maturation upon stimulation of their external stretch by a physical stimulant. Transduced fibroblasts seeded on a substrate with microgrooves have also been reported to show improved reprogramming efficiency in CMCs. Another study demonstrated that cells cultured in a 3D hydrogel show improved efficiency of miRNA-mediated direct reprogramming of cells into CMCs, compared to the cells cultured in a conventional 2D culture.⁹³ Although research on direct cardiac reprogramming is continuously evolving, more efforts are still required before this technique can be used in clinical applications. For example, development of safe viral vectors that is applicable in humans is required, and improved efficacy is needed to induce direct cardiac reprogramming from patient-derived adult somatic cells.

		c				
Table 3.	Strategy	of direct	reprogran	nming for	cardiac	regeneration

Strategy used	Mechanisms	Reference
Delivery of Gata4, Mef2, and Tbx5 (GMT)	Plays a key role in early heart development	85)
	Exhibit embryonic lethality when mutated	
Addition of transcription factors Hand2 and Nkx2-5 with GMT	 Mesodermal and neural crest-derived structures of the developing heart Interact with non-coding regions of many genes involved in cardiogenesis Inhibits cell cycle-inducing genes and enhances cardiac reprogramming 	88)90)
Combination of microRNA and JAK inhibitor	 Enhances cardiac reprogramming efficiency Plays a key role in cardiac muscle development and differentiation Inhibition of fibroblast signatures 	89)
Mechanobiology	 Favorable extracellular environment for reprogramming, e.g., stretch stimulation Induces changes in the chromatin status and affects cardiac lineage gene expression 	92)

JAK = Janus kinase.

CONCLUSION

Stem cell technology and cellular reprogramming are rapidly growing fields that will continue to prove useful in cardiac regenerative therapeutics. The lessons learned from previous studies on traditional PSCs have facilitated the application of hiPSCs in CVD modeling and clinical cell transplantation. The subsequent development of technology to induce the direct differentiation of adult somatic cells into CMCs using defined factors has allowed for ethical problems and the low potential of teratoma formation to be circumnavigated. This represents the overcoming of a major hurdle to the use of iPSCs as primary cells in cell transplantation. The remaining challenges for the use of PSCs and direct reprogramming in clinical applications; (2) the safety of direct reprogramming must be demonstrated in large animals, such as pigs and others, before it can be applied to humans; and (3) the molecular mechanisms underlying these cellular events must be deciphered precisely and investigated in more detail. Currently, direct cardiac remodeling events have been mainly studied in myocardial infarction models. Their efficacy should be tested in other CVD models, including dilated cardiomyopathy and other myocardial diseases.

REFERENCES

- Nabel EG, Braunwald E. A tale of coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:54-63.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Cohen DJ, Van Hout B, Serruys PW, et al. Quality of life after PCI with drug-eluting stents or coronaryartery bypass surgery. N Engl J Med 2011;364:1016-26.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Virani SS, Alonso A, Aparicio HJ, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2021;143:e254-743.
 PUBMED I CROSSREF
- 4. Takehara N. Cell therapy for cardiovascular regeneration. *Ann Vasc Dis* 2013;6:137-44. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Jeevanantham V, Butler M, Saad A, Abdel-Latif A, Zuba-Surma EK, Dawn B. Adult bone marrow cell therapy improves survival and induces long-term improvement in cardiac parameters: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation* 2012;126:551-68.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Perin EC, Willerson JT, Pepine CJ, et al. Effect of transendocardial delivery of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells on functional capacity, left ventricular function, and perfusion in chronic heart failure: the FOCUS-CCTRN trial. *JAMA* 2012;307:1717-26.
 PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Wollert KC, Meyer GP, Müller-Ehmsen J, et al. Intracoronary autologous bone marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: the BOOST-2 randomised placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Eur Heart J* 2017;38:2936-43.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hare JM, Fishman JE, Gerstenblith G, et al. Comparison of allogeneic vs autologous bone marrowderived mesenchymal stem cells delivered by transendocardial injection in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy: the POSEIDON randomized trial. *JAMA* 2012;308:2369-79.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sürder D, Manka R, Lo Cicero V, et al. Intracoronary injection of bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells early or late after acute myocardial infarction: effects on global left ventricular function. *Circulation* 2013;127:1968-79.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ptaszek LM, Mansour M, Ruskin JN, Chien KR. Towards regenerative therapy for cardiac disease. *Lancet* 2012;379:933-42.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Choudry F, Hamshere S, Saunders N, et al. A randomized double-blind control study of early intracoronary autologous bone marrow cell infusion in acute myocardial infarction: the REGENERATE-AMI clinical trial. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:256-63.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 12. Behfar A, Crespo-Diaz R, Terzic A, Gersh BJ. Cell therapy for cardiac repair--lessons from clinical trials. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2014;11:232-46.
- Sanganalmath SK, Bolli R. Cell therapy for heart failure: a comprehensive overview of experimental and clinical studies, current challenges, and future directions. *Circ Res* 2013;113:810-34.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 14. Murohara T. Therapeutic angiogenesis with somatic stem cell transplantation. *Korean Circ J* 2020;50:12-21. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Menasché P, Vanneaux V, Hagège A, et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiac progenitors for severe heart failure treatment: first clinical case report. *Eur Heart J* 2015;36:2011-7.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Karantalis V, DiFede DL, Gerstenblith G, et al. Autologous mesenchymal stem cells produce concordant improvements in regional function, tissue perfusion, and fibrotic burden when administered to patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting: The Prospective Randomized Study of Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Surgery (PROMETHEUS) trial. *Circ Res* 2014;114:1302-10.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Bui TVA, Hwang JW, Lee JH, Park HJ, Ban K. Challenges and limitations of strategies to promote therapeutic potential of human mesenchymal stem cells for cell-based cardiac repair. *Korean Circ J* 2021;51:97-113.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hare JM, Traverse JH, Henry TD, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation study of intravenous adult human mesenchymal stem cells (prochymal) after acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:2277-86.
- Schwarz TM, Leicht SF, Radic T, et al. Vascular incorporation of endothelial colony-forming cells is essential for functional recovery of murine ischemic tissue following cell therapy. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2012;32:e13-21.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ebert AD, Liang P, Wu JC. Induced pluripotent stem cells as a disease modeling and drug screening platform. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2012;60:408-16.
- 21. Matsa E, Rajamohan D, Dick E, et al. Drug evaluation in cardiomyocytes derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells carrying a long QT syndrome type 2 mutation. *Eur Heart J* 2011;32:952-62. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Moretti A, Bellin M, Welling A, et al. Patient-specific induced pluripotent stem-cell models for long-QT syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:1397-409.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Carvajal-Vergara X, Sevilla A, D'Souza SL, et al. Patient-specific induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived models of LEOPARD syndrome. *Nature* 2010;465:808-12.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Inoue H, Yamanaka S. The use of induced pluripotent stem cells in drug development. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2011;89:655-61.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Yazawa M, Hsueh B, Jia X, et al. Using induced pluripotent stem cells to investigate cardiac phenotypes in Timothy syndrome. *Nature* 2011;471:230-4.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Bardelli S, Moccetti M. Remodeling the human adult stem cell niche for regenerative medicine applications. *Stem Cells Int* 2017;2017:6406025.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 27. Bianco P. Bone and the hematopoietic niche: a tale of two stem cells. *Blood* 2011;117:5281-8. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 28. Laflamme MA, Murry CE. Heart regeneration. *Nature* 2011;473:326-35. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Traverse JH, Henry TD, Ellis SG, et al. Effect of intracoronary delivery of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells 2 to 3 weeks following acute myocardial infarction on left ventricular function: the LateTIME randomized trial. *JAMA* 2011;306:2110-9.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

- 30. Jin HJ, Bae YK, Kim M, et al. Comparative analysis of human mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord blood as sources of cell therapy. *Int J Mol Sci* 2013;14:17986-8001.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 31. Daley GQ. The promise and perils of stem cell therapeutics. *Cell Stem Cell* 2012;10:740-9. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Pannérec A, Marazzi G, Sassoon D. Stem cells in the hood: the skeletal muscle niche. *Trends Mol Med* 2012;18:599-606.
 PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Abdelwahid E, Siminiak T, Guarita-Souza LC, et al. Stem cell therapy in heart diseases: a review of selected new perspectives, practical considerations and clinical applications. *Curr Cardiol Rev* 2011;7:201-12.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 34. Kang HJ, Kim HS, Zhang SY, et al. Effects of intracoronary infusion of peripheral blood stem-cells mobilised with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor on left ventricular systolic function and restenosis after coronary stenting in myocardial infarction: the MAGIC cell randomised clinical trial. *Lancet* 2004;363:751-6.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Loh YH, Agarwal S, Park IH, et al. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from human blood. *Blood* 2009;113:5476-9.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Miranville A, Heeschen C, Sengenès C, Curat CA, Busse R, Bouloumié A. Improvement of postnatal neovascularization by human adipose tissue-derived stem cells. *Circulation* 2004;110:349-55.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Rodriguez AM, Elabd C, Amri EZ, Ailhaud G, Dani C. The human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. *Biochimie* 2005;87:125-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 38. Zheng C, Yang S, Guo Z, et al. Human multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells from fetal lung expressing pluripotent markers and differentiating into cell types of three germ layers. *Cell Transplant* 2009;18:1093-109. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Garbern JC, Lee RT. Cardiac stem cell therapy and the promise of heart regeneration. *Cell Stem Cell* 2013;12:689-98.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

VILL D.D. W.D.

- Kiskinis E, Eggan K. Progress toward the clinical application of patient-specific pluripotent stem cells. J Clin Invest 2010;120:51-9.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 41. Mignone JL, Kreutziger KL, Paige SL, Murry CE. Cardiogenesis from human embryonic stem cells. *Circ J* 2010;74:2517-26.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

42. Herberts CA, Kwa MS, Hermsen HP. Risk factors in the development of stem cell therapy. *J Transl Med* 2011;9:29.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- 43. Tao H, Chen X, Wei A, et al. Comparison of teratoma formation between embryonic stem cells and parthenogenetic embryonic stem cells by molecular imaging. *Stem Cells Int* 2018;2018:7906531.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 44. Gurdon JB, Elsdale TR, Fischberg M. Sexually mature individuals of Xenopus laevis from the transplantation of single somatic nuclei. *Nature* 1958;182:64-5.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 45. Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* 2006;126:663-76.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 46. Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, et al. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* 2007;131:861-72.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Yu J, Vodyanik MA, Smuga-Otto K, et al. Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. *Science* 2007;318:1917-20.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Yu J, Hu K, Smuga-Otto K, et al. Human induced pluripotent stem cells free of vector and transgene sequences. *Science* 2009;324:797-801.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF



- Okita K, Matsumura Y, Sato Y, et al. A more efficient method to generate integration-free human iPS cells. Nat Methods 2011;8:409-12.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Stadtfeld M, Nagaya M, Utikal J, Weir G, Hochedlinger K. Induced pluripotent stem cells generated without viral integration. *Science* 2008;322:945-9.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Fusaki N, Ban H, Nishiyama A, Saeki K, Hasegawa M. Efficient induction of transgene-free human pluripotent stem cells using a vector based on Sendai virus, an RNA virus that does not integrate into the host genome. *Proc Jpn Acad, Ser B, Phys Biol Sci* 2009;85:348-62.
- 52. Warren L, Manos PD, Ahfeldt T, et al. Highly efficient reprogramming to pluripotency and directed differentiation of human cells with synthetic modified mRNA. *Cell Stem Cell* 2010;7:618-30.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Cho HJ, Lee CS, Kwon YW, et al. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult somatic cells by proteinbased reprogramming without genetic manipulation. *Blood* 2010;116:386-95.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 54. Nussbaum J, Minami E, Laflamme MA, et al. Transplantation of undifferentiated murine embryonic stem cells in the heart: teratoma formation and immune response. *FASEB J* 2007;21:1345-57. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 55. Mummery CL, Zhang J, Ng ES, Elliott DA, Elefanty AG, Kamp TJ. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells to cardiomyocytes: a methods overview. *Circ Res* 2012;111:344-58.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 56. Yang L, Soonpaa MH, Adler ED, et al. Human cardiovascular progenitor cells develop from a KDR+ embryonic-stem-cell-derived population. *Nature* 2008;453:524-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 57. Laflamme MA, Chen KY, Naumova AV, et al. Cardiomyocytes derived from human embryonic stem cells in pro-survival factors enhance function of infarcted rat hearts. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007;25:1015-24. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Mummery C, Ward D, van den Brink CE, et al. Cardiomyocyte differentiation of mouse and human embryonic stem cells. *J Anat* 2002;200:233-42.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 59. Mummery C, Ward-van Oostwaard D, Doevendans P, et al. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to cardiomyocytes: role of coculture with visceral endoderm-like cells. *Circulation* 2003;107:2733-40.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Passier R, Oostwaard DW, Snapper J, et al. Increased cardiomyocyte differentiation from human embryonic stem cells in serum-free cultures. *Stem Cells* 2005;23:772-80.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sumi T, Tsuneyoshi N, Nakatsuji N, Suemori H. Defining early lineage specification of human embryonic stem cells by the orchestrated balance of canonical Wnt/beta-catenin, Activin/Nodal and BMP signaling. *Development* 2008;135:2969-79.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ren Y, Lee MY, Schliffke S, et al. Small molecule Wnt inhibitors enhance the efficiency of BMP-4-directed cardiac differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2011;51:280-7.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 63. Kempf H, Olmer R, Kropp C, et al. Controlling expansion and cardiomyogenic differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells in scalable suspension culture. *Stem Cell Reports* 2014;3:1132-46.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 64. Yuasa S, Itabashi Y, Koshimizu U, et al. Transient inhibition of BMP signaling by Noggin induces cardiomyocyte differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005;23:607-11.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 65. Fonoudi H, Ansari H, Abbasalizadeh S, et al. A universal and robust integrated platform for the scalable production of human cardiomyocytes from pluripotent stem cells. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 2015;4:1482-94.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 66. Kempf H, Andree B, Zweigerdt R. Large-scale production of human pluripotent stem cell derived cardiomyocytes. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2016;96:18-30.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Barreto S, Hamel L, Schiatti T, Yang Y, George V. Cardiac progenitor cells from stem cells: learning from genetics and biomaterials. *Cells* 2019;8:1536.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

- 68. Kattman SJ, Witty AD, Gagliardi M, et al. Stage-specific optimization of activin/nodal and BMP signaling promotes cardiac differentiation of mouse and human pluripotent stem cell lines. *Cell Stem Cell* 2011;8:228-40.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Dubois NC, Craft AM, Sharma P, et al. SIRPA is a specific cell-surface marker for isolating cardiomyocytes derived from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2011;29:1011-8.
 PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Birket MJ, Ribeiro MC, Verkerk AO, et al. Expansion and patterning of cardiovascular progenitors derived from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2015;33:970-9.
 PUBMED L CROSSREF
- 71. Lee CS, Cho HJ, Lee JW, et al. Identification of latrophilin-2 as a novel cell-surface marker for the cardiomyogenic lineage and its functional significance in heart development. *Circulation* 2019;139:2910-2.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Lee CS, Cho HJ, Lee JW, Son H, Chai J, Kim HS. Adhesion GPCR latrophilin-2 specifies cardiac lineage commitment through CDK5, Src, and P38MAPK. *Stem Cell Reports* 2021;16:868-82.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Lee JW, Lee CS, Ryu YR, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid receptor 4 is transiently expressed during cardiac differentiation and critical for repair of the damaged heart. *Mol Ther* 2021;29:1151-63.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 74. DeLaughter DM, Bick AG, Wakimoto H, et al. Single-cell resolution of temporal gene expression during heart development. *Dev Cell* 2016;39:480-90.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 75. Uosaki H, Taguchi YH. Comparative gene expression analysis of mouse and human cardiac maturation. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* 2016;14:207-15.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Park KC, Gaze DC, Collinson PO, Marber MS. Cardiac troponins: from myocardial infarction to chronic disease. *Cardiovasc Res* 2017;113:1708-18.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 77. Yin Z, Ren J, Guo W. Sarcomeric protein isoform transitions in cardiac muscle: a journey to heart failure. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2015;1852:47-52.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 78. Denning C, Borgdorff V, Crutchley J, et al. Cardiomyocytes from human pluripotent stem cells: from laboratory curiosity to industrial biomedical platform. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2016;1863:1728-48.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 79. Karakikes I, Ameen M, Termglinchan V, Wu JC. Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes: insights into molecular, cellular, and functional phenotypes. *Circ Res* 2015;117:80-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- van den Berg CW, Okawa S, Chuva de Sousa Lopes SM, et al. Transcriptome of human foetal heart compared with cardiomyocytes from pluripotent stem cells. *Development* 2015;142:3231-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Bedada FB, Chan SS, Metzger SK, et al. Acquisition of a quantitative, stoichiometrically conserved ratiometric marker of maturation status in stem cell-derived cardiac myocytes. *Stem Cell Reports* 2014;3:594-605.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ellen Kreipke R, Wang Y, Miklas JW, Mathieu J, Ruohola-Baker H. Metabolic remodeling in early development and cardiomyocyte maturation. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2016;52:84-92.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Tiburcy M, Hudson JE, Balfanz P, et al. Defined engineered human myocardium with advanced maturation for applications in heart failure modeling and repair. *Circulation* 2017;135:1832-47.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Cardoso-Moreira M, Halbert J, Valloton D, et al. Gene expression across mammalian organ development. *Nature* 2019;571:505-9.
 PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Ieda M, Fu JD, Delgado-Olguin P, et al. Direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into functional cardiomyocytes by defined factors. *Cell* 2010;142:375-86.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 86. Inagawa K, Miyamoto K, Yamakawa H, et al. Induction of cardiomyocyte-like cells in infarct hearts by gene transfer of Gata4, Mef2c, and Tbx5. *Circ Res* 2012;111:1147-56.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Qian L, Huang Y, Spencer CI, et al. In vivo reprogramming of murine cardiac fibroblasts into induced cardiomyocytes. *Nature* 2012;485:593-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Song K, Nam YJ, Luo X, et al. Heart repair by reprogramming non-myocytes with cardiac transcription factors. *Nature* 2012;485:599-604.
 PUBMED I CROSSREF
- 89. Jayawardena TM, Egemnazarov B, Finch EA, et al. MicroRNA-mediated in vitro and in vivo direct reprogramming of cardiac fibroblasts to cardiomyocytes. *Circ Res* 2012;110:1465-73.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 90. Addis RC, Ifkovits JL, Pinto F, et al. Optimization of direct fibroblast reprogramming to cardiomyocytes using calcium activity as a functional measure of success. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2013;60:97-106. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 91. Vasu S, Zhou J, Chen J, Johnston PV, Kim DH. Biomaterials-based approaches for cardiac regeneration. *Korean Circ J* 2021;51:943-60.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Engler AJ, Sen S, Sweeney HL, Discher DE. Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. *Cell* 2006;126:677-89.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 93. Li Y, Dal-Pra S, Mirotsou M, et al. Tissue-engineered 3-dimensional (3D) microenvironment enhances the direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into cardiomyocytes by microRNAs. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:38815.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF