J. Appl. & Pure Math. Vol. 4(2022), No. 3 - 4, pp. 107 - 122 https://doi.org/10.23091/japm.2022.107

EXISTENCE UNIQUENESS AND STABILITY OF NONLOCAL NEUTRAL STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH RANDOM IMPULSES AND POISSON JUMPS

DIMPLEKUMAR CHALISHAJAR*, K. RAMKUMAR, K. RAVIKUMAR, GEOFF COX

ABSTRACT. This manuscript aims to investigate the existence, uniqueness, and stability of non-local random impulsive neutral stochastic differential time delay equations (NRINSDEs) with Poisson jumps. First, we prove the existence of mild solutions to this equation using the Banach fixed point theorem. Next, we demonstrate the stability via continuous dependence initial value. Our study extends the work of Wang, and Wu [16] where the time delay is addressed by the prescribed phase space \mathcal{B} (defined in Section 3). To illustrate the theory, we also provide an example of our methods. Using our results, one could investigate the controllability of random impulsive neutral stochastic differential equations with finite/infinite states. Moreover, one could extend this study to analyze the controllability of fractional-order of NRINSDEs with Poisson jumps as well.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 35R12, 60H99, 35B40, 34G20. *Key words and phrases* : Existence, stability, random impulsive, stochastic differential system, Banach fixed point theorem.

1. Introduction

For the last few decades, interest in the study of integrodifferential and stochastic differential equations has grown among the scientific community. We know that the presence of noise and/or stochastic perturbations can be unavoidable when formulating a phenomenon. In such cases, stochastic models tend to offer better performance over their deterministic counterparts. The power of stochastic approaches is seen in the formulation and analysis of phenomena, such as population dynamics, stock prices, heat conduction in materials, etc.

Poisson jumps have also become a prevalent modeling component in economics, finance, physics, biology, medicine, and other sciences. It is natural and necessary to include a jump term in the stochastic differential equation.

Received January 22, 2022. Revised February 15, 2022. Accepted May 24, 2022. *Corresponding author.

^{© 2022} KSCAM.

Moreover, many practical systems (such as sudden price variations/jumps due to stock-market crashes, earthquakes, epidemics, and so on) may undergo some jump-type stochastic perturbations. The sample paths of such systems are not continuous and it is more appropriate to consider stochastic processes with jumps to describe such models. In general, these jump models are derived from Poisson random measures. The sample paths of such systems are right continuous and have left limits (càdlàg in short). For more details, see the monographs [12, 13, 1], papers [18, 2], and references therein.

On the other hand, impulsive differential equations also attracted the attention of researchers (see [3, 5, 9]). Differential equations with fixed moments of impulses have become a natural framework for modeling processes in economics, physics, and population dynamics processes. The impulses in usual exist at deterministic or random points. The properties of fixed type impulses are established in many articles [5, 9, 10, 14]. Wu and Meng [17] was the first to consider a random impulsive ordinary differential system and established boundedness of solutions to the model by Liapunov's direct function. Moreover, Anguraj and Vinodkumar [6] investigated the existence and uniqueness of neutral functional differential equations with random impulses. Vinodkumar et al. [15] established the existence and stability results on random impulsive neutral partial differential equations.

Various disturbance factors from random inputs influence stochastic differential equations (SDEs). By the interaction of stochastic processes and mathematical models, the real-world system can be interpreted. Several systems are modeled using stochastic functional differential equations with impulses. In general, impulses appear at random time points, i.e., impulse time and impulsive functions are random variables. SDEs with random impulses are widely used in medicine, biology, economy, finance, and so on. Wang and Wu [16] considered the random impulsive SDEs with stock prices model of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} d[\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{t})] &= & \alpha \mathsf{S}dt + \beta \mathsf{S}(\mathsf{t})dB(t), \ \mathsf{t} \ge 0, \ \mathsf{t} \neq \tau_k, \\ \mathsf{S}(\tau_k) &= & a_k \mathsf{S}(\tau_k^-), \ k = 1, 2, ..., \\ \mathsf{S}(0) &= & \mathsf{S}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here B_t is a Brownian motion or Wiener process, S(t) represents the price of the stock at time t, $\{\tau_k\}$ represents the release time of the important information relating to the stock. $S(\tau_k^-) = \lim_{t\to\tau_k-0} S(t)$ and $S(0) \in \mathbb{R}$. In reality, $\{\tau_k\}$ is a sequence of random variables, which satisfies $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots$. Very recently, Anguraj et al. [4] investigated the stability of SDEs with random impulsive and Poisson jumps. However, to the best of our knowledge, so far, no work has been reported in the literature about NRINSDEs with Poisson jumps. Inspired by the above-mentioned works, this paper aims to fill this gap by examining the existence, uniqueness, and stability of NRINSDEs with Poisson jumps.

The considered NRINSDEs with Poisson jumps is of the form:

$$d[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}})] = \mathbf{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}})d\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}})dw(\mathbf{t}) + \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{u})\widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(d\mathbf{t}, d\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{t} \ge 0$$
$$\mathbf{x}(\xi_{k}^{-}) = \mathbf{b}_{k}(\delta_{k})\mathbf{x}(\xi_{k}^{-}), \ k = 1, 2, ...,$$
$$\mathbf{x}(0) + \mathbf{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_{0} = \phi, \ -\delta \le \theta \le 0,$$
(1)

where δ_k is a random variable defined from Ω to $\mathfrak{D}_k = d^{ef}(0, d_k)$ with $0 < d_k < +\infty$ for k = 1, 2, ... Suppose that δ_i and δ_j are independent of each other as $i \neq j$ for i, j = 1, 2, ... Let us define $\mathfrak{C}([-\delta, 0], \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d))$. Here, suppose $\mathfrak{T} \in (\mathfrak{t}_0, +\infty), \mathfrak{f} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times m}, \mathfrak{h} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^d, \mathfrak{g} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}, \mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathfrak{g} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}, \mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{c} \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_k : \mathfrak{D}_k \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}, \mathfrak{and} \mathfrak{x}_t$ is \mathbb{R}^d -valued stochastic process such that $\mathfrak{x}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathfrak{x}_t = \{\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t} + \theta) : -\delta \leq \theta \leq 0\}$. The impulsive moments ξ_k from a strictly increasing sequence, i.e., $\xi_0 < \xi_1 < \cdots < \xi_k < \cdots < \mathfrak{l} m_{k\to\infty}$, and $\mathfrak{x}(\xi_k^-) = \lim_{t\to \xi_k = 0} \mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t})$. We assume that $\xi_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0$ and $\xi_k = \xi_{k-1} + \delta_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ Obviously, $\{\xi_k\}$ is a process with independent increments. We suppose that $\{\mathsf{N}(\mathfrak{t}), \mathfrak{t} \geq 0\}$ is the simple counting process generated by $\{\xi_k\}$, and $\{\mathsf{w}(\mathfrak{t}) : \mathfrak{t} \geq 0\}$ is a given m-dimensional Wiener process. We denote $\mathfrak{I}_t^{(1)}$ the σ -algebra generated by $\{\mathsf{N}(\mathfrak{t}), \mathfrak{t} \geq 0\}$, and denote $\mathfrak{I}_t^{(2)}$ the σ -algebra generated by $\{\mathsf{w}(s), s \leq \mathfrak{t}\}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{I}_{\infty}^{(2)}, \mathfrak{I}_{\infty}^{(2)}$ and ξ are mutually independent. In (1), $\widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(d\mathfrak{t}, d\mathfrak{u}) = \mathsf{N}(d\mathfrak{t}, d\mathfrak{u}) - d\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{v}(d\mathfrak{u})$ denotes the compensated Poisson measure independent of $\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{t})$ and $\widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(d\mathfrak{t}, d\mathfrak{u})$ represents the Poisson counting measure associated with a characteristic measure \mathfrak{v} .

Highlights:

- (1) This work extends the work of Wang and Wu [16]
- (2) Time delay of NRINSDEs with Poisson jumps is taken care of by the prescribed phase space \mathcal{B}

The arrangement of the rest of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries and results applied in the latter part of the paper are presented. Section 3 is devoted to studying the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions of the system (1). In Section 4, the stability of the mild solution of the system (1) is studied.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \Im, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space with filtration $\{\Im_t\}$, $t \geq 0$ satisfying $\Im_t = \Im_t^{(1)} \vee \Im_t^{(2)}$. Let $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the collection of all strongly measurable, \Im_t measurable, \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variables x with norm $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} = (\mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where the expectation \mathbf{E} is defined by $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{x} d\mathbb{P}$. Let $\delta > 0$ denote the Banach space of all piecewise continuous \mathbb{R}^d -valued stochastic process $\{\xi(t), t \in [-\delta, 0]\}$ by $\mathfrak{C}([-\delta, 0], \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d))$ equipped with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathfrak{C}} = \sup_{\theta \in [-\delta, 0]} \left(\mathbf{E} \|\psi(\theta)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The initial data

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \phi = \mathbf{x}(0) + \mathbf{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{x}) = \{\phi(\theta) : -\delta \le \theta \le 0\},\tag{2}$$

is an \mathfrak{T}_{t_0} measurable, $[-\delta, 0]$ to \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable such that $\mathbf{E} \|\zeta\|^2 < \infty$.

Poisson Jumps Process:

Let $p(t), t \ge 0$, be an \mathcal{H} -valued, σ -finite stationary \mathfrak{T}_t -adapted Poisson point process on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{T}_t, \mathbb{P})$. The counting random measure N_p defined by $\mathsf{N}_p((\mathsf{t}_1, \mathsf{t}_2] \times \Lambda)(\omega) = \sum_{\mathsf{t}_1 < s < \mathsf{t}_2} I_{\Lambda}(\mathsf{p}(s))$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{H})$ is called the Poisson random mea-

sure associated with the Poisson point process p. Define the measure \widetilde{N} by

$$\mathsf{N}(d\mathsf{t}, d\mathsf{u}) = \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{p}}(d\mathsf{t}, d\mathsf{u}) - d\mathsf{t}\mathsf{v}(d\mathsf{u}),$$

where v is the characteristic measure on ${\cal H}$ called the compensated Poisson random measure associated with the Poisson point process p.

Definition 2.1. For a given $\mathfrak{T} \in (\mathfrak{t}_0, +\infty)$, a \mathbb{R}^{-d} -valued stochastic process $x(\mathfrak{t})$ on $\mathfrak{t}_0 - \delta \leq \mathfrak{t} \leq \mathfrak{T}$ is called a solution to (1) with the initial data (2) if for every $\mathfrak{t}_0 \leq \mathfrak{t} \leq \mathfrak{T}$, $x(\mathfrak{t}_0) = \phi$, $\{x_t\}_{\mathfrak{t}_0 \leq \mathfrak{t} \leq \mathfrak{T}}$ is \mathfrak{T}_t -adapted and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{x}(\mathsf{t}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i}) [\phi(0) - \mathfrak{q}(\mathsf{x}) + \mathfrak{h}(0,\phi)] - \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i}) \mathfrak{h}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}}) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j}) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_{i}} \mathfrak{f}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s}) ds + \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} \mathfrak{f}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s}) ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j}) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_{i}} \mathfrak{g}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s}) dw(s) + \int_{\xi_{k}}^{t} \mathfrak{g}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s}) dw(s) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j}) \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_{i}} \mathfrak{p}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s},\mathsf{u}) \widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds,d\mathsf{u}) \\ &+ \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} \mathfrak{p}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s},\mathsf{u}) \widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds,d\mathsf{u}) \Big] \mathsf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})}(\mathsf{t}), \end{aligned}$$
(3)

where

$$\prod_{j=i}^{k} \mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j}) = \mathbf{b}_{k}(\delta_{k})\mathbf{b}_{k-1}(\delta_{k-1})\cdots\mathbf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i}),$$

and $I_{(A)}(.)$ is the index function, i.e.,

$$\mathsf{I}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathsf{t}) = \begin{cases} 1, \ if \ \mathsf{t} \in \mathrm{A}, \\ 0, \ if \ \mathsf{t} \notin \mathrm{A}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. [7] For any $r \ge 1$ and for arbitrary \mathcal{L}_2^0 -valued predictable process $\Phi(.)$

$$\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^s \Phi(\mathsf{u}) dw(\mathsf{u}) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}}^{2\mathsf{r}} = \left(\mathsf{r}(2\mathsf{r}-1)\right)^{\mathsf{r}} \left(\int_0^t (\mathbb{E} \|\Phi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^0_2}^{2\mathsf{r}}) ds \right)^{\mathsf{r}}$$

3. Existence and Uniqueness

In order to derive the existence and uniqueness of the system (1), we shall impose the following assumptions:

(H1) The functions $\mathfrak{f} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathfrak{g} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ and $\mathfrak{h} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition such that there exist constants $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathfrak{T}) > 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{T}) > 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{T}) > 0$ such that,

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{f}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}}) - \mathfrak{f}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{t}}) \right\|^{2} & \leq \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathbb{E} \left\| x - y \right\|_{\mathsf{t}}^{2}, \\ & \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{g}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}}) - \mathfrak{g}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{t}}) \right\|^{2} & \leq \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{E} \left\| x - y \right\|_{\mathsf{t}}^{2}, \\ & \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{h}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}}) - \mathfrak{h}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{t}}) \right\|^{2} & \leq \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{E} \left\| x - y \right\|_{\mathsf{t}}^{2}, \end{split}$$

for $x, y \in \mathfrak{C}$, $t \in [t_0, \mathfrak{T}]$.

(H2) The functions $\mathfrak{p} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{U} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition such that there exist constants $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{T}) > 0$ such that,

$$(i) \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathfrak{p}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}},\mathsf{u}) - \mathfrak{p}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{t}},\mathsf{u}) \|^{2} \mathsf{v}(d\mathsf{u}) ds \lor$$
$$\left(\int_{\mathfrak{U}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathfrak{p}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}},\mathsf{u}) - \mathfrak{p}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{t}},\mathsf{u}) \|^{4} \mathsf{v}(d\mathsf{u}) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathsf{x} - \mathsf{y} \|_{\mathsf{t}}^{2},$$
$$(ii) \left(\int_{\mathfrak{U}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathfrak{p}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}},\mathsf{u}) \|^{4} \mathsf{v}(d\mathsf{u}) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \| \mathsf{x} \|_{\mathsf{t}}^{2}.$$

(H3) For all $t \in [t_0, \mathfrak{T}]$, it follows that $\mathfrak{f}(t, 0), \mathfrak{g}(t, 0), \mathfrak{h}(t, 0)$ and $\mathfrak{p}(t, 0, u) \in \mathcal{L}^2$, such that,

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{f}(\mathsf{t},0) \right\|^2 \leq \kappa_{\mathfrak{f}}, \ \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{g}(\mathsf{t},0) \right\|^2 \leq \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ & \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{h}(\mathsf{t},0) \right\|^2 \leq \kappa_{\mathfrak{h}}, \ \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{p}(\mathsf{t},0,\mathsf{u}) \right\|^2 \leq \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}, \end{split}$$

where $\kappa_{\mathfrak{f}}, \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}, \kappa_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are constants.

(H4) The functions $\mathfrak{q}: \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}$ is continuous, and there exists some constant $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (i)\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{q}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}}) - \mathfrak{q}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{t}}) \right\|^2 &\leq \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathsf{x} - \mathsf{y} \right\|_{\mathfrak{t}}^2, \\ (ii)\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{q}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}}) \right\|^2 &\leq \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} \left\| \mathsf{x} \right\|_{\mathfrak{t}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

 ${\rm for}\; x,y\in \mathfrak{C},\,t\in [t_0,\mathfrak{T}].$

(H5) The condition
$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\max_{i,k}\left\{\prod_{j=i}^{k}\|b_{j}(\delta_{j})\|\right\}\right\}$$
 is uniformly bounded. That is, there exist constant $\mathcal{C} > 0$ such that,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\max_{i,k}\{\prod_{j=i}^{k}\|b_{j}(\delta_{j})\|\}\right\} \leq C$$

for all $\delta_j \in \mathfrak{D}_j, j = 1, 2, 3...$

Theorem 3.1. Let the hypotheses (H1)-(H5) be hold. Then there exists a unique continuous mild solution to the system (1) for any initial value (t_0, ϕ) with $t_0 \ge 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be the phase space $\mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{C}([\mathfrak{t}_0 - \delta, \mathfrak{T}], \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d))$ endowed with the norm

$$\left\|x\right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{2} = \sup_{t \in [t_{0},T]} \left\|x_{t}\right\|_{\mathfrak{C}}^{2},$$

where $\|\mathbf{x}_t\|_{\mathfrak{C}} = \sup_{\mathbf{t}-\delta \leq s \leq \mathbf{t}} \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{x}(s)\|^2$. We define the operator $\Phi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ by

$$(\Phi \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} \phi(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{t} \in (+\infty, \mathbf{t}_0]; \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i) [\phi(0) - \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathfrak{h}(0, \phi)] - \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i) \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_\mathbf{t}) \right. \\ + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} \mathfrak{f}(s, \mathbf{x}_s) ds + \int_{\xi_k}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathfrak{f}(s, \mathbf{x}_s) ds \\ + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} \mathfrak{g}(s, \mathbf{x}_s) dw(s) + \int_{\xi_k}^t \mathfrak{g}(s, \mathbf{x}_s) dw(s) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} \mathfrak{p}(s, \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{u}) \widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds, d\mathbf{u}) \\ + \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \int_{\xi_k}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathfrak{p}(s, \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{u}) \widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds, d\mathbf{u}) \right] \mathsf{I}_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(\mathbf{t}), \ \mathbf{t} \in [\mathbf{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}]. \end{cases}$$

Now we have to prove that Φ maps \mathcal{B} into itself.

$$\begin{split} &\|(\Phi \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{t})\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i) [\phi(0) - \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(0, \phi)] - \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{b}_i(\delta_i) \mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}}) \right. \\ &+ \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i}^k \mathbf{b}_j(\delta_j) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} \mathbf{\mathfrak{f}}(s, \mathbf{x}_s) ds + \int_{\xi_k}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{\mathfrak{f}}(s, \mathbf{x}_s) ds \right] \end{split}$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{j=i}^{k}\mathsf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_{i}}\mathfrak{g}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s})dw(s) + \int_{\xi_{k}}^{t}\mathfrak{g}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s})dw(s)\right] \\ + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{j=i}^{k}\mathsf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\int_{\mathfrak{U}}\int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_{i}}\mathfrak{p}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s},\mathsf{u})\widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds,d\mathsf{u}) \\ + \int_{\mathfrak{U}}\int_{\xi_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}}\mathfrak{p}(s,\mathsf{x}_{s},\mathsf{u})\widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds,d\mathsf{u})\right]\right] \mathsf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1}}(\mathsf{t})\Big\|^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} &\leq 5\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k}\||\mathbf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i})\|^{2}\|\phi(0)-\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(0,\phi)\|^{2}\mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})}(\mathbf{t})\right] \\ &+5\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k}\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}_{t})\|^{2}\mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})}(\mathbf{t})\right] \\ &+5\left[\max_{i,k}\left\{1,\prod_{j=i}^{k}\||\mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\|\right\}\right]^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}}\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(s,\mathbf{x}_{s})\||ds\mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})}(\mathbf{t})\right)^{2} \\ &+5\left[\max_{i,k}\left\{1,\prod_{j=i}^{k}\||\mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\|\right\}\right]^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}}\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(s,\mathbf{x}_{s})\||dw(s)\mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})}(\mathbf{t})\right)^{2} \\ &+5\left[\max_{i,k}\left\{1,\prod_{j=i}^{k}\||\mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\|\right\}\right]^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}}\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(s,\mathbf{x}_{s},\mathbf{u})\|\|\tilde{\mathbf{N}}(ds,d\mathbf{u})\mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})}(\mathbf{t})\right)^{2} \\ &\leq 10\left[\max_{i,k}\left\{1,\prod_{j=i}^{k}\||\mathbf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i})\|^{2}\right\}\right]\left[\||\phi(0)-\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})\|^{2}+\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(0,\phi)\|^{2}\right] \\ &+10\left[\max_{k}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{k}\||\mathbf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i})\|^{2}\right\}\right]\left[\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}_{t})-\mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{t},0)\|^{2}+\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(t,0)\|^{2}\right] \\ &+10\left[\max_{i,k}\left\{1,\prod_{i=i}^{k}\||\mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\|^{2}\right\}\right] \\ &\times(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_{0})\int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}}\left[\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(s,\mathbf{x}_{s})-\mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(s,0)\|^{2}+\||\mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(s,0)\|^{2}\right]ds \end{split}$$

Dimplekumar Chalishajar, K. Ramkumar, K. Ravikumar, Geoff Cox

$$+10\left[\max_{i,k}\left\{1,\prod_{j=i}^{k}\|\mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\|^{2}\right\}\right]$$

$$\times(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_{0})\left[\int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}}\int_{\mathfrak{U}}\left[\|\mathbf{p}(s,\mathbf{x}_{s},\mathbf{u})-\mathbf{p}(s,0,\mathbf{u})\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{p}(s,0,\mathbf{u})\|^{2}\right]\mathbf{v}(d\mathbf{u})ds\right]$$

$$+5\left[\max_{i,k}\left\{1,\prod_{j=i}^{k}\|\mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\|^{2}\right\}\right]\times(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_{0})\left[\int_{0}^{\mathbf{t}}\int_{\mathfrak{U}}\|\mathbf{p}(s,\mathbf{x}_{s},\mathbf{u})\|^{4}\mathbf{v}(d\mathbf{u})ds\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{t}) \right\|_{\mathbf{t}}^{2} \\ & \leq 20\mathcal{C}^{2} \left[\mathbb{E} \left\| \phi(0) \right\|^{2} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|^{2} \right] + 10\mathcal{C}^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi \right\|^{2} \\ & + 10\mathcal{C}^{2} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{\mathbf{t}}^{2} + \kappa_{\mathfrak{h}} \right] \\ & + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{s}^{2} + \kappa_{\mathfrak{f}} \right] ds \\ & + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \mathbb{C}_{2} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{s}^{2} + \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} \right] ds \\ & + 20 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ & + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0})^{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ & \leq 20\mathcal{C}^{2} \left[\mathbb{E} \left\| \phi(0) \right\|^{2} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|^{2} \right] + 10\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi \right\|^{2} + 10\mathcal{C}^{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & + 10\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{\mathbf{t}}^{2} + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ & + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0})^{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{f}} + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \mathbb{C}_{2} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ & + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0})^{2} \mathbb{C}_{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} + 20 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ & + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0})^{2} \mathbb{C}_{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} + 20 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ & + 10 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0})^{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{split}$$

Taking supremum over $\boldsymbol{t},$ we get

$$\begin{split} &\sup_{t\in[t_{0},\mathfrak{T}]}\mathbb{E}\left\|(\Phi \mathsf{x})(t)\right\|_{t}^{2} \\ &\leq 20\mathcal{C}^{2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left\|\phi(0)\right\|^{2} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}\sup_{t\in[t_{0},\mathfrak{T}]}\mathbb{E}\left\|\mathsf{x}\right\|^{2}\right] + 10\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}\mathbb{E}\left\|\phi\right\|^{2} + 10\mathcal{C}^{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &+ 10\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}\sup_{t\in[t_{0},\mathfrak{T}]}\mathbb{E}\left\|\mathsf{x}\right\|_{t}^{2} \end{split}$$

$$+ 10 \max\left\{1, \mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathsf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathsf{t}_{0}}^{\mathsf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \sup_{\mathsf{t} \in [\mathsf{t}_{0}, \mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \|\mathsf{x}\|_{s}^{2} ds$$

$$+ 10 \max\left\{1, \mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathsf{t}_{0})^{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{f}} + 10 \max\left\{1, \mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathsf{t}_{0}) \mathbb{C}_{2} \int_{\mathsf{t}_{0}}^{\mathsf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \sup_{\mathsf{t} \in [\mathsf{t}_{0}, \mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \|\mathsf{x}\|_{s}^{2} ds$$

$$+ 10 \max\left\{1, \mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathsf{t}_{0})^{2} \mathbb{C}_{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} + 20 \max\left\{1, \mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathsf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathsf{t}_{0}}^{\mathsf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \sup_{\mathsf{t} \in [\mathsf{t}_{0}, \mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \|\mathsf{x}\|_{s}^{2} ds$$

$$+ 10 \max\left\{1, \mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathsf{t}_{0})^{2} \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$\leq 10 \left[2\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \left\| \phi \right\|^{2} + \mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}^{2}\mathbb{E} \left\| \phi \right\|^{2} + \mathcal{C}^{2}\kappa_{\mathsf{h}} \right. \\ \left. + \max\left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} \left(\mathfrak{T} - \mathsf{t}_{0} \right)^{2} \left(\kappa_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathbb{C}_{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} + \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. + 10 \left[2\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{C}^{2} + \mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \max\left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} \left(\mathfrak{T} - \mathsf{t}_{0} \right)^{2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbb{C}_{2} + 2\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \right] \left\| \mathsf{x} \right\|_{\mathsf{t}}^{2}.$$

Thus we obtain,

$$\|\Phi \mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{2} \le m_{1} + m_{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{2}$$

where,

$$\begin{split} m_1 &= 10 \bigg[2\mathcal{C}^2 \mathbb{E} \, \|\phi\|^2 + \mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \mathbb{E} \, \|\phi\|^2 + \mathcal{C}^2 \kappa_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &+ \max \big\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^2 \big\} \, (\mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{t}_0)^2 \, (\kappa_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathbb{C}_2 \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} + \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}) \bigg], \\ m_2 &= 10 \, \big[2\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{C}^2 + \mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \max \big\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^2 \big\} \, (\mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{t}_0)^2 \, (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_2 + 2\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \big] \,, \end{split}$$

where m_1 and m_2 are constants. Hence Φ is bounded. Now we have to prove that Φ is a contraction mapping. For any $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|(\Phi \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{t}) - (\Phi \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{t})\|^{2} \\ &\leq 5 \left[\max_{k} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|\mathbf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i})\|^{2} \right\} \|\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{y})\| \, \mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})} \right]^{2} \\ &+ 5 \left[\max_{k} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|\mathbf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i})\|^{2} \right\} \|\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}_{t}) - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{y}_{t})\| \, \mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})} \right]^{2} \\ &+ 5 \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=i}^{k} \|\mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\|^{2} \right\} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \|\mathbf{f}(s,\mathbf{x}_{s}) - \mathbf{f}(s,\mathbf{y}_{s})\| \, ds \mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})} \right]^{2} \\ &+ 5 \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=i}^{k} \|\mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j})\|^{2} \right\} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \|\mathbf{g}(s,\mathbf{x}_{s}) - \mathbf{g}(s,\mathbf{y}_{s})\| \, dw(s) \mathbf{I}_{[\xi_{k},\xi_{k+1})} \right]^{2} \end{split}$$

$$+ 5 \left[\max_{i,k} \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=i}^{k} \| \mathbf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j}) \|^{2} \right\} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \int_{\mathfrak{U}}^{\mathbf{t}} \| \mathbf{p}(s, \mathbf{x}_{s}, \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{p}(s, \mathbf{y}_{s}, \mathbf{u}) \| \widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds, d\mathbf{u}) \mathsf{I}_{[\xi_{k}, \xi_{k+1})} \right]^{2} \\ \leq 5\mathcal{C}^{2} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{y}) \|^{2} + 5\mathcal{C}^{2} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{t}) - \mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}_{t}) \|^{2} \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{\mathfrak{f}}(s, \mathbf{x}_{s}) - \mathbf{\mathfrak{f}}(s, \mathbf{y}_{s}) \|^{2} ds \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \mathbb{C}_{2} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(s, \mathbf{x}_{s}) - \mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(s, \mathbf{y}_{s}) \|^{2} ds \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}(s, \mathbf{x}_{s}, \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}(s, \mathbf{y}_{s}, \mathbf{u}) \|^{2} ds \\ \leq 5\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{q}} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|_{\mathbf{t}}^{2} + 5\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{h}} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|_{\mathbf{t}}^{2} + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|_{\mathbf{t}}^{2} ds \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \mathbb{C}^{2} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \mathbb{C}^{2} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0}) \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \|_{s}^{2} ds$$

• •

$$\begin{split} &\sup_{t\in[t_0,\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi x)(t) - (\Phi y)(t) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 5\mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} \sup_{t\in[t_0,\mathfrak{T}]} \left\| x - y \right\|_t^2 + 5\mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} \sup_{t\in[t_0,\mathfrak{T}]} \left\| x - y \right\|_t^2 \\ &+ 5 \max\left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^2 \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_0)^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \sup_{t\in[t_0,\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| x - y \right\|_s^2 \\ &+ 5 \max\left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^2 \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_0)^2 \mathbb{C}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \sup_{t\in[t_0,\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| x - y \right\|_s^2 \\ &+ 5 \max\left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^2 \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_0)^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \sup_{t\in[t_0,\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| x - y \right\|_s^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ 5\mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} + 5\mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} + 5 \max\left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^2 \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_0)^2 [\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathbb{C}_2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}] \right\} \sup_{t\in[t_0,\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| x - y \right\|_t^2. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\left\| (\Phi \mathsf{x}) - (\Phi \mathsf{y}) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \leq \Upsilon(\mathfrak{T}) \left\| \mathsf{x} - \mathsf{y} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2,$$

with

$$\Upsilon(\mathfrak{T}) = 5\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} + 5\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\}(\mathfrak{T}-\mathsf{t}_{0})^{2}[\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathbb{C}_{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}]$$

By taking suitable $0 < \mathfrak{T}_1 < \mathfrak{T}$ sufficiently small such that, $\Upsilon(\mathfrak{T}_1) < 1$. Hence Φ is a contraction on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{T}_1}$ ($\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{T}_1}$ denotes \mathcal{B} with \mathfrak{T} substituted by \mathfrak{T}_1). By Banach Contraction Principle, a unique fixed point $x \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathsf{T}_1}$ is obtained for the operator Φ and therefore $\Phi x = x$ is a mild solution of the system (1). The solution can

be extended to the entire interval $(-\delta, \mathfrak{T}]$ in finitely many steps which completes the proof for the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions on the entire interval $(-\delta,\mathfrak{T}].$

4. Stability

The stability through continuous dependence of solutions on initial condition are investigated.

Definition 4.1. A mild solution x(t) of the system (1) with initial condition ϕ satisfies (2) is said to be stable in the mean square if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist, $\eta > 0$ such that,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathsf{x}(\mathsf{t}) - \widehat{\mathsf{x}}(\mathsf{t}) \right\|^2 &\leq \ \epsilon \ whenever, \\ \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi - \widehat{\phi} \right\|^2 &\leq \ \eta \ for \ all \ t \in [\mathsf{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}], \end{split}$$

where $\hat{x}(t)$ is another mild solution of the system (1) with initial value ϕ defined in (2).

Theorem 4.2. Let x(t) and y(t) be mild solution of the system (1) with initial conditions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 respectively. If the assumptions of theorem 3.1 gets satisfied, the mean solution of the system (1) is stable in the mean square.

Proof. By assumptions, x(t) and y(t) be two mild solutions of the system (1) with initial values ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{x}(\mathsf{t}) - \mathsf{y}(\mathsf{t}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \Big[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i}) \left[[\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}] + [\mathfrak{q}(\mathsf{x}) - \mathfrak{q}(\mathsf{y})] + [\mathfrak{h}(0, \phi_{1}) - \mathfrak{h}(0, \phi_{2})] \right] \\ &- \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{i}(\delta_{i}) \left[\mathfrak{h}(\mathsf{t}, \mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}}) - \mathfrak{h}(\mathsf{t}, \mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{t}}) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j}) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_{i}} \left[\mathfrak{f}(s, \mathsf{x}_{s}) - \mathfrak{f}(s, \mathsf{y}_{s}) \right] ds + \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} \left[\mathfrak{f}(s, \mathsf{x}_{s}) - \mathfrak{f}(s, \mathsf{y}_{s}) \right] ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j}) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_{i}} \left[\mathfrak{g}(s, \mathsf{x}_{s}) - \mathfrak{g}(s, \mathsf{y}_{s}) \right] dw(s) + \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} \left[\mathfrak{g}(s, \mathsf{x}_{s}) - \mathfrak{g}(s, \mathsf{y}_{s}) \right] dw(s) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} \mathsf{b}_{j}(\delta_{j}) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_{i}} \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \left[\mathfrak{p}(s, \mathsf{x}_{s}, \mathsf{u}) - \mathfrak{p}(s, \mathsf{y}_{s}, \mathsf{u}) \right] \widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds, d\mathfrak{u}) \\ &+ \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \left[\mathfrak{p}(s, \mathsf{x}_{s}, \mathsf{u}) - \mathfrak{p}(s, \mathsf{y}_{s}, \mathsf{u}) \right] \mathsf{I}_{[\xi_{k}, \xi_{k+1})}(\mathfrak{t}). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\boldsymbol{x}(t)-\boldsymbol{y}(t)\right\|^{2}$$

Dimplekumar Chalishajar, K. Ramkumar, K. Ravikumar, Geoff Cox

$$\leq 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \|\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}\|^{2} + 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \|q(x) - q(y)\|^{2} \\ + 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \|\mathfrak{h}(0,\phi_{1}) - \mathfrak{h}(0,\phi_{2})\|^{2} + 5\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \|\mathfrak{h}(t,x_{t}) - \mathfrak{h}(t,y_{t})\|^{2} \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (t-t_{0}) \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathfrak{f}(s,x_{s}) - \mathfrak{f}(s,y_{s})\|^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (t-t_{0}) \mathbb{C}_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathfrak{g}(s,x_{s}) - \mathfrak{g}(s,y_{s})\|^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (t-t_{0}) \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathfrak{p}(s,x_{s},u) - \mathfrak{p}(s,y_{s},u)\|^{2} ds \\ \leq 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \|\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}\|^{2} + 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{t}^{2} + 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}\mathbb{E} \|\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}\|^{2} \\ + 5\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{t}^{2} + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathbb{C}_{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathbb{C}_{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ \leq 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \|\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}\|^{2} [1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}] + 5 \left[3\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} + \mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}\right] \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{t}^{2} \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ \leq 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \|\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}\|^{2} [1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}] + 5 \left[3\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} + \mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}\right] \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{t}^{2} \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ \leq 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \|\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}\|^{2} [1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}] + 5 \left[3\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} + \mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}\right] \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{t}^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5\max\left\{1,\mathcal{C}^{2}\right\} (\mathfrak{T} - t_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\mathbf{t}\in[\mathbf{t}_{0},\mathfrak{T}]} & \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right\|^{2} \\ \leq 15\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_{1} - \phi_{2} \right\|^{2} \left[1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} \right] + 5 \left[3\mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} + \mathcal{C}^{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}} \right] \sup_{\mathbf{t}\in[\mathbf{t}_{0},\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right\|_{\mathbf{t}}^{2} \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \sup_{\mathbf{t}\in[\mathbf{t}_{0},\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0})\mathbb{C}_{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \sup_{\mathbf{t}\in[\mathbf{t}_{0},\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right\|_{s}^{2} ds \\ + 5 \max \left\{ 1, \mathcal{C}^{2} \right\} (\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_{0})\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{\mathbf{t}} \sup_{\mathbf{t}\in[\mathbf{t}_{0},\mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right\|_{s}^{2} ds \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{t \in [t_0, \mathfrak{T}]} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathsf{x} - \mathsf{y} \right\|_{\mathsf{t}}^2 \le \beta \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_1 - \phi_2 \right\|^2$$

where,

$$\beta = \frac{15\mathcal{C}^2[1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}]}{1 - \left[5\left[3\mathcal{C}^2\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} + \mathcal{C}^2\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}\right] + 5\max(1,\mathcal{C}^2)(\mathfrak{T} - \mathbf{t}_0)^2\left[\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{f}} + \mathbb{C}_2\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]\right]}$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $\eta = \frac{\epsilon}{\beta}$ such that $\mathbb{E} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|^2 < \eta$. Then,

 $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \le \epsilon.$

This completes the proof.

5. An application

The considered NRINSDEs with Poisson jumps is of the form:

$$d\left[\mathsf{x}(\mathsf{t}) + \int_{-\delta}^{0} \mathsf{v}_{1}(\theta)\mathsf{x}(\mathsf{t}+\theta)\right]$$

= $\left[\int_{-\delta}^{0} \mathsf{v}_{2}(\theta)\mathsf{x}(\mathsf{t}+\theta)\right] d\mathsf{t} + \left[\int_{-\delta}^{0} \mathsf{v}_{3}(\theta)\mathsf{x}(\mathsf{t}+\theta)\right] dw(\mathsf{t})$
+ $\left[\int_{-\delta}^{0} \int_{\mathfrak{U}} \mathsf{v}_{2}(\theta)\mathsf{x}(\mathsf{t}+\theta)\right] \widetilde{\mathsf{N}}(d\mathsf{t},d\mathsf{u}), \ \mathsf{t} \ge 0, \ \mathsf{t} \neq \xi_{k},$ (4)

$$\mathbf{x}(\xi_k) = \mathbf{b}(k)\delta_k \mathbf{x}(\xi_k^-), \ k = 1, 2, ...,$$
 (5)

$$\mathbf{x}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_0, \ 0 < \mathbf{q}_1 < \mathbf{q}_2 < \cdots + \mathbf{q}_p < \mathfrak{T}.$$
 (6)

Let r > 0, **u** in \mathbb{R} -valued stochastic process, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{C}([-\delta, 0], \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$. δ_k is defined from Ω to $\mathbb{D}_k \stackrel{def.}{=} (0, d_k)$ for all k = 1, 2, ..., Suppose that δ_k following Erlang distribution and δ_i and δ_j are independent of each other as $i \neq j$ for i, j = $1, 2, ... \mathfrak{t}_0 = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_k < \cdots$, and $\xi_k = \xi_{k-1} + \delta_k$ for k = 1, 2, ...Let $w(\mathfrak{t}) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a one-dimensional Brownian motions, where b is a function of k. $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 : [-\delta, 0] \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions. Define $\mathfrak{f} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathfrak{g} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, $\mathfrak{h} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathfrak{p} : [\mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{T}] \times \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{U} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_k : \mathfrak{D}_k \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ by

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}))(\cdot) &= \int_{-r}^{0} v_1 \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}+\theta) d\theta(\cdot), \quad \mathfrak{f}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}))(\cdot) = \int_{-r}^{0} v_2 \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}+\theta) d\theta(\cdot), \\ \mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}))(\cdot) &= \int_{-r}^{0} v_3 \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}+\theta) d\theta(\cdot), \quad \mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}))(\cdot) = \int_{-r}^{0} v_4 \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}+\theta) d\theta(\cdot), \end{split}$$

For $x(t + \theta) \in \mathfrak{C}$, we suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i).
$$\max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=i}^{k} \mathbf{E} \left\| \mathsf{b}(j)(\tau_{j}) \right\|^{2} \right\} < \infty,$$

Dimplekumar Chalishajar, K. Ramkumar, K. Ravikumar, Geoff Cox

$$(ii).\int_{-r}^{0} v_1(\theta)^2 d\theta, \int_{-r}^{0} v_2(\theta)^2 d\theta, \int_{-r}^{0} v_3(\theta)^2 d\theta, \int_{-r}^{0} v_3(\theta)^4 d\theta < \infty.$$

Suppose the state (i) and (ii) gets satisfied from which we can prove that the assumptions (H1)-(H5) holds. Thus system (1) has a unique mild solution x and is stable.

Remark 5.1. If $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ in (1), then the system behaves as NRINSDEs of the form:

$$d[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}})] = \mathbf{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}})d\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}})dw(\mathbf{t}), \ t \neq \xi_k, \ \mathbf{t} \ge 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{x}(\xi_k^-) = \mathbf{b}_k(\delta_k)\mathbf{x}(\xi_k^-), \ k = 1, 2, ...,$$
 (8)

$$\mathbf{x}(0) + \mathbf{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_0 = \phi, \ -\delta \le \theta \le 0, \tag{9}$$

By applying Theorem 3.1 under the assumptions (H1)-(H5), then the above guarantees the existence of the mild solution.

6. Conclusion

This manuscript is devoted to studying the existence, uniqueness, and stability of NRINSDEs with Poisson jumps. We proved the existence of mild solutions to the equation using the Banach fixed point theorem. Then, we proved the stability via continuous dependence initial value. Further, this result could be extended to investigate the controllability of random impulsive neutral stochastic differential equations finite/infinite state-dependent delay in the future. The fractional-order of NRINSDEs with Poisson jumps would be quite interesting. The controllability of these systems can be studied obviously. Numerical approximation of the given system will lead us to a new direction and be considered future work.

References

- D. Applebaum, Levy Process and Stochastic Calculus, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009.
- A. Anguraj and K. Ravikumar, Existence and stability of impulsive stochastic partial neutral functional differential equations with infinite delays and Poisson jumps, Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity 9 (2020), 245-255.
- A. Anguraj, K. Ramkumar and E.M. Elsayed, Existence, uniqueness and stability of impulsive stochastic partial neutral functional differential equations with infinite delays driven by a fractional Brownian motion, Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity 9 (2020), 327-337.
- A. Anguraj, K. Ravikumar and J.J. Nieto, On stability of stochastic differential equations with random impulses driven by Poisson jumps, Stochastics An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes 93 (2021), 682-696.
- A. Anguraj and K. Karthikeyan, Existence of solutions for impulsive neutral functional differential equations with nonlocal conditions, Nonlinear Analysis Theory Methods and Applications 70 (2009), 2717-2721.
- A. Anguraj and A. Vinodkumar, Existence and uniqueness of neutral functional differential equations with random impulses, International Journal of Nonlinear Science 8 (2009), 412-418.

- G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- C. Dimplekumar, K. Ramkumar and K. Ravikumar, Impulsive-integral inequalities for attracting and quasi-invariant sets of neutral stochastic partial functional integrodifferential equations with impulsive effects, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 13 (2020), 284–292.
- E. Heranadez, M. Rabello and H.R. Henriquez, Existence of solutions for impulsive partial neutral functional differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 311 (2007), 1135-1158.
- V. Lakshmikantham, D.D. Bianov and P.S. Simenonov, Theory of Impulsive Differential equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- S. Li, L. Shu, X.B. Shu and F. Xu, Existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of random impulsive stochastic functional differential equations with finite delays, Stochastics 6 (2019), 857-872.
 X. Mao, Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications, Elsevier, 2007.
- 13. B. Oksendal, Stochastic differential Equations: An introduction with Applications, Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- A.M. Samoilenko, and N.A. Perestyuk, *Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- A. Vinodkumar, M. Gowrisankar and P. Mohankumar, Existence, uniqueness and stability of random impulsive neutral partial differential equations, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society 23 (2015), 31-36.
- 16. T. Wang and S. Wu, Random impulsive model for stock prices and its application for insurers, Master thesis (in Chinese), Shanghai, East China Normal University, 2008.
- 17. S.J. Wu and X.Z. Meng, Boundedness of nonlinear differential systems with impulsive effects on random moments, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica **20** (2004), 147-154.
- X. Yang and Q. Zhu, pth moment exponential stability of stochastic partial differential equations with Poisson jumps, Asian J. Control 6 (2014), 1482-1491.

Colonel Dimplekumar Chalishajar is a Professor of Applied Mathematics at Virginia Military Institute (VMI), USA. He did his Ph.D. from the Indian Institute of Science and the University of Baroda, India. His fields of interest are Control Theory, Dynamical Systems/Inclusions, Fractional-order Systems, Time and State Delay systems PDEs. Mathematical Biology, Functional Analysis, etc. He has published 75 research articles in several peer-reviewed international journals with one monogram. He is on the editorial board of more than 11 international journals and he has been serving as a reviewer in more than 25 reputed international journals. He has reviewed 170 research articles so far to help the mathematical community. He has been invited to deliver an expert lecture by several universities nationally and recognized internationally. He has delivered 70 research talks so far. He has an overall teaching experience of 26 years and he has been involved in the research for the last 27 years. He is an author/co-author of five books including APEX. He worked as a professor and head of the department for 12 years in India and he has been serving at VMI since 2008. Apart from this, he is actively involved in several administrative committees at VMI like Chair of the International Program Committee, Chair of VMIRL Liaison Committee, and Institute Review Board Committee. He is a life member of Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Mathematical Association of America (MAA), American Mathematical Society (AMS). He has visited several counties for academic purposes like France, Spain, Portugal, Romania, Nepal, Ukraine, Russia, Bulgaria, South Korea, UK, Canada.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Mallory Hall, Virginia Military Institute, Lexington, VA 24450, USA.

e-mail: chalishajardn@vmi.edu, dipu17370@gmail.com

K. Ramkumar received M.Sc. from Ramakrishna Mission, Vivekandha College, Madras University and Ph.D. from PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, Bharathiar University. Currently, he works as an assistant professor at the PSG College of Arts and Sciences. His research interests are control theory and stochastic differential/integrodifferential systems.

Department of Mathematics, PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, 641 046, India. e-mail: ramkumarkpsg@gmail.com

K. Ravikumar received M.Sc. from Ramakrishna Mission, Vivekandha College, Madras University and Ph.D. from PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, Bharathiar University. Currently, he works as an assistant professor at the PSG College of Arts and Sciences. His research interests are control theory and stochastic differential/integrodifferential systems.

Department of Mathematics, PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, 641 046, India. e-mail: ravikumarkpsg@gmail.com

Geoff Cox received his Ph.D. from the University of California, CA, USA, and joined Virginia Military institute, VA in 2010. He is working as a Lieutenant Colonel (Associate Professor) and his field of research in computational mathematics. He is associated with the Mathematical Association of America (MAA) since 2010.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Mallory Hall, Virginia Military Institute, Lexington, VA 24450, USA.

e-mail: coxgeoff@vmi.edu