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#### Abstract

The mathematical expression of the $p$-value calculation for the semi-partial correlation coefficient differs between Kim (2015) and Cohen et al. (2003). These two expressions were compared and the advantages of Kim (2015)'s approach over Cohen et al. (2003) were discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Suppose the random vector $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ where $|X|=n$. The variance of a random variable $x_{i}$ and the covariance between two random variables $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ are denoted as $v_{i}$ and $c_{i j}$, respectively. The correlation between two random variables $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ is denoted by $r_{i j}=c_{i j} /\left(\sqrt{v_{i}} \sqrt{v_{j}}\right)$. Then the partial correlation of $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ given $x_{k}$ and the semi-partial correlation of $x_{i}$ with $x_{j}$ given $x_{k}$ are defined, respectively, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i j \mid k}=\frac{r_{i j}-r_{i k} r_{j k}}{\sqrt{1-r_{i k}^{2}} \sqrt{1-r_{j k}^{2}}}, \quad r_{i(j \mid k)}=\frac{r_{i j}-r_{i k} r_{j k}}{\sqrt{1-r_{j k}^{2}}} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partial and semi-partial correlations can be also defined, using multiple correlations, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i j k}=\frac{\sqrt{R_{i . j k}^{2}-R_{i . k}^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-R_{i . k}^{2}}}, \quad r_{i(j \mid k)}=\sqrt{R_{i . j k}^{2}-R_{i . k}^{2}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{i . k}^{2}$ is the squared multiple correlation of $x_{i}$ on $x_{k}$, which is equal to $r_{i k}^{2}$, and $R_{i . j k}^{2}$ is the squared multiple correlation of $x_{i}$ on $x_{j}$ and $x_{k}$. Note that the superscripts $k$ and $c$ will be used to denote expressions of Kim (2015) and Cohen et al. (2003).

Kim (2015) used the statistics $t_{i j \mid S}^{k}$ and $t_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}$, respectively, in order to calculate the $p$-values of the partial and semi-partial correlations of $x_{i}$ and (with) $x_{j}$ given $x_{S}\left(=x_{(i, j)}\right)$, which are

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j \mid S}^{k}=r_{i j \mid S} \sqrt{\frac{N-2-g}{1-r_{i j \mid S}^{2}}}, \quad t_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}=r_{i(j \mid S)} \sqrt{\frac{N-2-g}{1-r_{i(j \mid S)}^{2}}}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $N$ is the sample size and $g$ is the total number of given (or controlled) variables, and $x_{S}$ (= $\left.x_{(i, j)}\right)$ is the random sub-vector of $X$ after removing the random variables $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ and its size is $|S|$ ( $=|X|-2$ ). The corresponding $p$-values are then calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j \mid S}^{k}=2 \Phi_{t}\left(-\left|t_{i j \mid S}^{k}\right|, N-2-g\right), \quad p_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}=2 \Phi_{t}\left(-\left|t_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}\right|, N-2-g\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{t}(\cdot)$ is the cumulative density function of a Student's $t$ distribution with the degree of freedom $N-2-g$.

We can readily observe that the statistic $t_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}$ can equal zero only when the semi-partial correlation $r_{i(j \mid S)}$ is zero in equation (1.3). This demonstrates that the statistic $t_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}$ in equation (1.3) is suitable for testing the deviation of the semi-partial correlation coefficient from zero. For the same reason, the statistic $t_{i j S}^{k}$ is also sufficient to test the null hypothesis $H_{0}: r_{i j \mid S}=0$.

On the other hand, Cohen et al. (2003) suggested the single statistic for calculating the $p$-values of both partial and semi-partial correlations of $x_{i}$ and (with) $x_{j}$ given $x_{S}\left(=x_{(i, j)}\right)$, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j \mid S}^{c}=t_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}=r_{i(j \mid S)} \sqrt{\frac{N-2-g}{1-R_{i . j S}^{2}}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{i . j S}^{2}$ is the squared multiple correlation of $x_{i}$ on $x_{j}$ and $x_{S}$. The corresponding $p$-values are

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j \mid S}^{c}=p_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}=2 \Phi_{t}\left(-\left|t_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}\right|, N-2-g\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (1.1), we can notice that the partial and semi-partial correlations have the identical numerator and differ only by their denominators. Indeed, $r_{i(j k)}=r_{i j k} \cdot \sqrt{1-r_{i k}^{2}}$ and so $r_{i(j k)} \leq r_{i j k}$ because of $1-r_{i k}^{2} \leq 1$. This relationship confirms that if one of the correlations is zero, then the other correlation is also zero. Besides, the statistic $t_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}$ (or $t_{i j \mid S}^{c}$ ) cannot equal zero unless the semipartial correlation $r_{i(j \mid S)}$ is zero in equation (1.5). Thus, equation (1.5) is appropriate for the hypothesis test to decide whether both partial and semi-partial correlation coefficients are significantly different from zero, which is the rationale for the use of the same statistic for both partial and semi-partial correlations in Cohen et al. (2003).

We can also see that, using equation (1.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i(j \mid S)} \sqrt{\frac{N-2-g}{1-R_{i . j S}^{2}}}=r_{i j \mid S} \sqrt{\frac{N-2-g}{1-r_{i j \mid S}^{2}}}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $t_{i j \mid S}^{k}=t_{i j \mid S}^{c}=t_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}$ and so $p_{i j \mid S}^{k}=p_{i j \mid S}^{c}=p_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}$. That is, Cohen et al. (2003) uses Kim (2015)'s statistic $t_{i j \mid S}^{k}$ to test whether both partial and semi-partial correlations are significantly different from zero.

However, the partial correlation is not a one-to-one correspondence to the semi-partial correlation. For example, when $\left(r_{i j}, r_{i k}, r_{j k}\right)$ is either $(0.816,0.8,0.8)$ or $(0.892,0.8,0.8)$, the partial correlation $r_{i j k}$ is equal to 0.70 but the semi-partial correlation $r_{i(j / k)}$ is 0.56 and 0.42 , respectively. This means that there can be two or more corresponding semi-partial correlation coefficients for a partial correlation coefficient, resulting that two different semi-partial correlation coefficients can share the identical statistical significance in case of Cohen et al. (2003)'s approach. Moreover, the monotone ordering is not


Figure 1: Comparison between Kim (2015) and Cohen et al. (2003) when the number of variables is 30 (i.e., $p=30$ ) and the number of samples is 100 (i.e., $n=100$ ). The panels (a) and (b) are the scatter plots of the correlation coefficients and the significance levels (i.e., $p$-value), respectively, between the partial correlation (i.e., $r_{12 \mid S}$ ) and the semi-partial correlation (i.e., $r_{1(2 \mid S)}$ ), where $S=\{3,4,5, \ldots, 30\}$. In (b), the $p$-values were calculated using $p_{12 \mid S}^{k}$ and $p_{1(2 \mid S)}^{k}$ for the partial and semi-partial correlations, respectively. The panels (c) and (d) are the relationships between the correlation coefficients and the corresponding significance levels (i.e., $p$-value). In (d), the significance levels were log-transformed.
preserved between the partial and semi-partial correlation coefficients. For instance, when $\left(r_{i j}, r_{i k}, r_{j k}\right)$ is $(0.892,0.8,0.8)$ and $(0.512,0.80,0.28)$, the partial correlation $r_{i j k}$ is equal to 0.7 and 0.5 , respectively, but the semi-partial correlation $r_{i(j \mid k)}$ is 0.42 and 0.48 , respectively. In fact, these properties cause the relationship between $p_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}$ and $r_{i(j \mid S)}$ to be non-monotonic, while $p_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}$ is monotonic with regard to $r_{i(j \mid S)}$. This difference between $p_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}$ and $p_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}$ is evident in the following simulation study.

A simulation study was performed to compare the $p$-value calculation methods between Kim (2015) and Cohen et al. (2003). The expressions $p_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}$ and $p_{i j \mid S}^{k}$ were used for the p-value calculations for the semi-partial correlation coefficients, which are corresponding to Kim (2015) and Cohen et al. (2003)'s approaches, respectively, because $p_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}=p_{i j \mid S}^{k}$. The number of variables and samples was set to 30 and 100 , respectively. For the partial correlation coefficient between the first and the second variables, 200 values were selected between 0.01 and 0.99 with the equal-width binning. For each of 200 partial correlation coefficients, a data set was simulated such that the calculated partial correlation coefficient from the simulated data set was similar to the selected coefficient, resulting in 200 simulated data sets. For each simulated data set, the partial and semi-partial correlation coefficients (i.e., $r_{12 \mid S}$ and $\left.r_{1(2 \mid S)}\right)$ were calculated along with the corresponding $p$-values $p_{12 \mid S}^{k}$ and $p_{1(2 \mid S)}^{k}$, where $S=\{3,4,5, \ldots, 30\}$. The simulated outcomes are depicted in Figure 1, and the R codes, which were used to perform the simulation study, are available in Appendix.

Figure 1(a) shows that the partial correlation coefficients are always greater than or equal to the semi-partial correlation coefficients (i.e., $\left.r_{12 \mid S} \geq r_{1(2 \mid S)}\right)$ as expected. Consequently, the corresponding $p$-values for the partial correlation coefficients are always less than or equal to those for the semipartial correlation coefficients (i.e., $p_{12 \mid S}^{k} \leq p_{1(2 \mid S)}^{k}$ ) as can be seen in Figure 1(b). In Figure 1(c), the
$p$-values (the blue dotted line) for the semi-partial correlation coefficients by Cohen et al. (2003) are less than or equal to those (the red dashed line) by Kim (2015). This happens because $r_{12 \mid S} \geq r_{1(2 \mid S)}$ and Cohen et al. (2003) uses $p_{12 \mid S}^{k}$ for the corresponding $p$-values. Furthermore, Figure 1(d) confirms that the $p$-values ( $p_{12 \mid S}^{k}$, the blue dotted line) for the semi-partial correlation coefficients by Cohen et al. (2003) are not monotonic with regard to $r_{1(2 \mid S)}$. On the other hand, the semi-partial correlation coefficients $\left(r_{1(2 \mid S)}\right)$ by $\operatorname{Kim}(2015)$ are monotonic to the $p$-values ( $p_{1(2 \mid S)}^{k}$, the red dashed line).

In conclusion, both statistics $t_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}$ and $t_{i(j \mid S)}^{c}$ are appropriate for testing the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : $r_{i(j \mid S)}=0$. However, as for comparisons between semi-partial correlations, Kim (2015)'s statistic $t_{i(j \mid S)}^{k}$ will be more suitable because it maintains the monotonicity with respect to $r_{i(j \mid S)}$.

## Appendix

The following R codes were used to perform the simulation study and to generate Figure 1, which were written using R 4.1.0.

```
library(GeneNet)
library(ppcor)
# This is the function to perform a simulation study and generate a plot
# corresponding to a given configuration
#
# Input parameters
# p: the number of variables
# n: the number of samples
# pvmin: the minimum of the partial correlation coefficient;
# it must be positive
# pvmax: the maximum of the partial correlation coefficient;
# it must be positive
# pvnum: the number of cases of the partial correlation coefficient
# between pvmin and pvmax
# nseed: a seed number
```

simul.ppcor <- function( $\mathrm{p}=30, \mathrm{n}=100, \mathrm{pvmin}=0.05, \mathrm{pvmax}=0.95, \mathrm{pvnum}=100$, nseed=153) \{
\# set a seed number for random generation
set.seed(nseed)
\# select the partial correlation coefficients to examine
pvs = seq(pvmin, pvmax,length=pvnum)
\# output
out0 $=c()$
for(i in 1:length(pvs))\{
true. pcor $=\operatorname{matrix}(\theta, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p})$
true.pcor $[1,2]=\operatorname{pvs}[i]$
true.pcor $[2,1]=\operatorname{pvs}[i]$
$\operatorname{diag}($ true.pcor $)=1$
\# generation of a simulated data set with the partial correlation

```
    # coefficient of the true.pcor
    m.sim = ggm.simulate.data(n,true.pcor)
    # calculation of the partial correlation
    epcor = pcor(m.sim)
    # calculation of the semi-partial correlation
    espcor = spcor(m.sim)
    # output
    outQ = rbind(out0,c(pvs[i],epcor$estimate[1,2],espcor$estimate[1,2]
        ,epcor$p.value[1,2],espcor$p.value[1,2]
        ,0,epcor$estimate[1,3],espcor$estimate[1,3]
        ,epcor$p.value[1,3],espcor$p.value[1,3]))
}
# select the output only with the positive correlation coefficients
out = outQ[which(outQ[,2]>=0 & outQ[,3]>=0),]
# generate plots
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(out[,2],out[,3],xlim=c(0,1),ylim=c(0,1),pch=19,col="blue",log=""
        ,xlab="Partial correlation",ylab="Semi-partial correlation"
        ,cex.lab=1.5,font=2,cex.axis=1.,las=2)
abline(0,1,lwd=2,col="grey")
points(out[,2],out[,3],pch=19,col="blue")
legend("topleft","Correaltion coefficient",pch=19,col="blue"
            ,text.font=2,bty="n")
title("(a)",font=2,cex=1.2)
plot(out[,4],out[,5],xlim=c(0,1),ylim=c(0,1),pch=19,col="red",log=""
        ,xlab="Partial correlation",ylab="Semi-partial correlation"
        ,cex.lab=1.5,font=2,cex.axis=1.,las=2)
abline(0,1,lwd=2,col="grey")
points(out[,4],out[,5],pch=19,col="red")
legend("topleft","Significance level",pch=19,col="red"
            ,text.font=2,bty="n")
title("(b)",font=2,cex=1.2)
vlwd = 2
out2 = out[order(out[,2]),]
out3 = out[order(out[,3]),]
plot(out2[,2],out2[,4],lwd=vlwd,lty=1,col="black",type="l"
    ,xlim=c(0,1),ylim=c(0,1),log=""
    ,xlab="Correlation coefficient"
    ,ylab="Significance level",cex.lab=1.5
    ,font=2,cex.axis=1.,las=2)
points(out3[,3],out3[,5],lwd=vlwd,type="l",col="red",lty=2)
points(out3[,3],out3[,4],lwd=vlwd, type="l",col="blue",lty=3)
```

```
    legend("topright",c("Partial significance level"
    ,"Semi-partial significance level"
    ,"Partial significance level \nfor semi-partial correlation")
    ,lty=1:3
    ,col=c(1,2,4),text.font=2,lwd=vlwd,bty="n")
    title("(c)",font=2,cex=1.2)
    plot(out2[,2],log10(out2[,4]),lwd=vlwd,lty=1,col="black",type="l"
        ,xlim=c(0,1)
        ,log=""
        ,xlab="Correlation coefficient"
        ,ylab="log10(Significance level)",cex.lab=1.5
        ,font=2,cex.axis=1.,las=2)
    points(out3[,3],log10(out3[,5]),lwd=vlwd,type="l",col=2
            ,lty=2,pch=17,cex=.5)
    points(out3[,3],log10(out3[,4]),lwd=vlwd,type="l",col=4
            ,lty=3,pch=19,cex=.5)
    legend("bottomleft",c("Partial significance level"
                ,"Semi-partial significance level"
                ,"Partial significance level \nfor semi-partial correlation")
                ,1ty=c(1,2,3)
                ,pch=c(-1,-1,-1),col=c(1, 2,4),text.font=2,lwd=vlwd,bty="n")
    title("(d)",font=2,cex=1.2)
    mtext(paste@("n = ",n,", p = ",p), side = 3, line = -1.5
            ,outer = TRUE,font=2,cex=1.5)
    # output
    out
}
# Perform a simulation and generate a plot
sout = simul.ppcor(p=30,n=100,pvmin=0.01,pvmax=0.99,pvnum=200,nseed=153)
```
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