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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate some results on complex delaydifferential equations of the classical Malmquist theorem. A classic illustrations of their results states us that if a complex delay equation $$
w(t+1)+w(t-1)=R(t, w)
$$ with $R(t, w)$ rational in both arguments admits (concede) a transcendental meromorphic solution of finite order, then $\operatorname{deg}_{w} R(t, w) \leq 2$. Development and upgrade of such results are presented in this paper. In addition, Borel exceptional zeros and poles seem to appear in special situations.
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## 1. Introduction

Existence of large classes of solutions that are meromorphic in the whole complex plane is a rare property for differential equations. According to a classical result due to Malmquist, if the first order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}=R(t, w) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(t, w)$ is a rational in both arguments, has a transcendental meromorphic solution, then (1) reduces into the Riccati Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}=a_{2} w^{2}+a_{1} w+a_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with rational co-efficients. For more details concerning the equations (1) and (2) as well as for generalization of the malmquist theorem, see [8].

[^0]We first recall some existence results for solutions meromorphic in the complex plane. An example of a complex delay equations combining existence and growth restriction has been offered by S. Bank and R. Kaufman [2].

Theorem 1.1. For any rational function $R(t)$ the delay Equation

$$
w(t+1)-w(t)=R(t)
$$

always has a meromorphic solution $w$ such that $T(r, w)=O(r)$.
Ablowtz, Halburd and Herbst [1] studied complex delay equations related to (1) and (2) namely the equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t+1)+w(t-1)=\frac{\tilde{a_{0}}(t)+\tilde{a_{1}}(t) w+\cdots+\tilde{a_{p}}(t) w^{p}}{\tilde{b_{0}}(t)+\tilde{b_{1}}(t) w+\cdots+\tilde{b_{q}}(t) w^{q}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t+1)+w(t-1)=a(t)+b(t) w+c(t) w^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the co-efficients are meromorphic functions to be specified later on. Also the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t+1)+w(t-1)=\frac{\tilde{a_{0}}(t)+\tilde{a_{1}}(t) w+\cdots+\tilde{a_{p}}(t) w^{p}}{\tilde{b_{0}}(t)+\tilde{b_{1}}(t) w+\cdots+\tilde{b_{q}}(t) w^{q}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is similar to (3), was studied in [1]. The following these results, reminiscent of the classical malmquist theorem, were proved in [1].

Theorem 1.2. [1] If the difference equation (3), with polynomial co-efficients $\tilde{a}_{i}(t), \tilde{b}_{i}(t)$ admits a transcendental meromorphic solution of finite order, then $d=\max \{p, q\} \leq 2$.

Theorem 1.3. [1] Suppose that the coefficients $a(t), b(t)$ in the difference equation (4) are polynomials and that $c(t)$ is a non-zero complex constant. Then any transcendental entire solution of (4) is of infinite order.

Theorem 1.4. [1] If the difference equation (5) with polynomial coefficients $\tilde{a}_{i}(t), \tilde{b}_{i}(t)$ admits a transcendental meromorphic solution of finite order, then $d=\max \{p, q\} \leq 2$.

This paper has been organized as follows. Here the essential growth problem for meromorphic solution of complex difference equations is to find a lower bound for their characteristic function. Theorem 1.6 is a generalization of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.8 is devoted to considering a generalized form of the delay equation (5). More precisely we show that in special cases only, it may happen that zeros and poles are Borel exceptional value of a meromorphic solutions.

Proposition 1.1. [1] Let $C_{1} \ldots C_{n} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. If the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} w\left(t+c_{i}\right)=\frac{\tilde{a_{0}}(t)+\tilde{a_{1}}(t) w+\cdots+\tilde{a_{p}}(t) w^{p}}{\tilde{b_{0}}(t)+\tilde{b_{1}}(t) w+\cdots+\tilde{b_{q}}(t) w^{q}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with rational coefficients $\tilde{a}_{i}(t), \tilde{b}_{i}(t)$ admits a transcendental meromorphic solution of finite order, then $d \leq k$.

Proposition 1.2. [1] Let $C_{1}, \ldots C_{n} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. If the difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k} w\left(t+c_{i}\right)=\frac{\tilde{a_{0}}(t)+\tilde{a_{1}}(t) w+\cdots+\tilde{a_{p}}(t) w^{p}}{\tilde{b_{0}}(t)+\tilde{b_{1}}(t) w+\cdots+\tilde{b_{q}}(t) w^{q}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with rational coefficients $\tilde{a_{i}}(t), \tilde{b_{i}}(t)$ admits a transcendental meromorphic solution of finite order, then $d \leq k$.

Example 1.5. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be a constant such that $c \neq \frac{\pi}{2} h$, where $h \in t$. Since,

$$
\tan (t+c)=\frac{\tan t+\tan c}{1-\operatorname{tant} \cdot \tan c}
$$

we see that $w(z)=$ tant solves

$$
w(t+c)=\frac{1}{C} \frac{w(z)-C}{w(z)+\frac{1}{c}}
$$

where $C:=-\tan c \neq 0, \infty$.
Theorem 1.6. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and let $l \geq 2$. Suppose $w$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_{i}(t) w\left(t+c_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{l} \tilde{b}_{i}(t) w(t)^{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with rational co-efficient $\tilde{a}_{i}(t) \tilde{b}_{i}(t)$. Denote $C:=\max \left\{\left|c_{1}\right| \ldots \ldots .\left|c_{n}\right|\right\}$. If $w$ has infinitely many poles, then there exists constants $S>0$ and $r_{0}>0$ such that $n(r, w) \geq S l^{\frac{r}{c}}$ holds for all $r \geq r_{0}$.
Proof. We multiply out the denominators of the coefficients $\tilde{a}_{i}(t), \tilde{b}_{i}(t)$ in (8) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{i}(t) w\left(t+c_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{l} Q_{i}(t) w(t)^{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $P_{i}(t), Q_{i}(t)$ are polynomials. We suppose that $w$, the solution of (8) and (9), is meromorphic with infinitely many poles.

Choose a pole $t_{0}$ of $w$ having multiplicity $\tau \geq 1$ such that $t_{0}$ is not a zero of $Q_{i}(t)$. Then the right hand side of (9) has a pole of multiplicity l $\tau$ at $t_{0}$. Hence, there exists at least one index $l_{1} \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, k\}$ such that $t_{0}+C_{h_{1}}$ is a pole of $w$ of multiplicity $\nu_{1} \geq l \tau$. Substitute $t_{0}+c_{h_{1}}$ for $w$ in (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{i}\left(t_{0}+C_{h_{1}}\right) w\left(t_{0}+C_{h_{1}}+c_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} Q_{i}\left(t_{0}+C_{h_{1}}\right) w\left(t_{0}+C_{h_{1}}\right)^{i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we now have two possibilities.
(i) If $t_{0}+C_{h_{i}}$ is a zero of $Q_{l}(t)$, this process will be terminated and we have to choose another pole $t_{0}$ of $w$ in the way we did above.
(ii) If $t_{0}+C_{h_{i}}$ is not a zero of $Q_{l}(t)$, then we see that the right-hand side of (10) has a pole of multiplicity $\nu_{1}$ at $t_{0}+C_{h_{1}}$. Hence, there exists at least one index $h_{2} \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, k\}$ such that $t_{0}+c_{h_{1}}+c_{h_{2}}$ is a pole of $w$ of multiplicity $\nu_{2} \geq l \nu_{1} \geq l^{2} \tau$. At this point we note that, as a polynomial, the coefficient $Q_{l}(t)$ has finitely many zeros, all being inside of a finite dice $|t|<R$.

We proceed to follow the steps $(i)$ and (ii) above, since there are infinitely many poles of $w$, we will find a pole $t_{0}$ of $w$ such that

$$
t_{0}+C_{h_{1}}+\ldots \ldots \ldots+C_{h_{j}}=: \xi_{j}
$$

is a pole of $w$ of multiplicity $\nu_{j}$ for all $j \in N$. Since $\nu_{j} \geq l^{j} \tau \rightarrow \infty$; as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and since $w$ does not have essential singularities in the finite plane, we must have $\left|\xi_{j}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. It is clear that for $j$ large enough, say $j \geq j_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau l^{j} \leq \tau\left(1+l+\ldots \ldots .+l^{j}\right) \leq n\left(\left|\xi_{j}\right|, w\right) \\
& \quad \leq n\left(\left|w_{0}\right|+j C, w\right) \leq n(\nu+j C, w)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v \in\left(\left|t_{0}\right|,\left|t_{0}\right|+C\right)$ can be chosen arbitrarily. Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ for each choice of $v$, we see that

$$
n(r, w) \geq S l^{\frac{r}{c}}
$$

holds for all

$$
r \geq r_{0}:=\left(j_{0}+1\right) C+\left|w_{0}\right|
$$

where

$$
S:=\tau l^{-\left(\left|w_{0}\right|+c\right) / c} .
$$

The fact that $r_{0}$ and $S$ both depend on $\left|w_{0}\right|$ is not a problem, since $w_{0}$ is fixed.
Example 1.7. Fix $k=l \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}$. Let $c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ be constants such that $e^{c_{i}}=i$ for all $i=1,2,3, \ldots, k$. Then $w(t)=e^{e^{t}} / t$ solves

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(t+c_{i}\right) w\left(t+c_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{i} w(t)^{i}
$$

we now proceed to consider the value distribution of zeros and poles of solutions of equation (7).

The following results tells us that solutions having Borel exceptional zero and poles appear in special situations only .

Theorem 1.8. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and suppose that $w$ is a non-rational meromorphic solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k} w\left(t+c_{i}\right)=\frac{\tilde{a_{0}}(t)+\tilde{a_{1}}(t) w+\ldots \ldots+\tilde{a_{p}}(t) w^{p}}{\tilde{b_{0}}(t)+\tilde{b_{1}}(t) w+\ldots \ldots \ldots+\tilde{b_{q}}(t) w^{q}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with meromorphic coefficient $\tilde{a}_{i}(t), \tilde{b}_{i}(t)$ of growth $S(r, w)$ such that $a_{p}(t), b_{q}(t) \not \equiv$ 0.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\lambda(w), \lambda\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)\right)<p(w) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (11) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k} w\left(t+c_{i}\right)=c(t) w(t)^{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(z)$ is meromorphic, $T(r, c)=S(r, w)$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Denote $X(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} w\left(t+c_{i}\right)$. Fix constants $\beta$ and $\gamma$ such that $\max \left(\lambda(w), \lambda\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)\right)<$ $\beta<\gamma<\rho(w)$, using (12) and the lemma of the logarithmic derivative, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, \frac{w^{\prime}}{w}\right)= & \bar{N}(r, w)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{w}\right)+S(r, w) \\
& =O\left(r^{\beta}\right)+S(r, w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, \frac{X^{\prime}}{X}\right) & =N\left(r, \frac{X^{\prime}}{X}\right)+m\left(\frac{X^{\prime}}{X}\right) \\
& \leq k \bar{N}(r+C, w(t))+k \bar{N}\left(r+C, \frac{1}{w(t)}\right)+S(r, X) \\
& =O\left(r^{\beta}\right)+S(r, w)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C:=\max \left\{\left|C_{1}\right|,\left|C_{2}\right|, \ldots,\left|C_{n}\right|\right\}$. Here we have applied the valironMohon'ko Theorem to the equation (7) to conclude that $T(r, X)=d T(r, w)+$ $S(r, w)$ and so $S(r, X)=S(r, w)$. Since zeros and poles are Borel exceptional by (12), we may apply a result due to Whittaker, See[ [7], Satz 13.4], to deduce that $w$ is of regular growth. Hence there exists $r_{0}>0$ such that $T(r, w)>r^{\gamma}$ for $r \geq r_{0}$.
It follows that

$$
T\left(r, \frac{w^{\prime}}{w}\right)=S(r, w)
$$

and

$$
T\left(r, \frac{X^{\prime}}{X}\right)=S(r, w)
$$

Rewriting (11) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tilde{b_{q}}(t)}{\tilde{a_{p}}(t)} X(t)=\frac{P(t, w)}{Q(t, w)}=u(t, w) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may suppose that $P$ and $Q$ are monic polynomials in $w$ with coefficients of growth $S(r, w)$. Denote $W:=\frac{w^{\prime}}{w}, U:=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$ and observe that $T(r, U)=S(r, w)$ by (14). Since

$$
\frac{P^{\prime} Q-P Q^{\prime}}{Q^{2}}=u^{\prime}=U u=\frac{U P}{Q}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime} Q-P Q^{\prime}=U P Q \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

writing $w^{\prime}=W w$ in (15), regarding then (15) as an algebraic equation in $w$ with coefficients of growth $S(r, w)$ and comparing the leading coefficients, we obtain

$$
(p-q) W=U
$$

Therefore, $u(t)=\alpha w(t)^{p-q}$ or some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=\alpha \frac{\tilde{a_{p}(t)}}{\tilde{b_{q}}(t)} w(t)^{p-q}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

proving the assertion.
Example 1.9. We observed that $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \tan \left(t+c_{i}\right)$ is rational function in tan $t$ not being of the form (13). Since

$$
\lambda(\operatorname{tant})=\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{tant}}\right)=\rho(\operatorname{tant})=1
$$

then condition (12) in Theorem 1.8 is necessary.
Example 1.10. Condition (12) in Theorem 1.8 cannot be replaced by

$$
\min \left(\lambda(w), \lambda\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)\right)<\rho(w)
$$

since $w(t)=$ sint satisfies

$$
w(t+1) w(t-1)=w(t)^{2}-\sin ^{2} 1
$$

Example 1.11. Let $A \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix constants $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$
\alpha^{p+2}=A
$$

and

$$
\beta+\frac{1}{\beta}=-p
$$

Then the delay equation

$$
w(t+1) w(t-1)=\frac{A}{w(t)^{p}}
$$

which is clearly of the form (13), has an entire solution

$$
w(t)=\alpha \exp \left(\pi(t) e^{t \log \beta}\right)
$$

Here $\pi(t)$ is any periodic entire function of period 1.

## References

1. M.J. Ablowitz, R. Halburd and B. Herbst, On the extension of the painleve property to difference equations, nonlinearity, 13 (2000), 889-905.
2. S. Bank and R. kaufman, An extension of Holder's theorem concerning the gamma function, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj 19 (1976), 53-63.
3. L. Carleson and T. Gamelin, Complex Dynamics, Springer-verlog, New York, 1993.
4. J. Clunie, The compositions of entire and meromorphic functions, mathematical Eways Dedicated to A.J. Macintyre, ohio University press, Athentics, ohio, 1970.
5. G. Ginderson, J, Heittokanges, I Laine, J. Rieppo and D. Yang, Meromorphic solutions of generalized schroder equations, Aequationes Math. 63 (2002), 110-135.
6. W.K. Hayman , Meromorphic functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
7. G. Jank and L. volkmann, Einfuhrung in die Theorie der ganzer undo meromorphic functios int Anwendernger Differentialgleichungers, Birkhauser verlay, Basel, Boston, 1985.
8. I. Laine, Nevanlinna Theory and complex differential equations, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
9. P. Nagaswara, S. Rajeshwari, M. Chand, Entire Solutions of Logistic Type Delay Differential Equations, Graduate Journal of Mathematics 6 (2021), 31-35.
10. S. Rajeshwari, Value distribution theory of Nevanlinna, J. Phy.: Conf. Ser. 1597 (2020), 012-046.
11. S. Rajeshwari and Sheeba Kouzar, Oscillations and Assymptotic stability of entire solutions of Linear delay-differential equations, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 10 (2021), 2069-2076.
12. S. Rajeshwari, V. Husna and Sheeba Kouzar, Entire solution of certain types of delaydifferential equations, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 46 (2021), 850-856.
13. S. Shimomera, Entire solutions of a polynomial difference equations, J, Fac, Sci, Univ, Tokyo Sect, IA Math. 28 (1981), 253-266.
14. N. Yanagihara, Meromorphic solutions of some difference equations, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj 23 (1980), 309-326.
P. Nagaswara received M.Sc. from Nagarjuna University. Currently persuing Ph.D. at Presidency University under the guidance of Dr Rajeshwari S. His research interests are Complex Analysis(Nevanlinna Theory) and Delay Differential Equations.
Department of Mathematics, Presidency University, Bangalore-560064, Karnataka, India.
e-mail: nagesh123p@gmail.com
S. Rajeshwari received M.Sc. and Ph.D. at Bangalore University. Since 2018 she has been at Presidency University. Her research interests include Complex Analysis(Nevanlinna Theory) and Delay Differential Equations.
Department of Mathematics, Presidency University, Bangalore-560064, Karnataka, India. e-mail: rajeshwaripreetham@gmail.com, rajeshwari.s@presidencyuniversity.in

[^0]:    Received June 14, 2021. Revised December 31, 2021. Accepted January 5, 2022. * Corresponding author.
    (C) 2022 KSCAM.

