

Providing the combined models for groundwater changes using common indicators in GIS

Samaneh, Hamta^a · Seo, You Seok^b*

^aPh.D. Candidate, Department of Senior Human Ecology Cooperation & Architecture Engineering, Changwon National University, Changwon, Korea

^bProfessor, Department of Architecture, Faculty of Architecture, Changwon National University, Changwon, Korea

Paper number: 21-126 Received: 27 December 2021; Revised: 2 March 2022; Accepted: 3 March 2022

Abstract

Evaluating the qualitative the qualitative process of water resources by using various indicators, as one of the most prevalent methods for optimal managing of water bodies, is necessary for having one regular plan for protection of water quality. In this study, zoning maps were developed on a yearly basis by collecting and reviewing the process, validating, and performing statistical tests on qualitative parameters data of the Iranian aquifers from 1995 to 2020 using Geographic Information System (GIS), and based on Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW), Radial Basic Function (RBF), and Global Polynomial Interpolation (GPI) methods and Kriging and Co-Kriging techniques in three types including simple, ordinary, and universal. Then, minimum uncertainty and zoning error in addition to proximity for ASE and RMSE amount, was selected as the optimum model. Afterwards, the selected model was zoned by using Scholar and Wilcox. General evaluation of groundwater situation of Iran, revealed that 59.70 and 39.86% of the resources are classified into the class of unsuitable for agricultural and drinking purposes, respectively indicating the crisis of groundwater quality in Iran. Finally, for validating the extracted results, spatial changes in water quality were evaluated using the Groundwater Quality Index (GWQI), indicating high sensitivity of aquifers to small quantitative changes in water level in addition to severe shortage of groundwater reserves in Iran.

Keywords: Groundwater quality index, Optimal combined model, Schuler, Wilcox, GWQI, GIS

GIS 공통 지표를 활용한 지하수 변화 통합 모델 제공

사마네 함타^a · 서유석^b*

*창원대학교 시니어휴먼에콜로지협동과정 박사과정, *창원대학교 공과대학 건축학부 교수

요 지

수질 보호를 위한 정기 계획을 세우는 과정에서 다양한 지표를 이용해 수자원의 수질 추이를 평가하는 일이 필요하며 이는 수역 관리에서 널리 사 용하는 방법이다. 본 연구에서는 1995년부터 2020년까지 이란 대수층의 수질 매개변수 자료를 수집, 검토하고, 통계적으로 검증하여 연도별 구 획도를 만들었다. 이를 위해 지리정보체계(GIS), 거리 반비례 가중법(IDW), 방사 기저함수(RBF), 포괄 선형 보간법(GPI), 단순, 일반, 범용의 세유 형을 포함하는 Kriging과 Co-Kriging기법을 이용하였다. 이어 최소 불확실성과 최소 구획 오차에 ASE와 RMSE를 포함하는 두 값의 근접도를 더 한 것을 최적 모델로 선택하였다. 마지막으로 각 매개변수에 대해 선택한 복합 모델을 Schuler와 Wilcox 지수와 조합하여 구획화했다. 이란의 지 하 수자원에 대한 종합평가 결과는 수자원의 59%는 농업용수로, 39.86%는 음용수에 부적합한 등급으로 분류되어 이란 지하수 수질이 위기에 처해 있음을 보여주었다. 마지막으로 추출 결과를 검증하기 위해 지하 수질 지수(GWQI)로 수질의 공간 변화를 평가한 결과 이란의 대수층이 적은 수위변화에도 매우 민감하며 지하수 양도 매우 부족하다는 것을 확인할 수 있었다.

핵심용어: 지하수 품질 지수, 최적 결합 모델, 슐러, 윌콕스, GWQI

*Corresponding Author. Tel: +82-55-213-3781

E-mail: ysseo7611@naver.com (Y. S. Seo)

^{© 2022} Korea Water Resources Association. All rights reserved.

I. Introduction

Groundwater is an important reservoir of water with various applications. Currently, there are many risks in terms of water pollution as a result of development of industries, population growth, and lack of proper environmental control. Therefore, necessary planning can be made to make the best use of water resources in a country by recognizing qualitative characteristics of water. Being aware of water quality is one of the important requirements for planning and developing water resources together by protecting and controlling them. Obviously, monitoring and evaluating are necessary in order to generate the required information and be aware of the quality of water resources, because having comprehensive and accurate information in appropriate periods can be considered as an important factor for decision and policymaking. Groundwater is one of the most major sources of fresh water in all areas, and in dry and semi-arid areas of the world, it is the only source of water. Due to its easy accessibility, groundwater is still regarded as the principal supply even in regions with favorable rainfall circumstances. (Mohtashami et al., 2021) Changes in groundwater quality that usually occur because of mismanagement of groundwater use are a basis for the destruction of water resources and other resources both directly and indirectly. In arid and semiarid regions, which are more dependent on these resources, the destructive effect will be more severe due to natural weakness in water and soil resources. Therefore, the need to study and evaluate its quality in Iran can be helpful for properly managing the use of water resources (Zehtabian et al., 2010). In this study, referring to (Azimi et al., 2018a) as the most significant factor, in water quality variation in aquifers, the relationship between climatic drought effects on groundwater resources was studied. By using Gaussian process classification and back propagation artificial neural network, the association analysis of climate draught and a reduction in groundwater quality was implemented. Underground water level in 609 study areas in Iran was used to anticipate drought over the test period extending. In recent years, supplying the required water for drinking, industry, and agricultural purposes has faced problems in many countries due to improper use, entry of industrial and chemical pollutants, and the reduced quality of water resources (Dagostino et al., 1998).

Knowing the state of a region's water resources is crucial for water planning and agriculture. Thus, urban and rural populations must be informed of the future state of water resources, particularly in standard drinking water classes (Eberhard and Hamawand, 2017), (Azimi et al., 2018b). There are various methods for studying and zoning changes in surface and groundwater characteristics, each of which has different levels of accuracy depending on conditions of the region and the existence of sufficient statistics and data (Soleimani et al., 2012). It is of high importance to study spatial and temporal alterations in water quality parameters and to know qualitative status of surface water and groundwater and also to determine the most proper management strategy in order to develop the quality of water resources (Osati et al., 2013). On a general basis, a review of research records associated with the study topic demonstrates that generally, monitoring and assessing quality of water resources is a relatively new activity but is currently expanding rapidly. Although, using Geographic Information System (GIS) has made considerable progress and development in water quality studies, a number of problems and challenges have remained to be solved regarding the data set used in national, regional, zonal, and local studies; highlighting the need for carrying out some studies to solve such problems. In this study, the best water quality zoning was done with the minimum error using GIS, and by forming a very regular and analytical database for managing qualitative trend of surface and groundwater over the past 30 years and analyzing this database with a variety of qualitative methods and combining them. In India, (Balakrishnan et al., 2011) prepared and interpreted groundwater quality maps using GIS software. (Jamshidzadeh et al., 2011a) conducted studies on quality of an aquifer in the central plain of Iran, zoned and mapped quality parameters by GIS software, and found that most samples are not suitable for drinking water. (Samin et al., 2012) used Kriging and Co-Kriging methods to estimate ratio of sodium and chlorine uptake in groundwater of 90 wells in Fars Province and concluded that estimates of both methods are acceptable but accuracy of Co-kriging method is higher than Kriging one in estimating sodium and chlorine uptake. (Azizi and Mohammadzadeh, 2012) used groundwater quality index (GWQI) to study water quality of Imamzadeh Jafar Plain in Gachsaran and compared it by Schuler method. They showed that about 2, 83, and 12% of the groundwater

resources in Imamzadeh Jafar Plain are of excellent, good, and bad quality, respectively. (Shabani, 2009) through comparing different interpolation methods to map changes in pH and total dissolved solids (TDS) of groundwater in Arsanjan Plain concluded that simple and ordinary Kriging method are superior to other methods. (Delgado et al., 2010) collected 113 water samples from wells in the Yucatan Plain in Mexico. Concentrations of calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, bicarbonate, sulfate, nitrate, and chlorine ions and electrical conductivity (EC) were determined and ratio of sodium absorption, potential salinity, and effective salinity were calculated and the region was divided into 6 sub-regions in terms of water quality. The results reveal that the groundwater resources in areas 1, 2, and 3 were at risk of salinity and unusable for agricultural purposes. (Adhikary et al., 2011) used the geostatistical method for determining quality of groundwater and assessing risk of groundwater pollution in Najaf Gare Plain in India and after preparing thematic maps of quality parameters of groundwater, such as bicarbonate, calcium, EC, magnesium, nitrate, sulfate, and sodium, they concluded that the Kriging method was appropriate for assessing risk of groundwater contamination. (Hooshmand et al., 2011) used geostatistical tools, like Kriging and Co-kriging to simulate variables of groundwater quality. The results indicated that the Co-kriging method is better than other geostatistical tools for simulating variables of groundwater quality. In a study, (Adhikary et al., 2012) investigated quality of groundwater in the suburbs of Delhi, India for irrigation and drinking purposes using GIS and Geostatistics. In this research, ordinary Kriging method was applied to prepare thematic maps of water quality parameters including HCO, sodium absorption ratio (SAR), EC, Mg to Cl ratio, TDS, Ca, nitrate, and water hardness. The spherical variogram model indicated the best fit to the data for two qualitative parameters including Cl and water hardness; and in other cases, the best fit was indicated by the exponential model. Distribution maps of quality index of irrigation water and drinking water showed that areas of 47.29 and 6.54 square kilometers were suitable for irrigation and drinking purposes. While (Khomr et al., 2011) through studying quality of water resources in the Kuh Zar mineral area in west of Torbat-e-Heydarieh, which was based on the Wilcox diagram indicated that water quality of that region is unsuitable for agricultural use. (Soleimani et al., 2012) in a study on evaluating efficiency of geostatistical methods for preparing a map of changes in pH and TDS of springs in the Mire Catchment area in Kurdistan found that using general grade 3 polynomial interpolation maps and simple Kriging, one is able to estimate TDS and pH values of springs in the catchment area, respectively. (Gebrehiwot et al., 2011) used water quality index (WQI) method to study groundwater quality of the northern Ethiopian aquifer for drinking purposes and investigated water quality of the area using ten qualitative parameters including Mg, Na, K, Ca, Cl, SO4, HCO3, TDS, EC, and pH and found that WQI variation was between 54 - 86%, and all samples were of decent quality. The purpose of this research is to investigate trend of groundwater quality over 25 years and prepare the combined zoning for estimating quality parameters applying common standards, analyzing, and interpreting them with minimum error and uncertainty. Because such a study has not been conducted to investigate various dimensions of qualitative parameters in all aquifers, performing the current research appears to be necessary in this field.

II. Materials and Methods

The study area is in the boundary of Iran, and zoning was done according to vector-layer of the plains. Fig. 1 shows location of the plains of Iran along with location of aquifers. The geographical system used in this study was WGS1384, and for creating computational conditions, the status for collecting the point data of wells was converted from the original form of universal transverse Mercator (UTM) into decimal form using four zones of 38, 39, 40, and 41. Due to unavailability of the initial value, the method for calculating zone was based on station number and its correspondence with the plain number. For the points located in border plains, format differentiation and numerical structure of their depicted coordinates were used in order to identify and separate them. Fig. 2 indicates position of four zones of Iran as well as position of sampling wells after converting the coordinates.

The statistical period was considered from 1995 to 2020 in two 12-year categories in the same period as well. According

Fig 1. Location of the study area, view of aquifers and plains of Iran







assumption of static data is rejected, we will not be allowed to use ordinary and simple Kriging methods. In this study, due to practical use of data means in the categories of 10 and 20 years, the assumption of static data can be practically ignored. The results of testing the mean hypothesis indicated the lack of static status in data in all parameters, attributing to long statistical period and the trend of their downward and upward changes. After performing the statistical methods, the zoning maps were developed using a combination of geostatistical analyses in the GIS environment, based on inverse distance weighting (IDW), radial basic function (RBF), and global polynomial interpolation (GPI) methods, as well as Kriging and Co-kriging geostatistical methods in three types of simple, ordinary, and universal. Then, the maps generated in each parametric category were compared using the criteria of mean error (Mean), root mean square error (RMSE), mean squared (MS), root mean square error standardized (RMSES), and average standard error (ASE). Finally, the least uncertainty and the least zoning error were selected with respect to the criterion of being near zero for all errors and close to one for RMSES index in addition to proximity of two values of ASE and RMSE. Details regarding the error values for these methods are given in Table 1. In addition, the time series correlation of the basic variables was calculated in pairs in order to develop the Co-kriging method using the detection coefficient.

Methods, such as simple Kriging, simple Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging,

Methods	Titla	HCO ₃	CL	SO_4	pН	EC	TDS	TH	SAR	Na%	K	Na ⁺	Mg	Ca	CO3
wiethous	The	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Ordinary Kriging	Mean	0.001	-0.013	-0.019	0.000	-2.564	-2.070	0.51	-0.005	0.029	-0.005	-0.031	0.014	0	0
	RMS	1.169	24.798	6.479	0.381	2312.302	1561.359	507.204	3.419	12.296	1.001	20.127	4.952	4.978	0.145
	MS	0.001	-0.001	-0.002	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0	0.001	-0.003	-0.001	0.003	0	0.003
	RMSS	1.086	1.591	1.049	0.971	1.311	1.349	1.166	1.167	1.091	1.027	1.62	0.906	1.205	1.654
	ASE	1.094	15.290	6.058	0.395	1726.563	1130.028	434.839	2.856	11.586	0.972	12.111	5.396	4.138	0.087
	Mean	0.000	-0.256	-0.042	0.001	-35.603	-18.859	-3.373	-0.02	0.015	-0.003	-0.231	-0.002	-0.03	0
<i>a</i> : 1	RMS	1.146	25.599	6.513	0.378	2496.185	1595.349	509.194	3.359	12.279	0.987	21.221	4.913	5.004	0.143
Simple	MS	0.000	-0.007	-0.004	0.002	-0.010	-0.008	-0.005	-0.004	0	-0.001	-0.008	0	-0.004	0.001
Kriging	RMSS	0.980	0.815	0.811	0.967	0.800	0.932	0.849	1.153	0.889	1.165	0.789	0.876	0.835	0.997
	ASE	1.169	30.994	7.867	0.392	3000.566	1908.790	597.81	3.296	13.837	0.836	26.189	5.602	5.976	0.143
Universal	Mean	-0.004	0.113	-0.046	0.000	-48.633	125.196	-297.534	0.073	-0.221	0.008	0.109	-0.103	-0.051	0
	RMS	1.341	42.283	12.716	0.518	7725.218	1723.894	87484.175	9.727	28.57	1.551	23.522	9.701	8.539	0.2
	MS	-0.004	0.002	0.002	0.003	-0.001	-0.001	0.002	-0.001	-0.006	0.001	-0.002	-0.003	-0.001	0.007
Kinging	RMSS	1.004	1.603	0.949	0.818	1.274	1.316	1.151	1.11	0.803	1.193	1.662	1.12	1.131	45.272
	ASE	1.353	72.290	16.767	1.224	31637.474	92305.765	1412489.893	7.668	72.972	5.629	31.217	96.829	57.551	0.065
	Kernel	Exp	Exp	Exp		Exp	Exp	Gau	Exp	Gau	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Gau
	Mean	0.002	-0.046	-0.005		0.157	-2.084	-1.223	-0.007	-0.04	0.004	-0.036	0.013	-0.008	0
Calviaina	RMS	1.140	12.547	5.590		444.779	674.549	513.755	2.418	12.729	1.001	10.666	3.965	3.02	0.143
Cokriging	MS	0.002	-0.002	0.001	uncorrelated	0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.003	-0.004	0.004	-0.001	0.009	-0.005	0
	RMSS	1.017	0.498	1.209		3.709	0.448	1.191	0.981	0.989	0.845	0.513	2.826	1.909	0.996
	ASE	1.123	25.599	4.578		120.019	1520.062	429.913	2.441	12.88	1.184	20.89	1.403	1.58	0.143
IDW	Mean	0.001	-0.110	-0.085	-0.006	-14.718	-8.666	-2.386	-0.022	0.126	-0.012	-0.137	-0.01	-0.001	0
	RMS	1.283	24.985	6.763	0.415	2385.579	1600.657	522.892	3.471	12.786	1.017	20.571	5.052	5.101	0.16
CDI	Mean	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GPI	RMS	1.246	35.871	11.012	0.452	3753.338	2490.217	782.679	5.942	21.387	1.322	29.56	6.174	7.975	0.144
DDE	Mean	0.001	-0.020	-0.045	-0.002	-3.507	-0.735	-0.842	-0.003	0.119	-0.01	-0.043	-0.003	0.003	0
КВГ	RMS	1.180	24.738	6.614	0.386	2355.966	1634.688	508.801	3.454	12.375	0.975	20.397	4.847	4.956	0.15

 Table 1. Error value of the interpolation methods for parameters

Parameters Title	Methods		Main function	Nugget	Parameters	Main limit	Sub limit	Orientation	Partial Sill	Measure Lag
TH	Ordinary-	Kriging	Exponential	148636.170	2.000	0.111	0.167	96.152	138198.091	0.014
	Ondinamy	Co Iminina	Evenential	4.077	1.000	0.414	0.621	21.004	21.218	0.052
SAK	Ordinary-	Co-kriging	Exponential	75.940	1.009	0.414	0.021	21.094	87.652	
N _a 0/	Ondinamy	Co Iminina		147.528		0.620		112 148	204.165	0.052
INa%	Ordinary-	Co-kriging	Gaussian	in - 0.629 -	112.140	35.702	0.032			
K	Ordinary-	Kriging	Exponential	0.553	-	0.080	-	-	1.106	0.070
Na ⁺	Simple-	Kriging	Exponential	0.000	0.379	0.129	0.193	16.348	888.631	0.016
			Completely							
Mg	R	BF	Regularized	-	19035.287	5.869	5.869	-	-	-
			Spline							
Ca	Ordinary-	Kriging	Exponential	12.823	2.000	0.099	0.149	86.484	14.433	0.012
CO3	Simple-	Kriging	Exponential	0.017	0.200	0.149	0.224	8.965	0.004	0.019
HCO ₃	Simple-	Kriging	Exponential	1.313	2.000	1.860	2.790	171.563	0.234	0.233
CL	Simple-	Kriging	Exponential	0.000	0.437	0.116	0.175	13.359	1302.779	0.015
SO_4	Ordinary- Kriging		Exponential	21.473	1.010	0.220	0.329	17.051	48.079	0.027
pH	Ordinary-	Kriging	Exponential	0.123	1.490	0.217	0.116	128.496	0.079	0.027
EC	Ordinary-	Kriging	Exponential	2128993.641	2.000	0.093	0.139	38.145	2997494.269	0.012
TDS	Simple-	Kriging	Exponential	0.000	0.597	0.135	0.202	12.656	6269534.761	0.017

Table 2. Parameters for optimal interpolation model of variables

simple Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Co-kriging, ordinary Co-kriging, ordinary Kriging, simple Kriging, RBF, ordinary Kriging ,and simple Kriging were selected as the most optimal zoning by comparing the error generated with the computational and observational figures in the place of samples, for the parameters including HCO3⁻, CL⁻, SO42⁻, pH, EC, TDS, TH, SAR, Na%, K, Na⁺, Mg2⁺, ⁺Ca2, and CO3, respectively. The best variogram was the variogram of the fitted model on experimental variogram of the parameters in each of the selected interpolation methods, with the exception of Mg parameter, which was interpolated by the RBF method.

A multiplicity of of data and their wide distribution in the geographical area of the study led to optimization of the maximum variogram of the model. Given the natural discontinuity of spatial variations in parameters from one aquifer to another, and due to simultaneous data analysis of all plains, for avoiding computational distortion, interpolation search window in all samples was reduced in a minimum number and a minimum distance with four sectors. The adjunctive automatic optimization was used to adjust the zoning factors in geostatistical methods and Mg sample. Also, in each case,

Table 3. Wilcox index criteria in classification of agricultural water

Quality type	EC	SAR		
Excellent	<250	<10		
Good	250-750	10-18		
Average	750-2250	18-26		
Unsuitable	>250	>26		

Table 4. Schuler index criteria for classification of drinking water

Quality type	SO4	Na	Cl	TDS	TH
Excellent	<145	<115	175>	500>	250>
Acceptable	145-280	115-230	175-350	500-1000	250-500
Unsuitable	280-580	230-460	350-700	1000-2000	500-1000
Bad	580-1150	460-920	700-1400	2000-4000	1000-2000
Almost drinkable	1150-2240	920-1840	1400-2800	4000-8000	2000-4000
Non- drinkable	2240<	1840<	2800<	8000<	4000<

if the amount of error was high, it was reduced by trial and error and changing the main equations of variogram fitting. According to Table 2, optimal interpolation model was selected.

For evaluating quality of agricultural water based on the

Wilcox standard, a classification map was extracted in the mentioned three periods. According to the Wilcox diagram, water quality is divided into four classes. Table 3 indicates the limit and degree of quality of each class.

For evaluating quality of drinking water based on the Schuler standard, a classification map was extracted in the mentioned three periods. According to Schuler diagram, water quality is divided into six classes. Water quality classes, as well as limit of each of the six groups are listed in Table 4.

Babiker *et al.* (2007) in a study assessed groundwater quality using GWQI (Babiker *et al.*, 2007) using which the parameters including TDS, Cl⁻, SO42⁻, Ca2⁺, Mg2⁺, and Na⁺ are integrated and spatial changes in groundwater quality are evaluated. The output results of this index will be presented in percentage, and the higher this value, the higher the water quality at that point is.

III. Results and Discussion

Methods including simple Kriging, simple Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging, simple Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Cokriging, ordinary Co-kriging, ordinary Kriging, simple Kriging, RBF, ordinary Kriging, and simple Kriging were selected as the most optimal zoning by comparing the error generated with computational and observational figures in the place of samples, for the parameters including HCO3⁻, CL⁻, SO42⁻, pH, EC, TDS, TH, SAR, Na%, K, Na⁺, Mg2⁺, ⁺Ca2, and CO3, respectively. Then, a combined model of each parameter was selected by coding in Python software, and zoning was performed based on Schuler, Wilcox, and GWQI indices. According to long-term changes in the model, a decrease in groundwater quality was observed. As shown in Figs. 3~6 the zoning was done with 12-year intervals by Wilcox index and combined interpolation method.



Fig.3. Location of the study area, view of quality and difference of water in the regions of Iran



Fig. 4. Zoning of the Wilcox index during 12 years from 1995 to 2007



Fig. 5. Zoning of the Wilcox index during 12 years from 2008 to 2020



Fig. 6. Zoning of the Wilcox index during 25 years from 1995 to 2020

Methods including simple Kriging, simple Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Kriging, ordinary Co-kriging, ordinary Co-kriging, ordinary Kriging, simple Kriging, RBF, ordinary Kriging, and simple Kriging were selected as the most optimal zoning by comparing the error generated with computational and observational figures in the place of samples, for the parameters including HCO3⁻, CL⁻, SO42⁻, pH, EC, TDS, TH, SAR, Na%, K, Na⁺, Mg2⁺, ⁺Ca2, and CO3, respectively. Then, a combined model of each parameter was selected by coding in Python software, and zoning was performed based on Schuler, Wilcox, and GWQI indices. According to long-term changes in the model, a decrease in groundwater quality was observed. As shown in Figs. 3~6 the zoning was done with 12-year intervals by Wilcox index and combined interpolation method.

Table 5 indicates a statistical summary regarding percentage of quality classes of Wilcox index for agricultural water in Iran according to zoning as indicated in Figs. 7~9.

Table 6 shows percentage of quality classes of Schuler

Table 5. Relative percentage of quality classes of Wilcox index

	Period fro	m 1995 to 2020	Period fro	om 2008 to 2020	Period from 1995 to 2007		
Qualitative value	Class No.	%	Class No.	lass No. %		%	
Excellent	1	0.006%	1	0.000%	1	0.000%	
Good	2	7.681%	2	7.437%	2	7.875%	
Average	3	24.098%	3	21.972%	3	25.348%	
Unsuitable	4	68.215%	4	70.591%	4	66.777%	

Table 6. Relative percentage of quality classes of Schuler index

	Period from 1995 to 2020		Period fro	om 2008 to 2020	Period from 1995 to 2007		
Qualitative value	Class No.	%	Class No.	%	Class No.	%	
Excellent	1	1.662%	1	3.280%	1	4.496%	
Acceptable	2	10.566%	2	10.266%	2	10.119%	
Unsuitable	3	23.658%	3	22.845%	3	25.467%	
Bad	4	49.923%	4	48.407%	4	43.399%	
Almost drinkable	5	12.499%	5	13.656%	5	15.150%	
Non-drinkable	6	1.691%	6	1.545%	6	1.369%	



Fig. 7. Schuler index during 12 years from 1995 to 2007



Fig. 8. Schuler index during 12 years from 2008 to 2020



Fig. 9. Schuler index during 25 years from 1995 to 2020



Fig. 11. GWQI during 12 years from 2008

to 2020

Fig. 12. GWQI during 25 years from 1995 to 2020

index for drinking water in Iran according to zoning as indicated in Figs. 7~9.

Fig. 10. GWQI during 12 years from 1995 to

Figs. 10~12 indicate zoning with 25-year time intervals by the GWQI and the most optimal interpolation method for validation.

IV. Conclusions

2007

In this study, the best zonings were initially chosen based on a comparison of standard errors utilizing geostatistical techniques and conclusive interpolation methods in order to do a full examination of the water quality condition in the country's aquifers. The continuous layers of Schuler, Wilcox, and GWOI indices were then computed based on the acquired raster maps. The results of this study are in line with the studies conducted by (Azizi and Mohammadzadeh, 2012), (Jamshidzadeh et al., 2011b), (Shabani, 2009), (Adhikary et al., 2011), (Hooshmand et al., 2011), and (Adhikary et al., 2011) for each parameter. In addition, this study is more consistent with the study conducted by (Delgado et al., 2010) in which a combination of geostatistical methods was introduced as an effective method for monitoring groundwater quality and the study performed by (Hooshmand et al., 2011), who indicated high accuracy of the Co-kriging method compared to Kriging method and also the studies conducted by (Soleimani et al., 2013), (Samin et al., 2012), (Gebrehiwot et al., 2011), all intro-

ducing Kriging method as a suitable method for preparing maps of groundwater quality parameters in terms of combination of approaches. Finally, it should be noted that in this study, we took a step higher than this research so that the results of each variable were presented based on a single parameter according to the most optimal description method, and a combination of these results was optimal was provided in general indicators and then in form of a better model of groundwater quality situation in Iran during 25 years. According to statistical summary table of Schuler index, calculated based on the zoned combined raster layers, in the plains of Iran, the non-drinkable class had a value of 1.36% during 12 years from 1995 to 2007, which was increased up to 1.54% during 2008-2020. In addition, the almost drinkable class showed a decrease from 15.15 to 13.65%; while the bad class in the country's plains accounted for 43.39% of the total area in the first 12-year period, but in the second 12-year period, this value was increased up to 48.40%. These changes were also reduced in the unsuitable and good classes and almost remained unchanged in the acceptable class. Therefore, according to the Schuler index, quality of drinking water has generally decreased in the country. Similarly, based on the statistical summary of the Wilcox index in the zoning of Iran, about 66.77% of the country's plains were classified into the unsuitable class in the 12-year period from 1995 to 2020; this amount has increased to 70.59% during 2008-2020, attributing to the obvious decrease in water levels in the country's

aquifers. At the same time, the value of average class has decreased from 25.34 to 21.97%; therefore, considering the changes in two classes of good and excellent, it can be concluded that the areas with high sensitivity have been severely eliminated in this period.

According to general investigation of the groundwater situation in Iran, as 70.59% of the aquifers have been considered as unsuitable for agricultural use, the crisis of groundwater quality becomes more apparent. This trend has intensified with the decrease in the groundwater level. Finally, for verifying the results extracted from the two mentioned indicators, using the GWQI, spatial changes in water quality were evaluated for 609 plains of the country. The results of long-term period indicated the values ranging between 77.73 - 97.65%. According to the general schema of the situation in the country's groundwater resources as indicated in this study, it can be concluded that in addition to severe shortage of groundwater reserves in Iran, most of these resources are not considered desirable in terms of drinking and agricultural standards or at least they are considered at threshold of undesirable classes (IMOE, 1988). This indicates high sensitivity of Iranian aquifers to small changes in water level, which has been facing a more severe decline in recent years. Clearly, over-harvesting water in the agriultural sector could be the main reason for this finding. Therefore, it is recommended that required steps be taken to minimize water salinity by the assistance of state-of-the-art technologies and consequently increase the quality of groundwater for agricultural and drinking purposes. In this regard, serious efforts for implementing the plan to rehabilitate and balance the aquifers can be effective if it is actualized.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by Changwon National University in 2021~2022.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Adhikary, P.P., Chandrasekharan, H., Debashis, C.H., and Kalpana, K. (2011). "Assessment of groundwater pollution in West Delhi, India using geostatistical approach." *Journal of Environment Monitor*, Vol. 167, pp. 599-615.
- Adhikary, P.P., Dash, C.J., Chandra-sekharan., H., Rajput, T.B.S., and Dubey, S.K. (2012). "Evaluation of groundwater quality for irrigation and drinking using GIS and geostatistics in a peri-urban area of Delhi (India)." *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, Vol. 5, pp. 1423-1434.
- Azimi, S., Azhdary, M., and Heshami, S.A. (2018a). "Spatial assessment of the potential of groundwater quality using fuzzy AHP in GIS." *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, Vol. 11, No. 142, pp. 1-22.
- Azimi, S., Azhdary, M., and Heshami, SA. (2018b). "Large-scale association analysis of climate drought and decline in groundwater quantity using Gaussian process classification (case study: 609 study area of Iran)." *Journal of Environment Health* & Science, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 129-145.
- Azizi, F., and Mohammadzadeh, H. (2012). "Zoning the vulnerability and assessment of spatial changes in the quality of aquifer in Imamzadeh Jafar plain, Gachsaran using DRASTIC simulation and GWQI quality index." *Journal of Water Resources Engineering*, Vol. 5, pp. 1-14. (In Persian)
- Babiker, I.S., Mohamed, M.A.A., and Hiyama, T. (2007). "Assessing groundwater quality using GIS." *Journal of Water Resources Management*, Vol. 21, pp. 699-715.
- Balakrishnan, P., Saleem, A., and Mallikarjun, N.D. (2011). "Groundwater quality mapping using geographic information system (GIS): A case study of Gulbarga city, Karnataka, India." *African Journal of Environmental Science and Tech*, Vol. 5, pp. 1069-1984.
- Dagostino, V., Greene, E., Passarela, G., and Vurro, M. (1998). "Spatial and temporal study of nitrate concentration in groundwater by means of co-regionalization." *Journal of Environmental Geology*, Vol. 36, No. 3-4, pp. 285-295.
- Delgado, C., Pacheco, J., Cabera, A., and Batllori, C.E. (2010). "Orellanaa R. & Bautistad F. Quality of groundwater for irrigation in tropical karst environment (The case of Yucatan, Mexici)." *Journal of Agricultural Water Management*, Vol. 97, No. 10, pp. 1423-1433.
- Eberhard, F., and Hamawand, I. (2017). "Selective electrodialysis for removal from brackish water and coal seam gas water." *Journal of Environment Research*, No. 11, pp. 1-11.
- Gebrehiwot, A.B., Tadesse N., and Jigar, E. (2011). "Application of water quality index to assess suitability of groundwater quality for drinking purposes in hantebet watershed, Tigray, Northern Ethiopia." *Journal of Food and Agriculture Science*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 22-30.
- Hooshmand, A., Delghandiz, M., Izadi, A., and Ahmadali, K. (2011). "Application of kriging and co-kriging in spatial estimation of

groundwater quality parameters (African)." *Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 6, No. 14, pp. 3402-3408.

- Iran Ministry of Energy (IMOE). (1988). Quality standards of drinking water, the plan to prepare the standards for the national water industry, accessed 7 June 1988, <www.moe.gov.ir >.
- Jamshidzadeh, Z., and Mirbagheri S.A. (2011a) "Evaluation of groundwater quantity and quality in Kashan Basin, (Central Iran)." *Journal of Environment Health & Science*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 129-145.
- Jamshidzadeh, Z., and Mirbagheri, S.A. (2011b). "Evaluation of groundwater quantity and quality in the Kashan Basin, (Central Iran)." *Journal of Desalination*, Vol. 270, pp. 23-30.
- Karamouz, M., and Karachian, R. (2008). "Quality data management information system." *Journal of Water Resources*, Vol. 1, pp. 70-87.
- Khomr, Z., Mahmoodi Gharaei, M.H., Omrani, S., and Sayareh, A. (2011). "Assessment of groundwater quality (case study: Kooh Zar in West of Torbat-heidarieh)." 4th Congress of Iran Economy Geology Community, GSOI, Tehran, Iran.
- Mohtashami, A., Heshami, SA,. Azizyan, G,. and Akbarpour, A. (2021). "Estimation of parameters in groundwater modeling by particle filter linked to the meshless local Petrov-Galerkin numberical method." *Journal of Hydraulic Structures*, Vol. 1, pp. 16-37.

Osati, K., Salajegheh, A., and Arekhi, S. (2013). "Spatial variation

of nitrate concentrations in groundwater by Geostatistic (Case study: Kuedan plain)." *Journal of Range and Watershed Management*, Vol. 65, No. 4, pp. 461-72. (in Persian)

- Samin, M., Soltani, J.Z., eraatcar, Z., Moasheri, S.A., and Sarani, N. (2012). "Spatial estimation of groundwater quality parameters based on water salinity data using kriging and co-kriging methods." *International Conference on Transport, Environment* and Civil Engineering, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Shabani, M. (2009). "Determining the most appropriate geostatistical method for mapping pH changes and TDS of groundwater (Case study: Arsanjan plain)." *Journal of Water Resources Engineering*, Vol. 1, pp. 47-57.
- Soleimani, K., Zandi, J., and Zandi, S. (2012). "Evaluation of geostatic methods efficacy for PH and TDS mapping of springs (case study: watershed of mired Kurdistan)." *Quarterly Journal of Environmental Studies*, Vol. 38, No, 64 pp. 57-66.
- Soleimani, S., Mohammad Mehri, M., Ghasemzadeh, F., and Sayareh, A. (2013). "Studying the quality changes in water resources in the west of Kuhsorkh using GQI quality index in GIS environment." *Journal of Earth Sciences*, Vol. 89, pp. 175-182.
- Zehtabian, G., Janfaza, E., Asgari, H.M., and Nematollahi, M. (2010). "Modeling of groundwater spatial distribution for some chemical properties (case study in Garmsarwatershed)." *Journal of Iranian range and desert Research*, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 61-73.