

Prevalence of Multi-Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria Isolated from Children with Urinary Tract Infection from Baghdad, Iraq

Hamzah Abdulrahman Salman^{1*}, Alaa kamil Alhameedawi², Sarah Mohammed Saeed Alsallameh³, Ghofran Muhamad⁴, and Zahraa Taha⁴

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Techniques, College of Medical Sciences Techniques, The University of Mashreq, Baghdad 10022, Iraq
²Ministry of EducationGeneral Directorate for Education/Rusafa2, Baghdad 10045, Iraq
³Department of Medical Laboratory Techniques, College of Health and Medical Techniques, Gilgamesh Alhliya University, Baghdad 10022, Iraq
⁴Department of Medical Laboratory Techniques, Sader Al Iraq University College, Baghdad 10006, Iraq

Received: October 28, 2021 / Revised: November 19, 2021 / Accepted: November 21, 2021

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are one of the most common infections in different age groups, including children. Bacteria are the main etiological agents of UTIs. The aim of the present study was to isolate, identify, and determine the antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria isolated from children with UTIs from Baghdad, Iraq. Three hundred and two urine samples were collected from children aged 6 months to 12 years. The samples were cultured on blood agar and MacConkey agar. The selected colonies were subjected to biochemical tests and antibiotic susceptibility analysis using the Vitek® 2 Compact automated microbial identification system. In this sample, 299 bacteria were identified, of which, 267 were gram-negative bacteria, and 32 were gram-positive bacteria. Escherichia coli (56%) was the most commonly isolated gram-negative bacteria, followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (14%), Enterobacter spp. (10.48%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (9.36%), Proteus spp. (7.8%), Acinetobacter baumannii (1.5%), and Morganella morganii (0.37%). Enterococcus faecalis (62.5%) was the most commonly detected gram-positive bacteria, followed by Staphylococcus aureus (37.5%). E. coli and P. aeruginosa were the most antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Among the tested antibiotics, meropenem showed 100% sensitivity, followed by imipenem (97.4%), amikacin (91.8%), and tobramycin (83.5%). In contrast, the high frequencies of resistance were observed with cefixime (93.2%), cefotaxime (78.7%), and ceftriaxone/cefotaxime (71.2%). In conclusion, carbapenems and aminoglycosides are highly recommended for the empirical treatment of UTIs, while, Quinolones, penicillins, and cephalosporins are not suggested. Frequent antibiotics susceptibility testing are warranted to determine the resistance pattern of UTI bacteria.

Keywords: Multidrug resistance, UTI, Vitek 2 compact, antibiotic susceptibility testing

Introduction

The urinary tract infection (UTI) is among the most prevalent infectious diseases and is considered the main health burden and expensive clinical problem worldwide

*Corresponding author Tel.: +9647732914480 E-mail: hamza.alayash@gmail.com [1]. Bacteria are the main causative agents of UTI, which can infect people regardless of their ages [2]. UTI is the second most prevalent bacterial infection occurring in children [3]. Around 150 million people were infected with UTI every year, with medical costs of at least 6 billion dollars [4].

The frequency of UTIs varies according to gender and age since women and elderly people are more susceptible than men and younger people [5, 6]. Among childhood, the incidence of infection in girls is 7–8% and 2% in boys for the first 8 years of life, particularly in uncircumcised boys [7]. UTI treatment based on a physical examination alone is unreliable; therefore, laboratory tests are necessary [8].

Bacteria (gram negative and gram positive), and certain fungi have been found to contribute in UTI [2, 9, 10]. UTI has become a big dilemma, especially with the rising of antibiotics resistance to their etiological agents [11]. Developing countries are majorly affected by resistant bacteria due to their limited resources and poor medical healthcare [2, 12]. In Iraq, the prescription of antibiotics is widespread and uncontrolled [11, 13]. Yet, not enough data are available on the requirements of the prescribed antibiotics and their results on patients. However, in developed countries, millions of prescriptions of antibiotics were given to patients, most of them were found to be unnecessary and unsuitable [14]. Many reports from different countries recorded the emergence of multi-antibiotic resistant (MAR) UTI etiological bacteria [12, 15, 16]. Therefore, patients with UTI might be improperly treated because of the rise of antibiotic resistance. Long-lasting antibiotic resistance can contribute to economic burdens for government agencies, as extended stays in clinics lead to an elevation in medical expenses and death rates [17-19]. Most recent studies focused on herbal medicine to treat different bacterial infections and reduce antibiotic resistance [20, 21].

Continuous surveillance of antibacterial susceptibility testing of UTI causative agents in Iraq and other developing countries is mandatory in order to enhance the quality of UTI treatment. To date, very limited studies for the determination of antibiotic susceptibility testing from UTI of children are available. A thorough study is, therefore, necessary to recognize the extent of the multiantibiotic resistant bacteria. Hence, the present investigation aimed to isolate and identify bacteria from children suffering from UTI infection in Baghdad, Iraq, and determine their antibiotics susceptibility pattern.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

Three-Hundred and two urine samples were collected

from children aged (6 months to 12 years) who attended the outpatient department of 3 pediatric hospitals in Baghdad, Iraq, namely Child's Central Teaching Hospital, Kadhimiya Hospital for Children, and Al-Elwea Maternity Hospital. The specimens were collected in the period between June to December 2020.

The reason behind this project was thoroughly discussed and explained with the parents of the selected children, and signed consent forms were obtained. In grown-up children, midstream urine samples were collected in large-mouthed sealed containers. While in infant patients, the samples were collected using adhesive sterile urinary bottles. Separately, the specimens were marked with patient details, i.e. name, age, symptoms, and medical examination. The samples were then transferred to the laboratory for further investigations.

Bacterial identification

The urine samples were cultured into blood agar (HiMedia, India) and MacConkey agar (HiMedia). The plates were aerobically incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, in negative sampling, extending to 48 h. Bacteriuria has been diagnosed with the colony counts of a single microbe $>10^5$ colony-forming unit (CFU)/ml. The identification of the isolates was based on colony morphology, gram staining and then confirmed using biochemical tests performed by Vitek 2 compact system (bioMérieux, France) [13]. Vitek 2 compact system was executed according to the manufacturer's guidelines using kits: Vitek 2 GN ID card and Vitek 2 GP ID card.

Antibiotics susceptibility testing

Antibiotics susceptibility testing was obtained using Vitek 2 compact system using Vitek 2 AST cards for gram negative and gram positive bacteria (bioMérieux). The following antibiotics were investigated in this study Amikacin, Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole, Augmentin, Gentamicin, Cefoperazone, Ceftazidime, Piperacillin, Ceftriaxone/Cefotaxime, Cefotaxime, Ceftriaxone, Nitrofurantoin, Ciprofloxacin, Levofloxacin, Imipenem, Meropenem, Tobramycin, Ampicillin, and Cefixime.

Statistical analysis

Microsoft Office Excel 2013 was employed to determine the statistical analysis.

Results

Of the total sample size, 299 (99%) samples were infected with different bacterial species, while in 3 (0.99%) samples, no bacterial growth was found but *Candida albicans*, *Monilia*, and *Giardia lamblia*. Among the isolated bacteria, 267 (89.29%) were gram negative and 32 (10.7%) were gram positive. The detection of gram negative and gram positive bacteria with their proportion was listed in Table 1. Of the Gram negative bacteria, the prevalence of *E. coli* was the highest (56%) and the least was *Morganella morganii* (0.37%). While in gram positive bacteria, *Enterococcous faecalis* was the most abundant (62.5%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* was the second detected (37.5%).

The antibacterial susceptibility testing against different species of gram negative and gram positive bacteria were demonstrated in Table 2. Of the tested antibiotics, *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were resistant to 18 and 19 antibiotics, respectively. At the same time, *A. baumannii* and *M. morganii* showed less resistance among gram negative bacteria with 6 and 5 antibiotics, respectively. In gram positive, *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* were resistant to 12 and 9 antibiotics, respectively. The present data revealed MAR against gram negative and gram positive bacteria isolated from UTI in children. The resistance number of each antibiotic against gram negative and gram positive were demonstrated in Table 3.

Discussion

The spread of UTI among children among communities is now becoming a global threat. MAR of bacteria associated with UTI infection is continuously observed in patients, which has a dangerous effect on the economy and general health. Therefore, it is essential to constantly evaluate the antibacterial susceptibility of bacteria isolated from UTI of children, which was the present study's objective. To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the very few published reports of the isolation and determination of antibacterial susceptibility testing isolated from UTI in children from Baghdad, Iraq.

Among the specimens collected in the present study, 99% were infected by different bacterial species (Table 1). Many reports demonstrated that the principal etiological agent of UTI is bacteria [22, 23], which was according to the present study. Out of isolated bacteria, the prevalence of gram negative bacteria (89.29%) was higher than gram positive bacteria (10.7%). E. coli (56%) was found to be the most incidence among gram negative bacteria, followed by P. aeruginosa (14%), Enterobacter spp. (10.48%), K. pneumoniae (9.36%), Proteus spp. (7.8%), A. baumannii (1.5%) and M. morganii (0.37%) (Table 1). Similar findings in different countries were observed in recent studies where the E. coli was found to be the primary etiological agent of UTI in children [24-27]. The identification of the bacterial isolates was obtained by vitek 2 compact, which is considered as one of the accurate methods of diagnosis [13].

In this study, *P. aeruginosa* was the second prevalent bacteria which is not in accordance with other studies demonstrating that *K. pneumoniae* is the second most abundant [25, 27, 28]. Erol *et al.*, reported that *Proteus* spp., was the second isolated bacteria from UTI in children which is contrary to the present study [24]. The present study indicated the isolation of one strain of *M. morganii* from UTI in children. *M. morganii* is a rarely isolated gram negative bacteria causing pediatric UTI [30]. However, one strain of *M. morganii* was also reported to be present in the UTI [31]. Mohammed *et al.*,

Table 1. Detection of Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria.

······································								
	Gram negative bacteria							
Genus & Sp.	E. coli	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Enterobacter spp.	Klebsiella pneumoniae	Proteus spp.	Acinetobacter baumannii	Morganella morganii	
No. & percentile	150 (56%)	38 (14%)	28 (10.48%)	25 (9.36%)	21 (7.8%)	4 (1.5%)	1 (0.37%)	
	Genus & Sp. Er		Gram positive bact nterococcus faecalis		teria Staphylococcus aureus			
	No. & percentile		20 (62.5%)		12 (37.5%	n)		

Gram negative bacteria															
Classes of	Antibiotics	E. coli (150)		Pseudomonas aeruainosa (38)		Klebsiella pneumoniae (25)		Proteus spp. (21)		Enterobacter spp. (28)		Acinetobacter baumannii (4)		Morganella morganii (1)	
antibiotics		S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R
	Amikacin	142 (94.6%)	8 (5.4%)	29 (76%)	9 (24%)	ND		21 (100%)		23 (83%)	5 (17%)	4 (100%)		1 (100%)	
Amino- glycosides	Gentamicin	113 (75.3%)	37 (24.7%)	22 (58%)	16 (42%)	17 (68%)	8 (32%)	18 (86%)	3 (14%)	19 (68%)	9 (32%)	4 (100%)		ND	
	Tobramycin	150 (100%)		18 (47%)	23 (53%)	ND			21 (100%)	ND		ND		1 (100%)	
	Cefoperazone	60 (40%)	90 (60%)	15 (40%)	23 (60%)	7 (28%)	18 (72%)	12 (57%)	9 (43%)	8 (29%)	20 (71%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)		1 (100%)
	Ceftazidime	43 (29%)	107 (71%)	23 (61%)	15 (39%)	17 (68%)	8 (32%)	9 (43%)	12 (57%)	14 (50%)	14 (50%)	4 (100%)			1 (100%)
3 rd G	Cefotaxime	21 (14%)	129 (86.1%)	11 (29%)	27 (71%)	2 (8%)	23 (92%)	18 (86%)	3 (14%)	4 (14%)	24 (86%)		4 (1005)	ND	
Cephalosporins	Ceftriaxone	43 (29%)	107 (71%)	11 (29%)	27 (71%)	4 (16%)	21 (84%)	21 (100%)		8 (29%)	21 (71%)	ND		ND	
	Ceftriaxone/ Cefotaxime	25 (17%)	115 (83%)	14 (37%)	24 (63%)	5 (20%)	20 (80%)	15 (71.4%)	6 (28.6%)	7 (25%)	21 (75%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)		1 (100%)
	Cefixime		150 (100%)		38 (100%)		25 (100%)	17 (81%)	4 (19%)		28 (100%)		4 (100%)	ND	
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin	80 (53.3%)	70 (47.7%)	13 (34%)	25 (66%)	ND		7 (33.3%)	14 (66.7%)	28 (100%)		4 (100%)		ND	
	Levofloxacin	87 (58%)	63 (42)	ND		ND		21 (100%)		ND		ND		ND	
	Piperacillin	78 (52%)	72 (48%)	23 (61%)	15 (39%)	13 (52%)	12 (48%)	16 (76%)	5 (24%)	12 (46.4%)	16 (53.6%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	ND	
Penicillins	Ampicillin	112 (75%)	38 (25%)		38 (100%)	ND		ND			28 (100%)	ND			1 (100%)
	Augmentin	119 (79.3%)	31 (20.7%)	10 (25%)	28 (75%)	14 (56%)	11 (44%)	20 (95%)	1 (5%)	21 (75%)	7 (25%)	4 (100%)		ND	
Carbapenems	Imipenem	150 (100%)		32 (84%)	6 (16%)	25 (100%)		21 (100%)		28 (100%)		4 (100%)			1 (100%)
	Meropenem	150 (100%)		38 (100%)		ND		21 (100%)		ND		ND		1 (100%)	
Sulfonamides	Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole	59 (39.3%)	91 (60.7%)	5 (13%)	33 (87%)	5 (20%)	20 (80%)	7 (33.3%)	14 (66.7%)	6 (21.4%)	22 (78.6%)		4 (100%)	ND	
Nitrofuran	Nitrofurantoin	144 (96.2%)	6 (3.8%)		38 (100%)	25 (100%)		8 (38%)	13 (62%)	25 (89%)	3 (11%)	4 (100%)		ND	

Table 2a. Antibacterial susceptibility testing against different species of gram negative.

ND=Not determined; S=Sensitive; R=Resistant.

reported less prevalence of *A. baumannii* from UTI specimens, which is comparable to the data of the present study [31].

In the present study, *E. faecalis* (62.5%) was the most prevalent among the Gram positive bacteria followed by *S. aureus* (37.5%) (Table 1). It is consistent with a previous report identifying *Enterococcus* spp., and *S. aureus* from urinary tract infected children [25]. However, other studies related to pediatric UTI could not isolate *E. faecalis*, but they isolated *Staphylococcus* coagulasepositive bacteria [27]. On the other hand, Erol *et al.*, isolated *Enterococcus* spp., from children's UTI [24]. *S. aureus* is a comparatively rarely causing UTI. However, the present study shared a similar outcome of isolation of *S. aureus* with the previous report [32].

Antibacterial sensitivity testing has been designated

		Gram Positive bacteria						
Classes of antibiotics	Antibiotics	Staphylococci	us aureus (12)	Enterococcus faecalis (20)				
	-	S	R	S	R			
Aminoglycosidos	Amikacin	10 (83.3%)	2 (16.7%)	ND				
Aminogrycosides	Gentamicin	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)			
	Ceftriaxone/Cefotaxime	7 (60%)	5 (40%)	9 (46.1%)	11 (53.9%)			
and C Cophalospaning	Cefotaxime	12 (100%)		10 (50%)	10 (50%)			
Sid G Cephalosponins	Ceftriaxone	12 (100%)		5 (25%)	15 (75%)			
	Cefoperazone	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	13 (67%)	7 (33%)			
	Penicillin		12 (100%)		20 (100%)			
Donicilling	Ampicillin	ND		10 (51.3%)	10 (48.7%)			
Feriiciiins	Augmentin	12 (100%)		10 (50%)	10 (50%)			
	Piperacillin		12 (100%)	13 (67%)	7 (33%)			
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin	ND		20 (100%)				
	Levofloxacin	ND		20 (100%)				
Sulfonamides	Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)			
Nitrofuran	Nitrofurantoin	12 (100%)		11 (54%)	9 (46%)			
Carbapenems Imipenem		ND		20 (100%)				

Table 2b. Antibacterial susceptibility testing against different species of gram positive.

ND=Not determined; S=Sensitive; R=Resistant.

in numerous studies worldwide, but very few reports in Iraq regarding pediatric UTIs. The present study showed the antibiotic resistance and sensitivity to bacteria isolated from UTI in children. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram negative and Gram positive bacteria in the current report were variable. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing recognizes *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* as the highest resistant Gram negative bacteria (Table 2). Our results were comparable to that reported in recent studies that presented *E. coli* as the most resistant gram negative bacteria, but is contrary to the findings that demonstrated *P. aeruginosa* as less resistant [15, 33, 34]. This is a dangerous indication of increasing the resistance rate of *P. aeruginosa*.

Of the tested antibiotics, *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* were resistant to 18 and 19 antibiotics, respectively. In contrast, *A. baumannii* and *M. morganii* showed less resistance among gram negative bacteria with 6 and 5 antibiotics, respectively (Table 2). The present study indicated the MAR against gram negative and gram positive bacteria (Table 2). In this concern, numerous similar reports point to MAR against UTI's etiological agents [35, 36].

Aminoglycosides antibiotics group (amikacin, genta-

micin, and tobramycin) have been the best treatment choice for UTI, especially against antibiotic-resistant Enterobacteriaceae, for the past years [38]. The resistance rate of E. coli (5.4%), P. aeruginosa (24%), Enterobacter spp., (17%), and S. aureus (16.7%) to amikacin. In comparison, 100% sensitivity of A. baumannii, Proteus spp., and M. morganii was shown to amikacin (Table 2). Our study is contrary to the previous report, which displayed 100% resistance of A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, Proteus spp., and M. morganii to amikacin. Whereas the resistance rate of E. coli, and Enterobacter spp., against amikacin were 68% and 25%, respectively [30]. However, a study showed less resistance of E. coli (3.4%), P. aeruginosa (7%), and Proteus spp., (2%) to amikacin, compared to our study [25]. Gentamicin resistance rate in the present study was as follow (high to low) E. faecalis (55%), P. aeruginosa (42%), K. pneumoniae (32%), Enterobacter spp., (32%), E. coli (24.7%), Proteus spp., (14%) and S. aureus (13%), while A. baumannii showed 100% susceptibility (Table 2). Muhammad et al., demonstrated an elevation of resistance rate of E. coli (69.7%), K. pneumoniae (48.1%), Proteus spp., (66.7%), P. aeruginosa (33.3%), and S. aureus (57.1%) to gentamicin compared to the present report. Whereas Enterococcus

(25%) showed less resistance compared with ours [2]. However, a study in Ethiopia was contradicted the present data with respect to Klebsiella (82.4%) and Acinetobacter (100%). Moreover, Proteus spp., was 100% susceptible to gentamicin [28]. Tobramycin showed a resistance rate as follows; Proteus spp. (100%) and P. aeruginosa (53%), while E. coli and M. morganii were 100% susceptible to tobramycin (Table 2). Li et al., demonstrated a higher resistance rate (33%) compared to our study. A study conducted earlier demonstrated less resistance of Proteus spp. (31%) and P. aeruginosa (3%) to tobramycin, but more resistant in respect to E. coli (11%) compared with our report [34]. The current study indicated that among aminoglycosides antibiotics, amikacin showed higher susceptibility against UTI's bacterial agents.

Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (TS) is frequently prescribed antibiotics for UTI and other diseases [38]. In the present study, A. baumannii was the most resistant to TS (100%) followed by P. aeruginosa (87%), Klebsiella spp., (80%), Enterobacter spp., (78.6%), E. coli (60.7%), E. faecalis (55%) and S. aureus (33%). The resistance rate of UTI's bacteria to TS has been increased compared to the previous report, which demonstrated A. baumannii (25%), P. aeruginosa (3%), Klebsiella spp., (35%), Enterobacter spp., (32%) and E. coli (47%) [34]. Similar findings were reported earlier regarding TS resistance to E. coli (47%) and K. pneumoniae (36.8%). While in the same study reported different resistance rates of E. faecalis (70%), S. aureus (13%), A. baumannii (60%) and P. aeruginosa (100%) [30].

Third-generation cephalosporins (cefoperazone, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone/cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and cefixime) were tested their susceptibility against different UTI's bacteria (Table 2). The majority of gram negative bacteria exhibited a comparable average resistance rate to cephalosporins (Table 2). These findings were consistent with previous results that found significant resistance of gram negative bacteria to cephalosporins [31, 39, 40]. Among cephalosporin, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, Klebsiella spp., Enterobacter spp., and A. baumannii showed 100% resistance against cefixime (Table 2). The present study contradicts the earlier findings that indicated cefixime as an active antibiotic against gram negative bacteria [41]. On the other hand, in gram positive bacteria, the sensitivity of

Table 3a. Resistance number (%) of antibiotics against gram negative bacteria.

Antibiotics	Resistance No. (%)			
Amikacin	22 (8.2%)			
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	184 (69%)			
Augmentin	78 (29.2%)			
Gentamicin	73 (27.3%)			
Cefoperazone	163 (61%)			
Cefixime	249 (93.2%)			
Ceftazidime	157 (59%)			
Piperacillin	122 (45.7%)			
Ceftriaxone/Cefotaxime	190 (71.2%)			
Cefotaxime	210 (78.7%)			
Ceftriaxone	176 (66%)			
Nitrofurantoin	60 (22.5%)			
Imipenem	7 (2.6%)			
Ciprofloxacin	109 (40.9%)			
Tobramycin	44 (16.5%)			
Ampicillin	105 (39.3%)			
Cephalosporin	150 (56.2%)			
Levofloxacin	63 (23.6%)			

Table 3b. Resistance number (%) of antibiotics against gram positive bacteria.

Antibiotics	Resistance No. (%)			
Amikacin	2 (6.3%)			
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	15 (46.9%)			
Gentamicin	14 (43.8%)			
Cefoperazone	10 (31.3%)			
Cefotaxime	6 (18.8%)			
Piperacillin	19 (59.4%)			
Penicillin	32 (100%)			
Ceftriaxone/Cefotaxime	16 (50%)			
Cefotaxime	10 (31.3%)			
Augmentin	10 (31.3%)			
Ceftriaxone	15 (46.9%)			
Nitrofurantoin	9 (28.1%)			
Ampicillin	10 (31.3%)			

S. aureus to cephalosporins is higher than E. faecalis which showed high resistance to cephalosporins (Table 2). Our results in this regard are in comparison with the recent report showing the resistance of E. faecalis to cephalosporins [40]. Cephalosporins showed a high resistance (66–93%) against gram negative bacteria (Table 3).

Penicillins group (ampicillin and piperacillin) showed a variable resistance rate against gram negative bacteria. However, P. aeruginosa, Enterobacter spp., and M. morganii demonstrated 100% resistance against to ampicillin (Table 2). This is comparable with previously reported data [18, 42]. With respect to M. morganii, the finding of the current study (Table 2) was similar to Al-Nagshbandi et al., which demonstrated 100% resistance of M. morganii to ampicillin [31]. E. coli showed 75% sensitivity against ampicillin, which is contrary to a study that demonstrated the highest resistance (82.79%) of E. coli to ampicillin [43]. In the present study, E. coli showed 48% resistance against piperacillin (Table 2). This result is supported by a recent study that showed 97% resistance of E. coli to piperacillin [25]. Among gram positive bacteria, S. aureus and E. faecalis showed 100% resistance against penicillin. Similar studies were reported a high level of resistance to penicillin [44, 45]. Moreover, S. aureus and E. faecalis displayed a high rate of resistance 100% and 33% to piperacillin, respectively (Table 2). Mishra et al., reported a similar result in respect to the resistance rate of E. faecalis to piperacillin (32%), while S. aureus of the same study showed very little resistance (39%) compared to our results (100%) [15]. Gram positive bacteria, demonstrated high resistance rate (100%) to penicillin (Table 3). However, pencillin and ampicillin were shown 100% susceptibility against oral bacteria [46, 47].

Augmentin is one of the regular UTI-prescribed antibiotics composed of a combination of two antibiotics (amoxicillin and clavulanic acid). In the existent study, P. aeruginosa exhibited the highest rate of resistance to augmentin (75%) followed by Klebsiella spp., (44%), Enterobacter spp., (25%), E. coli (20.7%), and Proteus spp., (5%), while A. baumannii showed 100% sensitivity (Table 2). This is in accordance with recent reports which showed the resistance rate of *E. coli* to augmentin as 16–20% [48, 49]. Moreover, the present findings are contrary to the earlier results, which found the resistance rate of E. coli to augmentin as 80% [50, 51]. A. baumannii showed more susceptibility (100%) compared to the previous reports, which demonstrated 100% resistance of A. baumannii to augmentin [18, 31]. Muhammad et al., declared the resistance pattern of E. coli (78.8%), P. aeruginosa (25%) and Klebsiella spp., (59%) to augmentin.

Moreover, *S. aureus* obtained in this study was 98% susceptible than reported earlier (15.4%) [2].

In Iraq, one of the developing countries, penicillins and cephalosporins are frequently used for different diseases. Therefore, according to the present findings, we strongly recommend not using these antibiotics for treating UTI. However, this is in accordance with the study conducted in Pakistan [52]. The ineffectiveness of these antibiotics does not represent the end of consuming these antibiotics in many different countries for treating UTI. Moreover, piperacillin and cefepime showed high resistance to many other gram negative bacteria such as *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhi [13].

Carbapenems group (imipenem and meropenem) are the antibiotic of choice in treating UTIs [53]. E. coli, P. aeruginosa, Klebsiella spp., Proteus spp., Enterobacter spp., A. baumannii, and E. faecalis showed 100% sensitivity to imipenem, while M. morganii demonstrated 100% resistance against this antibiotic (Table 2). Meropenem showed 100% sensitivity to E. coli, P. aeruginosa, Proteus spp., and M. morganii (Table 2). The present investigation is contrary to a previously reported study that indicated 43% of total isolated E. coli were resistant to imipenem [52]. Studies conducted earlier declared carbapenems as the most active antibiotics against UTI bacteria [25, 39]. The present study indicated the effectiveness of the carbapenems antibiotic group against UTI causing bacteria; hence, it is suggested as a reliable choice of treatment. On the other hand, carbapenems also exhibited a high rate of sensitivity against enteric fever bacteria [13].

Quinolones antibiotic group (ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin) showed variations in respect to the rate of resistance. Ciprofloxacin demonstrated resistance rates as follows, *E. coli* (47.7%), *P. aeruginosa* (66%), and *Proteus* spp. (66.7%). While *Enterobacter* spp., *A. baumannii* and *E. faecalis* demonstrated 100% sensitivity (Table 2). A previous study in Canada showed higher resistance (75%) of *E. coli* to ciprofloxacin compared to the present data [54]. This is due to the extensive use of ciprofloxacin in the prevention and treating UTI. However, a study in Brazil demonstrated 36% *E. coli* strains resistant to ciprofloxacin [55]. Moreover, *Proteus* spp., and *E. faecalis* showed 100% sensitivity against levofloxacin, while 42% of isolated *E. coli* showed resistance (Table 2). A similar resistance rate (47.9%) to levofloxacin was found in Iran among *E. coli* [56]. The available data of the present study is discouraging the empirical usage of quinolones as the danger of treatment flop rises when resistance rates exceed 10% to 20% [57, 58].

Nitrofurantoin has a variable resistance rate among the bacteria, P. aeruginosa (100%) was the highest, followed by Proteus spp. (62%), E. faecalis (46%). Whereas E. coli and Enterobacter spp., showed a low resistance rate, 3.8%, and 11%, respectively. Klebsiella spp., and A. baumannii, demonstrated 100% sensitivity to nitrofurantoin (Table 2). Muller et al., reported the effectiveness of nitrofurantoin in the prevention of UTI [59]. This data is comparable with the previous systematic review showing the efficiency of nitrofurantoin against E. coli [60]. The current study demonstrated a similar finding with that of Gardiner et al., about the activity of nitrofurantoin against E. coli and Klebsiella spp., but not in *E. faecalis* which showed high resistance in our study (46%). However, Proteus spp., and P. aeruginosa were also resistant to nitrofurantoin, which is in accordance with the present study [61].

The current study concluded the presence of multi antibiotics resistance against several bacteria isolated from UTI in children from Baghdad, Iraq. E. coli was the most detected gram negative bacteria from UTI in children. Carbapenems (imipenem and meropenem) and aminoglycosides (amikacin and tobramycin) are highly recommended for the empirical treatment of UTI. While quinolones, penicillins, and cephalosporins antibiotics were not suggested to be used in treating UTI due to their resistance. The present study and future similar studies will assist doctors in prescribing the right antibiotics by updating their information about the prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of UTIs causing bacteria in children. Hence, regular antibiotic susceptibility testing is required to keep up-to-date reports of antibiotics resistance.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest to declare.

References

 Sood A, Penna FJ, Eleswarapu S, Pucheril D, Weaver J, Abd-El-Barr A-E-R, et al. 2015. Incidence, admission rates, and economic burden of pediatric emergency department visits for urinary tract infection: Data from the nationwide emergency department sample, 2006 to 2011. *J. Pediatr. Urol.* **11**: 246.e241-246.e248.

- Muhammad A, Khan SN, Ali N, Rehman MU, Ali I. 2020. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of uropathogens in outpatients at a tertiary care hospital. *New Microbes New Infect.* 36: 100716.
- Kaufman J, Temple-Smith M, Sanci L. 2019. Urinary tract infections in children: an overview of diagnosis and management. *BMJ Paediatr. Open* 3: e000487.
- Dibua UM, Onyemerela IS, Nweze El. 2014. Frequency, urinalysis and susceptibility profile of pathogens causing urinary tract infections in Enugu State, southeast Nigeria. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo.* 56: 55-59.
- 5. Tandogdu Z, Wagenlehner FM. 2016. Global epidemiology of urinary tract infections. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* **29**: 73-79.
- Pezeshki Najafabadi M, Dagoohian A, Rajaie S, Zarkesh-Esfahani SH, Edalati M. 2018. Common microbial causes of significant bacteriuria and their antibiotic resistance pattern in the Isfahan province of Iran. J. Chemother. **30**: 348-353.
- Montini G, Tullus K, Hewitt I. 2011. Febrile urinary tract infections in children. N. Engl. J. Med. 365: 239-250.
- Medina-Bombardó D, Jover-Palmer A. 2011. Does clinical examination aid in the diagnosis of urinary tract infections in women? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Fam. Pract.* 12: 111.
- Narain U, Gupta A. 2018. Urinary tract infection in children with nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 37: 144-146.
- Sorkhi H, Riahi SM, Ebrahimpour S, Shaikh N, Rostami A. 2019. Urinary tract infection in children with nephrotic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Microb. Pathog.* 137: 103718.
- Anwer Jaber F. 2021. Determination of semen quality and antibacterial susceptibility pattern of bacteria isolated from semen of Iraqi subjects. *Korean J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 49: 587-593.
- Shirani K, Seydayi E, Boroujeni KS. 2019. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance pattern of extended-spectrum beta-lactamaseproducing *Escherichia coli* in clinical specimens. *J. Res. Med. Sci.* 24: 103.
- Salman HA, Abdulmohsen AM, Falih MN, Romi ZM. 2021. Detection of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhi isolated from Iraqi subjects. *Vet. World* 14: 1922-1928.
- Dolk FCK, Pouwels KB, Smith DRM, Robotham JV, Smieszek T. 2018. Antibiotics in primary care in England: which antibiotics are prescribed and for which conditions? *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 73: ii2-ii10.
- Mishra MP, Sarangi R, Padhy RN. 2016. Prevalence of multidrug resistant uropathogenic bacteria in pediatric patients of a tertiary care hospital in eastern India. J. Infect. Public Health 9: 308-314.
- Lee DS, Lee SJ, Choe HS. 2018. Community-acquired urinary tract infection by *Escherichia coli* in the Era of antibiotic resistance. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2018: 7656752.
- Sweileh WM, Al-Jabi SW, Zyoud SeH, Sawalha AF, Abu-Taha AS. 2018. Global research output in antimicrobial resistance among

uropathogens: A bibliometric analysis (2002–2016). J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resis. **13**: 104-114.

- Mihankhah A, Khoshbakht R, Raeisi M, Raeisi V. 2017. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance pattern of bacteria isolated from urinary tract infections in Northern Iran. J. Res. Med. Sci. 22: 108.
- Mortazavi SH, Mansouri F, Azizi M, Alvandi A, Karbasfrushan A, Madadi-Goli N, et al. 2018. Prevalence of class I and II integrons among MDR Enterobacter cloacae isolates obtained from clinical samples of children in Kermanshah, Iran. J. Clin. Diagnostic Res. 12: DC13-DC16.
- Salman HA, Venkatesh S, Senthilkumar R, Gnanesh Kumar BS, Ali AM. 2018. Determination of antibacterial activity and metabolite profile of Ruta graveolens against *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus. J. Llab. Phys.* **10**: 320-325.
- Salman HA, Senthilkumar R. 2015. Antibacterial activity of Annona squamosa L. and Annona reticulata L. against clinical isolates of mutans streptococci the causative agents of dental caries. Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res. 8: 152-155.
- Al-Badr A, Al-Shaikh G. 2013. Recurrent urinary tract infections management in women: A review. *Sultan Qaboos Univ. Med. J.* 13: 359-367.
- Tenney J, Hudson N, Alnifaidy H, Li JTC, Fung KH. 2018. Risk factors for aquiring multidrug-resistant organisms in urinary tract infections: A systematic literature review. *Saudi Pharm. J.* 26: 678-684.
- Erol B, Culpan M, Caskurlu H, Sari U, Cag Y, Vahaboglu H, et al. 2018. Changes in antimicrobial resistance and demographics of UTIs in pediatric patients in a single institution over a 6-year period. J. Pediatr. Urol. 14: 176.e171-176.e175.
- 25. Demir M, Kazanasmaz H. 2020. Uropathogens and antibiotic resistance in the community and hospital-induced urinary tract infected children. *J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist.* **20**: 68-73.
- 26. Mitiku E, Amsalu A, Tadesse BT. 2018. Pediatric urinary tract infection as a cause of outpatient clinic visits in Southern Ethiopia: A cross sectional study. *Ethiop J. Health Sci.* **28**: 187-196.
- Mirsoleymani SR, Salimi M, Shareghi Brojeni M, Ranjbar M, Mehtarpoor M. 2014. Bacterial pathogens and antimicrobial resistance patterns in pediatric urinary tract infections: A fouryear surveillance study (2009-2012). *Int. J. Pediatr.* 2014: 126142.
- Merga Duffa Y, Terfa Kitila K, Mamuye Gebretsadik D, Bitew A. 2018. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial uropathogens isolated from pediatric patients at yekatit 12 hospital medical college, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2018: 8492309-8492309.
- Chen Y-T, Peng H-L, Shia W-C, Hsu F-R, Ken C-F, Tsao Y-M, et al. 2012. Whole-genome sequencing and identification of *Morganella morganii* KT pathogenicity-related genes. *BMC Genomics* 13 Suppl 7: S4.
- Al-Naqshbandi AA, Chawsheen MA, Abdulqader HH. 2019. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial pathogens isolated from urine specimens received in rizgary hospital - Erbil. *J. Infect. Public Health* **12**: 330-336.
- 31. Mohammed MA, Alnour TM, Shakurfo OM, Aburass MM. 2016. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance pattern of bacterial

strains isolated from patients with urinary tract infection in Messalata Central Hospital, Libya. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.* **9**: 771-776.

- 32. Megged O. 2014. *Staphylococcus aureus* urinary tract infections in children are associated with urinary tract abnormalities and vesico-ureteral reflux. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* **29**: 269-272.
- 33. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, Thornton HV, Wootton M, Costelloe C. 2016. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by *Escherichia coli* and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. **352**: i939.
- Bander B, Wael M, Sultan A, Abdulrahman A, Abdullah A, Ali S. 2018. Antimicrobial susceptibility of microorganisms causing urinary tract infections in Saudi Arabia. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries 12: 220-227.
- Khawcharoenporn T, Vasoo S, Singh K. 2013. Urinary tract infections due to multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: Prevalence and risk factors in a Chicago emergency department. *Emerg. Med. Int.* 2013: 258517.
- Zowawi HM, Harris PN, Roberts MJ, Tambyah PA, Schembri MA, Pezzani MD, et al. 2015. The emerging threat of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in urology. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* 12: 570-584.
- Goodlet KJ, Benhalima FZ, Nailor MD. 2019. A Systematic review of single-dose aminoglycoside therapy for urinary tract infection: Is it time to resurrect an old strategy? *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 63: e02165-18.
- Hamid M, Lashari B, Ahsan I, Micaily I, Sarwar U, Crocetti J. 2018. A deadly prescription: combination of methotrexate and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. J. Community Hosp. Intern. Med. Perspect. 8: 149-151.
- Li X, Chen Y, Gao W, Ye H, Shen Z, Wen Z, et al. 2017. A 6-year study of complicated urinary tract infections in southern China: prevalence, antibiotic resistance, clinical and economic outcomes. *Ther. Clin. Risk Manag.* 13: 1479-1487.
- Kishore N, Modi S, Khanduri S, Kakati B. 2020. Urinary tract infection in critically ill patients with diabetes mellitus: Spectrum of uropathogens and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern. *Bali. J. Anaesthesiol.* 4: 55.
- 41. Fanos V, Cataldi L. 2001. Cefixime in urinary tract infections with special reference to pediatrics: Overview. *J. Chemother.* **13**: 112-117.
- 42. Zegers SHJ, Dieleman J, van der Bruggen T, Kimpen J, de Jong-de Vos van Steenwijk C. 2017. The influence of antibiotic prophylaxis on bacterial resistance in urinary tract infections in children with spina bifida. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **17**: 63.
- 43. Vranic SM, Uzunovic A. 2016. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from urine at outpatient population: a single laboratory experience. *Mater. Sociomed.* **28**: 121-124.
- 44. Gitau W, Masika M, Musyoki M, Museve B, Mutwiri T. 2018. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from clinical specimens at Kenyatta National Hospital. *BMC Res. Notes* **11**: 226.
- 45. Sharifi Y, Hasani A, Ghotaslou R, Naghili B, Aghazadeh M, Milani

M, *et al*. 2013. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance in enterococci isolated from urinary tract infections. *Adv. Pharm. Bull.* **3**: 197-201.

- 46. Salman HA, Senthikumar R. 2015. Identification and antibiogram profile of Streptococcus mutans and *Streptococcus sobrinus* from dental caries subjects. *J. Appl. Pharm. Sci.* **5**: 54-57.
- 47. Salman HA, Senthilkumar R, Mahmood BS, Imran K. 2019. Detection and characterization of *Streptococcus downei*, a rare bacterial species of mutans streptococci from caries-active patients. *Indian J. Dent. Res.* **30**: 579-582.
- Horner CS, Abberley N, Denton M, Wilcox MH. 2014. Surveillance of antibiotic susceptibility of *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated from urine samples collected from community patients in a large metropolitan area, 2010-2012. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 142: 399-403.
- 49. Erb S, Frei R, Tschudin Sutter S, Egli A, Dangel M, Bonkat G, et al. 2018. Basic patient characteristics predict antimicrobial resistance in *E. coli* from urinary tract specimens: a retrospective cohort analysis of 5246 urine samples. *Swiss Med. Wkly.* **148**: w14660.
- Guyomard-Rabenirina S, Malespine J, Ducat C, Sadikalay S, Falord M, Harrois D, et al. 2016. Temporal trends and risks factors for antimicrobial resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* urinary isolates from outpatients in Guadeloupe. *BMC Microbiol.* 16: 121.
- Pulcini C, Clerc-Urmes I, Attinsounon CA, Fougnot S, Thilly N. 2019. Antibiotic resistance of *Enterobacteriaceae* causing urinary tract infections in elderly patients living in the community and in the nursing home: a retrospective observational study. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **74**: 775-781.
- 52. Sabir S, Ahmad Anjum A, Ijaz T, Asad Ali M, Ur Rehman Khan M, Nawaz M. 2014. Isolation and antibiotic susceptibility of *E. coli* from urinary tract infections in a tertiary care hospital. *Pak J. Med. Sci.* **30**: 389-392.
- 53. Sahu C, Jain V, Mishra P, Prasad KN. 2018. Clinical and laboratory standards institute versus European committee for antimicrobial susceptibility testing guidelines for interpretation of carbapenem antimicrobial susceptibility results for *Escherichia coli* in uri-

nary tract infection (UTI). J. Lab. Physicians 10: 289-293.

- MacFadden DR, Fisman D, Andre J, Ara Y, Majumder MS, Bogoch II, et al. 2016. A Platform for monitoring regional antimicrobial resistance, using online data sources: Resistance Open. J. Infect. Dis. 214: S393-S398.
- 55. Reis AC, Santos SR, Souza SC, Saldanha MG, Pitanga TN, Oliveira RR. 2016. Ciprofloxacin resistance pattern among bacteria isolated from patients with community-acquired urinary tract infection. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo* **58**: 53.
- Malekzadegan Y, Rastegar E, Moradi M, Heidari H, Sedigh Ebrahim-Saraie H. 2019. Prevalence of quinolone-resistant uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in a tertiary care hospital in south Iran. *Infect. Drug Resist* 12: 1683-1689.
- 57. Bidell MR, Palchak M, Mohr J, Lodise TP. 2016. Fluoroquinolone and third-generation-cephalosporin resistance among hospitalized patients with urinary tract infections due to *Escherichia coli*: Do rates vary by hospital characteristics and geographic region? *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **60**: 3170-3173.
- McQuiston Haslund J, Rosborg Dinesen M, Sternhagen Nielsen AB, Llor C, Bjerrum L. 2013. Different recommendations for empiric first-choice antibiotic treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infections in Europe. *Scand. J. Prim. Health Care* 31: 235-240.
- Muller AE, Verhaegh EM, Harbarth S, Mouton JW, Huttner A. 2017. Nitrofurantoin's efficacy and safety as prophylaxis for urinary tract infections: a systematic review of the literature and metaanalysis of controlled trials. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 23: 355-362.
- Porreca A, D'Agostino D, Romagnoli D, Del Giudice F, Maggi M, Palmer K, et al. 2021. The clinical efficacy of nitrofurantoin for treating uncomplicated urinary tract infection in adults: A systematic review of randomized control trials. Urol. Int. 105: 531-540.
- Gardiner BJ, Stewardson AJ, Abbott IJ, Peleg AY. 2019. Nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin for resistant urinary tract infections: old drugs for emerging problems. *Aust. Prescr.* 42: 14-19.