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# MULTIPLICITY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO SCHRÖDINGER-TYPE POSITONE PROBLEMS 

Eunkyung Ko


#### Abstract

We establish multiplicity results for positive solutions to the Schrödinger-type singular positone problem: $-\Delta u+V(x) u=\lambda f(u)$ in $\Omega, u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N>2, \lambda$ is a positive parameter, $V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a continuous function. In particular, when $f$ is sublinear at infinity we discuss the existence of at least three positive solutions for a certain range of $\lambda$. The proofs are mainly based on the sub- and supersolution method.


## 1. Introduction and Main Results

We are concerned with the existence of multiple positive solutions of the following Schrödinger-type positone problems with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+V(x) u=\lambda f(u), & x \in \Omega,  \tag{1}\\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $0 \in \Omega$ is a nonempty bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N>2$, with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda$ is a positive real parameter. We assume that $f \in C([0, \infty),(0, \infty))$ satisfies
( $F_{1}$ ) $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s}=0$,
$\left(F_{2}\right) f$ is nondecreasing for all $s \geq 0$.
We further assume that $V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following condition:
$\left(V_{1}\right)$ There exists $c_{V}>0$ such that $V(x) \geq-c_{V}>-\frac{1}{\|e\|_{\infty}}$ for $x \in \Omega$, when $e$ is the positive solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta e=1, \text { in } \Omega \\
e=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]The equation (1) is derived based on the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which is detailed in [9]. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations have been widely studied to investigate the existence of solutions that act according to $V$ on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (see $[2,5,7]$ or references therein) or on bounded domains with linear boundary conditions in [4]. In the case when $V \equiv 0$, studying existence of multiple positive solutions of (1) has a rich history for a long time (see [3] and $[8]$ for $\beta=0$ or references therein). In this paper, we establish the existence of positive solutions of a singular Schrödinger equation (1) for all $\lambda>0$ and multiple positive solutions of (1) for a certain range of $\lambda$ by the method of sub and supersolution when $V \not \equiv 0$ is bounded in $\Omega$. We first state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{1}\right)$. Then, for each $\lambda>0$ the problem (1) has a positive solution $u_{\lambda} \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ Moreover, if $\frac{s}{f(s)}$ is nondecreasing, the solution is unique.

Next, to state the multiplicity result, we define for any $0<a<d$

$$
Q(a, d):=\frac{a}{f(a)} / \frac{d}{f(d)}
$$

and let

$$
A=\frac{(N+1)^{N+1}}{N^{N}} \text { and } B=\frac{R^{2}}{A N+\|V\|_{\infty} R^{2}}
$$

where $R$ is the radius of the largest inscribed ball $B_{R}$ in $\Omega$. We further let $K>0$ be a constant such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{K} \leq 1-c_{V}\|e\|_{\infty} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the condition $\left(V_{1}\right)$.
Theorem 1.2. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(V_{1}\right)$. If there exist $a, d$ and $b$ with $0<$ $a<d<\frac{2}{A} b$ such that $Q(a, d)>\frac{K\|e\|_{\infty}}{B}$,

$$
\tilde{f}(s):=f(s)-\frac{f(d)}{d} B\|V\|_{\infty} s>0 \forall s \in[0, b]
$$

and is nondecreasing on $[a, b]$, then the problem (1) has at least three positive solutions $u_{\lambda} \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ for all $\lambda_{*}<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$, where

$$
\lambda_{*}=\frac{d}{f(d)} \frac{1}{B}, \lambda^{*}=\min \left\{\frac{a}{f(a)} \frac{1}{K\|e\|_{\infty}}, \frac{2 b}{f(d) A B}\right\}
$$

To obtain multiple positive solutions for a certain range of $\lambda$, it is important to construct a pair of sub and supersolution $\left(\psi_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ of (1) with the property that $\psi_{1} \leq \psi_{2} \leq Z_{1}, \psi_{1} \leq Z_{2} \leq Z_{1}$ and $\psi_{2} \not \leq Z_{2}$ when $\left(\psi_{1}, Z_{1}\right)$ is a pair of sub and supersolution of (1). However, the term $V(x)$ acting on $u$ gives a difficulty on the construction of a second pair of sub and supersolution $\left(\psi_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$. Furthermore, since we allow $V$ to be negative on $\Omega$, the operator $-\Delta+V(x)$ does not satisfy the maximum principle. To overcome this issues, we manipulate the first equation of (1) in such a way that we define a new function $\tilde{f}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
by $\tilde{f}(s)=f(s)-\frac{f(d)}{d} B\|V\|_{\infty} s$ so that the function $V(x)$ is related to the reaction term. Here we also emphasize that $\tilde{f}(s)$ is negative for $s$ large since $f(s)$ is sublinear near infinity. In this case, it is not easy to construct the second pair of sub and supersolution $\left(\psi_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ satisfying the above property in the region at which $\tilde{f}$ is positive.

Remark 1. A simple example satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 is

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+V(x) u=\lambda e^{\frac{\alpha u}{\alpha+u}}, & x \in \Omega,  \tag{3}\\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $f(u)=e^{\frac{\alpha u}{\alpha+u}}$ satisfies assumptions $\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(F_{2}\right)$. Choosing $d=\alpha$, we can see that $\tilde{f}(d)=\left(1-B\|V\|_{\infty}\right) f(\alpha)>0$ for all $\alpha>0$ and $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(d)=$ $e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left[\frac{1}{4}-\frac{B\|V\|_{\infty}}{\alpha}\right] \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}>0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there exists $\alpha_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\tilde{f}^{\prime}\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}}}\right) \alpha_{1}\right)>0
$$

which implies that $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(\alpha)>0$ for all $\alpha>\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}}}\right) \alpha_{1}$. Noting that $Q(c \alpha, \alpha)=$ $c e^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{c}{c+1} \alpha}$ for a constant $c$, there exists $\alpha_{2}>0$ such that

$$
Q\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{2}}}\right) \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)=\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{2}}}\right) e^{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{4 \sqrt{\alpha_{2}-2}}}>\frac{K\|e\|_{\infty}}{B},
$$

which also yields that $Q\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right) \alpha, \alpha\right)>\frac{K\|e\|_{\infty}}{B}$ for all $\alpha>\alpha_{2}$. Observing that for a constant $c$

$$
\tilde{f}^{\prime}(c \alpha)=\frac{e^{\frac{c}{c+1} \alpha}}{(c+1)^{2}}-\frac{e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\alpha} B\|V\|_{\infty},
$$

there exist $\bar{c}>\frac{A}{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}>0$ large enough such that

$$
\tilde{f}^{\prime}\left(\bar{c} \alpha_{3}\right) \geq 0
$$

as $\frac{c}{c+1}>\frac{1}{2}$ for $c>1$. It also holds $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(\bar{c} \alpha) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{3}$. Now, letting $\alpha^{*}=\max \left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right\}$ and choosing $a=\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^{*}}}\right) \alpha^{*}, d=\alpha^{*}$ and $b=\bar{c} \alpha^{*}$, we have

$$
\tilde{f}^{\prime}(s) \geq 0 \text { in }[a, b] \text { and } Q(a, d)>\frac{K\|e\|_{\infty}}{B}
$$

and $\tilde{f}(s)=f(s)-\frac{f(d)}{d} B\|V\|_{\infty} s>0$ on $[0, b]$ for sufficiently small value of $\|V\|_{\infty}$.
This paper is organized as follows: In the next Section 2, we introduce a method of sub and supersolutions for (1) and a three solution theorem for problem (1). Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 .

## 2. Preliminary

In this section, we define sub and supersoluton of (1) and introduce the method of obtaining sub- and supersolutions and three solution theorem for (1).

A subsolution of (1) is defined as a function $\psi: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta \psi+V(x) \psi \leq \lambda f(\psi), & x \in \Omega  \tag{4}\\ \psi \leq 0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

while a supersolution of (1) is defined as a function $\phi: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta \phi+V(x) \phi \geq \lambda f(\phi), & x \in \Omega,  \tag{5}\\ \phi \geq 0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Now we introduce the theorem of sub and supersolution and three solution theorem.

Lemma 2.1. (Theorem for sub and supersolution in [1]). If a subsolution $\psi$ and a supersolution $\phi$ of (1) exist such that $\psi \leq \phi$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, then (1) has at least one solution $u \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying $\psi \leq u \leq \phi$ on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Lemma 2.2. (Three solution Theorem in [1] and [10]). Suppose there exists two pairs of ordered sub and supersolutions $\left(\psi_{1}, Z 1\right)$ and $\left(\psi_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ of (1) with the property that $\psi_{1} \leq \psi_{2} \leq Z_{1}, \psi_{1} \leq Z_{2} \leq Z_{1}$ and $\psi_{2} \not \leq Z_{2}$. Additionally assume that $\psi_{2}, Z_{2}$ are not solutions of (1). Then there exists at least three solutions $u_{i}, i=1,2,3$ for (1) where $u_{1} \in\left[\psi_{1}, Z_{2}\right], u_{2} \in\left[\psi_{2}, Z_{1}\right]$ and $u_{3} \in$ $\left[\psi_{1}, Z_{1}\right] \backslash\left(\left[\psi_{1}, Z_{2}\right] \cup\left[\psi_{2}, Z_{1}\right]\right)$.

Lemma 2.3. (see [6]). Assume ( $V_{1}$ ). Then the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta w+V(x) w=1, \text { in } \Omega  \tag{W}\\
w=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a solution $w$ such that $w(x)>0$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta}<0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

## 3. Proof of Main Theorems

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. It is easy to see that $\psi_{1} \equiv 0$ is a strict subsolution of (1). Now, we construct a supersolution. Let us define $\bar{f}(s):=\max _{t \leq s} f(t)$. Then, it follows that $f(s) \leq \bar{f}(s), \bar{f}$ is monotone increasing and $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{f}(s)}{s}=0$. This implies that there exists $M_{\lambda} \gg 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{f}\left(M_{\lambda}\|w\|_{\infty}\right)}{M_{\lambda}\|w\|_{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda\|w\|_{\infty}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Z_{1}=M_{\lambda} w$. Then, using (6) and the definition of $\bar{f}$, we can find

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta Z_{1}+V(x) Z_{1}=M_{\lambda}(-\Delta w+V(x) w) & =M_{\lambda} \\
& \geq \lambda \bar{f}\left(M_{\lambda}\|w\|_{\infty}\right) \\
& \geq \lambda \bar{f}\left(M_{\lambda} w\right) \\
& \geq \lambda f\left(M_{\lambda} w\right)=\lambda f\left(Z_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also we easily get $Z_{1}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, which implies that $Z_{1}$ is a supersolution of (1). By Lemma 2.1, there exists a solution $u_{\lambda}$ such that $0 \leq u_{\lambda} \leq Z_{1}$ for each $\lambda>0$.

Next, let us show that this solution is unique for any $\lambda>0$ provided $\frac{s}{f(s)}$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Since $\psi_{1} \equiv 0$ is a subsolution of (1), there exists a minimal solution of (1). Let $u_{1}$ be a minimal solution and $u_{2}$ any other solution of (1). Then $u_{1} \leq u_{2}$ in $\Omega$. It follows from (1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{1} \Delta u_{2}-u_{2} \Delta u_{1}\right) d x & =\int_{\Omega} u_{1}\left(-\lambda f\left(u_{2}\right)+V(x) u_{2}\right)+u_{2}\left(\lambda f\left(u_{1}\right)-V(x) u_{1}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \lambda f\left(u_{1}\right) f\left(u_{2}\right)\left[\frac{u_{2}}{f\left(u_{2}\right)}-\frac{u_{1}}{f\left(u_{1}\right)}\right] d x \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields $u_{1}=u_{2}$. Hence, the solution is unique.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. We first construct a supersolution for $\lambda<\lambda^{*}$. From Assumption ( $V_{1}$ ) there exists $c_{V}>0$ such that $V(x) \geq-c_{V}>-\frac{1}{\|e\|_{\infty}}$ Let $Z_{2}=a \frac{e}{\|e\|_{\infty}}$. Then in $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta Z_{2}+V(x) Z_{2} & =\frac{a}{\|e\|_{\infty}}(-\Delta e+V(x) e) \\
& >\lambda K f(a)(1+V(x) e) \\
& \geq \lambda K f\left(a \frac{e}{\|e\|_{\infty}}\right)\left(1-c_{V}\|e\|_{\infty}\right) \geq \lambda f\left(Z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact $\lambda<\frac{a}{f(a) K\|e\|_{\infty}}$ at the first inequality and $1-c_{V}\|e\|_{\infty} \geq \frac{1}{K}$ at the second inequality. Clearly, $Z_{2}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Hence, $Z_{2}$ is supersolution for $\lambda<\lambda^{*}$.

Now we construct a positive subsolution $\psi_{2}$ of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+\|V\|_{\infty} u=\lambda f(u), \text { in } \Omega  \tag{7}\\
u=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

when $\lambda>\lambda_{*}$. Then, $\psi_{2}$ is a subsolution of (1) since

$$
-\Delta \psi_{2}+V(x) \psi_{2} \leq-\Delta \psi_{2}+\|V\|_{\infty} \psi_{2} \leq \lambda f\left(\psi_{2}\right)
$$

In order to construct the positive subsolution $\psi_{2}$, we recall $\tilde{f}(u)=f(u)-$ $\frac{f(d)}{d} B\|V\|_{\infty} u>0$ and consider the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda \tilde{f}(u), \text { in } \Omega  \tag{8}\\
u=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Recall that $R$ is the radius of the biggest inscribed ball in $\Omega$. For $0<\epsilon<R$ and $\delta, \mu>1$ let us define $\rho:[0, R] \rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
\rho(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1,0 \leq r \leq \epsilon, \\
1-\left(1-\left(\frac{R-r}{R-\epsilon}\right)^{\mu}\right)^{\delta}, \epsilon<r \leq R .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we have

$$
\rho^{\prime}(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0,0 \leq r \leq \epsilon, \\
-\frac{\delta \mu}{R-\epsilon}\left(1-\left(\frac{R-r}{R-\epsilon}\right)^{\mu}\right)^{\delta-1}\left(\frac{R-r}{R-\epsilon}\right)^{\mu-1}, \epsilon<r \leq R .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $v(r)=d \rho(r)$. Note that $\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right| \leq d \frac{\delta \mu}{R-\epsilon}$. Define $\psi$ as the radially symmetric solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta \psi=\lambda \tilde{f}(v(|x|)), \text { in } B_{R}(0) \\
\psi=0, \text { on } \partial B_{R}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\psi$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(r^{N-1}\left(\psi^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda r^{N-1} \tilde{f}(v(r)),\right.  \tag{9}\\
\psi^{\prime}(0)=0, \psi(R)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Integrating (9), for $0<r<R$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\psi^{\prime}(r)=\frac{\lambda}{r^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} \tilde{f}(v(s)) d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r) \geq v(r), \forall 0 \leq r \leq R \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq b \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\frac{d}{f(d)} \frac{1}{B}<\lambda<\frac{2 b}{f(d) A B}$.
In order to prove (11), it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\psi^{\prime}(r) \geq-v^{\prime}(r), \forall 0 \leq r \leq R \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\psi(R)=0=v(R)$. Notice that for $0 \leq r \leq \epsilon, \psi^{\prime}(r) \leq 0=v^{\prime}(r)$. Hence, for $r>\epsilon$ we get from (10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\psi^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{\lambda}{r^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} \tilde{f}(v(s)) d s \\
& >\frac{\lambda}{R^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} s^{N-1} \tilde{f}(v(s)) d s \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{R^{N-1}} \frac{\epsilon^{N}}{N} \tilde{f}(d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\lambda>\frac{d}{\tilde{f}(d)} \frac{N R^{N-1}}{(R-\epsilon) \epsilon^{N}} \delta \mu$, then we conclude (13). Note that

$$
\inf _{\epsilon} \frac{d}{\tilde{f}(d)} \frac{N R^{N-1}}{(R-\epsilon) \epsilon^{N}} \delta \mu=\frac{d}{\tilde{f}(d)} \frac{(N+1)^{N+1}}{R^{2} N^{N-1}} \delta \mu
$$

and is achieved at $\epsilon=\frac{N R}{N+1}$. Hence, if $\lambda>\frac{d}{\tilde{f}(d)} \frac{(N+1)^{N+1}}{R^{2} N^{N-1}}$, then in the definition of $\rho$ we can choose $\epsilon=\frac{N R}{N+1}$ and the values of $\delta$ and $\mu$ so that $\lambda \geq \frac{d}{f(d)} \frac{N R^{N-1}}{(R-\epsilon) \epsilon^{N}} \delta \mu$, and hence (13) holds. Note that it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(d)=\left(1-B\|V\|_{\infty}\right) f(d) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the definition of $\tilde{f}(u)$. Hence the range of $\lambda$ is written as

$$
\lambda>\frac{d}{\tilde{f}(d)} \frac{(N+1)^{N+1}}{R^{2} N^{N-1}}=\frac{d}{f(d)} \frac{1}{B} .
$$

Now to show (12), we integrate (10) from $t$ to $R$, we obtain that for $0 \leq r \leq R$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(t) & =\int_{t}^{R} \frac{\lambda}{r^{N-1}}\left(\int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} \tilde{f}(v(s)) d s\right) d r \\
& \leq \int_{t}^{R} \frac{\lambda}{r^{N-1}} \tilde{f}(d)\left(\int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} d s\right) d r \\
& \leq \lambda \frac{\tilde{f}(d)}{N} \int_{0}^{R} r d r=\lambda \frac{\tilde{f}(d)}{2 N} R^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if $\lambda<\frac{b}{\tilde{f}(d)} \frac{2 N}{R^{2}}$, then we get $\|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq b$. Again, from (14), the range of $\lambda$ is written as $\lambda<\frac{2 b}{f(d) A B}$. Hence, we find that $v(r) \leq \psi(r) \leq b, \forall 0 \leq r \leq R$ when $\frac{d}{f(d)} \frac{1}{B}<\lambda<\frac{2 b}{f(d) A B}$. From $v(r) \leq \psi(r) \leq b, \forall 0 \leq r \leq R$, we see

$$
-\Delta \psi=\lambda \tilde{f}(v) \leq \lambda \tilde{f}(\psi), \quad \text { in } B_{R}(0) \text { and } \psi=0 \text { on } \partial B_{R}(0) .
$$

Now we let $\psi_{2}(x)=\psi(x)$ if $x \in B_{R}(0)$ and $\psi_{2}(x)=0$ if $x \in \Omega \backslash B_{R}(0)$. Then $\psi_{2}$ is a positive subsolution of (8) for $\lambda_{*}=\frac{d}{f(d)} \frac{1}{B}<\lambda<\frac{2 b}{f(d) A B}$. Finally, we find that for $\lambda>\lambda_{*}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \psi_{2} \leq \lambda \tilde{f}\left(\psi_{2}\right) & =\lambda\left[f\left(\psi_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda_{*}}\|V\|_{\infty} \psi_{2}\right] \\
& <\lambda\left[f\left(\psi_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda}\|V\|_{\infty} \psi_{2}\right] \\
& =\lambda f\left(\psi_{2}\right)-\|V\|_{\infty} \psi_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\psi_{2}$ is a nonnegative subsolution of (7). Finally, we obtain the subsolution $\psi_{2}$ of (1) satisfying $\psi_{2} \not \leq Z_{2}$ for $\lambda_{*}<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$.

From the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have a subsolution $\psi_{1} \equiv 0$ such that $\psi_{1} \leq Z_{2}$ and a sufficiently large supersolution $Z_{1}=M_{\lambda} w$ such that $\psi_{2} \leq Z_{1}$.

Hence, there exist a positive solutions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ of (1) such that $\psi_{1} \leq u_{1} \leq Z_{2}$ and $\psi_{2} \leq u_{2} \leq Z_{1}$. Note that $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$ as $\psi_{2} \not \leq Z_{2}$. By three solution theorem 2.2 , there exists a positive solution $u_{3}$ such that $u_{3} \in\left[\psi_{1}, Z_{1}\right] \backslash\left(\left[\psi_{1}, Z_{2}\right] \cup\right.$ $\left.\left[\psi_{2}, Z_{1}\right]\right)$.
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