

## WHICH WEIGHTED SHIFTS ARE M-HYPONORMAL?

YUN HEE JEE

ABSTRACT. Let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a weight sequence and let  $W_\alpha$  denote the associated unilateral weighted shift on  $l^2(Z_+)$ . In this paper we will investigate which weighted shift is M-hyponormal.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $B(H)$  be the algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable complex Hilbert space  $H$ . An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is called normal if  $T^*T = TT^*$  and hyponormal if  $T^*T \geq TT^*$ . An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is called M-hyponormal if there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\| (T - \lambda)^*x \| \leq M \| (T - \lambda)x \| \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and for all } x \in H.$$

Note that if  $T$  is an M-hyponormal operator then  $M \geq 1$  and  $T$  is hyponormal iff  $M=1$ .

If  $M \leq 1$  then M-hyponormality implies hyponormality. The notion of an M-hyponormal operator is due to Stampfli(unpublished)(see[9]).

It is an easy extension of hyponormal operators,

$$T \text{ is hyponormal} \Rightarrow T \text{ is M-hyponormal.}$$

Recall that given a bounded sequence of positive numbers  $\alpha: \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots$  (called weights), the unilateral weighted shift  $W_\alpha$  associated with  $\alpha$  is the operator on  $l^2(Z_+)$  defined by  $W_\alpha e_n := \alpha_n e_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , where  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is the canonical orthonormal basis for  $l^2(Z_+)$  (where  $Z_+$  is the set of non-negative integers). We also simply write  $W_\alpha \equiv \text{shift}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$ . It is well known that  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  is hyponormal but not normal if and only if  $\alpha$  is monotonically increasing. Wadha[9] gave an example of an M-hyponormal operator which is not hyponormal. An example is : Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an orthogonal basis of a Hilbert space  $H$ .

---

Received January 29, 2022; Accepted February 18, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47B20.

Key words and phrases: M-hyponormal operator.

Let  $T$  be a weighted shift defined by  $Te_1=e_2$ ,  $Te_2=2e_3$  and  $Te_i=e_{i+1}$  for  $i \geq 3$ . In paper [4], as a result of studying which weighted shift is M-hyponormal, it was shown that if  $\alpha$  is eventually increasing then  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  is M-hyponormal. In this paper, we will try to find another case of which weighted shift becomes M-hyponormal.

On the other hand, Radjabalipour[6] showed that the only quasinilpotent M-hyponormal operator is 0. Thus if  $W_\alpha$  is a weighted shift with weight sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  converging to 0 then  $W_\alpha$  is not M-hyponormal. In this paper, we are trying to prove the above fact directly by using the definition of M-hyponormality.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we give the definition of M-hyponormal operator and we shall state some general properties of M-hyponormal operator.

DEFINITION 2.1. An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is called M-hyponormal if there exists a real number  $M > 0$  such that

$$\| (T - \lambda)^* x \| \leq M \| (T - \lambda)x \| \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and for all } x \in H.$$

The following facts follow from the above definition and some well known facts about M-hyponormal operators. The following results are proved by the definition of M-hyponormality or similar to hyponormal operators.

PROPOSITION 2.2.  $T$  is an M-hyponormal operator iff

$$M^2(T - \lambda)^*(T - \lambda) - (T - \lambda)(T - \lambda)^* \geq 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. If  $T$  is an M-hyponormal operator, then

- (i)  $Tx = \lambda x$  implies that  $T^*x = \bar{\lambda}x$  ;
- (ii)  $\| (T^* - \bar{\lambda})^{-1}x \| \leq M \| (T - \lambda)^{-1}x \|$  for all  $\lambda$  in resolvent set of  $T$  ;
- (iii)  $\| (T - \lambda)x \|^{n+1} \leq M^{n(n+1)/2} \| (T - \lambda)^{n+1}x \|$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let  $T$  be an M-hyponormal operator.

- (i) If  $(T - \lambda)^n x = 0$ , then  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $Tx = \lambda_1 x$  and  $Ty = \lambda_2 y$ ,  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then  $(x, y) = 0$ .
- (iii) If there exists a polynomial  $p(\lambda)$  such that  $p(T) = 0$ , then  $T$  is normal.
- (iv) If  $H$  is finite dimensional, then  $T$  is normal.

The following result simplifies the construction of a dominant operator that is not M-hyponormal for any  $M > 0$ (see[6]).

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Every M-hyponormal quasinilpotent operator is zero. Therefore if  $\{e_n\}_{-\infty < n < \infty}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H$  and if  $T$  is the bilateral weighted shift defined by  $Te_n = 2^{-|n|}e_{n+1}$ , then both  $T$  and  $T^*$  are compact, quasinilpotent and dominant, but are not M-hyponormal for any  $M > 0$ .*

With the following result, we can see which weighted shift becomes the M-hyponormal(see[4]).

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  be a weighted shift with weight sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . If  $\alpha$  is eventually increasing then  $T$  is M-hyponormal.*

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  be a weighted shift with weight sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . If  $\alpha$  has exactly two subsequential limits such that the larger one is different from the spectral radius  $r(T)$  of  $T$ , then  $T$  is not M-hyponormal.*

### 3. Every M-hyponormal quasinilpotent operator is zero

In this section, we are trying to prove the fact that "if  $W_\alpha$  is a weighted shift with weight sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  converging to 0 then  $W_\alpha$  is not M-hyponormal" directly by using the definition of M-hyponormality. We try to solve the problem with an example and see if we can generalize it.

**EXAMPLE 3.1.** *Let  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  be a weighted shift with weight sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Let  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$ . Then  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  is not M-hyponormal.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  is M-hyponormal. Then there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $M \| (T - \lambda)x \| \geq \| (T - \lambda)^*x \|$  for all  $x \in H$  and for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  and choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  defined by

$$x_0 = 1 \text{ and } x_n = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

By ratio test, if  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty x_n^2$  will converge. Thus  $x = \sum_{n=0}^\infty x_n e_n \in$

$l^2$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then for all  $x \in l^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^2 \| (T - \lambda)x \|^2 - \| (T^* - \bar{\lambda})x \|^2 \\
&= (M^2 - 1) \| (T - \lambda)x \|^2 + \| (T - \lambda)x \|^2 - \| (T^* - \bar{\lambda})x \|^2 \\
&= (M^2 - 1) \{ |\lambda x_0|^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_i x_i - \lambda x_{i+1}|^2 \} + |\alpha_0 x_0|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_{i-1}^2) |x_i|^2 \\
&= (M^2 - 1) |\lambda|^2 + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{i+1} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{i} \right)^2 \right\} \frac{1}{(i!)^2 |\lambda|^{2i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $0 < |\lambda|^2 < \frac{\sqrt{3M^2-2}-1}{2(M^2-1)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^2 \| (T - \lambda)x \|^2 - \| (T^* - \bar{\lambda})x \|^2 \\
&= (M^2 - 1) |\lambda|^2 + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{i+1} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{i} \right)^2 \right\} \frac{1}{(i!)^2 |\lambda|^{2i}} \\
&< (M^2 - 1) |\lambda|^2 + 1 - \frac{3}{4 |\lambda|^2} \\
&< 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T$  is not  $M$ -hyponormal.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 3.2.** Let  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  be a weighted shift with weight sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be decreasing sequence and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ . Then  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  is not  $M$ -hyponormal.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  is  $M$ -hyponormal. Then there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $M \| (T - \lambda)x \| \geq \| (T - \lambda)^*x \|$  for all  $x \in H$  and for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  and choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  defined by

$$x_0 = 1 \text{ and } x_n = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

By ratio test, if  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty x_n^2$  will converge. Thus  $x = \sum_{n=0}^\infty x_n e_n \in$

$l^2$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then for all  $x \in l^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^2 \| (T - \lambda)x \|^2 - \| (T^* - \bar{\lambda})x \|^2 \\
&= (M^2 - 1) \| (T - \lambda)x \|^2 + \| (T - \lambda)x \|^2 - \| (T^* - \bar{\lambda})x \|^2 \\
&= (M^2 - 1) \{ |\lambda x_0|^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_i x_i - \lambda x_{i+1}|^2 \} + |\alpha_0 x_0|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_{i-1}^2) |x_i|^2 \\
&= (M^2 - 1) |\lambda|^2 + \alpha_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_{i-1}^2) |x_i|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $0 < |\lambda|^2 < \frac{\sqrt{4(M^2-1)(\alpha_0^2-\alpha_1^2)\alpha_0^2+\alpha_0^4-\alpha_0^2}}{2(M^2-1)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^2 \| (T - \lambda)x \|^2 - \| (T^* - \bar{\lambda})x \|^2 \\
&= (M^2 - 1) |\lambda|^2 + \alpha_0^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{i-1}^2 - \alpha_i^2) |x_i|^2 \\
&< (M^2 - 1) |\lambda|^2 + \alpha_0^2 - (\alpha_0^2 - \alpha_1^2) |x_1|^2 \\
&< (M^2 - 1) |\lambda|^2 + \alpha_0^2 - (\alpha_0^2 - \alpha_1^2) \frac{\alpha_0^2}{|\lambda|^2} \\
&< 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore T is not M-hyponormal.  $\square$

#### 4. Which weighted shifts are M-hyponormal?

In paper [4], it was shown that if  $\alpha$  is eventually increasing then  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  is M-hyponormal. Here, we define sequences of bounded variation, essentially increasing, or, essentially decreasing sequences and try to use them to examine which weighted shift is M-hyponormal.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** The sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  of real or complex numbers is said to be of 2-bounded variation iff it satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^2 - a_{n+1}^2| < \infty.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^2 - a_{n+1}^2| < \infty$ , then  $(a_n)$  is convergent.

*Proof.* Let a sequence  $(a_n)$  be of 2-bounded variation. Then for  $m < n$ ,

$$a_m^2 - a_n^2 = \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} (a_i^2 - a_{i+1}^2).$$

Then

$$|a_m^2 - a_n^2| \leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} |a_i^2 - a_{i+1}^2|.$$

By the Cauchy Criterion for convergence of series, the sequence  $(a_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence and thus converges.  $\square$

DEFINITION 4.3. A sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is called an essentially increasing sequence if

(i)  $\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$

(ii) there exists  $N$  such that  $a_n \leq a$  for all  $n \geq N$

and  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is called an essentially decreasing sequence if

(i)  $\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$

(ii) there exists  $N$  such that  $a_n \geq a$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

EXAMPLE 4.4. Let  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  be a weighted shift with weight sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Let

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{k}} & \text{if } n = 2k - 5, k = 3, 4, 5, \dots \\ \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{k}} & \text{if } n = 2k - 6, k = 3, 4, 5, \dots \end{cases}$$

Then  $\alpha$  is an essentially increasing sequence,

but  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n^2 - a_{n+1}^2| = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} = \infty$ .

By the above facts,  $\alpha$  is an essentially increasing sequence but is not to be of 2-bounded variation. So the sequence of 2-bounded variation condition is required.

The conjecture using the two definition is as follows:

CONJECTURE 4.5. Let  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  be a weighted shift with weight sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . If  $\alpha$  is an essentially increasing sequence and  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_{i-1}^2| < \infty$ , then  $T \equiv W_\alpha$  is  $M$ -hyponormal.

We have tried hard to prove it, but we haven't been able to complete the proof yet. If this is proven, the converse of Theorem 1 in paper[4] does not hold.

### References

- [1] S. C. Arora, R. Kumar, *M-hyponormal operators*, Yokohama Math. J. **28** (1980), 41–44.
- [2] S. C. Arora, J. K. Thukral, *Conditions implying normality*, Tamkang J. Math. **17** (1986), no. 4, 89–92.
- [3] C. K. Fong, *On M-hyponormal operators*, Studia Math. **65** (1979), 1–5.
- [4] J. S. Ham, S. H. Lee, W. Y. Lee, *On M-hyponormal weighted shifts*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **286** (2003), 116–124.
- [5] S. V. Phadke, N. K. Thakare, *M-hyponormal operators: invariant subspaces and spectral localization*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (1977), no. 4, 487–496, 47B20.
- [6] M. Radjabalipour, *On majorization and normality of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1977), no. 1, 105–110, 47B20.
- [7] J. G. Stampfli, *Analytic extensions and spectral localization*, J. Math. Mech. **16** (1966), 287–296.
- [8] J. G. Stampfli, B. L. Wadhwa, *An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for dominant operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **25** (1976), 359–365.
- [9] B. L. Wadhwa, *M-hyponormal operators*, Duke Math. J. **41** (1974), 655–660.

Yun Hee Jee  
Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: 1108jyh@naver.com