## **b**-GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS

HUSAIN ALHAZMI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show, among others, that if A is a Banach algebra satisfying a functional identity involving a b-generalized derivation F on A, under some mild conditions, is of the form F(x) = ax for all  $x \in R$ , where  $a \in Q_r$ , a right Martindale quotient ring of A.

## 1. Introduction and results

Throughout this paper, we let A denote a prime Banach algebra over a real or complex field with identity e, Z(A) denote center of A, M be a closed linear subspace of A and  $Q_r$  right Martindale quotient ring of A. "A linear mapping  $d: A \longrightarrow A$  is said to be a derivation on A if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) holds for all  $x, y \in A$ ". In [9, Theorem 2], Posner proved that "if a prime ring R admits a nonzero derivation d such that  $[d(x), x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then R is commutative". Further, generalizations of Posner's result can be found in [4,14–16]. "An additive mapping  $F: R \longrightarrow R$  is called a generalized derivation of R if there exists a derivation d of R such that F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) for all  $x, y \in R$ ".

In [5, 6], Herstein established that "a ring R is commutative if it has no nonzero nilpotent ideal and there is a fixed integer n > 1 such that  $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ " (see also [3]). In the case of Banach algebra, Yood [17] sharpened these results. More precisely, he proved the following result: "Suppose that there are non-empty open subsets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of A such that for each  $x \in G_1$  and  $y \in G_2$  there is an integer n = n(x, y) > 1 such that either  $(xy)^n - x^n y^n$  or  $(xy)^n - y^n x^n$  lies in M. Then  $[x, y] \in M$  for all  $x, y \in A$ ".

Motivated by above results, very recently Ali and Khan[1] proved the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let A be a unital prime Banach algebra and  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be open subsets of A such that for each  $x \in G_1$ , and  $y \in G_2$  there is an integer m = m(x,y) > 1. If A admits a nonzero continuous linear derivation  $d : A \to A$ 

©2022 Korean Mathematical Society

57

Received December 28, 2020; Accepted May 6, 2021.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W25, 46J10.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Banach algebra, b-generalized derivation, right Martindale quotient ring.

such that either  $d((xy)^m) - x^m y^m \in Z(A)$  or  $d((xy)^m) - y^m x^m \in Z(A)$ , then A is commutative.

Many authors have extended above result for generalized derivations, generalized skew derivations (see [2, 7, 10-13] and references therein).

We shall study the analogue problem on Banach algebras involving some special class of derivations namely *b*-generalized derivations. We will now recall the definition of a *b*-generalized derivation of A. In a recent paper [8], Koşan and Lee proposed that "an additive map  $F: R \to Q_r$  is called a left *b*-generalized derivation, with an associated additive mapping  $\delta$  from R to  $Q_r$ , if F(xy) = $F(x)y + bx\delta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $b \in Q_r$ , where R is a prime ring and  $Q_r$ is the right Martindale quotient ring of R". Also, they proved that, "if R is a prime ring, then  $\delta$  is a derivation of R". Particularly, we say F is a b-generalized derivation with an associated pair  $(b, \delta)$ . Clearly, "any generalized derivation with an associated derivation  $\delta$  is a *b*-generalized derivation with an associated pair  $(1, \delta)$ ". Similarly, "the mapping  $x \to ax + b[x, c]$ , for  $a, b, c \in Q_r$ , is a bgeneralized derivation with an associated pair (b, ad(c)), where ad(c)(x) = [x, c]denotes the inner derivation of R induced by the element  $c^{"}$ . More generally, "the mapping  $x \to ax + qxc$ , for  $a, c, q \in Q_r$ , is a b-generalized derivation with an associated pair (q, ad(c))". This mapping is called an inner b-generalized derivation.

We deal with the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let A be a noncommutative unital prime Banach algebra and  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be open subsets of A such that for each  $x \in G_1$ , and  $y \in G_2$  there is an integer m = m(x, y) > 1. If A admits a continuous linear b-generalized derivation  $F : A \to A$  such that either  $F((xy)^m) - x^m y^m \in Z(A)$  or  $F((xy)^m) - y^m x^m \in Z(A)$ , then F(x) = ax for all  $x \in A$ , where  $a \in Q_r$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Let A be a noncommutative unital prime Banach algebra and  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be open subsets of A such that for each  $x \in G_1$ , and  $y \in G_2$  there is an integer m = m(x, y) > 1. If A admits a continuous linear b-generalized derivation  $F : A \to A$  such that either  $F((xy)^m) + x^m y^m \in Z(A)$  or  $F((xy)^m) - y^m x^m \in Z(A)$ , then F(x) = ax for all  $x \in A$ , where  $a \in Q_r$ .

The following are immediate consequences of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let A be a noncommutative unital prime Banach algebra and  $G_1, G_2$  be open subsets of A such that for each  $x \in G_1$ , and  $y \in G_2$  there is an integer m = m(x, y) > 1. If A admits a continuous linear generalized derivation  $F : A \to A$  such that either  $F((xy)^m) - x^m y^m \in Z(A)$  or  $F((xy)^m) - y^m x^m \in Z(A)$ , then F(x) = ax for all  $x \in A$ , where  $a \in Q_r$ .

**Corollary 1.5.** Let A be a unital noncommutative prime Banach algebra and  $G_1, G_2$  be open subsets of A such that for each  $x \in G_1$ , and  $y \in G_2$  there is an integer m = m(x, y) > 1. If A admits a nonzero continuous linear derivation  $d : A \to A$  such that either  $d((xy)^m) - x^m y^m \in Z(A)$  or  $d((xy)^m) - y^m x^m \in Z(A)$ , then d = 0.

**Corollary 1.6.** Let A be a noncommutative unital prime Banach algebra and  $G_1, G_2$  be open subsets of A such that for each  $x \in G_1$ , and  $y \in G_2$  there is an integer m = m(x, y) > 1. If A admits a continuous linear generalized derivation  $F : A \to A$  such that either  $F((xy)^m) + x^m y^m \in Z(A)$  or  $F((xy)^m) - y^m x^m \in Z(A)$ , then F(x) = ax for all  $x \in A$ , where  $a \in Q_r$ .

**Corollary 1.7.** Let A be a unital noncommutative prime Banach algebra and  $G_1, G_2$  be open subsets of A such that for each  $x \in G_1$ , and  $y \in G_2$  there is an integer m = m(x, y) > 1. If A admits a nonzero continuous linear derivation  $d : A \to A$  such that either  $d((xy)^m) + x^m y^m \in Z(A)$  or  $d((xy)^m) - y^m x^m \in Z(A)$ , then d = 0.

Recall some prominent facts which we use to prove our results:

**Fact 1.** Let  $p(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} b_r t^r$  be a polynomial in real variable t for infinite values of t and each  $b_r \in A$ . If  $p(t) \in M$ , then each  $b_r$  lies in M.

**Fact 2.** If F is a b-generalized derivation on A, then  $G = F \pm nI_{id}$ , where n is a positive integer and  $I_{id}$  is an identity map on A, is also a b-generalized derivation on A.

Now we are ready to prove our theorems:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix  $x \in G_1$ , for each n we define the set  $U_n = \{y \in A \mid F((xy)^n) - x^n y^n \notin Z(A) \text{ and } F((xy)^n) - y^n x^n \notin Z(A)\}$ . It is easy to show that  $U_n$  is open. Applications of Baire category theorem yield there exists a positive integer r such that  $U_r$  is not dense. Thus, for a non empty open set  $G_3$  in  $U_r^c$  such that either  $F((xy)^r) - x^r y^r \in Z(A)$  or  $F((xy)^r) - y^r x^r \in Z(A)$  for all  $y \in G_3$ . Then  $v_0 + tw \in G_3$ , where  $v_0 \in G_3$ ,  $w \in A$  and for adequately least real t. Thus, we have

(1.1) 
$$F((x(v_0 + tw))^r) - x^r(v_0 + tw)^r \in Z(A)$$

or

(1.2) 
$$F((x(v_0 + tw))^r) - (v_0 + tw)^r x^r \in Z(A).$$

Thus at least one of (1.1) and (1.2) is valid for infinitely many t. Suppose (1.1) holds for these t. Then the expression  $F((x(v_0 + tw))^r) - x^r(v_0 + tw)^r$  can be written as

$$F(A_{r,0}(x, v_0, w)) - x^r B_{r,0}(v_0, w) + F(A_{r-1,1}(x, v_0, w)) - x^r B_{r-1,1}(v_0, w)t + \cdots + F(A_{1,r-1}(x, v_0, w)) - x^r B_{1,r-1}(v_0, w)t^{r-1} + F(A_{0,r}(x, v_0, w)) - x^r B_{0,r}(v_0, w)t^r,$$

where  $A_{i,j}(x, v_0, w)$  denotes the sum of all terms in which  $xv_0$  appears exactly *i* times and xw appears exactly *j* times in the expansion of  $F(x(v_0+tw)^r)$ , where *i* and *j* are non-negative integers such that i + j = r. Similarly,  $B_{i,j}(v_0, w)$  is sum of all terms in which  $v_0$  appears exactly *i* times and *w* appears exactly *j* 

H. ALHAZMI

times in the expansion of  $(v_0 + tw)^r$ , where *i* and *j* are non-negative integers such that i+j = r. The above expression is a polynomial in *t* and the coefficient of  $t^r$  in this polynomial is  $F((xw)^r) - x^rw^r$ . Therefore in view of Fact 1, we have  $F((xw)^r) - x^rw^r \in Z(A)$ . If (1.2) is holds for these *t*, then we are forced to conclude that  $F((xw)^r) - w^rx^r \in Z(A)$ . Thus, given  $x \in G_1$  there is a positive integer *r* depending on *w* such that for each  $w \in A$  either  $F((xw)^r) - x^rw^r \in$ Z(A) or  $F((xw)^r) - w^rx^r \in Z(A)$ . Next, fix  $y \in A$  and for each positive integer *k*, set  $V_k = \{v \in A \mid F((vy)^k) - v^ky^k \notin Z(A) \text{ and } F((vy)^k) - y^kv^k \notin Z(A)\}$ . Each  $V_k$  is open (as we shown above). If each  $V_k$  is dense then by the Baire category theorem so is the intersection also but this contrary to what was shown earlier concerning the open set  $G_1$ . Hence there is an integer m > 1 and a non empty open subset  $G_4$  in the complement of  $V_m$ . If  $x_0 \in G_4$  and  $y \in A$ , then  $x_0 + tu \in G_4$  for all sufficiently small real *t*. Hence for positive integer m > 1either

$$F(((x_0 + tu)y)^m) - (x_0 + tu)^m y^m \in Z(A)$$

or

$$F(((x_0 + tu)y)^m) - y^m(x_0 + tu)^m \in Z(A)$$

for each  $u \in A$  and  $x_0 \in G_4$ . Arguing as above we see that either  $F((uy)^m) - u^m y^m \in Z(A)$  or  $F((uy)^m) - y^m u^m \in Z(A)$  for each  $u \in A$ .

Now let  $S_k$ , k > 1, be the set of  $y \in A$  such that for each  $w \in A$  either  $F((wy)^k) - w^k y^k \in Z(A)$  or  $F((wy)^k) - y^k w^k \in Z(A)$ , then the union of  $S_k$  will be A. It can be easily prove that each  $S_k$  is closed. Hence again by Baire category theorem some  $S_l$ , l > 1, must have a non empty open subset  $G_5$ . Let  $y_0 \in G_5$ , for all sufficiently small real t and each  $z \in A$  either

$$F((w(y_0 + tz))^l) - w^l(y_0 + tz)^l \in Z(A)$$

or

$$F((w(y_0 + tz))^l) - (y_0 + tz)^l w^l \in Z(A).$$

By earlier arguments, we have for each  $w, z \in A$  either  $F((wz)^l) - w^l z^l \in Z(A)$ or  $F((wz)^l) - z^l w^l \in Z(A)$ . Next, since A is unital then, for all real t either

$$F(((e+tx)y)^n) - (e+tx)^n y^n \in Z(A)$$

or

$$F(((e+tx)y)^n) - y^n(e+tx)^n \in Z(A)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence taking coefficient of t in the expansion of above equations and using Fact 1, we get either

(1.3) 
$$F(xy^{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y^{k} xy^{n-k}) - nxy^{n} \in Z(A)$$

or

(1.4) 
$$F(xy^{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y^{k} xy^{n-k}) - ny^{n} x \in Z(A)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Now, taking  $F[(y(e+tx))^n]$  in instead of  $F[((e+tx)y)^n]$ , we see that either

(1.5) 
$$F(y^{n}x + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y^{k}xy^{n-k}) - nxy^{n} \in Z(A)$$

or

(1.6) 
$$F(y^{n}x + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y^{k}xy^{n-k}) - ny^{n}x \in Z(A)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then at least one of pair of equations  $\{(1.3), (1.5)\}$ ,  $\{(1.3), (1.6)\}$ ,  $\{(1.4), (1.5)\}$  and  $\{(1.4), (1.6)\}$  must hold. On combining the equations in these pairs, we get either

$$F([x, y^n]) \in Z(A)$$
 for all  $x, y \in A$ .

Or

$$F([x, y^n]) \pm n[x, y^n] \in Z(A)$$
 for all  $x, y \in A$ .

Replacing y by e + ty in above equation and using same arguments as we have used above, we obtain either

(1.7) 
$$F([x,y]) \in Z(A) \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

Or

(1.8) 
$$F([x,y]) \pm n[x,y] \in Z(A) \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

First we consider the case

$$F([x, y]) \in Z(A)$$
 for all  $x, y \in A$ .

This can be written as

$$[F([x,y]),w] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, w \in A.$$

Replacing y by yx in above relation, we obtain

$$[F([x,y])x + b[x,y]d(x), w] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, w \in A.$$

This implies that

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1.9) \quad F([x,y])[x,w]+b[x,y][d(x),w]+b[[x,y],w]d(x)+[b,w][x,y]d(x)=0\\ \text{for all }x,y,w\in A. \text{ Replacing }x \text{ by }x+z, \text{ where }z\in Z(A), \text{ we get}\\ (1.10) \quad F([x,y])[x,w]+b[x,y][d(x),w]+b[[x,y],w]d(z)+[b,w][x,y]d(z)=0\\ \text{for all }x,y,w\in A \text{ and for all }z\in Z(A). \text{ In view of last two relations, we get}\\ (b[[x,y],w]+[b,w][x,y])d(z)=0 \text{ for all }x,y,w\in A \text{ and }z\in Z(A). \end{array}$ 

If  $d(z) \neq 0$ , then

(1.11)  $b[[x, y], w] + [b, w][x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, w \in A.$ 

For w = b, above relations reduce into

$$b[[x, y], b] = 0$$
 for all  $x, y \in A$ .

H. ALHAZMI

Since  $b \neq 0$ , so we have [[x, y], b] = 0 for all  $x, y \in A$ , and hence  $b \in Z(A)$ . Using this in (1.11), we get b[[x, y], w] = 0 for all  $x, y, w \in A$ . This implies A is commutative. If d(z) = 0, then in view of (1.10), we have

$$F([x, y])[x, w] + b[x, y][d(x), w] = 0$$

for all  $x, y, w \in A$ . Taking x = w in above expression gives

$$b[w, y][d(w), w] = 0$$

for all  $x, w \in A$ . This further implies that either A is commutative or [d(w), w] = 0 for all  $w \in A$ . In view of [9, Theorem 2], either A is commutative or d = 0. Since A is noncommutative, so we have d = 0, i.e., F(x) = ax for all  $x \in A$ .

Now we consider the case

$$F([x,y]) \pm n[x,y] \in Z(A)$$
 for all  $x, y \in A$ .

In view of Fact 2, it follows that  $G([x, y]) \in Z(A)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Proceeding as above we get the required result. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Proceeding same as above, we arrive at

(1.12) 
$$F(xy^{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y^{k} xy^{n-k}) + nxy^{n} \in Z(A)$$

or

(1.13) 
$$F(xy^{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y^{k} xy^{n-k}) - ny^{n} x \in Z(A)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Now, taking  $F[(y(e+tx))^n]$  in instead of  $F[((e+tx)y)^n]$ , we see that either

(1.14) 
$$F(y^{n}x + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y^{k}xy^{n-k}) + nxy^{n} \in Z(A)$$

or

(1.15) 
$$F(y^{n}x + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y^{k}xy^{n-k}) - ny^{n}x \in Z(A)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then at least one of pair of equations  $\{(1.12), (1.14)\}, \{(1.12), (1.15)\}, \{(1.13), (1.14)\}$  and  $\{(1.13), (1.6)\}$  must hold. On combining the equations in these pairs, we get either

$$F([x, y^n]) \in Z(A) \text{ for all } x, y \in A, \text{ or}$$
$$F([x, y^n]) \pm n(x \circ y^n) \in Z(A) \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

Replacing y by e + ty in above equation and using same arguments as we have used above, we obtain either

(1.16) 
$$F([x,y]) \in Z(A) \text{ for all } x, y \in A, \text{ or }$$

(1.17) 
$$F([x,y]) \pm n(x \circ y) \in Z(A) \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

62

Equation (1.16) is the same as (1.7). So we have the required conclusion from above. We deal only with (1.17). Taking x = y in (1.17), we obtain  $2nx \in Z(A)$  for all  $x \in R$ . This implies A is commutative. Hence the result.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the learned referee for his/her valuable comments to improve the paper.

## References

- S. Ali and A. N. Khan, On commutativity of Banach algebras with derivations, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 91 (2015), no. 3, 419-425. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0004972715000118
- [2] M. Ashraf and B. A. Wani, On commutativity of rings and Banach algebras with generalized derivations, Adv. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (2019), no. 2, 155–163. https: //doi.org/10.1515/apam-2017-0024
- [3] H. E. Bell, On a commutativity theorem of Herstein, Arch. Math. (Basel) 21 (1970), 265-267. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01220913
- [4] H. E. Bell, On the commutativity of prime rings with derivation, Quaest. Math. 22 (1999), no. 3, 329–335. https://doi.org/10.1080/16073606.1999.9632085
- [5] I. N. Herstein, Power maps in rings, Michigan Math. J. 8 (1961), 29-32. http: //projecteuclid.org/euclid.mmj/1028998511
- [6] I. N. Herstein, A remark on rings and algebras, Michigan Math. J. 10 (1963), 269-272. http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.mmj/1028998910
- [7] A. N. Khan, S. Ali, H. Alhazmi, and V. De Filippis, On skew derivations and generalized skew derivations in Banach algebras, Quaest. Math. 43 (2020), no. 9, 1259–1272. https: //doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2019.1607604
- M. T. Koşan and T.-K. Lee, b-generalized derivations of semiprime rings having nilpotent values, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 96 (2014), no. 3, 326–337. https://doi.org/10.1017/ \$1446788713000670
- [9] E. C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100. https://doi.org/10.2307/2032686
- [10] B. Prajapati and S. K. Tiwari, Some commutativity theorems on Banach algebras, Rend. del Circ. Mate. di Palermo Series 2 (2020) (preprint). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12215-020-00543-0
- [11] N. Rehman, On continuous linear generalized derivation in rings and Banach algebras, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 14 (2021), no. 2, 2150020, 8 pp. https://doi.org/10.1142/ S1793557121500200
- [12] G. S. Sandhu, D. Kumar, D. K. Camci, and N. Aydin, On derivations satisfying certain identities on rings and algebras, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. 34 (2019), no. 1, 85–99.
- [13] R. K. Sharma and B. Prajapati, Generalized derivations and commutativity of prime Banach algebras, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 58 (2017), no. 1, 179–187. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s13366-016-0306-6
- [14] J. Vukman, Commuting and centralizing mappings in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1990), no. 1, 47–52. https://doi.org/10.2307/2048360
- [15] J. Vukman, A result concerning derivations in noncommutative Banach algebras, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 26(46) (1991), no. 1-2, 83–88.
- [16] J. Vukman, On derivations in prime rings and Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992), no. 4, 877–884. https://doi.org/10.2307/2159463
- [17] B. Yood, On commutativity of unital Banach algebras, Bull. London Math. Soc. 23 (1991), no. 3, 278-280. https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/23.3.278

HUSAIN ALHAZMI FACULTY OF SCIENCE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY JEDDAH, SAUDI ARABIA *Email address*: hsalhazmi@kau.edu.sa

64