

SPECTRAL EXPANSION FOR DISCONTINUOUS SINGULAR DIRAC SYSTEMS

BILENDER P. ALLAHVERDIEV AND HÜSEYİN TUNA*

Abstract. In this work, a discontinuous singular Dirac system is studied. For this system, a spectral function is constructed. Finally, by using the spectral function, a spectral expansion formula is given.

1. Introduction

In the last three decades, there has been an increasing interest in the discontinuous boundary value problems that appear in various physical problems (see [14]), geophysics (see [11]), and radio science (see [15]). The discontinuous boundary value problems were studied in [8, 17, 18, 7, 23].

On the other hand, eigenfunction expansions are important in the study of various problems in mathematics. When we solve a partial differential equation, we can use the separation variables method. Then we need an eigenfunction expansion. There exists a lot of literature devoted to this subject ([12, 21, 8, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]).

Consider the discontinuous Dirac system defined as

$$(1) \quad \tau(y) = \lambda y, \quad x \in J := J_1 \cup J_2,$$

where $J_1 := [a, c)$, $J_2 := (c, b]$; $-\infty < a < c < b < +\infty$;

$$\tau(y) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y'(x) + \begin{pmatrix} p(x) & 0 \\ 0 & r(x) \end{pmatrix} y(x),$$

$$y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C};$$

p and r are real-valued, Lebesgue measurable functions on J and $p, r \in L^1(J_k)$, $k = 1, 2$. If $p, r \in L^1[c - \epsilon, c + \epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then c is the regular point.

Received August 29, 2021. Accepted July 29, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34L40, 34A36, 34A37, 34B20, 47E05, 34L10.

Key words and phrases. Dirac system, impulsive condition, Green's matrix, spectral function, spectral expansion.

*Corresponding author

During the last century, Dirac operators play an important role in quantum mechanics since the existence of antimatter and a description of the electron spin are governed by these operators. The study of the fundamental theory of Dirac operators has a long history (see [20, 12], and references cited therein) and their spectral theory has also been investigated intensively. Regular impulsive Dirac operators were studied in [9, 16]. But there is a few study for the singular case. In [3], Allahverdiev and Tuna studied the resolvent operator of one-dimensional singular Dirac operator with transmission conditions.

In this paper, we shall construct a spectral function for singular discontinuous one-dimensional Dirac operators on semi-unbounded intervals. Later, we will give an eigenfunction expansion. A similar problem for the impulsive Dirac system on the whole line was recently investigated by the present authors in [1].

2. Main Results

Let us consider

$$(2) \quad \tau(y) = \lambda y, \quad x \in J,$$

with the boundary condition

$$(3) \quad y_2(a) \cos \beta + y_1(a) \sin \beta = 0,$$

and impulsive conditions

$$(4) \quad y(c+) = Cy(c-),$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R} := (-\infty, \infty)$, $\det C = \delta > 0$ and $C \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ i.e, C is the 2×2 matrix with entries from \mathbb{R} .

We adjoin to the problem (2)-(4) the boundary condition

$$(5) \quad y_2(b) \cos \alpha + y_1(b) \sin \alpha = 0,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now, we will denote by $H = L^2(J_1 : E) \dot{+} L^2(J_2 : E)$ the Hilbert space of vector-valued functions with values in E and with the inner product (scalar product)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, v \rangle_H &:= \int_a^c (u(x), v(x))_E dx \\ &+ \gamma \int_c^b (u(x), v(x))_E dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $E := \mathbb{R}^2$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{\delta}$, $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$ denotes the inner product on E and

$$u(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x) \\ u_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \in H,$$

$$u_1(x) = \begin{cases} u_{11}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ u_{12}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad u_2(x) = \begin{cases} u_{21}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ u_{22}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases},$$

$$v(x) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(x) \\ v_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \in H,$$

$$v_1(x) = \begin{cases} v_{11}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ v_{12}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad v_2(x) = \begin{cases} v_{21}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ v_{22}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}.$$

Denote by

$$\phi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \phi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\phi_1(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \phi_{11}(x, \lambda), & x \in J_1 \\ \phi_{12}(x, \lambda), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad \phi_2(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \phi_{21}(x, \lambda), & x \in J_1 \\ \phi_{22}(x, \lambda), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\chi(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \chi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\chi_1(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \chi_{11}(x, \lambda), & x \in J_1 \\ \chi_{12}(x, \lambda), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad \chi_2(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \chi_{21}(x, \lambda), & x \in J_1 \\ \chi_{22}(x, \lambda), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}.$$

the solutions of Eq. (2) which satisfy the following conditions

$$\phi_{11}(a, \lambda) = -\cos \beta, \quad \phi_{21}(a, \lambda) = \sin \beta,$$

$$(6) \quad \chi_{12}(b, \lambda) = \cos \alpha, \quad \chi_{22}(b, \lambda) = -\sin \alpha.$$

and

$$y(c+) = Cy(c-),$$

where $C \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\det C = \delta > 0$. It is clear that the problem (2)-(5) is a regular self-adjoint problem for the Dirac system.

Now we define the *Green matrix* of the boundary value problem (2)-(5)

$$G(x, t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{W(\phi, \chi)} \begin{cases} \phi(x, \lambda) \chi^T(t, \lambda), & x < t \leq b, \quad x \neq c, t \neq c \\ \chi(x, \lambda) \phi^T(t, \lambda), & a \leq t < x, \quad x \neq c, t \neq c \end{cases}$$

(see [12]).

Definition 2.1. Let $M(x, t)$ be a matrix-valued function in E of two variables with $a \leq x, t \leq b$. If

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b \|M(x, t)\|_E^2 dx dt < +\infty,$$

then $M(x, t)$ is called the Hilbert-Schmidt kernel.

Let us define the operator A by

$$A\{x_i\} = \{y_i\},$$

where

$$(7) \quad y_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik} x_k, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Theorem 2.2 ([19]). If

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i,k=1}^{\infty} |a_{ik}|^2 < +\infty,$$

then A is compact operator in l^2 .

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $\lambda = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the problem (2)-(5). Thus we get

$$(9) \quad G(x, t) = G(x, t, 0) = \frac{1}{W(\phi, \chi)} \begin{cases} \phi(x) \chi^T(t), & x < t \leq b, x \neq c, t \neq c \\ \chi(x) \phi^T(t), & a \leq t < x, x \neq c, t \neq c \end{cases}.$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. $G(x, t)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt kernel.

Proof. It follows from (9) that

$$\int_a^b dx \int_a^x \|G(x, t)\|_E^2 dt < +\infty,$$

and

$$\int_a^b dx \int_x^b \|G(x, t)\|_E^2 dt < +\infty,$$

due to the inner integral exists and is a linear combination of the products $\phi_i(x) \chi_j(t)$ ($i, j = 1, 2$), and these products belong to $H \times H$ because each of the factors belongs to H . Hence, we have

$$(10) \quad \int_a^b \int_a^b \|G(x, t)\|_E^2 dx dt < +\infty.$$

□

Let us define the operator K by the formula

$$g(x) := (Kf)(x) = \int_a^b G(x, t) f(t) dt.$$

Theorem 2.4. K is self-adjoint and compact operator in H .

Proof. Let $f, g \in H$. Since $G(x, t) = G^T(t, x)$ and $G(x, t)$ is a matrix-valued function in E defined on $J \times J$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Kf, g \rangle_H \\ &= \int_a^c ((Kf)(x), g(x))_E dx + \gamma \int_c^b ((Kf)(x), g(x))_E dx \\ &= \int_a^c \int_a^c (G(x, t) f(t), g(x))_E dt dx \\ &+ \gamma \int_c^b \int_c^b (G(x, t) f(t), g(x))_E dt dx \\ &= \int_a^c (f(t), \int_a^c G(t, x) g(x))_E dx dt \\ &+ \gamma \int_c^b (f(t), \int_c^b G(t, x) g(x))_E dx dt \\ &= \langle f, Kg \rangle_H. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ a complete, orthonormal basis of the space H . From Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \langle f, \phi_i \rangle_H \\ &= \int_a^c (f(t), \phi_i(t))_E dt + \gamma \int_c^b (f(t), \phi_i(t))_E dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= \langle g, \phi_i \rangle_H \\ &= \int_a^c (g(t), \phi_i(t))_E dt + \gamma \int_c^b (g(t), \phi_i(t))_E dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$a_{ik} = \int_a^b \int_a^b (G(x, t) \phi_i(x), \phi_k(t))_E dx dt \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then, H is mapped isometrically l^2 . Consequently, our integral operator transforms into the operator defined by the formula (7) in the space l^2 by this mapping, and the condition (10) is translated into the condition (8). By Theorem 2.2, this operator is compact. Therefore, the original operator is compact. \square

It follows from Theorem 2.4 and the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem ([10]) that there exists an orthonormal system $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$ of eigenvectors of the problem (2)-(5) with corresponding nonzero eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$, such that

$$(11) \quad \int_a^c \|f(x)\|_E^2 dx + \gamma \int_c^b \|f(x)\|_E^2 dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2$$

where $a_n = \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle_H$.

Let $\lambda_{m,b}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) denote the (real) eigenvalues of this problem and by

$$\phi^{m,b}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^{m,b}(x) \\ \phi_2^{m,b}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\phi^{m,b}(x) := \phi(x, \lambda_m),$$

$$\phi_i^{m,b}(x) := \phi_i(x, \lambda_m) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$\phi_1^{m,b}(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_{11}^{m,b}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ \phi_{12}^{m,b}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases},$$

$$\phi_2^{m,b}(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_{21}^{m,b}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ \phi_{22}^{m,b}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}$$

the corresponding real-valued eigenfunction which satisfies the conditions (3)-(5). If

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} f_{11}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ f_{12}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad f_2(x) = \begin{cases} f_{21}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ f_{22}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases},$$

$f(\cdot) \in H$ and

$$\alpha_{m,b}^2 = \int_a^c \left(\left(\phi_{11}^{m,b}(x) \right)^2 + \left(\phi_{21}^{m,b}(x) \right)^2 \right) dx \\ + \gamma \int_c^b \left(\left(\phi_{12}^{m,b}(x) \right)^2 + \left(\phi_{22}^{m,b}(x) \right)^2 \right) dx,$$

then we have

$$\|f\|_H^2 \\ = \int_a^c (f_{11}^2(x) + f_{21}^2(x)) dx + \gamma \int_c^b (f_{12}^2(x) + f_{22}^2(x)) dx \\ (12) \quad = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m,b}^2} \left\{ \int_a^c (f_{11}(x) \phi_{11}^{m,b}(x) + f_{21}(x) \phi_{21}^{m,b}(x)) dx \right. \\ \left. + \gamma \int_c^b (f_{12}(x) \phi_{12}^{m,b}(x) + f_{22}(x) \phi_{22}^{m,b}(x)) dx \right\}^2.$$

which is called the *Parseval equality*.

Define the function ϱ_b on $[a, \infty)$ by the formula

$$\varrho_b(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{\lambda < \lambda_{m,b} < 0} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m,b}^2}, & \text{for } \lambda \leq 0 \\ \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{m,b} < \lambda} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m,b}^2} & \text{for } \lambda \geq 0 \end{cases}.$$

From (12), we have

$$(13) \quad \|f\|_H^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^2(\lambda) d\varrho_b(\lambda),$$

where

$$F(\lambda) = \int_a^c (f_{11}(x) \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) + f_{21}(x) \phi_{21}(x, \lambda)) dx \\ + \gamma \int_c^b (f_{12}(x) \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) + f_{22}(x) \phi_{22}(x, \lambda)) dx.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $h > 0$. Then the following relation holds*

$$(14) \quad \bigvee_{-h}^h \{\varrho_b(\lambda)\} = \sum_{-h \leq \lambda_{m,b} < h} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m,b}^2} = \varrho_b(h) - \varrho_b(-h) < C,$$

where $C = C(h)$ is a positive constant $C = C(h)$ not depending on b .

Proof. Let $\sin \beta \neq 0$. It follows from the condition $\phi_{21}(a, \lambda) = \sin \beta$ that there exists a positive number k and nearby a such that

$$(15) \quad \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\int_a^k \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) dx \right)^2 > \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \beta.$$

due to $\phi_{21}(x, \lambda)$ is continuous on the region

$$\{(t, \lambda) : -h \leq \lambda \leq h, a \leq x \leq c\}.$$

Let us define $f_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{k_1}(x) \\ f_{k_2}(x) \end{pmatrix}$ by

$$f_{k_1}(x) = 0, \quad f_{k_2}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & a \leq x < k \\ 0, & x \geq k. \end{cases}.$$

From (13) and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^k (f_{k_1}^2(x) + f_{k_2}^2(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{k-a}{k^2} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_a^k \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) dx \right) d\varrho_b(\lambda) \\ &\geq \int_{-h}^h \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_a^k \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) dx \right)^2 d\varrho_b(\lambda) \\ &> \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \beta \{ \varrho_b(h) - \varrho_b(-h) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\sin \beta = 0$. Then we will define

$$f_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{k_1}(x) \\ f_{k_2}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

by

$$f_{k,1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k^2}, & a \leq x < k \\ 0, & x \geq k. \end{cases}, \quad f_{k,2}(x) = 0.$$

Applying the Parseval equality, we deduce that (14). \square

We present below for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 2.6 ([10]). Let $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ($\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$) be a uniformly bounded sequence of real nondecreasing function on a finite interval $a \leq \lambda \leq b$. Then there exists a subsequence $(w_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a nondecreasing function w such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w_{n_k}(\lambda) = w(\lambda), \quad a \leq \lambda \leq b.$$

Theorem 2.7 ([10]). Assume $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a real, uniformly bounded, sequence of non-decreasing function on a finite interval $a \leq \lambda \leq b$, and suppose

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(\lambda) = w(\lambda), \quad a \leq \lambda \leq b.$$

If f is any continuous function on $a \leq \lambda \leq b$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f(\lambda) dw_n(\lambda) = \int_a^b f(\lambda) dw(\lambda).$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(J_1; E) \dot{+} L^2(J_3; E)$ be the Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \int_a^c (u(x), v(x))_E dx + \gamma \int_c^\infty (u(x), v(x))_E dx,$$

where $J_3 := (c, \infty)$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{\delta}$ and

$$u(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x) \\ u_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$u_1(x) = \begin{cases} u_{11}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ u_{12}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad u_2(x) = \begin{cases} u_{21}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ u_{22}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases},$$

$$v(x) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(x) \\ v_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$v_1(x) = \begin{cases} v_{11}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ v_{12}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad v_2(x) = \begin{cases} v_{21}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ v_{22}(x), & x \in J_2. \end{cases}$$

Let ϱ be any non-decreasing function on \mathbb{R} . Denote by $L^2_\varrho(\mathbb{R})$ the Hilbert space of all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are measurable with respect to the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure defined by ϱ and such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty f^2(\lambda) d\varrho(\lambda) < \infty,$$

with the inner product

$$(f, g)_\varrho := \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\lambda) g(\lambda) d\varrho(\lambda).$$

Theorem 2.8. For the Dirac system (2)-(4), there exists a non-decreasing function $\varrho(\lambda)$ on \mathbb{R} with the following properties.

(i) If

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} f_{11}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ f_{12}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad f_2(x) = \begin{cases} f_{21}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ f_{22}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases},$$

and $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists a function $F \in L^2_\varrho(\mathbb{R})$ such that

(16)

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} F(\lambda) - \int_a^c (f_{11}(x) \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) + f_{21}(x) \phi_{21}(x, \lambda)) dx \\ -\gamma \int_c^b (f_{12}(x) \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) + f_{22}(x) \phi_{22}(x, \lambda)) dx \end{array} \right\}^2 d\varrho(\lambda) = 0,$$

and the Parseval equality

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_a^c (f_{11}^2(x) + f_{21}^2(x)) dx$$

(17)

$$+\gamma \int_c^{\infty} (f_{12}^2(x) + f_{22}^2(x)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^2(\lambda) d\varrho(\lambda)$$

holds.

(ii) The integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_1(x, \lambda) d\varrho(\lambda), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_2(x, \lambda) d\varrho(\lambda)$$

converge to f_1 and f_2 in \mathcal{H} , respectively. That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \int_a^c \left\{ f_{11}(x) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) d\varrho(\lambda) \right\}^2 dx \\ +\gamma \int_c^n \left\{ f_{12}(x) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) d\varrho(\lambda) \right\}^2 dx \end{array} \right\} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \int_a^c \left\{ f_{21}(x) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) d\varrho(\lambda) \right\}^2 dx \\ +\gamma \int_c^n \left\{ f_{22}(x) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_{22}(x, \lambda) d\varrho(\lambda) \right\}^2 dx \end{array} \right\} = 0.$$

We note that the function ϱ is called a spectral function for the system (2)-(4).

Proof. Assume that the vector valued function

$$f_{\xi}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{\xi_1}(x) \\ f_{\xi_2}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_{\xi_1}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\xi_{11}}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ f_{\xi_{12}}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \quad f_{\xi_2}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\xi_{21}}(x), & x \in J_1 \\ f_{\xi_{22}}(x), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}$$

satisfies the following conditions.

$$1) f_{\xi}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\xi}(x), & x \in [a, c) \cup (c, \xi] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \text{ where } \xi < b.$$

2) $f_{\xi}(x)$ has a continuous derivative.

3) $f_{\xi}(x)$ satisfies the conditions (3)-(4).

Applying (13) to $f_{\xi}(x)$, we conclude that

$$(18) \quad \int_a^c (f_{\xi_{11}}^2(x) + f_{\xi_{21}}^2(x)) dx + \gamma \int_c^{\xi} (f_{\xi_{12}}^2(x) + f_{\xi_{22}}^2(x)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\xi}^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda),$$

where

$$(19) \quad F_{\xi}(\lambda) = \int_a^c (f_{\xi_{11}}(x) \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) + f_{\xi_{21}}(x) \phi_{21}(x, \lambda)) dx + \gamma \int_c^{\xi} (f_{\xi_{12}}(x) \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) + f_{\xi_{22}}(x) \phi_{22}(x, \lambda)) dx.$$

Since $\phi(x, \lambda)$ satisfies the system (2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} [-\phi_2'(x, \lambda) + p(x) \phi_1(x, \lambda)], \\ \phi_2(x, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} [\phi_1'(x, \lambda) + r(x) \phi_2(x, \lambda)]. \end{aligned}$$

By (19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\xi}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^c f_{\xi_{11}}(x) [-\phi_{21}'(x, \lambda) + p(x) \phi_{11}(x, \lambda)] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^c f_{\xi_{21}}(x) [\phi_{11}'(x, \lambda) + r(x) \phi_{21}(x, \lambda)] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \gamma \int_c^{\xi} f_{\xi_{12}}(x) [-\phi_{22}'(x, \lambda) + p(x) \phi_{12}(x, \lambda)] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \gamma \int_c^{\xi} f_{\xi_{22}}(x) [\phi_{12}'(x, \lambda) + r(x) \phi_{22}(x, \lambda)] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_\xi(x)$ vanishes in a neighborhood of the point b and $f_\xi(x)$ and $\phi(x, \lambda)$ satisfy the boundary conditions (3), (4), (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_\xi(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^c \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) [-f'_{\xi_{11}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{11}}(x)] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^c \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) [f'_{\xi_{21}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{21}}(x)] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \gamma \int_c^b \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) [-f'_{\xi_{12}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{12}}(x)] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \gamma \int_c^b \phi_{22}(x, \lambda) [f'_{\xi_{22}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{22}}(x)] dx, \end{aligned}$$

by integration by parts.

For any finite $h > 0$, using (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|\lambda|>h} F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\rho_b(\lambda) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{|\lambda|>h} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\int_a^c \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) [-f'_{\xi_{11}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{11}}(x)] dx \\ &+ \int_a^c \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) [f'_{\xi_{21}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{21}}(x)] dx \\ &+ \gamma \int_c^b \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) [-f'_{\xi_{12}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{12}}(x)] dx \\ &+ \gamma \int_c^b \phi_{22}(x, \lambda) [f'_{\xi_{22}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{22}}(x)] dx \end{aligned} \right\}^2 d\rho_b(\lambda) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\int_a^c \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) [-f'_{\xi_{11}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{11}}(x)] dx \\ &+ \int_a^c \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) [f'_{\xi_{21}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{21}}(x)] dx \\ &+ \gamma \int_c^b \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) [-f'_{\xi_{12}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{12}}(x)] dx \\ &+ \gamma \int_c^b \phi_{22}(x, \lambda) [f'_{\xi_{22}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{22}}(x)] dx \end{aligned} \right\}^2 d\rho_b(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ \int_a^c [-f'_{\xi_{11}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{11}}(x)]^2 dx \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ \int_a^c [f'_{\xi_{21}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{21}}(x)]^2 dx \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{h^2} \left\{ \int_c^\xi [-f'_{\xi_{12}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{12}}(x)]^2 dx \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{h^2} \left\{ \int_c^\xi [f'_{\xi_{22}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{22}}(x)]^2 dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (18), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_a^c \left(f_{\xi_{11}^2}(x) + f_{\xi_{21}^2}(x) \right) dx \right. \\
 & + \gamma \int_c^\xi \left(f_{\xi_{12}^2}(x) + f_{\xi_{22}^2}(x) \right) dx \\
 & \left. - \int_{-h}^h F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\rho_b(\lambda) \right| \\
 & < \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ \int_a^c \left[-f'_{\xi_{11}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{11}}(x) \right]^2 dx \right\} \\
 & + \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ \int_a^c \left[f'_{\xi_{21}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{21}}(x) \right]^2 dx \right\} \\
 & + \frac{\gamma}{h^2} \left\{ \int_c^\xi \left[-f'_{\xi_{12}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{12}}(x) \right]^2 dx \right\} \\
 (20) \quad & + \frac{\gamma}{h^2} \left\{ \int_c^\xi \left[f'_{\xi_{22}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{22}}(x) \right]^2 dx \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, the set $\{\rho_b(\lambda)\}$ is bounded. Using Theorems 2.6 and 2.7, we can find a sequence $\{b_k\}$ such that the function $\rho_{b_k}(\lambda)$ converges to a monotone function $\rho(\lambda)$. Passing to the limit with respect to $\{b_k\}$ in (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_a^c \left(f_{\xi_{11}^2}(x) + f_{\xi_{21}^2}(x) \right) dx + \gamma \int_c^\xi \left(f_{\xi_{12}^2}(x) + f_{\xi_{22}^2}(x) \right) dx \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_{-h}^h F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ \int_a^c [-f'_{\xi_{11}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{11}}(x)]^2 dx \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ \int_a^c [f'_{\xi_{21}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{21}}(x)]^2 dx \right\} \\
&+ \frac{\gamma}{h^2} \left\{ \int_c^\xi [-f'_{\xi_{12}}(x) + p(x) f_{\xi_{12}}(x)]^2 dx \right\} \\
&+ \frac{\gamma}{h^2} \left\{ \int_c^\xi [f'_{\xi_{22}}(x) + r(x) f_{\xi_{22}}(x)]^2 dx \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting $h \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^c (f_{\xi_{11}}^2(x) + f_{\xi_{21}}^2(x)) dx \\
&+ \gamma \int_c^\xi (f_{\xi_{12}}^2(x) + f_{\xi_{22}}^2(x)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let f be an arbitrary vector valued function on \mathcal{H} . It is known that there exists a sequence of vector valued function $\{f_\xi(x)\}$ satisfying the condition 1-3 and such that

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_a^\infty (f_1(x) - f_{\xi_1}(x))^2 dx + \gamma \int_a^\infty (f_2(x) - f_{\xi_2}(x))^2 dx \right\} = 0.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\xi(\lambda) &= \int_a^c (f_{\xi_{11}}(x) \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) + f_{\xi_{21}}(x) \phi_{21}(x, \lambda)) dx \\
&+ \gamma \int_c^\xi (f_{\xi_{12}}(x) \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) + f_{\xi_{22}}(x) \phi_{22}(x, \lambda)) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\|f_\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda).$$

Since

$$\int_a^c (f_{\xi_{11}}(x) - f_{\xi_{21}}(x))^2 dx + \gamma \int_c^\infty (f_{\xi_{12}}(x) - f_{\xi_{12}}(x))^2 dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $\xi_1, \xi_2 \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_{\xi_1}(\lambda) - F_{\xi_2}(\lambda))^2 d\rho(\lambda) \\ &= \int_a^c (f_{\xi_1 1}(x) - f_{\xi_2 1}(x))^2 dx + \gamma \int_c^{\infty} (f_{\xi_1 2}(x) - f_{\xi_2 2}(x))^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\xi_1, \xi_2 \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, there exists a limit function F that satisfies

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda),$$

by the completeness of the space $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$.

Our next goal is to show that the function

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi}(\lambda) &= \int_a^c f_{11}(x) \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) + f_{21}(x) \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) dx \\ &+ \gamma \int_c^{\xi} f_{12}(x) \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) + f_{22}(x) \phi_{22}(x, \lambda) dx \end{aligned}$$

converges as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ to F in the metric of space $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$. Let g be another vector-valued function in \mathcal{H} . By a similar argument, $G(\lambda)$ be defined by g . It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^c (f_1(x) - g_1(x))^2 dx + \gamma \int_c^{\infty} (f_2(x) - g_2(x))^2 dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{F(\lambda) - G(\lambda)\}^2 d\rho(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in [a, c) \cup (c, \xi] \\ 0, & x \in (\xi, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{F(\lambda) - K_{\xi}(\lambda)\}^2 d\rho(\lambda) \\ &= \gamma \int_{\xi}^{\infty} (f_{12}^2(x) + f_{22}^2(x)) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (\xi \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that K_{ξ} converges to F in $L^2_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. This proves (i).

Now, we will prove (ii). Suppose that the functions $f(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\cdot) \\ f_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$, $g(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\cdot) \\ g_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$, and $F(\lambda)$ and $G(\lambda)$ are their generalized Fourier

transforms (see (17)). Then $F \mp G$ are transforms of $f \mp g$. Consequently, by (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^c \left([f_{11}(x) + g_{11}(x)]^2 + [f_{21}(x) + g_{21}(x)]^2 \right) dx \\ & + \gamma \int_c^\infty \left([f_{12}(x) + g_{12}(x)]^2 + [f_{22}(x) + g_{22}(x)]^2 \right) dx \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^\infty (F(\lambda) + G(\lambda))^2 d\rho(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the second relation from the first, we get

$$\int_a^c [f_{11}(x)g_{11}(x) + f_{21}(x)g_{21}(x)] dx$$

$$(21) \quad + \gamma \int_c^\infty [f_{12}(x)g_{12}(x) + f_{22}(x)g_{22}(x)] dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(\lambda)G(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda)$$

which is called the *generalized Parseval equality*.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} f_\tau(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} f_{\tau_1}(x) \\ f_{\tau_2}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \\ f_{\tau_1}(x) &= \begin{cases} \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \phi_{11}(t, \lambda) d\rho(\lambda), & x \in J_1 \\ \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \phi_{12}(t, \lambda) d\rho(\lambda), & x \in J_2 \end{cases}, \\ f_{\tau_2}(x) &= \begin{cases} \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \phi_{21}(t, \lambda) d\rho(\lambda), & x \in J_1 \\ \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \phi_{22}(t, \lambda) d\rho(\lambda), & x \in J_2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where F is the function defined in (16). Let $= \begin{cases} g(x), & x \in [a, c) \cup (c, \mu] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$,

where $g(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\cdot) \\ g_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mu > c$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f_\tau, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_a^c \left\{ \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} F(\lambda) \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \right\} g_{11}(x) dx \\
 &+ \gamma \int_c^\mu \left\{ \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} F(\lambda) \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \right\} g_{12}(x) dx \\
 &+ \int_a^c \left\{ \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} F(\lambda) \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \right\} g_{21}(x) dx \\
 &+ \gamma \int_c^\mu \left\{ \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} F(\lambda) \phi_{22}(x, \lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \right\} g_{22}(x) dx \\
 &= \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} F(\lambda) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_a^c \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) g_{11}(x) dx \\ + \gamma \int_c^\mu \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) g_{12}(x) dx \end{array} \right\} d\rho(\lambda) \\
 &+ \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} F(\lambda) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_a^c \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) g_{21}(x) dx \\ + \gamma \int_c^\mu \phi_{22}(x, \lambda) g_{22}(x) dx \end{array} \right\} d\rho(\lambda) \\
 (22) \quad &= \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} F(\lambda) G(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (21), we get

$$(23) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) G(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda).$$

Subtracting (22) and (23), we have

$$\langle f_\tau - f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} F(\lambda) G(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda).$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle f_\tau - f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 &\leq \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} F^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} G^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \\
 &\leq \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} F^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

Apply this inequality to the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f_\tau(x) - f(x), & x \in [a, c) \cup (c, \mu] \\ 0, & x \in (\mu, \infty) \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\|f_\tau - f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} F^2(\lambda) d\rho(\lambda).$$

Letting $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ yields the desired result. \square

References

- [1] B. P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, *Spectral expansion for the singular Dirac system with impulsive conditions*, Turkish J. Math. **42** (2018), 2527–2545.
- [2] B. P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, *Spectral expansion for singular conformable fractional Dirac systems*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II. Ser. **69** (2020) 1359–1372.
- [3] B. P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, *Resolvent operator of singular dirac system with transmission conditions*, Rad Hrvat. Akad. Znan. Umjet. Mat. Znan. **23** (2019), no. 538, 85–105.
- [4] B. P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, *Eigenfunction expansion in the singular case for Dirac systems on time scales*, Konuralp J. Math. **7** (2019), no. 1, 128–135.
- [5] B. P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, *On expansion in eigenfunction for Dirac systems on the unbounded time scales*, Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst. **30** (2022), 271–285.
- [6] B. P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, *The Parseval equality and expansion formula for singular Hahn-Dirac system*. In S. Alparslan Gök, & D. Aruğaslan Çiñçin (Ed.), *Emerging Applications of Differential Equations and Game Theory* (pp. 209-235), IGI Global, 2020.
- [7] I. Dehghani and A. J. Akbarfam, *Resolvent operator and self-adjointness of Sturm-Liouville operators with a finite number of transmission conditions*, Mediterr. J. Math. **11** (2014), no. 2, 447–462.
- [8] Ş. Faydaoğlu and G. Sh. Guseinov, *An expansion result for a Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem with impulse*, Turkish J. Math. **34** (2010), no. 3, 355–366.
- [9] B. Keskin and A. S. Ozkan, *Inverse spectral problems for Dirac operator with eigenvalue dependent boundary and jump conditions*, Acta Math. Hungarica **130** (2011), 309–320.
- [10] A. N. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomin, *Introductory Real Analysis*, Translated by R.A. Silverman, Dover Publications, New York, 1970.
- [11] F. R. Lapwood and T. Usami, *Free Oscillations of the Earth*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981.
- [12] B. M. Levitan and I. S. Sargsjan, *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Operators*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [13] K. Li, J. Sun, and X. Hao, *Weyl function of Sturm-Liouville problems with transmission conditions at finite interior points*, Mediter. J. Math. **14** (2017), no. 189, 1–15.
- [14] A. V. Likov and Yu. A. Mikhailov, *The Theory of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Translated from Russian by I. Shechtman, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1965.
- [15] O. N. Litvinenko and V. I. Soshnikov, *The Theory of Heterogenous Lines and their Applications in Radio Engineering*, Radio, Moscow 1964 (in Russian).
- [16] R. K. Mamedov and O. Akcay, *Inverse eigenvalue problem for a class of Dirac operators with discontinuous coefficient*, Bound. Value Probl. **2014** (2014), no. 110, 1–20.
- [17] O. Sh. Mukhtarov, H. Olğar, and K. Aydemir, *Resolvent operator and spectrum of new type boundary value problems*, Filomat **29** (2015), no. 7, 1671–1680.

- [18] O. Sh. Mukhtarov, *Discontinuous boundary-value problem with spectral parameter in boundary conditions*, Turkish J. Math. **18** (1994), 183–192.
- [19] M. A. Naimark, *Linear differential operators*, 2nd edn, Nauka, Moscow, 1969; English Transl. of 1st Ed., Parts 1 and 2, Ungar, New York, 1967 and 1968.
- [20] B. Thaller, *The Dirac Equation*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.
- [21] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-Order Differential Equations*, Part I, Second Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1962.
- [22] C. F. Yang and G. L. Yuan, *Determination of Dirac operator with eigenvalue-dependent boundary and jump conditions*, Appl. Anal. **94** (2015), no. 7, 1460–1478.
- [23] A. Zettl, *Adjoint and self-adjoint boundary value problems with interface conditions*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **16** (1968), no. 4, 851–859.

Bilender P. Allahverdiev
Department of Mathematics, Süleyman Demirel University,
32260 Isparta, Turkey
E-mail: bilenderpasaoglu@sdu.edu.tr

Hüseyin Tuna
Department of Mathematics, Mehmet Akif Ersoy University,
15030 Burdur, Turkey
E-mail: hustuna@gmail.com