

Original article

elSSN 2234-0742 Genomics Inform 2021; 19(1): e3 https://doi.org/10.5808/gi.20073

Received: December 03, 2020 Revised: January 20, 2021 Accepted: January 25, 2021

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ijung@kaist.ac.kr

© 2021, Korea Genome Organization

C This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Functional annotation of lung cancerassociated genetic variants by cell type-specific epigenome and longrange chromatin interactome

Andrew J. Lee, Inkyung Jung*

Department of Biological Sciences, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 34141, Korea

Functional interpretation of noncoding genetic variants associated with complex human diseases and traits remains a challenge. In an effort to enhance our understanding of common germline variants associated with lung cancer, we categorize regulatory elements based on eight major cell types of human lung tissue. Our results show that 21.68% of lung cancer–associated risk variants are linked to noncoding regulatory elements, nearly half of which are cell type–specific. Integrative analysis of high–resolution long–range chromatin interactome maps and single–cell RNA–sequencing data of lung tumors uncovers number of putative target genes of these variants and functionally relevant cell types, which display a potential biological link to cancer susceptibility. The present study greatly expands the scope of functional annotation of lung cancer–associated genetic risk factors and dictates probable cell types involved in lung carcinogenesis.

Keywords: 3D chromatin interaction, *cis*-regulatory element, genome-wide association study, lung cancer, single-cell RNA sequencing, single nucleotide polymorphism

Introduction

Gene regulation is a critical biological process that determines cellular identity and function. Systematic investigation of chromatin architecture has shown that the spatiotemporal gene regulation process is tightly controlled by *cis*-regulatory elements (*c*REs), which modulate the activities of spatially distant promoters [1-4]. Previous studies have reported that cREs are highly dynamic genomic entities whose dysregulation is associated with various human disorders, including genetic and complex diseases [5-7]. This is well described in the 2019 professional release of the human gene mutation database (HGMD), where more than 4,500 disease-associated mutations are in regulatory sequences [8]. The distal target genes of cREs harboring genetic mutations have been identified as causal elements in human diseases. The representative example is polydactyly syndrome, a congenital limb malformation that results from point mutations in a Shh regulatory element [9]. However, the identification of such long-range regulation is difficult since cREs may regulate genes located beyond large genomic distances. In light of this, Hi-C is a novel technique that enables the investigation of genome-wide, all-to-all chromatin interactions and has substantially improved our view of cREs on long-range gene expression control through 3D chromatin organization [10-14].

The pathogenesis of complex diseases is an outcome of a heterogeneous cell population and various causal genetic variants. The causal genetic variants and the functional

cell type in which these disease-associated variants may be active are often unclear. Although the recent development of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) technology has allowed the assessment of the cell type-specific transcriptome, gene regulation that underlies the functional properties of complex diseases is not well understood. Therefore, we performed a comprehensive analysis of cell type-specific gene regulatory mechanisms by integrating publicly available data on cell type-specific epigenome, single-cell transcriptome, and 3D chromatin interactome surrounding human lung cancer. We explored the dynamics of epigenomic profiles of eight major cell types that exist in the tumor environment and the relationships of cell type-specific regulatory elements with genetic risk variants. By integrating high-resolution chromatin contact maps and scRNA-seq data, we characterize cell type dependent expression profile of putative interaction target genes of lung cancer-associated variant-harboring cREs, and identify a list of potential candidate genes associated with lung carcinoma and their relevant cell type.

Methods

Epigenome profiling of regulatory genome elements

Nineteen H3K27ac chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput DNA sequencing (ChIP-seq) datasets were downloaded from the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (EN-CODE) database, representing seven cell populations: epithelial (2 primary epithelial cells from mammary gland, 1 primary epithelial cell from prostate), fibroblast (2 primary fibroblast cells from lung and IMR90 cell line), endothelial (2 primary cells from umbilical vein, 1 primary cell from brain microvasculature), T lymphocyte (1 primary T cell, 1 naïve thymus-derived CD4-positive primary cell, and 1 CD8-positive primary cell), natural killer (NK) cell (1 natural killer primary cell), B lymphocyte (2 primary B cells, and GM12989 cell line), myeloid (3 CD14-positive primary monocyte cells) [2,3]. H3K27ac ChIP-seq data for 3 lung cancer cell lines (A549, A427, and H322) were downloaded from the DBTSS database [15]. H3K27ac ChIP-seq data for two alveolar lung epithelial cells representing squamous type 1 (AT1) cells and cuboidal type 2 (AT2) cells were downloaded from NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (accession code: GSE84273) [16]. Detailed sample information, biosample ID, and library ID for raw ChIP-seq data are described in Supplementary Table 1. The ChIP-seq reads were aligned against the human genome (hg19) using BWA-mem with default parameters. Non-uniquely mapped, low-quality (MAPQ < 10), and PCR duplicate reads were removed. Peak calling of individual ChIP-seq experiments was performed with MACS2 callpeak with a p-value threshold of 1e-5 and with a respective input control used as the background [17]. *c*REs were obtained by selecting H3K27ac peaks located distal to promoters (>2.5 kb from transcription start site [TSS]), merging the peaks across the samples, and stitching peaks within a 3 kb distance. For the quantification of *c*RE activity, reads per million (RPM) values were calculated and quantile normalized across the samples for comparative analysis.

Collection of genome-wide association study-single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with lung cancer

A total of 286 genome-wide association study (GWAS)-single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) related to lung cancer were obtained from the NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog (downloaded on August 20, 2019) [18], targeting nine traits as follows: familial lung adenocarcinoma, familial lung cancer, familial squamous cell lung carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (recurrence rate), non-small cell lung cancer (survival), small cell lung cancer (survival), small cell lung carcinoma, and squamous cell lung carcinoma. We expanded these tag SNPs by using linkage disequilibrium (LD) information ($r^2 > 0.8$). The LD scores were calculated using PLINK for five ethnic populations obtained from 1000 Genomes Phase 3 data. Tight LD associations ($r^2 > 0.8$) recurrent in at least three ethnic groups were used for LD expansion. The number of total LD-expanded SNPs was 2,128, and these SNPs were stored in a manner that each of them was traceable back to its parental tag SNP.

Mapping 3D long-range chromatin interactions

To obtain high-resolution chromatin contact maps in lung tissue, we downloaded in situ Hi-C data for A549 (lung carcinoma cell line), IMR90 (lung fibroblast cell line), GM12878 (lymphoblastoid cell line), and HMEC (human mammary epithelial cell line) cells from the ENCODE database [2,3]. Detailed sample information, biosample ID, and library ID for the raw in situ HiC data are described in Supplementary Table 2. Raw Hi-C sequenced reads were mapped to the human reference genome (hg19) using BWA-mem (-M option). An in-house script was used to remove low-quality reads (MAPQ < 10), the reads that span ligation sites, chimeric reads, and self-interacting reads in which two fragments are located within 15 kb. The read pairs were merged together as paired-end aligned BAM files, and PCR duplicates were removed with Picard. Statistically significant contacts in Hi-C data were identified at a 5 kb resolution using Fit-Hi-C [19]. We used the default Fit-Hi-C code to calculate the Q-value for each bin pair within a 1 Mb genomic window. A Q-value threshold (Q < 0.01) was used to define significant chromatin contacts.

Identification of cell type–specific gene expression using scRNA-seq data

The raw UMI count matrix of scRNA-seq data for lung adenocarcinoma was downloaded from NCBI GEO with accession code GSE131907 [20]. Data covering 11 tumors and 11 distant normal lungs were selected and processed by using the Seurat R package v3.2.2 $\begin{bmatrix} 21 \end{bmatrix}$. We discarded cells that expressed < 200 genes. To exclude low-quality cells from our data, we filtered out the cells that expressed mitochondrial genes in > 20% of their total gene expression. In each cell, the gene expression was normalized on the basis of the total read count and log transformed. To align the cells originating from different samples, 5,000 highly variable genes from each sample were identified by the vst method. We found anchors and aligned the samples based on the top 10 canonical correlation vectors. The aligned samples were scaled, and principal component analysis was conducted. Then, the cells were clustered by unsupervised clustering (0.5 resolution) and visualized by tSNE using the top 40 principal components (PCs). Known marker genes were used to assign each subcluster to a corresponding cell population: EPCAM and KRT19 for epithelial cells, DCN and COL1A1 for fibroblasts, PECAM1 and CLDN5 for endothelial cells, CD3D and TRAC for T lymphocytes, NKG7 and GNLY for NK cells, CD79A and IGHM for B lymphocytes, LYZ and CD68 for myeloid cells, and KIT and MS4A2 for mast cells.

Results

Identification of cell type-specific cREs associated with human lung tissue

To characterize distal regulatory elements surrounding human lung tissue, we obtained 24 H3K27ac ChIP-seq datasets from the ENCODE, DBTSS, and GEO databases, representing eight major cell types (myeloid cells, T cells, B cells, NK cells, endothelial cells, epithelial cells, lung cancer cells, and fibroblasts) [2,3,15] and identified 86,312 distal cREs. The quantification of cRE activities in RPM indicated that the samples clustered according to cell type of origin (Fig. 1A), largely into two groups consisting of stromal (epithelial cells, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts) and immune cells (myeloid, NK, T, and B cells). The cRE profiles of lung cancer cell lines (A549, A427, and H322) were highly correlated with the epithelial cell type, reminiscent of the cell type of their origin. We identified cell type enriched cREs using a quasi-likelihood F test (false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.05) in Bioconductor package EdgeR by contrasting each cell type to the other cell types [22], which resulted in 45,706 cell type-specific cREs (9,707 for lung cancer cells, 14,135 for epithelial cells, 6,952 for myeloid cells, 5,486 for endothelial cells, 4,891 for B cells, 4,447 for fibroblasts,

2,194 for T cells, and 193 for NK cells) (Fig. 1B). This large portion of cell type-specific *c*REs (52.95%) suggests a dynamic role of regulatory genomic elements in determining cellular identity.

Characterization of lung cancer–associated genetic variations with cell type–specific cREs

To assess the association of common genetic risk variants for lung cancer with *c*REs with cell type annotation, we collected 286 tag SNPs from the NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog (downloaded on 2019.08.20) [18] covering nine lung cancer–related traits. Our LD-based association analysis ($r^2 > 0.8$) showed that 21.33% (62 of 286) of lung cancer SNPs were linked to *c*REs (Fig. 1C). Among them, 28 tag SNPs were associated with cell type-specific *c*REs (a full list with the corresponding cell type is provided in Table 1), and 34 tag SNPs were linked to constitutive *c*REs (Supplementary Table 3). SNP-harboring *c*REs exhibited cell type-specific activities, and myeloid (n = 11) and epithelial (n = 10) cell types were recognized by having the most SNP-harboring *c*REs, highlighting a potential role of these cell types in lung carcinogenesis (Fig. 1D).

Identification of distal target genes of SNP-harboring cREs by high-resolution chromatin contact maps

Despite the enrichment of lung cancer-associated genetic variants in cREs, their biological function in lung cancer is largely unknown due to the lack of information about their functional target genes. We hypothesized that investigating the physical chromatin contacts between promoters and SNP-harboring cREs may substantially advance our current knowledge regarding the possible regulatory role of noncoding genetic variants. To this end, we downloaded in situ Hi-C data for the A549, IMR90, GM12878, and HMEC cell lines from the ENCODE database [2,3], representing lung cancer, fibroblasts, myeloid cells, and epithelial cells, respectively. We defined long-range chromatin interactions at 5 kb resolution, implementing the Fit-Hi-C algorithm (FDR < 0.01) [19], which resulted in a total of 3,785,594 unbiased, all-to-all chromatin interactions (1,905,639 for A549, 1,207,580 for IMR90, 1,729,755 for GM12878, and 220,529 for HMEC). Focusing on the chromatin interactions connected to the well-annotated protein-coding gene promoters, we identified chromatin interactions anchored within 2.5 kb of a TSS. Comparison of these promoter-centered interactions across the cell types presented a highly dynamic pattern, with lung cancer (A549) and myeloid (GM12878) cells having a large number of unique chromatin interactions, implicating differential spatial arrangements between cREs and promoters in those cell types (Fig. 2A). The average distance for long-range chromatin interactions was similar across cell



Fig. 1. Cell type-specific association of lung cancer-related genetic variants with cRE. (A) Pearson correlation heatmap illustrating the hierarchical relationship of cell type dependent cRE profiles comprising the major cell types in human lung tissue. (B) Heatmap of z-transformed RPM values of cell type-specific cREs. (C) Donut plot illustrating the association of lung cancer GWAS-SNPs with *cis*-regulatory genome elements. (D) Heatmap of z-transformed RPM values of SNP-harboring cREs with samples in the column (shown in the same order as the heatmap in Fig. 1B). cRE, *cis*-regulatory elements; RPM, reads per million; GWAS, genome-wide association study; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; NK, natural killer.

types (234 kb for A549, 222 kb for GM12878, 269 kb for IMR90, and 221 kb for HMEC) (Fig. 2B). Finally, we predicted putative target genes of cell type–specific *c*REs harboring lung cancer-associated variants by using a union set of chromatin interactions (Fig. 2C). To assess the cell type–specificity of the inferred target genes, we integrated a scRNA-seq dataset generated from lung tissues of 11 healthy individuals and 11 lung cancer patients [20]. We found that the inferred target genes showed a higher gene expression in

the corresponding cell type compared to the randomly selected controls (empirical p = 0.0016 from 100,000 iterations) (Fig. 2D). Although the statistical testing for cell type specificity in target gene expression indicated insignificance in enrichment for epithelial and myeloid cell types (Fisher's exact test; p = 0.1591 for epithelial and p = 0.607 for myeloid), we identified 20 and 5 putative target genes in epithelial and myeloid cells, respectively, with the highest gene expression in the corresponding cell type (Fig. 2E).

Table 1. Annotated list of lung cancer associated GWAS SNPs to cell type-specific cREs

| | (FDR) |
|---|-----------------------------|
| chr10.101946033 rs28372851 5.00.E-07 Squamous cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr10:101951673-101953324 1.0- | 04.E-02 Myeloid |
| chr10:102012793-102017699 1.2 | 20.E-04 Myeloid |
| chr10:102049789-102052812 8.6 | 65.E-06 Myeloid |
| chr10.102048979 rs12765052 1.00.E-06 Squamous cell lung Carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr10:101923384-101944862 3.8 | 86.E-02 Myeloid |
| chr10:101951673-101953324 1.0 | 04.E-02 Myeloid |
| chr10:102012793-102017699 1.2 | 20.E-04 Myeloid |
| chr10:102049789-102052812 8.6 | 65.E-06 Myeloid |
| chr10.4961021 rs4453114 2.00.E-06 Squamous cell lung Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr10:5003981-5007221 1.9 carcinoma | 96.E–02 Epithelial |
| chr11.125510257 rs113301858 7.00.E-06 Squamous cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr11:125543549-125544766 1.4 | 41.E-03 Endothelial |
| chr1.160210727 rs2369473 7.00.E-06 Squamous cell lung Carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr1:160315750-160319868 3.0 | 07.E-02 Myeloid |
| chr11.94284529 rs12279741 8.00.E-06 Small cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr11:94279690-94284377 8.3 | 37.E-04 Myeloid |
| chr12.9058562 rs1073160 3.00.E-06 Small cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr12:9052330-9056220 4.34 | 30.E-02 Myeloid |
| chr15.58418128 rs2704201 4.00.E-06 Small cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr15:58434184-58441657 2.9 | 98.E-06 Myeloid |
| chr21.40173528 rs1209950 3.00.E-07 Non-small cell lung cancer J Thorac Oncol (2010) [24] chr21:40169204-40174398 4.5 (survival) | 52.E-02 Cancer |
| chr2.152481712 rs10174077 1.00.E-06 Squamous cell lung Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr2:152474585-152476605 2.76 carcinoma | 78.E-06 Endothelial |
| chr2.17784157 rs13031455 2.00.E-06 Squamous cell lung Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr2:17801191-17803176 1.14 carcinoma | 15.E-06 Epithelial |
| chr2.225263527 rs6714462 8.00.E-06 Familial squamous cell lung Carcinogenesis (2018) [25] chr2:225270125-225272718 1.6 carcinoma | 63.E-02 Endothelial |
| chr2.233426526 rs1656402 8.00.E-08 Non–small cell lung cancer J Thorac Oncol (2010) [24] chr2:233453904–233458464 4.1 (survival) | 15.E–03 Epithelial |
| chr2.65832377 rs840781 8.00.E-07 Familial squamous cell lung Carcinogenesis (2018) [25] chr2:65832165-65835046 1.04 carcinoma | 09.E-03 B cell |
| chr3.194858374 rs2131877 2.00.E-08 Non-small cell lung cancer Hum Mol Genet (2010) [26] chr3:194848773-194855042 7.3 | 36.E-03 B cell |
| chr5.72305846 rs258892 5.00.E-06 Small cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr5:72083963-72085536 1.4 | 41.E-03 Epithelial |
| chr5:72166471-72170755 2.5 | 59.E-03 Endothelial |
| chr5.82418056 rs28745309 5.00.E-06 Squamous cell lung Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr5:82420842-82421162 4.5 carcinoma | 56.E-02 Cancer |
| chr6.10415006 rs654351 2.00.E-06 Squamous cell lung Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr6:10399644-10415402 1.50 carcinoma | 50.E-02 Epithelial |
| chr6.26328353 rs34107459 1.00.E-10 Squamous cell lung Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr6:26327078-26331665 1.1 carcinoma | 11.E-02 Cancer |
| chr6.26403036 rs12200782 1.00.E-06 Small cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr6:26393324-26393714 3.8- | 84.E-02 Myeloid |
| chr6.26581258 rs141670911 1.00.E-06 Small cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr6:26393324-26393714 3.8- | 84.E-02 Myeloid |
| chr6:26462575-26465582 3.4 | 46.E–02 Epithelial |
| chr6.26651053 rs13201782 2.00.E-08 Squamous cell lung Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr6:26462575-26465582 3.4 carcinoma | 46.E-02 Epithelial |
| chr6.26686131 rs10456332 7.00.E-06 Small cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr6:26462575-26465582 3.4 | 46.E-02 Epithelial |
| chr6:26757238-26758412 3.9 | 93.E-04 Cancer |
| chr6:27144603-27146930 3.2 | 24.E-02 Cancer |
| chr6.30882415 rs114274879 3.00.E-16 Squamous cell lung Carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr6:30802423-30803200 9.8 | 81.E-04 T cell |
| chr6:30848819-30857882 4.5 | 56.E–02 Epithelial |
| chr6:30889573-30895783 2.3 | 35.E-03 Epithelial |
| chr6.32591476 rs112037939 2.00.E-06 Small cell lung carcinoma Nat Genet (2017) [23] chr6:32599939-32607692 6.9 | 99.E-04 B cell Continued |

| Tag SNP | rsID | SNP (P) | Trait | Journal | cRE coordinates | Cell type specificity (FDR) | Cell type |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| chr6.32605884 | rs74942078 | 3.00.E-17 | Squamous cell lung carcinoma | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | chr6:32568908-32579990 | 2.98.E-02 | B cell |
| | | | | | chr6:32599939-32607692 | 6.99.E-04 | B cell |
| | | | | | chr6:32652218-32660026 | 2.53.E-03 | B cell |
| chr6.34923864 | rs847845 | 6.00.E-06 | Non-small cell lung cancer | Carcinogenesis (2013) [27] | chr6:34938580-34938872 | 5.21.E-03 | Fibroblast |
| chr6.7770511 | rs140013431 | 1.00.E-06 | Small cell lung carcinoma | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | chr6:7770218-7770887 | 4.90.E-02 | Endothelial |
| | | | | iener eDE eie verwuleten vel | manti FDD falsa disaayan ina | ** | |

Table 1. Continued

GWAS, genome-wide association study; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; cRE, cis-regulatory element; FDR, false discovery rate.

In addition, we found that *HYLS1*, *IL1R1*, and *PPP1R18* were inferred target genes in endothelial, fibroblast, and T cell, respectively, which also presented a cell type-specific gene expression. The list of inferred target genes with cell type-specific gene expression is provided in Table 2. The statistical insignificance in cell type specificity may be the result of the limited number of tested target genes and the insufficient transcripts detected in the corresponding scRNA-seq technique. The improvement of scRNA-seq techniques covering a higher number of transcripts will help make a clear conclusion in this matter. Altogether, the inference of target genes of cell type-specific, SNP-harboring *c*REs by using 3D chromatin interaction profile provided considerable insights into functionality of lung cancer- associated GWAS-SNPs.

Characterization of *DDR1* and *CD84* as potential risk factors associated with lung carcinogenesis

Since the number of putative target genes with cell type dependent expression was the most prevalent in epithelial and myeloid cells, we sought to pin-point specific risk candidate genes by taking a close examination of the epigenomic landscape surrounding the major cell types in the human lung. First, we found that an epithelial-specific *c*RE (chr6:30,889,573-30,895,783; FDR = 1.61E-05) contains a SNP at chr6:30,894,965, which is linked to a tag SNP at chr6:30,882,415 (rs114274879) based on LD structure. The SNP was significantly associated with squamous cell lung carcinoma (p = 3.0E-16 [23]. The SNP-containing *c*RE was linked to the promoter of DDR1 by significant long-range chromatin interaction at 50 kb distance, which, in turn, (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, the function of DDR1 in tumor growth and metastasis displayed an epithelial-specific expression has been previously recognized [28,29]. Next, we identified an additional, myeloid-specific cRE (chr1:160,315,750-160,319,868; FDR = 0.030) that contains two LD-expanded SNPs at chr1:160,317,021 and chr1:160,317,619, whose parental tag SNP is located at chr1:160,210,727 (rs2369473). The SNP was reported for its association with squamous cell lung carcinoma (p = 7.0E-06) [23]. The SNP-containing *c*RE is linked to the promoter of *CD84*, a mediator of leukocyte function, by a significant long-range chromatin interaction stretching as far as 230 kb in distance (Fig. 3B). The recently demonstrated function of *CD84* in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells and their microenvironment may support the potential functional implication of *CD84* in lung carcinogenesis [30]. Furthermore, single-cell transcriptome data indicated that the expression of *CD84* is exclusive in myeloid cells (Fig. 3B). Our results highlight the potential role of *DDR1* and *CD84* in lung carcinogenesis within epithelial and myeloid populations, respectively. The current work involving the functional annotation of lung cancer GWAS-SNPs and the inference of their putative target genes using 3D chromatin contact information effectively expands potential risk candidate genes and their relevant cell types, and offers a rationale for a further investigation of its function in the designated cell type.

Discussion

We used a comprehensive multi-omics approach that integrates cell type-specific epigenome, 3D chromatin interactome, and transcriptome data to conduct a functional characterization of lung cancer-associated GWAS risk variants. It is worth noting that the cellular identity in the global cRE landscape is well replicated regardless of tissue origin, genetic background, and culture condition (e.g., primary cells and immortalized cell line), evidenced by the use of publicly available ChIP-seq data representing various cell types in the current study. This led us to effectively find a considerable portion of risk genetic variants associated with cREs, taking into consideration the genetic LD. The categorization of lung cancer GWAS-SNPs into corresponding cell types provides additional insights into specific cellular populations responsible for lung carcinogenesis. Our results provide evidence that the identification of the cell type-specific promoter-cRE interactome substantially advances the interpretation of GWAS risk variants and broadens the scope for disease risk candidates for lung cancer.

The recent advent of single-nucleus accessible chromatin profil-



Fig. 2. Target gene identification of cell type-specific *c*REs harboring lung cancer-associated SNPs based on long-range chromatin interactions. (A) Chow-Ruskey plot with a 5 kb resolution promoter-centered chromatin interactions for GM12878, A549, HMEC, and IMR90 cell lines, representing myeloid, lung cancer, endothelial, and fibroblast cell types, respectively. (B) Density plots illustrating the genomic distance of long-range chromatin interactions obtained from Hi-C data. The dashed line represents the mean distance. (C) A description of the functional link between SNP-harboring cREs and inferred target genes through a long-range chromatin contact. (D) Histograms illustrating distribution of the relative expression of randomly selected gene sets based on iterative tests (n = 100,000). Yellow dotted arrows indicate the observed expression of inferred target genes. (E) Gene expression (z-transformed normalized single-cell RNA sequencing counts) of putative target genes of cell type-specific cREs harboring lung cancer-related GWAS-SNPs across the cell types. Genes highlighted in translucent green, brown, purple, orange, yellow, and blue indicates putative targets of cell type-specific cREs in epithelial, endothelial, fibroblast, myeloid, B cells, and T cells, respectively. cRE, *cis*-regulatory elements; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; GWAS, genome-wide association study.



Fig. 3. Epigenome landscape of putative target genes of cell type-specific cRE harboring lung cancer risk variants. (A) Left: Epigenome browser visualization of the *DDR1* locus (chr6:30,985,829-30,985,829) showing the localization of lung cancer-related GWAS-SNPs, H3K27ac signals over seven individual cell types associated with the human lung, and 5 kb-resolution chromatin loops. The bars in dark orange indicate the location of cell type-specific cREs. The region of epithelial-specific cREs sharing lung cancer-related genetic variants is highlighted in translucent yellow. Right: *DDR1* gene expression level across 7 major lung tissue cell types from scRNA-seq data. (B) Left: Epigenome browser visualization of the *CD84* locus (chr1:160,197,000-160,668,000) showing the localization of lung cancer-related SNPs, H3K27ac signals over seven individual cell types associated with the human lung, and 5 kb-resolution chromatin loops. The bars in dark orange indicate the location of cell type dependent cREs. The region of myeloid-specific cREs sharing lung cancer risk variants is highlighted in translucent yellow. Right: *CD84* gene expression level across 7 major lung tissue cell types from single-cell RNA-seq data. cRE, cisregulatory elements; GWAS, genome-wide association study; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA-sequencing; LD, linkage disequilibrium.

| | Endo- thelial | -0.447 | -0.454 | -0.474 | -0.476 | -0.477 | -0.497 | -0.589 | 0.419 | -0.358 | 0.155 | 0.144 | 0.169 | 0.665 | -0.964 | 1.352 | -0.090 | 0.811 | 0.606 | |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| ression | Fibro- blast | -0.447 | -0.465 | -0.408 | -0.462 | -0.323 | -0.497 | -0.681 | -0.565 | 0.392 | 060.0 | 0.240 | -0.406 | 0.584 | -0.964 | -0.770 | 1.131 | 0.815 | 1.067 | 0070 |
| Jene expr | Epitheli- al | 2.236 | 2.236 | 2.236 | 2.235 | 2.232 | 2.231 | 2.197 | 2.066 | 2.062 | 2.052 | 1.951 | 1.939 | 1.491 | 1.449 | 1.368 | 1.269 | 1.242 | 1.209 | 1 1 70 |
| sformed g | T cell | -0.447 | -0.448 | -0.461 | -0.431 | -0.509 | -0.299 | -0.336 | -0.630 | -0.680 | -0.783 | -1.131 | 0.255 | -1.074 | 0.910 | -0.505 | -0.856 | -1.013 | -1.227 | |
| Z-trans | B cell | -0.447 | -0.457 | -0.451 | -0.469 | -0.456 | -0.497 | -0.105 | -0.595 | -0.730 | -0.777 | -0.835 | -0.796 | -1.310 | -0.964 | -0.770 | -1.297 | -1.368 | -1.106 | 1001 |
| | Myeloid | -0.447 | -0.412 | -0.442 | -0.399 | -0.467 | -0.442 | -0.486 | -0.696 | -0.685 | -0.737 | -0.370 | -1.161 | -0.355 | 0.534 | -0.770 | -0.377 | -0.487 | -0.550 | 0000 |
| | Journal | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | J Thorac On- col (2010) [24] | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | J Thorac On- col (2010) [24] | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | J Thorac On- col (2010) [24] | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | J Thorac On- col (2010) [24] | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | No+ Conet |
| | Trait | quamous cell lung carcinoma | Jon-smallcell- lungcancer(- survival) | quamous cell lung carcinoma | quamous cell lung carcinoma | quamous cell lung carcinoma | quamous cell lung carcinoma | Jon-small cell lung cancer (survival) | quamous cell lung carcinoma | Jon-smallcell- lungcancer(- survival) | quamous cell lung carcinoma | quamous cell lung carcinoma | Jon-small cell lung cancer (survival) | mall cell lung carcinoma | |
| | rsiD SNP (P) | 4274879 3.00E- S 16 | 556402 8.00E- N 08 | 4274879 3.00E- S 16 | 4274879 3.00E- S 16 | 4274879 3.00E- S 16 | 4274879 3.00E- S 16 | 556402 8.00E- N 08 | 957511 1.00E- S 06 | 556402 8.00E- N 08 | 4274879 3.00E- S 16 | 4274879 3.00E- S 16 | 556402 8.00E- N 08 |)456332 7.00E- S 06 | |
| | Tag SNP | chr6.30882415 rs1 | chr2.233426526 rs1 | chr6.30882415 rs1 | chr6.30882415 rs1 | chr6.30882415 rs1 | chr6.30882415 rs1 | chr2.233426526 rs1 | chr7.130668618 rs6 | chr2.233426526 rs1 | chr6.30882415 rs1 | chr6.30882415 rs1 | chr2.233426526 rs1 | chr6.26686131 rs1 | 2 2 2 0 0 0 2 1 1 E |
| Cell type | specificity (FDR) | 3.16.E-02 | 3.16.E-02 | 3.16.E-02 | 1.61.E-05 | 1.61.E-05 | 1.61.E-05 | 1.07.E-03 | 1.61.E-05 | 3.16.E-02 | 1.61.E-05 | 3.16.E-02 | 1.07.E-03 | 2.00.E-02 | 1.07.E-03 | 1.61.E-05 | 1.61.E-05 | 1.07.E-03 | 2.58.E-02 | |
| | Cell type | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | Epithelial | [~]~~]+;~] |
| | cRE coordinates | chr6:30848819- 30857882 | chr6:30848819- 30857882 | chr6:30848819- 30857882 | chr6:30889573- 30895783 | chr6:30889573- 30895783 | chr6:30889573- 30895783 | chr2:233453904- 233458464 | chr6:30889573- 30895783 | chr6:30848819- 30857882 | chr6:30889573- 30895783 | chr6:30848819- 30857882 | chr2:233453904- 233458464 | chr7:130666628- 130685063 | chr2:233453904- 233458464 | chr6:30889573- 30895783 | chr6:30889573- 30895783 | chr2:233453904- 233458464 | chr6:27094480- 27096156 | 0000572 |
| | Ensemble ID | ENSG00000204538.3 | ENSG00000196260.3 | ENSG00000168631.7 | ENSG00000204544.5 | ENSG00000204580.7 | ENSG0000261272.1 | ENSG00000077044.5 | ENSG00000204531.11 | ENSG00000204536.9 | ENSG00000204540.6 | ENSG00000137411.12 | ENSG00000221944.3 | ENSG00000128607.9 | ENSG00000135902.5 | ENSG00000204542.2 | ENSG00000204576.7 | ENSG00000204120.10 | ENSG00000181315.6 | ENICCOMMU127327 10 |
| | Gene ID | PSORS1C2 | SFTA2 | DPCR1 | MUC21 | DDR1 | MUC22 | DGKD | POUSF1 | CCHCR1 | PSORS1C1 | VARS2 | TIGD1 | KLHDC10 | CHRND | C6orf15 | PRR3 | GIGYF2 | ZNF322 | |

https://doi.org/10.5808/gi.20073

Table 2. A list of inferred target genes of SNP-harboring cREs with cell-type specific expression

9/12

| | | | | | 7 trun | cformed . | | ucion. | |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|---|---------|--------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | | | | T-uan | SIUTIER | אבנוב באאו | | |
| Tag SNP rsID | SNP (F | P) Trait | Journal | Myeloid | B cell | T cell | Epitheli- al | Fibro- blast | Endo- thelial |
| .125510257 rs113301 | 858 7.00E- 06 | Squamous cell lung carcinoma | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | -1.371 | -0.807 | 0.263 | -0.207 | 0.318 | 1.804 |
| 102857739 rs185815 | 5317 1.00E- 06 | Familialsqua- mouscelllung- carcinoma | Carcinogene- sis (2018) [25] | -0.792 | -1.055 | -1.004 | 0.611 | 1.550 | 0.689 |
| .102048979 rs12765(| 052 1.00E- 06 | Squamous cell lung carcinoma | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | 2.161 | -0.253 | -0.008 | -0.780 | -0.438 | -0.682 |
| 9058562 rs107316 | 0 3.00E- 06 | Small cell lung carcinoma | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | 1.837 | -0.689 | -0.678 | -0.657 | -0.695 | 0.882 |
| 160210727 rs23694; | 73 7.00E- 06 | Squamous cell lung carcinoma | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | 1.760 | -0.255 | 0.928 | -0.812 | -0.814 | -0.808 |
| .102048979 rs12765(| 052 1.00E- 06 | Squamous cell lung carcinoma | Nat Genet (2017) [<mark>23</mark>] | 1.459 | -1.436 | -0.536 | 1.058 | -0.629 | 0.084 |
| 30882415 rs114274 | 16 | Squamous cell lung carcinoma | Nat Genet (2017) [23] | 0.965 | -0.295 | 1.539 | -1.526 | -0.501 | -0.183 |
| coverv rate. | | | | | | | | | |

chr11:125543549-Endotheli-9.66.E-04

ENSG00000198331.6

HYLS1

Fibroblast 3.09.E-02

chr2:102854553-

ENSG00000115594.7

IL1R1

102858050

125544766

Cell type specificity

Cell type

cRE coordinates

Ensemble ID

≘

Gene I

1.79.E-04

chr10:102012793- Myeloid

BLOC1S2 ENSG00000196072.7

2.06.E-02

ENSG0000066294.10 chr1:160315750- Myeloid

CD84

160319868

2.70.E-04

Tcell

chr6:30802423-

ENSG0000146112.7

PP1R18

30803200

01953324

1.17.E-02

chr10:101951673- Myeloid

ENSG0000213341.6

CHUK

3.18.E-02

Myeloid

chr12:9052330-

ENSG0000166523.3

CLEC4E

9056220

102017699

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; cRE, cis-regulatory element; FDR, false discovery rate.

ing allows effective identification and characterization of cell populations within human tissues. For example, the single-nucleus ATAC-seq (snATAC-seq) data from the human lung generated by Wang et al. [31] identified six sub-clusters in the epithelial population (AT1/AT2, PNEC, club, basal, and ciliated cells) and cell type-specific gene regulation associated with viral entry. However, the read-depth and coverage obtained in snATAC-seq data are considerably low for each cell type when compared with bulk ChIP-seq data utilizing primary cells and cell lines. The collection of individual ChIP-seq samples representing major cell types in the human lung, as conducted in this study, may allow a more discrete cell type-specific investigation of regulatory dynamics. Moreover, the development of scRNA-seq allowed a population-based analysis of transcriptome data. However, it is worth noting that the number of genes detected by scRNA-seq is limited to a few thousand, which largely restricted our scope of investigating the inferred target genes of cell type–specific cREs. Finally, the current work involving the functional annotation of lung cancer GWAS-SNPs and inference of their putative target genes is a notable endeavor, which will provide qualitative insights into disease mechanisms that may be of value in identifying new risk factors developing new approaches for prevention and treatment.

ORCID

Andrew J. Lee: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9917-8834 Inkyung Jung: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5885-2754

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: AJL, IJ. Data curation: AJL. Formal analysis: AJL. Funding acquisition: IJ. Methodology: AJL. Writing -original draft: AJL. Writing - review & editing: AJL, IJ.

Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and ICT through the National Research Foundation in Republic of Korea under grant number NRF-2020R1A2C4001464 to IJ. A portion of the data used for this study was obtained from the Genome-InfraNet (IDs: 1711056526 and 1711065396) of the Korean Bioinformation Center.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary data can be found with this article online at http://www.genominfo.org.

References

- Shlyueva D, Stampfel G, Stark A. Transcriptional enhancers: from properties to genome-wide predictions. Nat Rev Genet 2014; 15:272-286.
- 2. Sloan CA, Chan ET, Davidson JM, Malladi VS, Strattan JS, Hitz BC, et al. ENCODE data at the ENCODE portal. Nucleic Acids Res 2016;44:D726-D732.
- 3. Davis CA, Hitz BC, Sloan CA, Chan ET, Davidson JM, Gabdank I, et al. The Encyclopedia of DNA elements (ENCODE): data portal update. Nucleic Acids Res 2018;46:D794-D801.
- Bernstein BE, Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Costello JF, Ren B, Milosavljevic A, Meissner A, et al. The NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium. Nat Biotechnol 2010;28:1045-1048.
- Carullo NV, Day JJ. Genomic enhancers in brain health and disease. Genes (Basel) 2019;10:43.
- 6. Karnuta JM, Scacheri PC. Enhancers: bridging the gap between gene control and human disease. Hum Mol Genet 2018;27: R219-R227.
- 7. Niederriter AR, Varshney A, Parker SC, Martin DM. Super enhancers in cancers, complex disease, and developmental disorders. Genes (Basel) 2015;6:1183-1200.
- **8.** Stenson PD, Mort M, Ball EV, Shaw K, Phillips A, Cooper DN. The Human Gene Mutation Database: building a comprehensive mutation repository for clinical and molecular genetics, diagnostic testing and personalized genomic medicine. Hum Genet 2014;133:1-9.
- **9.** Lettice LA, Heaney SJ, Purdie LA, Li L, de Beer P, Oostra BA, et al. A long-range Shh enhancer regulates expression in the developing limb and fin and is associated with preaxial polydactyly. Hum Mol Genet 2003;12:1725-1735.
- Sanyal A, Lajoie BR, Jain G, Dekker J. The long-range interaction landscape of gene promoters. Nature 2012;489:109-113.
- Dixon JR, Jung I, Selvaraj S, Shen Y, Antosiewicz-Bourget JE, Lee AY, et al. Chromatin architecture reorganization during stem cell differentiation. Nature 2015;518:331-336.
- 12. Jin F, Li Y, Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Ye Z, Lee AY, et al. A high-resolution map of the three-dimensional chromatin interactome in human cells. Nature 2013;503:290-294.
- 13. Rao SS, Huntley MH, Durand NC, Stamenova EK, Bochkov ID, Robinson JT, et al. A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell 2014;

159:1665-1680.

- 14. Jung I, Schmitt A, Diao Y, Lee AJ, Liu T, Yang D, et al. A compendium of promoter-centered long-range chromatin interactions in the human genome. Nat Genet 2019;51:1442-1449.
- Yamashita R, Sugano S, Suzuki Y, Nakai K. DBTSS: DataBase of Transcriptional Start Sites progress report in 2012. Nucleic Acids Res 2012;40:D150-D154.
- 16. Yang C, Stueve TR, Yan C, Rhie SK, Mullen DJ, Luo J, et al. Positional integration of lung adenocarcinoma susceptibility loci with primary human alveolar epithelial cell epigenomes. Epigenomics 2018;10:1167-1187.
- Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, et al. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol 2008;9:R137.
- Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, Harris LW, Hayhurst J, Malangone C, et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47:D1005-D1012.
- Ay F, Bailey TL, Noble WS. Statistical confidence estimation for Hi-C data reveals regulatory chromatin contacts. Genome Res 2014;24:999-1011.
- 20. Kim N, Kim HK, Lee K, Hong Y, Cho JH, Choi JW, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing demonstrates the molecular and cellular reprogramming of metastatic lung adenocarcinoma. Nat Commun 2020;11:2285.
- 21. Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotechnol 2018;36:411-420.
- 22. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 2010;26:139-140.
- 23. McKay JD, Hung RJ, Han Y, Zong X, Carreras-Torres R, Christiani DC, et al. Large-scale association analysis identifies new lung cancer susceptibility loci and heterogeneity in genetic susceptibility across histological subtypes. Nat Genet 2017;49:1126-1132. 28604730
- 24. Sato Y, Yamamoto N, Kunitoh H, Ohe Y, Minami H, Laird NM, et al. Genome-wide association study on overall survival of advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with carboplatin and paclitaxel. J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:132-138.
- **25.** Byun J, Schwartz AG, Lusk C, Wenzlaff AS, de Andrade M, Mandal D, et al. Genome-wide association study of familial lung cancer. Carcinogenesis 2018;39:1135-1140.
- 26. Yoon KA, Park JH, Han J, Park S, Lee GK, Han JY, et al. A genome-wide association study reveals susceptibility variants for non-small cell lung cancer in the Korean population. Hum Mol Genet 2010;19:4948-4954.

- 27. Lee Y, Yoon KA, Joo J, Lee D, Bae K, Han JY, et al. Prognostic implications of genetic variants in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a genome-wide association study. Carcinogenesis 2013;34:307-313.
- **28.** Zhong X, Zhang W, Sun T. DDR1 promotes breast tumor growth by suppressing antitumor immunity. Oncol Rep 2019;42:2844-2854.
- **29.** Gadiya M, Chakraborty G. Signaling by discoidin domain receptor 1 in cancer metastasis. Cell Adh Migr 2018;12:315-323.
- **30.** Lewinsky H, Barak AF, Huber V, Kramer MP, Radomir L, Sever L, et al. CD84 regulates PD-1/PD-L1 expression and function in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Clin Invest 2018;128:5465-5478.
- 31. Wang A, Chiou J, Poirion OB, Buchanan J, Valdez MJ, Verheyden JM, et al. Single-cell multiomic profiling of human lungs reveals cell-type-specific and age-dynamic control of SARS-CoV2 host genes. Elife 2020;9:e62522.