Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **58** (2021), No. 4, pp. 1021–1029 https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b200784 pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

S-NOETHERIAN IN BI-AMALGAMATIONS

HWANKOO KIM, NAJIB MAHDOU, AND YOUSSEF ZAHIR

ABSTRACT. This paper establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for a bi-amalgamation to inherit the S-Noetherian property. The new results compare to previous works carried on various settings of duplications and amalgamations, and capitalize on recent results on bi-amalgamations. Our results allow us to construct new and original examples of rings satisfying the S-Noetherian property.

1. Introduction

All rings considered below are assumed to be commutative with identity and all modules are assumed to be unital. Let A be a ring. A nonempty subset S of A is said to be a multiplicative subset if $1 \in S$ and for each $a, b \in S$ we have $ab \in S$. Throughout this note, Spec(A) will denote the set of all prime ideals of A. Any concept and notation not defined here can be found in [1, 14]. In [1], Anderson and Dumitrescu introduced the concept of S-Noetherian rings as a generalization of Noetherian rings. Let A be a ring, S be a multiplicative set of A, and E be an A-module. According to [1], we say that E is S-finite if there exist a finitely generated submodule F of E and $s \in S$ such that $sE \subseteq F$. Also, we say that E is S-Noetherian if each submodule of E is Sfinite. A ring A is said to be S-Noetherian if it is S-Noetherian as an A-module (i.e., if each ideal of A is S-finite). In [1], Anderson and Dumitrescu gave a number of S-variants of well-known results for Noetherian rings: S-versions of Cohen's result, the Eakin-Nagata theorem, the Hilbert Basis theorem, and under certain supplementary hypothesis, they studied the transfer of the S-Noetherian property to the ring of polynomials and the ring of formal power series. In [17], Liu studied when the ring of generalized power series is S-Noetherian. Finally, it is worthwhile recalling that, Lim and Oh investigated necessary and sufficient conditions for an amalgamated algebra to inherit the S-Noetherian property (see [16]). Recently S-Noetherian and S-version of many special rings, ideals and modules draw attention. See, for examples [12, 17, 20].

 $\odot 2021$ Korean Mathematical Society

Received September 14, 2020; Revised March 7, 2021; Accepted March 12, 2021.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13D05, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. S-Noetherian, bi-amalgamation, amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal.

Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: A \to C$ be two ring homomorphisms and let J and J' be two ideals of B and C, respectively, such that $f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J')$. The bi-amalgamation (or bi-amalgamated algebra) of A with (B, C) along (J, J') with respect to (f, g) is the subring of $B \times C$ given by:

$$A \bowtie^{f,g} (J,J') := \{ (f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \mid a \in A, (j,j') \in J \times J' \}.$$

This construction is a natural generalization of duplications [4, 5, 9, 10] and amalgamations [6–8]. In [14], the authors introduce and provide original examples of bi-amalgamations and, in particular, show that Boisen–Sheldon's CPIextensions [3] can be viewed as bi-amalgamations. They also show how every bi-amalgamation can arise as a natural pullback (or even as a conductor square) and then characterize pullbacks that can arise as bi-amalgamations. This allowed them to characterize Traverso's glueings of prime ideals [19, 21, 22, 24], which can be viewed as special bi-amalgamations. Then the last two sections deal, respectively, with the transfer of some basic ring theoretic properties to biamalgamations and the study of their prime ideal structures. All their results recover known results on duplications and amalgamations.

In [11], the authors investigate the transfer of the property of coherence in the bi-amalgamation. On the other hand, in [15], the authors establish necessary and sufficient conditions for a bi-amalgamation to inherit the arithmetical property, with applications on the weak global dimension and transfer of the semihereditary property. Also, in [18], the authors investigate the transfer of the notions of Gaussian and Prüfer rings to the bi-amalgamation and theirs results recover all well known results on amalgamations and generate new original examples of rings satisfying these properties. Suitable background on bi-amalgamated algebra is [11, 14, 15, 18].

In this paper, we pursue the investigation on the structure of the ring of the form $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$, with a particular attention to the S-Notherian ring property introduced and studied in [1]. More precisely, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the bi-amalgamations to be S-Noetherian. Our results generalize the well-known results provided in [16] and [14]. Our main goal is to provide new classes of rings which satisfy this property.

For a set U, denote by $\langle U \rangle$ the ideal generated by U. We refer the reader to [23] for the undefined terminology and notation.

2. Main results

The first main result establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for a bi-amalgamation to inherit the S-Noetherian property. For this purpose, let us adopt the following notation: Let S be a multiplicative subset of A. Clearly f(S) and g(S) are multiplicative subsets of f(A)+J and g(A)+J' respectively. Set $S' := \{(f(s), g(s)) | s \in S\}$, which is a multiplicative subset of $A \Join^{(f,g)}(J, J')$. For brevity, we say that a ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$ is said to be S-finite if B is an S-finite A-module. According to [1], a multiplicative set S of a ring A is called anti-archimedean if $(\bigcap_{n>1} s^n A) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for every $s \in S$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ and $g : A \longrightarrow C$ be two S-finite homomorphisms. Consider the following two conditions:

- (1) B is an f(S)-Noetherian ring and C is a g(S)-Noetherian ring.
- (2) $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ is an S'-Noetherian ring.
- Then (1) implies (2) and the converse is true if S' is anti-archimedean.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By [1, Corollary 7], it suffices to show that $B \times C$ is S'-finite as an $A \Join^{f,g} (J,J')$ -module. Since B and C are S-finite A-modules, there exist elements $s_1, s_2 \in S$ such that $f(s_1)B \subseteq f(A)b_1 + \cdots + f(A)b_n \subseteq B$ and $g(s_2)C \subseteq g(A)c_1 + \cdots + g(A)c_m \subseteq C$, where each $b_i \in B$ and $c_j \in C$. Put $s = s_1s_2$. For each $(b,c) \in B \times C$, there exist $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \ldots, β_m in A such that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(s),g(s))(b,c) &= (f(s)b,g(s)c) \\ &= (f(s_1s_2)b,0) + (0,g(s_1s_2)c) \\ &= (f(s_2),g(s_2))(f(s_1)b,0) + (f(s_1),g(s_1))(0,g(s_2)c) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(\alpha_i),g(\alpha_i))(f(s_2),g(s_2))(b_i,0) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \left(f(\beta_j),g(\beta_j))(f(s_1),g(s_1))(0,c_j) \\ &\in \left\langle \{(b_i,0),(0,c_j) \mid 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m\} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(f(s), g(s))B \times C \subseteq \left\langle \{(b_i, 0), (0, c_j) \mid 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m\} \right\rangle \subseteq B \times C.$$

So $B \times C$ is an S'-finite $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ -module.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Under the additional hypothesis that S' is anti-archimedean, the result follows immediately from [1, Proposition 9] and the fact that $B \times C = A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')[w_1, \ldots, w_n]$, where $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in B \times C$.

Our next goal is to describe more precisely the S-Noetherianity of $A \bowtie^{(f,g)}(J, J')$ by using its pullback structure. In fact, $A \bowtie^{f,g}(J, J')$ is the pullback $\alpha \times_{A/I} \beta$, where $I := f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J')$, $\alpha : f(A) + J \longrightarrow A/I$ and $\beta : g(A) + J' \longrightarrow A/I$ (see [14, Section 3]).

Theorem 2.2. Under the above notation. The following conditions are equivalent.

(1) $A \bowtie^{(f,g)} (J, J')$ is an S'-Noetherian ring.

(2) f(A)+J is an f(S)-Noetherian ring and J' is an S'-Noetherian $A \bowtie^{(f,g)}(J, J')$ -module (with the $A \bowtie^{(f,g)}(J, J')$ -module structure naturally induced by the surjective canonical homomorphism $A \bowtie^{(f,g)}(J, J') \twoheadrightarrow g(A) + J')$.

(3) f(A) + J is an f(S)-Noetherian ring and g(A) + J' is a g(S)-Noetherian ring.

The proof of the above theorem involves the following lemma, which is of independent interest.

Let D be a pullback of λ and μ as in [16, Definition 2.2]. We denote the restriction to D of the projection of $A \times B$ onto A (resp., B) by p_A (resp., p_B).

Lemma 2.3 ([16, Proposition 2.3]). Let D be the pullback of λ and μ as in [16, Definition 2.2], where μ is surjective. If S is a multiplicative subset of D, then the following

(1) D is an S-Noetherian ring.

(2) A is a $p_A(S)$ -Noetherian ring and $Ker(\mu)$ is an S-Noetherian D-module.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) This follows immediately from Lemma 2.3.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that $A \bowtie^{(f,g)}(J,J')$ is S'-Noetherian. By [14, Proposition 4.1(2)], $\frac{A\bowtie^{(f,g)}(J,J')}{0 \times J'} \simeq f(A) + J$ and $\frac{A\bowtie^{(f,g)}(J,J')}{J \times 0} \simeq g(A) + J'$. The result follows immediately from [17, Lemma 2.2] since $p_1(S') = f(S)$ and $p_2(S') = g(S)$, where p_1 and p_2 denote the surjective canonical homomorphisms $A \bowtie^{(f,g)}(J,J') \twoheadrightarrow f(A) + J$ and $A \bowtie^{(f,g)}(J,J') \twoheadrightarrow g(A) + J'$ respectively.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Let K be an $A \bowtie^{(f,g)} (J, J')$ -submodule of J'. Clearly K is an ideal of g(A) + J'. Since g(A) + J' is g(S)-Noetherian, there exist $s \in S$ and $k_1, \ldots, k_n \in K$ such that $g(s)K \subseteq (g(A) + J')k_1 + \cdots + (g(A) + J')k_n \subseteq K$. Then $(f(s), g(s))K \subseteq (A \bowtie^{(f,g)} (J, J'))k_1 + \cdots + (A \bowtie^{(f,g)} (J, J'))k_n \subseteq K$. Therefore K is an S'-finite $A \bowtie^{(f,g)} (J, J')$ -module. Finally J' is an S'-Noetherian $A \bowtie^{(f,g)} (J, J')$ -module.

When S consists of units of A, we reobtain [14, Proposition 3.2].

Corollary 2.4. Under the above notation, we have: $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ is Noetherian if and only if f(A) + J and g(A) + J' are Noetherian.

Recall that $A \bowtie^{(i,f)} (I,J) = A \bowtie^f J$, where $i = id_A$ and $I = f^{-1}(J)$. Put $S' := \{(s, f(s)) | s \in S\}$. Then S' is a multiplicative subset of $A \bowtie^f J$. In this case, we reobtain the special case of amalgamated algebras, as recorded in the next corollary.

Corollary 2.5 ([16, Theorem 3.2]). Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism, J an ideal of B, and S a multiplicative subset of A. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) $A \bowtie^f J$ is an S'-Noetherian ring.
- (2) A is an S-Noetherian ring and J is an S'-Noetherian $A \bowtie^f J$ -module.
- (3) A is an S-Noetherian ring and f(A) + J is an f(S)-Noetherian ring.

By using [1, Corollary 5], we give a more useful criterion for the S'-Noetherian property of $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$. To do this, we need to recall the form of the prime ideals of the bi-amalgamations. For this, let us adopt the following notations: For $L \in \text{Spec}(f(A) + J)$ and $L' \in \text{Spec}(g(A) + J')$, consider the prime ideals of $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ given by:

 $\bar{L} := (L \times (g(A) + J')) \cap A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$

$$= \{ (f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \mid a \in A, (j, j') \in J \times J' \text{ and } f(a) + j \in L \}; \\ \bar{L}' := ((f(A) + J) \times L') \cap A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J') \\ = \{ (f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \mid a \in A, (j, j') \in J \times J' \text{ and } g(a) + j' \in L' \}.$$

Lemma 2.6 ([14, Proposition 5.3]). Under the above notation, let \mathcal{P} be a prime ideal of $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$. Then

(1) $J \times J' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ if and only if there exists a unique $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ with $P \supseteq I$ such that $\mathcal{P} = P \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$, where $I := f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J')$. In this case, there exist $L \in \operatorname{Spec}(f(A) + J)$ with $L \supseteq J$ and $L' \in \operatorname{Spec}(g(A) + J')$ with $L' \supseteq J'$ such that $\mathcal{P} = \overline{L} = \overline{L'}$.

(2) $J \times J' \nsubseteq \mathcal{P}$ if and only if there exists a unique L in $\operatorname{Spec}(f(A) + J)$ (or $L \in \operatorname{Spec}(g(A) + J)$) such that $J \nsubseteq L$ (or $J' \nsubseteq L$) and $\mathcal{P} = \overline{L}$.

Consequently, we have:

 $\operatorname{Spec}(A \bowtie^{f,g} (J,J') = \{ \overline{L} \mid L \in \operatorname{Spec}(f(A) + J) \cup \operatorname{Spec}(g(A) + J) \}.$

Theorem 2.7. Under the above notation, assume that A is an S-Noetherian ring and B (resp., C) is an S-finite A-module (with the A-module structure induced by f (resp., by g)). Then $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ is an S'-Noetherian ring.

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be a prime ideal of $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ disjoint from S'. By Lemma 2.6, we have two cases:

Case 1. \mathcal{P} has the form $P \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$, where P is a prime ideal of A disjoint from S. Since A is S-Noetherian, there exist $s_1 \in S$ and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in P$ such that $s_1P \subseteq \langle \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\} \rangle \subseteq P$. Since B is an S-finite A-module, there exist an element $s_2 \in S$ and $j_1, \ldots, j_m \in J$ such that $s_2J \subseteq A.j_1 + \cdots + A.j_m \subseteq J$ (or $f(s_2)J \subseteq f(A)j_1 + \cdots + f(A)j_m \subseteq J$). Also C is an S-finite A-module, there exist $s_3 \in S$ and $j'_1, \ldots, j'_p \in J'$ such that $s_3J' \subseteq A.j'_1 + \cdots + A.j'_p \subseteq J'$ (or $g(s_3)J' \subseteq g(A)j'_1 + \cdots + g(A)j'_p \subseteq J'$). Put $s = s_1s_2s_3$. Let $(f(p) + j, g(p) + j') \in P \Join^{f,g} (J, J')$. So $p \in P$, and thus there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \in A$ such that $sp = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i$. Also $j \in J$ and $j' \in J'$ implies that there exist $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_p$ in A such that $jf(s) = \sum_{k=1}^m f(\beta_k)j_k$ and $j'g(s) = \sum_{l=1}^q g(\gamma_l)j'_l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(f(s), g(s))(f(p) + j, g(p) + j') \\ &= (f(sp) + jf(s), g(sp) + j'g(p)) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\alpha_i)f(a_i) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} f(\beta_k)j_k, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\alpha_i)g(a_i) + \sum_{l=1}^{q} g(\gamma_l)j'_l\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(\alpha_i), g(\alpha_i))(f(a_i), g(a_i)) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (f(\beta_k), g(\beta_k))(j_k, 0) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{q} (f(\gamma_l), g(\gamma_l))(0, j'_l) \\ &\in \left\langle \{(f(a_i), g(a_i)), (j_k, 0), (0, j'_l) \mid 1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le k \le m, \ 1 \le l \le q\} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $\mathcal{P} = \overline{L}$ for some prime ideal L of f(A) + J or $\mathcal{P} = \overline{L}'$ for some prime ideal L' of g(A) + J'. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{P} = \overline{L}$. Note that $p_B(\overline{L}) = \{f(a) + j \mid (f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \in \overline{L}\}$ is an A-submodule of B. As B is an S-finite A-module and A is an S-Noetherian ring, there exist $s_1 \in S$ and $f(a_1) + j_1, f(a_2) + j_2, \ldots, f(a_n) + j_n \in p_B(\overline{L})$ such that

$$f(s_1)p_B(\bar{L}) \subseteq f(A)(f(a_1)+j_1)+\cdots+f(A)(f(a_n)+j_n) \subseteq p_B(\bar{L}).$$

Let $(f(a) + j, g(a) + j') \in \overline{L}$. Then

$$(f(s_1), g(s_1))(f(a) + j, g(a) + j') = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f(\alpha_i)(f(a_i) + j_i), g(s_1)g(a) + g(s_1)j'\right)$$

for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \in A$. Note that $g(s_1)g(a) - \sum_{i=1}^n g(\alpha_i)g(a_1) \in J'$, and hence $s_1a - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_1 \in g^{-1}(J')$. As $g^{-1}(J')$ is an ideal of A and A is an S-Noetherian ring, there exist an element $s_2 \in S$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \in A$ such that

$$s_2g^{-1}(J') \subseteq \langle \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\} \rangle \subseteq g^{-1}(J').$$

Hence $s_2(s_1a - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_1) = \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k b_k$ for some $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m \in A$. So $s_2s_1a = s_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i + \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k b_k$. Moreover, as *C* is an *S*-finite *A*-module, there exists $s_3 \in S$ such that $g(s_3)J' \subseteq g(A)j'_1 + g(A)j'_2 + \cdots + g(A)j'_p \subseteq J'$. Putting $s = s_1s_2s_3$, we have:

$$\begin{split} &(f(s),g(s))(f(a)+j,g(a)+j')\\ &= \left(f(s_2s_3)(\sum_{i=1}^n f(\alpha_i)(f(a_i)+j_i)),g(s_1s_2s_3)g(a)+g(s_1s_2s_3)j'\right)\\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f(s_2s_3)f(\alpha_i)f(a_i)+\sum_{i=1}^n f(s_2s_3)f(\alpha_i)j_i,\\ &g(s_2s_3)(\sum_{i=1}^n g(\alpha_i)g(a_i))+g(s_3)(\sum_{k=1}^m g(\beta_k)g(b_k)+g(s_1s_2)(\sum_{l=1}^p g(\eta_l)j'_l)\right)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(s_2s_3)f(\alpha_i),g(s_2s_3)g(\alpha_i)\right)(f(a_i),g(a_i))\\ &+\sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(s_2s_3)f(\alpha_i),g(s_2s_3)g(\alpha_i)\right)(j_i,0)\\ &+\sum_{i=k}^m \left(f(s_3)f(\beta_k),g(s_3)g(\beta_k)\right)(0,g(b_k)))\\ &+\sum_{l=1}^p \left(f(s_1s_2)f(\eta_l),g(s_1s_2)g(\eta_l)\right)(0,j'_l)\\ &\in \left\langle\{(f(a_i),g(a_i)),(j_i,0),(0,g(b_k)),(0,j'_l)\mid 1\leq i\leq n,\ 1\leq k\leq m,\ \text{and}\ 1\leq l\leq p\}\right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Then it follows from all cases that \mathcal{P} is S'-finite, which implies that $A \bowtie^{f,g}(J, J')$ is an S'-Noetherian ring.

We close this note by the following examples.

Example 2.8. Let A be an S-Noetherian ring and I, K two proper ideals of A such that $I \subseteq K$. Set B := A/I and $C := A \times A$. Let $f : A \to B$ be the canonical ring homomorphism and $g : A \to C$ be the canonical embedding on the first component. Consider J := K/I and $J' := K \times 0$ the ideals of B and C respectively. Note that B and C are S-finite as A-modules. Then by Theorem 2.7, $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J, J')$ is an S'-Noetherian ring.

The following example uses the $A \propto E$ construction introduced and studied in [13]. Let A be a ring and E an A-module. Then $A \propto E = A \times E$ is a ring with identity (1,0) under addition defined by (a, e) + (b, f) = (a+b, e+f) and multiplication defined by (a, e)(b, f) = (ab, af + be). See for instance [2, 10].

Example 2.9. Let A be an S-Noetherian ring and E and E' two A-modules such that $E \neq E'$ which are S-finite. Consider the natural injective ring homomorphisms $f: A \to A \propto E$ and $g: A \to A \propto E'$ and set $J := I \propto E$ and $J' := I \propto E'$ to be two ideals of $A \propto E$ and $A \propto E'$ respectively for some ideal I of A. We claim that the bi-amalgamation $A \bowtie^{f,g} (J,J')$ is an S'-Noetherian ring. Indeed, notice first that $f^{-1}(J) = g^{-1}(J') = I$, $f(A) + J = A \propto E$, and $g(A) + J = A \propto E'$. Furthermore, f(A) + J is an f(S)-Noetherian ring and g(A) + J' is a g(S)-Noetherian ring by [16, Theorem 3.8.]. Now the assertion follows immediately from Theorem 2.2(3).

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks for the referee for his/her careful reading and helpful comments, which have greatly improved this paper.

References

- D. D. Anderson and T. Dumitrescu, S-Noetherian rings, Comm. Algebra 30 (2002), no. 9, 4407–4416. https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-120013328
- [2] D. D. Anderson and M. Winders, *Idealization of a module*, J. Commut. Algebra 1 (2009), no. 1, 3–56. https://doi.org/10.1216/JCA-2009-1-1-3
- [3] M. B. Boisen, Jr., and P. B. Sheldon, CPI-extensions: overrings of integral domains with special prime spectrums, Canadian J. Math. 29 (1977), no. 4, 722-737. https: //doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1977-076-6
- [4] M. Chhiti, M. Jarrar, S. Kabbaj, and N. Mahdou, Prüfer conditions in an amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal, Comm. Algebra 43 (2015), no. 1, 249–261. https: //doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2014.897575
- [5] M. D'Anna, A construction of Gorenstein rings, J. Algebra 306 (2006), no. 2, 507-519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.12.023
- [6] M. D'Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro, and M. Fontana, Amalgamated algebras along an ideal, in Commutative algebra and its applications, 155–172, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2009.
- [7] _____, Properties of chains of prime ideals in an amalgamated algebra along an ideal, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 214 (2010), no. 9, 1633–1641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa. 2009.12.008

- [8] M. D'Anna and M. Fontana, The amalgamated duplication of a ring along a multiplicative-canonical ideal, Ark. Mat. 45 (2007), no. 2, 241–252. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11512-006-0038-1
- [9] _____, An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: the basic properties, J. Algebra Appl. 6 (2007), no. 3, 443–459. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498807002326
- [10] T. Dumitrescu, N. Mahdou, and Y. Zahir, Radical factorization for trivial extensions and amalgamated duplication rings, J. Algebra Appl. 20 (2021), no. 2, 2150025, 10 pp. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498821500250
- [11] M. El Ouarrachi and N. Mahdou, Coherence in bi-amalgamated algebras along ideals, in Homological and combinatorial methods in algebra, 127–138, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 228, Springer, Cham, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-74195-6_13
- [12] A. Hamed and A. Malek, S-prime ideals of a commutative ring, Beitr. Algebra Geom.
 61 (2020), no. 3, 533–542. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13366-019-00476-5
- [13] J. A. Huckaba, Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 117, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [14] S. Kabbaj, K. Louartiti, and M. Tamekkante, Bi-amalgamated algebras along ideals, J. Commut. Algebra 9 (2017), no. 1, 65–87. https://doi.org/10.1216/JCA-2017-9-1-65
- [15] S. Kabbaj, N. Mahdou, and M. A. S. Moutui, Bi-amalgamations subject to the arithmetical property, J. Algebra Appl. 16 (2017), no. 2, 1750030, 11 pp. https://doi.org/ 10.1142/S021949881750030X
- [16] J. W. Lim and D. Y. Oh, S-Noetherian properties on amalgamated algebras along an ideal, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218 (2014), no. 6, 1075–1080. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jpaa.2013.11.003
- [17] Z. Liu, On S-Noetherian rings, Arch. Math. (Brno) 43 (2007), no. 1, 55-60.
- [18] N. Mahdou and M. A. S. Moutui, Gaussian and Prüfer conditions in bi-amalgamated algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 70(145) (2020), no. 2, 381–391. https://doi.org/10. 21136/CMJ.2019.0335-18
- [19] C. Pedrini, Incollamenti di ideali primi e gruppi di Picard, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 48 (1972), 39–66 (1973).
- [20] E. S. Sevim, T. Arabaci, Ü. Tekir, and S. Koç, On S-prime submodules, Turkish J. Math. 43 (2019), no. 2, 1036–1046. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1808-50
- [21] G. Tamone, Sugli incollamenti di ideali primi, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (5) 14 (1977), no. 3, 810–825.
- [22] C. Traverso, Seminormality and Picard group, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) 24 (1970), 585–595.
- [23] F. Wang and H. Kim, Foundations of Commutative Rings and Their Modules, Algebra and Applications, 22, Springer, Singapore, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3337-7
- [24] H. Yanagihara, On glueings of prime ideals, Hiroshima Math. J. 10 (1980), no. 2, 351– 363. http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.hmj/1206134458

HWANKOO KIM DIVISION OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING HOSEO UNIVERSITY ASAN 31499, KOREA Email address: hkkim@hoseo.edu

NAJIB MAHDOU LABORATORY OF MODELING AND MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ BOX 2202, UNIVERSITY S.M. BEN ABDELLAH FEZ MOROCCO Email address: mahdou@hotmail.com

Youssef Zahir Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences of Rabat, B.P. 1014 Mohammed-V University in Rabat Morocco Email address: y.zahir@um5r.ac.ma