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Abstract. In this paper, we investigate harmonic univalent functions convex in the direction $\theta$, for $\theta \in[0, \pi)$. We find bounds for $\left|f_{z}(z)\right|,\left|f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right|$ and $|f(z)|$, as well as coefficient bounds on the series expansion of functions convex in a given direction.

## 1. Introduction

A continuous function $f=u+i v$ is a complex valued harmonic function in a complex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ if both $u$ and $v$ are real harmonic in $\Omega$. In any simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, we can write $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\Omega$. We call $h$ the analytic part and $g$ the co-analytic part of $f$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $f$ to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in $\Omega$ is that $\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|>\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|$ in $\Omega$. (See [2]).

Denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ the class of functions $f=h+\bar{g}$ that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ for which $f(0)=f_{z}(0)-1=0$. Then for
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$f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$, we may express the analytic functions $h$ and $g$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad g(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}, \quad\left|b_{1}\right|<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If a univalent harmonic mapping $f=h+\bar{g}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\left|\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq k \leq 1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

then $f$ is called a harmonic $K$-quasiconformal mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ where $K=\frac{1+k}{1-k}$. Recently, several authors derived conditions for univalent harmonic mappings to be $K$-quasiconformal, see (for example) the works $[1,4,5,6,8,9,10,12]$ and the references therein.

A domain $\Omega$ is said to be convex in the direction $\theta$ for $\theta \in[0, \pi)$, if for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the set $\Omega \cap\left\{a+t e^{i \theta}: t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ is either connected or empty. In particular, a domain is convex in the direction of the real (imaginary) axis if every line parallel to the real (imaginary) axis has either an empty intersection or a connected intersection with the domain. A function is said to be convex in the direction $\theta$ if it maps $\mathbb{D}$ univalently onto a domain convex in the direction $\theta$.

## 2. Preliminaries

The shear construction introduced by Clunie and Sheil-Small in [2] provides a way of producing univalent harmonic functions in the unit disk which are convex in one direction. They proved the following interesting theorem.

Theorem 2.1.([2]) A sense-preserving harmonic function $f=h+\bar{g}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is a univalent mapping of $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain convex in the direction of the real axis if and only if $h-g$ is an analytic univalent mapping of $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain convex in the direction of the real axis.

Moreover, they proved by the following theorem that Theorem 2.1 would be generalized to a convex domain in the direction $\theta$.

Theorem 2.2.([2]) A harmonic function $f=h+\bar{g}$, locally univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, is a univalent mapping of onto a domain convex in the direction $\theta$ if and only if $h-e^{2 i \theta} g$ is an analytic univalent mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain convex in the direction $\theta$.

Hengartner and Schober [3] studied analytic functions $\psi$ that are convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. They used a normalization which basically depends on the right and left extremes of $\psi(\mathbb{D})$ being the images of 1 and -1 . Actually, this method deals with existing the sequences $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ converging to $z=1$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ converging to $z=-1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\psi\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} & =\sup _{|z|<1} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\{\psi(z)\} \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\psi\left(z_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} & =\inf _{|z|<1} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\{\psi(z)\} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $C I A$ be the class of domains $\Omega$ which are convex in direction the imaginary axis and admit a mapping $\psi$ such that $\psi(\mathbb{D})=\Omega$ and $\psi$ satisfies the normalization (2.1), then we have the following result:

Theorem 2.3.([3]) Suppose $\psi$ is analytic and nonconstant for $|z|<1$. Then we have $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \psi^{\prime}(z)\right\} \geq 0$ for $|z|<1$ if and only if
(1) $\psi$ is univalent on $\mathbb{D}$,
(2) $\psi(\mathbb{D}) \in C I A$, and
(3) $\psi$ is normalized by (2.1).

Using this characterization of functions, Hengartner and Schober then proved the next theorem:

Theorem 2.4.([3]) If $\psi$ is analytic for $|z|<1$ and satisfies $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \psi^{\prime}(z)\right\} \geq 0$, then for $|z| \leq r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|(1-r)}{(1+r)\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \leq\left|\psi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|}{(1-r)^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper bound is sharp for $\psi(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$, which maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the right halfplane $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\{z\}>\frac{1}{2}$, and the lower bound is sharp for $\psi(z)=\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \log \left(\frac{(1-i z)^{2}}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)}\right)$, which maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto a vertical strip, slit from $i \log \sqrt{2}$ to infinity along the positive imaginary axis.

To be able to manipulate these consistent results for the specific functions that are convex in the proper direction of $\theta,(0 \leq \theta<\pi)$, let us consider the following typical situation. Suppose that $\varphi(z)$ is a function that is analytic and convex in the direction of $\theta, \quad(0 \leq \theta<\pi)$. Furthermore, suppose that the $\varphi(z)$ is normalized a follows.

Let $\left\{w_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ be sequences such that $w_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow e^{-i \alpha}$ and $w_{n}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow-e^{-i \alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{-i \theta} \varphi\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} & =\sup _{|w|<1} \operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{-i \theta} \varphi(w)\right\}  \tag{2.3}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{-i \theta} \varphi\left(w_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} & =\inf _{|w|<1} \operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{-i \theta} \varphi(w)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $z_{n}^{\prime}=e^{i \alpha} w_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 1$ and $z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=e^{i \alpha} w_{n}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow-1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z):=i e^{-i \theta} \varphi\left(e^{-i \alpha} z\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\psi\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i e^{-i \theta} \varphi\left(e^{-i \alpha} z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{-i \theta} \varphi\left(e^{-i \alpha} z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sup _{|z|<1} \operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{-i \theta} \varphi\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sup _{|z|<1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{i e^{-i \alpha} \varphi\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sup _{|z|<1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\psi\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, one can shows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\psi\left(z_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}=\inf _{|z|<1} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\psi\left(z_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}
$$

which shows that $\psi$ defined in (2.4) satisfies (2.1). Therefore $\psi$ is univalent and convex in the direction of the imaginary axis in Theorem 2.4, satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.3. Replacing the definition of $\psi$ as (2.4) in Theorem 2.3, we have

$$
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \psi^{\prime}(z)\right\}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{i e^{-i \theta}\left(1-z^{2}\right) \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\} \geq 0
$$

Therefore we can apply Theorem 2.4 to $\varphi(z)$. The result still holds with $\psi(z)$ replaced by $\varphi(z)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}(k, \theta)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}$ consisting of functions $f=h+\bar{g}$ that $\left|\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq k<1$, convex in the direction of $\theta$ for $0 \leq \theta<\pi$ and $\varphi:=h-e^{2 i \theta} g$ which satisfy normalization (2.3).

## 3. Growth and Distortion Theorems

In this section we study the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}(k, \theta)$ and find bounds for $\left|f_{z}(z)\right|,\left|f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right|$ and $|f(z)|$, as well as coefficient bounds on the series expansion of harmonic quasi conformal mappings that are univalent and convex in a given direction. As is done in the litrature for Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, let $\varphi=h-e^{2 i \theta} g$ and $w=\frac{g^{\prime}}{h^{\prime}}$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}(k, \theta)$. For $|z| \leq r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-r}{(1+k r)(1+r)\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \leq\left|f_{z}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(1-k r)(1-r)^{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\omega(z)|(1-r)}{(1+k r)(1+r)\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \leq\left|f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right| \leq \frac{k r}{(1-k r)(1-r)^{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality is obtained for both upper bounds when

$$
\varphi(z)=e^{i \theta} z /(1-i z) \quad \text { and } \quad \omega(z)=k e^{(\pi / 2-2 \theta) i} z
$$

and for the lower bounds when

$$
\varphi(z)=\left(e^{i \theta} / 2\right) \log \left((1+z)^{2} /\left(1+z^{2}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \omega(z)=k e^{(\pi-2 \theta) i} z .
$$

Proof. Since $\varphi^{\prime}=h^{\prime}-e^{2 i \theta} g^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}=\omega h^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f_{z}(z)=h^{\prime}(z)=\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z) /\left(1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(z)\right)\right) \\
\overline{f_{\bar{z}}(z)}=g^{\prime}(z)=\left(\omega(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z) /\left(1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(z)\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Now $(\omega(z) / k)$ is a Schwarz function, therefore

$$
\left|f_{z}(z)\right|=\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(z)}{1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(z)}\right| \leq \frac{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-\left|e^{2 i \theta}\right||\omega(z)|} \leq \frac{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-k|z|}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\left|f_{z}(z)\right| \geq \frac{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+\left|e^{2 i \theta}\right||\omega(z)|} \geq \frac{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+k|z|}
$$

Using Theorem 2.4 gives inequality (3.1). Similarly,

$$
\left|f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right|=\left|\frac{\omega(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z)}{1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(z)}\right| \leq \frac{|\omega(z)|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-\left|e^{2 i \theta}\right||\omega(z)|} \leq \frac{k|z|}{1-k|z|}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right| \geq \frac{|\omega(z)|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+\left|e^{2 i \theta}\right||\omega(z)|} \geq \frac{|\omega(z)|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+k|z|}
$$

Applying Theorem 2.4 again yields (3.2).
The sharpness of the functions comes from examining the sharpness of the functions for Theorem 2.4. Let $\varphi(z)=-i e^{i \theta} \psi\left(e^{-i \alpha} z\right)$, and wisely choose the analytic dilatation $\omega(z)$ and $\alpha$.

The mapping properties of these functions are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The figures illustrate the images of concentric circles and equally spaced rays.
Theorem 3.2. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}(k, \theta)$. For $|z| \leq r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq \frac{2 k}{(1-k)^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{1-r}{1-k r}\right)+\frac{(1+k) r}{2(1-k)(1-r)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$, we have the following equalities:


Figure 1: The shear of $\varphi(z)=(z /(1+i z))$ with $k=1, \theta=0$.


Figure 2: The shear of $\varphi(z)=1 / 2 \log \left((1+z)^{2} /\left(1+z^{2}\right)\right)$ with $k=1, \theta=0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
f(z) & =h(z)+\overline{g(z)} \\
& =\int_{0}^{r} h^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \gamma}\right) e^{i \gamma} d \rho+\overline{\int_{0}^{r} g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \gamma}\right) e^{i \gamma} d \rho} \\
& =\int_{0}^{r} h^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \gamma}\right) e^{i \gamma} d \rho+\int_{0}^{r} \overline{g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \gamma}\right)} e^{-i \gamma} d \rho  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\int_{0}^{r} f_{z}\left(\rho e^{i \gamma}\right) e^{i \gamma} d \rho+\int_{0}^{r} f_{\bar{z}}\left(\rho e^{i \gamma}\right) e^{-i \gamma} d \rho .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
|f(z)| & =|h(z)+g(z)| \leq|h(z)|+|g(z)| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left|f_{z}\left(\rho e^{i \gamma}\right)\right| d \rho+\int_{0}^{r}\left|f_{\bar{z}}\left(\rho e^{i \gamma}\right)\right| d \rho \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying inequalities in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to (3.4) and (3.5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{(1-k \rho)(1-\rho)^{2}} d \rho+\int_{0}^{r} \frac{k \rho}{(1-k \rho)(1-\rho)^{2}} d \rho \\
& =\frac{2 k}{(1-k)^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{1-r}{1-k r}\right)+\frac{(1+k) r}{2(1-k)(1-r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.3. In Thorem 3.2, if $\left|f_{\bar{z}}\right| \leq k\left|f_{z}\right|$ then

$$
|f(z)| \leq \frac{2 k(1+k)}{(1-k)^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{1-r}{1-k r}\right) \quad|z| \leq 1
$$

It is easy to check that if $f$ is conformal, i.e. $k=0$ then the estimate is sharp because the estimate of $f_{z}$ is sharp.

Sheil-Small [11] proved that if $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}$ and $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex in one direction, then the following bounds hold for the coefficients:

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6}, \quad\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{(n-1)(2 n-1)}{6}
$$

where $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}+\overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}}$.
In Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we described how the geometry of the related analytic function $\varphi(z)$ affects bounds of a harmonic function and its derivatives. The following theorem shows how the geometry of $\varphi(z)$ affects the coefficients $\left|a_{n}\right|$ and $\left|b_{n}\right|$. We begin by looking at Hengartner and Schober's result in [3].
Theorem 3.4.([3]) If $\psi(z)=a_{0}+(\alpha+i \beta) z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfies $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \psi^{\prime}(z)\right\} \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \alpha \quad \text { for } n=2,4,6, \ldots \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \alpha+\frac{1}{n}|\alpha+i \beta| \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,3,5, \ldots \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right| \quad \text { for } n=1,2,3,4, \ldots \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality is obtained in all three inequalities by $\psi(z)=1 /(1-z)$. Furthermore, among bounds which depend on both $\alpha$ and $\beta$, (3.6) is sharp for the function

$$
\psi(z)=\frac{\alpha}{1-z}+\frac{\beta i}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad \alpha>0
$$

Again, suppose that $\varphi(z)$ is a function that is analytic and convex in the direction of $\theta(0 \leq \theta<1)$. Furthermore, let $\varphi(z)$ be normalized by (2.3). By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z):=i e^{-i \theta} \varphi\left(e^{-i \alpha} z\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function $\psi$ satisfies (2.1). Thus $\psi$ is univalent and convex in the direction of the imaginary axis in $\mathbb{D}$. Making use of Theorem 2.2 for $\psi$, we have

$$
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \psi^{\prime}(z)\right\}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{i e^{-i \theta}\left(1-z^{2}\right) \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\} \geq 0
$$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 2.4 to $\varphi(z)$, obtain that the result still holds, with $\psi(z)$ replaced by $\varphi(z)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}(k, \theta)$. Then for $|z| \leq r$, we have

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}, \quad\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq 2
$$

Proof. Initiating with the integral representations

$$
h(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(\zeta)}{1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(\zeta)} d \zeta, \quad g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\omega(\zeta) \varphi^{\prime}(\zeta)}{1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(\zeta)} d \zeta
$$

where $\omega(z)=\left(g^{\prime}(z) / h^{\prime}(z)\right)$. Let

$$
\varphi(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n} z^{n}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\omega(z)}{1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(z)}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{n} z^{n}
$$

For

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(z) & =\int_{0}^{z}\left[\phi_{1}+2 \phi_{2} \zeta+3 \phi_{3} \zeta^{3}+\ldots\right]\left[w_{1} \zeta+w_{2} \zeta^{2}+w_{3} \zeta^{3}+\ldots\right] d \zeta \\
& =\int_{0}^{z}\left[\phi_{1} w_{1} \zeta+\left(\phi_{1} w_{2}+2 \phi_{2} w_{1}\right) \zeta^{2}+\left(\phi_{1} w_{3}+2 \phi_{2} w_{2}+3 \phi_{3} w_{1}\right) \zeta^{3}+\ldots\right] d \zeta \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{1} w_{1}\right) z^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\phi_{1} w_{2}+2 \phi_{2} w_{1}\right) z^{3}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\phi_{1} w_{3}+\phi_{2} w_{2}+3 \phi_{3} w_{1}\right) z^{4}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{1} & =0 \\
b_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{1} w_{1}\right) \\
b_{3} & =\frac{1}{3}\left(\phi_{1} w_{2}+2 \phi_{2} w_{1}\right), \\
& \vdots \\
b_{n} & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \phi_{k} w_{n-k} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $h(z)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z) & =\int_{0}^{z} \varphi^{\prime}(\zeta) \frac{1}{1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(\zeta)} d \zeta \\
& =\int_{0}^{z} \varphi^{\prime}(\zeta) e^{2 i \theta}\left(\frac{\omega(\zeta)}{1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(\zeta)}+\frac{1}{e^{2 i \theta}}\right) d \zeta \\
& =e^{2 i \theta}\left(\int_{0}^{z} \varphi^{\prime}(\zeta) \frac{\omega(\zeta)}{1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(\zeta)} d \zeta\right)+\int_{0}^{z} \varphi^{\prime}(\zeta) d \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
a_{n}=e^{2 i \theta} b_{n}+\phi_{n}=\phi_{n}+e^{2 i \theta}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \phi_{k} w_{n-k}\right) .
$$

Since $\omega(z) /\left(1-e^{2 i \theta} \omega(z)\right)$ is subordinated by $z /\left(1-e^{2 i \theta} z\right)$, we have $\left|w_{n}\right| \leq 1$ for all natural $n$ by [7, p.238]. Also, the descriptions following theorem ?? concludes that $\left|\phi_{k}\right| \leq\left|\phi^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$. In consequence:

$$
\left|b_{n}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \phi_{k} w_{n-k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k\left|\phi_{k} \| w_{n-k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k=\frac{n-1}{2}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq\left|\phi_{n}\right|+\left|e^{2 i \theta}\right|\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \phi_{k} w_{n-k}\right|=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k=\frac{n+1}{2} .
$$
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